Eunice - BL- TB-Drikand No. 646 STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT ۱ OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. 2 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 3 24 September 1987 4 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6 IN THE MATTER OF: 7 Application of Shell Western E&P, CASE Inc., for pool creation, special 8 9230 pool rules, and contraction of 9 Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard Pools, Lea County, New Mexico, 10 and For statutory unitization, Lea CASE-11 County, New Mexico, 9231 and 12 For a waterflood project, Lea CASE County, New Mexico. 9232 13 14 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner 15 16 17 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 18 19 20 APPEARANCES 21 For the Division: Jeff Taylor 22 Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division 23 State Land Office Bldg. Santa Pe, New Mexico 87501 24 25 For the Applicant: W. Perry Pearce Attorney at Law MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS P. O. Box 2307 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

FORM 25CIGF3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

				2
1		APPI	E A	RANCES
2	For J. R. Cone:			W. Thomas Kellahin
3				ACCORNEY AC LAW KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN & AUBREY
4				P. O. BOX 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
5		o ' 1		
6	For Kalser Francis	011		William F. Carr
7				CAMPBELL & BLACK P. A.
8				P. O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

ł

INDEX STATEMENT BY MR. PEARCE JOHN GOFORTH Direct Examination by Mr. Pearce Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach LISA CORDER Direct Examination by Mr. Pearce Questions by Mr. Lyon Questions by Mr. Lemay Questions by Mr. Lyon Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach Redirect Examination by Mr. Pearce DOUG BURBANK Direct Examination by Mr. Pearce Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach Questions by Mr. Lyon Questions by Mr. Lemay Recross Examination by Mr. Catanach Redirect Examination by Mr. Pearce 

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

NON NON

		4
1		
2	EXHIBITS	
3		
4	Shell Exhibit One, Map	11
5	Shell Exhibit Two, Plat	12
6	Shell Exhibit Three, Unit Agreement	13 -
7	Shell Exhibit Four, Operating Agreement	15
8	Shell Exhibit Five, Brochure	16
9	Shell Exhibit Six, Ratification Process	11
10	Shell Exhibit Seven, Summary	17 -
11	Shell Exhibit Eight, Letter	18
12	Shell Exhibit Nine, Letter	18
13	Shell Exhibit Ten, Ratifications	18 -
14	Shell Exhibit Eleven, Ratifications	19
15	Shell Exhibit Twelve, Return Receipts	19
16	Shell Exhibit Thirteen, Structure Map	23 1
17	Shell Exhibit Fourteen, Type Log	23
18	Shell Exhibit Fifteen, Cross Section	26
19	Shell Exhibit Sixteen, Cross Section	26
20	Shell Exhibit Seventeen, Map	44 1
21	Shell Exhibit Eighteen, Pressure Data	46
22	Shell Exhibit Nineteen, Alternative	47
23	Shell Exhibit Twenty, Document	49
24	Shell Exhibit Twenty-one, Part I Report	49V
25	Shell Exhibit Twenty-two, Part II Report	49

GANON FORM ERCIRP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOD-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOD-227-0120

				5
1				
2			E X H I B I T S CONT'D	
3				
4				
5	Shell	Exhibit	Twenty-three, Parameters	50
6	Shell	Exhibit	Twenty-four, Formula	50
7	Shell	Exhibit	Twenty-five, Formula	52
8	Shell	Exhibit	Twenty-six, AFE Package	53
9	Shell	Exhibit	Twenty-seven, Map	56 🗸
10	Shell	Exhibit	Twenty-eight, Listing	57
11	Shell	Exhibit	Twenty-nine, Listing	57
12	Shell	Exhibit	Thirty, Pool Rules	57
13	Shell	Exhibit	Thirty-one, C-108	62
14	Shell	Exhibit	Thirty-two, Return Receipts	65
15	Shell	Exhibit	Thirty-three, Applications	66
16	Shell	Exhibit	Thirty-four, Letter	69
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

6 1 2 MR. CATANACH: We'll call this hearing back to order this morning on Docket No. 28-87, and 3 we'll call first case this morning, 9230. 4 5 MR. TAYLOR: The application of 6 Shell Western E & P, Inc., for pool creation, special pool 7 rules, and contraction of Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard Pools, Lea County, New Mexico. 8 9 CATANACH: MR. Are there appearances in this case? 10 11 MR. PEARCE: May it please the Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce of the Santa Fe law firm of 12 Montgomery & Andrews. I appear in this matter on behalf of 13 Shell Western E & P, Inc., and I have three witnesses who 14 will need to be sworn. 15 16 CATANACH: Okay, are there MR. 17 any other appearances? 18 MR. **KELLAHIN:** Mr. Examiner, I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing on be-19 20 half of J. R. Cone. 21 MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr, with the law firm 22 Campbell & Black, of Santa Fe. We represent Kaiser Francis 23 24 Oil Company. 25 We do not intend to call a wit-

```
7
1
   ness.
2
                                MR.
                                     PEARCE: At this time, Mr.
3
   Examiner, for efficiency and shortening the record to the
4
   extent we can, I would ask that Cases 9231 and 9232 be con-
5
   solidated with this case because there is a great deal of
6
   overlap in the evidence in these three cases.
7
                                MR. CATANACH: Okay, at this
8
   time we'll call Case 9231.
9
                                MR. TAYLOR: The application of
10
   Shell Western E & P, Inc., for statutory unitization, Lea
11
   County, New Mexico.
12
                                    CATANACH: And we'll call
                                MR.
13
   Case 9232.
14
                                MR. TAYLOR: The application of
15
   Shell Western E & P, Inc., for a waterflood project, Lea
16
   County, New Mexico.
17
                                MR. CARR:
                                             May it please the
18
   Examiner, I would request that the record reflect the entry
19
   of our appearance for Kaiser Francis in each of the addi-
20
   tional cases.
21
                                MR. CATANACH:
                                                 Thank you, Mr.
22
   Carr.
23
                                MR. KELLAHIN: And likewise for
24
   me, too, Mr. Examiner.
25
                                MR.
                                     CATANACH:
                                                 Thank you, Mr.
```

8 1 Kellahin. 2 Will the witnesses please stand 3 and be sworn in? 4 5 (Witnesses sworn.) 6 7 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, before we begin, if I may take just a couple of moments and 8 summarize what we're seeking today and how we intend to pro-9 ceed, hopefully that will clarify what we're about. 10 11 In this matter Shell Western E Inc. seeks the culmination of a three-year effort to & P, 12 unitize and waterflood portions of the Blinebry, Tubb, 13 and Drinkard Pools to greatly enhance recovery of hydrocarbons. 14 15 The proposed unit area, which is one of the cases under consideration, is slightly under 16 17 5000 acres, contains 31 separate tracts with 41 separate 18 working interest owners. 19 After study of this project by 20 a technical committee of working interest owners, we believe it is reasonable to expect some 15-million barrels of incre-21 22 mental recovery to result from this project. 23 The investment that's going to 24 be required to recovery this is somewhere in the neighbor-25 hood of \$20,000,000.

\_

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 FORM 25CIGP3

NONA

9 1 After this three-year effort to unitize this area and study it technically for waterflood, 2 3 the vast majority of working interest owners have agreed to 4 the unitization. There are a few small interests outstan-5 ding, which is the reason for the statutory unitization case 6 being brought forward. 7 We're going to proceed in this 8 matter this morning with three witnesses. 9 Mr. John Goforth is a landman 10 for Shell Western E & P, Inc.. He's discuss the unit agree-11 ment, the unit operating agreement, the ratifications of 12 those instruments which have been received, and will indi-13 cate to you that preliminary approval from the BLM and the 14 State Land Office has been received. 15 Mrs. Lisa Corder, who is a geo-16 logist with Shell Western E & P, Inc., will discuss the 17 structure under their proposed pool and unit. She'll des-18 cribe the unitized interval, and she will indicate the 19 reasons that she believes these formations are -- the geolo-20 gical reasons these formations are suitable for water-flood-21 ing. 22 Finally, Mr. Doug Burbank, a 23 reservoir engineer for Shell Western, will discuss the his-24 tory of the pool, the reasons for trying to create a new 25 pool in this area. He will also discuss the participation

10 1 formula that has been agreed to by the vast majority of wor-2 king interest owners and royalty interest owners in this 3 area. He'll discuss the development 5 of the secondary recovery forcast. He will also present 6 Division Form C-108, which has been filed in support of the waterflood application and the injection operations, and 7 8 will describe those waterflood operations to you. 9 With that brief introduction, 10 if I may, Mr. Examiner, I'd like to call at this time Mr. John Goforth to the witness stand. 11 12 13 JOHN GOFORTH, 14 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 15 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. PEARCE: 19 0 At this time, sir, for the record would 20 you please state your name and place of employment? 21 A Okay. My name is John Goforth and I 22 work for Shell Western E & P, Inc. 23 What do you do for Shell Western, Q Mr. 24 Goforth? 25 I'm a landman for Shell Western. Α

1 Have you appeared before the Q 011 Conservation Division or Commission previously and had 2 your credentials made a matter of record? 3 No, I have not. Α All right. Would you please describe for 5 0 6 us your undergraduate education and work experience? 7 I received a Bachelor's degree Α Okay. from Washington State University in 1981. 8 9 I started with Shell upon graduation in June of 1981 and over the past six years I have been invol-10 11 ved with oil and gas leasing, title curative, farmout contract negotiations, as well as sales and acquisitions of 12 producing properties and unitization. 13 14 And during the course of your work exper-Q 15 ience with Shell Western, have you been involved in the proposed Northeast Drinkard unitization effort? 16 17 Yes, I have. I was assigned Α to the 18 Northeast Drinkard Unit in September of 1985. My primary 19 responsibility was to identify the working interest owners 20 as well as the royalty and overriding royalty interest own-21 ers, and to prepare the unit agreement and unit operating 22 agreement for the proposed Northeast Drinkard Unit. 23 All right. Mr. Goforth, at this time I'd Q 24 like for you to approach what we've marked as Exhibit One 25 and I've previously taped that up to the wall, and describe

11

RARON

1 what's shown on that exhibit, and I'd also ask you to speak up a little so the court reporter doesn't have a hard time. 2 3 А Okay. This is a county map of Lea County. Highlighted in the various colors are the various units as well as study areas. 5 6 In orange here Township 21 South, Range 7 37 East, is Shell's proposed Northeast Drinkard Unit. As 8 you can see, there's an Amoco North Drinkard Study Area to 9 the west and the Chevron Central Drinkard Unit to the south-10 west. 11 The unit is located, proposed unit is 12 located approximately two miles north of the town of Eunice. 13 At this time let's look Exhibit Number Q. 14 Two, which is, I believe, a plat of the proposed unit, and 15 could you discuss that for us, please. 16 A Okay. This proposed unit again is in 17 Township 21 South, Range 37 East. We have it divided up 18 here where it shows Federal, State, and patented lands. 19 As you can see on the plat, Federal lands 20 amount to roughly 708 acres, which account for 14.12 percent 21 of the unit. 22 State lands account for 1,669 acres, to 23 roughly 33.26 percent of the unit, and the remaining 24 acreage, the patented fee lands, account of 2,640 acres, 25 which comes ot 52.62 acres.

12

1 The circled numbers designate the tracts 2 within our proposed unit. 3 0 Okay, let's turn quickly to what we've marked as Exhibit Number Three, and would you identify that 5 for us, please? 6 Exhibit Number Three is the unit agree-A 7 ment to the Northeast Drinkard Unit. In compiling this unit 8 agreement we determined the ownership of the various tracts 9 in our proposed unit by searching the federal, state, and 10 county records. 11 After identifying the working interest 12 owners we requested that they supply us division of interest 13 sheets that would show all working, overriding royalty, and 14 royalty interest owners with their percentages and addres-15 ses. 16 Okay. Could you turn to the portion of 0 17 the unit agreement which describes the proposed unitized 18 interval for us? 19 A Okay, the unitized interval is described 20 in Section 2 (h) page 5 of the unit agreement. 21 Okay, for the record, would you briefly Q 22 summarize what that unitized interval is? 23 Well, the unitized interval, according to А 24 the definition here, extends from the upper limit, 75 feet 25 above the stratigraphic Blinebry marker, to the lower limit,

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120 IN CALIFORNIA 600-227-2434 I REE TOLL 250-693 MBOT 13

## NORTHEAST DRINKARD UNIT TRACT PARTICIPATION FORMULA EXAMPLE CALCULATION (NUMBERS ROUNDED FOR ILLUSTRATION)

.

<u>Unit</u>	ization Parameter	<u>Tract 5</u>	<u>Unit</u>
<u>0i1</u>			
	Current Production (6/84-5/85) Remaining Primary Ultimate Primary	20 MBO 162 MBO 2,322 MBO	272 MBO 2,285 MBO 29,477 MBO
Gas			
	Remaining Primary Ultimate Primary	6,308 MMCF 34,876 MMCF	71,911 MMCF 481,360 MMCF

						Pa	Tract Unit Inticipa	5 ition
Phase	I Oil	=	0.25 x	$\frac{20}{272}$ +	.75 x -	<u>162</u> 2,285	=	7.2%
Phase	II Oil	=	1.00 x	2,322 29,477		=	7.9%	
Phase	I Gas	=	1.00 x	<u>6,308</u> 71,911		=	8.8%	
Phase	II Gas	=	1.00 x	<u>34,876</u> 481,360	<u>5</u> )	=	7.2%	

Northeast Drinkard Unit Exhibit Twenty-Five Cases 9230 9231 9232

BNBK8726202

NORTHEAST DRINKARD UNIT BOTTOMHOLE PRESSURE HISTORIES BLINEBRY-TUBB-DRINKARD SWEPI LEASES Average Bottomhole Pressures, PSI

	Year:	1960	1965	1970	1975	1980
Blinebry		1750	1400	006	600	300
Tubb		1650	1100	850	650	300
Drinkard		1100	006	700	500	300

BNBK8726202

Northeast Drinkard Unit Exhibit Eighteen Cases 9230 9231 9232



# LEGEND

• PROPOSED UNITIZED WELLBORE

PROPOSED NORTHEAST DRINKARD UNIT LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO STRUCTURE ON TOP OF BLINEBRY CI=25' EXHIBIT 13

> Northeast Drinkard Unit Exhibit Thirteen Cases 9230 9231 9232

# TOWNSHIP 21S, RANGE 37E, N.M.P.M.





+ W028727A

	<b>CORE DATA</b>	SUMMARY	
		.1 md	
	BLINEBRY	TUBB	DRINKARD
POROSITY (%)	9.79	8.28	11.00
PERM. (md)	2.45	1.19	2.45
гітногоду	DOLOMITE PACKSTONE	SANDY DOLOMITE	LIMESTONE PACKSTONE GRAINSTONE
PORE TYPES	BP, BC, MO		BP, MO
	· · · · ·		2VW001107

# UNITIZATION PARAMETERS

# BY TRACT

FOR OIL AND GAS

\* CURRENT PRODUCTION:

6/1/84 - 5/31/85

\* CUMULATIVE PRODUCTION: THRU 5/31/85

\* REMAINING PRIMARY RESERVES: AFTER 5/31/85

# \* ULTIMATE PRIMARY RECOVERY

Northeast Drinkard Unit Exhibit Twenty-Three Cases 9230 9231 9232

#### NORTHEAST DRINKARD UNIT TRACT PARTICIPATION FORMULAS

## 011 Formulas

<u>Phase I:</u>

0.75 X Tract Remaining Primary Oil Reserves Unit Remaining Primary Oil Reserves

+ 0.25 X Tract Current Oil Production Unit Current Oil Production

In effect until 2.3 MMBO produced from Unit area after 5/31/85.

Kiman Prensus

Phase II:

1.00 X Tract Ultimate Primary Oil Recovery Unit Ultimate Primary Oil Recovery

In effect after Phase I Oil.

## Gas Formulas

#### Phase I:

1.00 X Tract Remaining Primary Gas Reserves Unit Remaining Primary Gas Reserves

In effect until 71.9 BCF produced from Unit area after 5/31/85.

#### Phase II:

1.00 X Tract Ultimate Primary Gas Recovery Unit Ultimate Primary Gas Recovery In effect after Phase I Gas.

> Northeast Drinkard Unit Exhibit Twenty-Four Cases 9230 9231 9232

BNBK8726202

1 at the top of the Abo formation.

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

2501673

FORM

NONA

As see on the type log from the Shell Argo, located at 660 feet from the south line, 2310 feet from the west line, Section 15, Township 21 South, Range 37 East, and is that interval which is correlated to the interval from 5530 feet to 6680 feet below the surface, measured from the derrick floor.

8 The Blinebry marker has defined by the 9 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division at a depth of 5,457 10 feet, elevation 3,380, subsea datum -2077 in Exxon State S 11 No. 20, located in the southwest quarter of the northwest 12 quarter of Section 2, Township 22 South, Range 37 East, Lea 13 County, New Mexico.

14 Q All right, sir, as part of your responsi-15 bilities for Shell Western, did you cause copies of this 16 unit agreement to be provided to working interest, royalty, 17 and overriding royalty interest owners?

18 A Yes, I did. We sent out the unit agree19 ment to all interested parties, working, overriding royalty,
20 and royalty, on May 18th, 1987.

Q Okay, I notice, sir, that there appear to
be some attachments to that unit agreement. Could you discuss those for us, please?

A Exhibit A is the unit plat that I discussed as Exhibit Two.

14

15 1 Q That is another -- all right, go ahead. 2 A And then Exhibit B-1 is the description 3 and tract ownership divided up into fee, State and Federal, 4 or in fee lands. 5 Okay, B-2? Q 6 B-2 is the tract ownership, their percen-A 7 tage, the working owners percentage as well as their parti-8 cipation factors for Phase 1 oil, Phase 2 oil, gas Phase 1, 9 and Phase 2 gas. 10 All right, for location purposes Q only 11 could you point us to the portion of the unit agreement 12 dealing with participation? 13 The tract participation factor is in Sec-А 14 tion 13, page 19 of the unit agreement and will be discussed 15 at a later time. 16 Okay, thank you. Let's look now at Exhi-0 17 bit Number Four and would you describe that exhibit for us, 18 please? 19 Exhibit Four is А the unit operating 20 agreement for the Northeast Drinkard Unit. It is modeled 21 after the American Petroleum Institute's model form. This 22 unit operating agreement has been agreed to by the majority 23 of the working interest owners. 24 0 And you were largely responsible for that 25 effort to secure voluntary participation?

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434 NATIOWWIDE BOO-227-0120

-

15 1 A Yes, I was. 2 All right, sir. Let's look at Exhibit C 3 Number Five to this proceeding, and would you describe what that is for us, please? 5 A Exhibit Five is the royalty owner bro-6 chure that was sent to the royalty and overriding royalty 7 owners in the proposed Northeast Drinkard Unit on May 18th, 8 1987. 9 The purpose of the brochure was to brief-10 ly and concisely inform the royalty and overriding royalty 11 owners of the purpose of the Northeast Drinkard Unit and the 12 results from such unitization. 13 Is it fair to say that that provides the 0 14 most simply and straightforward explanation of what's going 15 on out here? 16 Yes, it does. Α 17 Okay, thank you. Let's turn to Exhibit 0 18 Number Six, if you would, for us, please. 19 Exhibit Number Six is the ratification A 20 process for the working and royalty interest owners by 21 tract. It gives a summation as to each tract's percentage 22 ratified by the working and royalty for Tracts 1 through 31. 23 How widely was the package distributed? Q 24 We sent the royalty package to approxi-A 25 mately 320 royalty owners and 40 working interest owners,

-

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 400-227-2434 FORM 25CIGP3

NONA

I again on May 18th, 1987.

We followed up letters after approximate3 ly a month from the time that we sent out the initial rati4 fications to ascertain if the various royalty and working
5 interest owners had any questions or problems with ratifying
6 the unit.

7 After such time we sent these letters we
8 obtained phone numbers of those royalty owners that we could
9 not contact by letter for one reason or another, and fol10 lowed up with numerous phone calls to each one that we had
11 not received ratification from at that time.

12 Q All right, sir. Let's look at Exhibit
13 Number Seven and am I correct that that is a summary of the
14 information contained in Exhibit Six?

15 A Yes, it is.

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

FORM 25CIEP3

NOUV

16 Q And what is that information?

17 A It is a tract ratification summary lis18 ting all the tracts and the working and royalty interest
19 percentages broken down by tracts.

20 Q All right, sir, if I understand correct21 ly, there are two phases to this proposed unit participation
22 formula. There is also an oil phase and a gas phase in
23 each. Could you indicate for the record, since we've gotten
24 some ratifications since we put the paperwork together, our
25 percentage participation in each of those cases as of this

17

18 1 Morning? 2 А Okay. The percentage ratification of the 3 working interest owners to this date for Phase 1 oil is ap-4 proximatley 89.8 for Phase 1 oil. 5 For Phase 2 oil it is 91.4. 6 For gas, Phase 1 it's 93.5 and for gas, 7 Phase 2, it's 92.4. 8 For the royalty percentages we have ap-9 proximately 94 percent for oil, Phase 1; 93.1 for oil, Phase 10 2; 92.5 for gas, Phase 1; and 91.9 for gas, Phase 2. 11 All right, sir, at this time I'd ask you C 12 look at what we've marked as Exhibits Eight and Nine to to 13 this proceeding and describe what those exhibits are. 14 А Exhibit Eight is the preliminary approval 15 from the State of New Mexico Commissioner of Public Lands, 16 dated May 7th, 1987, signed by Floyd O. Pranda. 17 Okay, and Exhibit Nine? Q 18 Exhibit Nine is a preliminary approval Ά 19 from the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of 20 Land Management, dated April 24th, 1987, signed by Joe G. 21 Lara. 22 Okay. You don't have one in front of you C 23 but can you tell us what Exhibit Number Ten is, which has 24 been provided to the Examiner for the record in this matter? 25 Exhibit Ten are the original executed A

19 1 ratifications from the royalty owners. 2 0 And Exhibit Number Eleven? 3 Exhibit Number Eleven are the ratifica-A 4 tions for the working interest owners. 5 Q And Exhibit Number Twelve, please. 6 A Exhibit Number Twelve is copies of the 7 return receipts for the hearing notification, as well as 8 listing of all the parties that received such notification. 9 And as you've testified earlier, 0 you 10 compiled this list of working interest, royalty, and 11 through the process of record search overrides, and 12 contacting leasehold operators, is that correct? 13 That is correct. Α 14 Q All right, sir. Do you have anything 15 further at this time? 16 A No, I don't. 17 MR. PEARCE: I don't have any 18 further questions, if the Examiner has any at this time. I 19 expect Mr. Goforth to remain through the day in case some-20 thing comes up, but he's ready now if you have questions. 21 22 CROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. CATANACH: 24 Mr. Goforth, I'm not sure I understand Q 25 your different phases. Would you go into -- explain more on

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120 FORM #SCIGPS TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

BARON

20 1 that in detail, please? 2 A What exactly do you mean by different 3 phases? 4 Well, the Phase 1 oil, Phase 2 oil, and Q 5 Phase 1 gas. 6 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, if I 7 may suggest, the petroleum engineer, our last witness of the 8 day, we plan for him to go into explaining that formula to 9 you in some detail, and I simply wanted Mr. Goforth to point 10 We may be a little more efficient if you can hold it out. 11 that question for that witness. Okay, but you needed agreement for each 12 Q 13 of those phases, is that correct? 14 А Yes. 15 MR. PEARCE: By that, Mr. Exa-16 miner, I will mean to reflect that the phases are set forth 17 in the unit agreement so that ratification of the unit 18 agreement and unit operating agreement by interest owners is 19 a ratification of those separate phases and the participa-20 tion formula contained in the unit agreement. We -- I don't 21 intend to indicate to you that each person got eight sepa-22 rate sets of ratifications to the agreement. 23 MR. CATANACH: I think that's 24 probably all we have at this time. 25 PEARCE: MR. A11 right. As

AMON FOAM 250.663 TOULFREE IN CALIFORNIA 400.227.2434 MATIONWIDE 400.227-0.20

21 1 I've indicated, Mr. Examiner, we will make Mr. Goforth 2 available later if other questions come up. 3 MR. CATANACH: Thank you. MR. PEARCE: Thank you, John. 5 At this time I would call Mrs. Lisa Corder to the stand, please. 6 7 8 LISA CORDER, 9 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon her oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 10 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. PEARCE: 14 Q At this time for the record would you 15 please state your name and place of employment? 16 A My name is Lisa Corder and I'm an asso-17 ciate geological engineer with Shell Western E & P in Hous-18 ton. 19 Mrs. Corder, have you appeared before the Q 20 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division or Commission previous-21 ly and had your credentials as a geological engineer made a 22 matter of record? 23 No, I have not. A 24 All right, would you please go through Q 25 for us your undergraduate degree and work experience?

BARON FORM ZECIEPS TOLL FREE IN CALIFORMIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227 0120

1 Α I received a Bachelor of Science degree 2 in geological engineering from Michigan Tech University in 3 1985. 4 Since then I've been employed by Shell 5 Western in the Western Production and Geological Engineering 6 Group. 7 I've been involved in both primary and 8 development projects, waterfloods, and waterflood optimiza-9 tion projects. 10 Several of the waterflood projects I have 11 worked on have been in the Upper and Lower Clearfork Formations in West Texas and those formations are equivalent to 12 13 Blinebry and Drinkard in New Mexico. 14 Okay. Could you give us some indication Q 15 of your experience with the proposed Northeast Drinkard 16 unit? 17 A I was assigned in the Northeast Drinkard 18 Unit in January, 1987, and since then I hav spent some time 19 reviewing the past geological work that has been done on the 20 project, including that work that was done for the Technical 21 Committee Report and numerous in-house Shell geological 22 studies. 23 I have examined two cores from the field 24 area and I've prepared several of the exhibits to today's 25 hearing.

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

FORM 25CIGP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 600-227-2434

ARON

22

23 1 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I 2 tender Mrs. Corder as an expert in geological engineering. 3 MR. CATANACH: She is so 4 qualified. 5 All right. At this time, Q Mrs. Corder, 6 I'd like for you to refer to what we've marked as Exhibit 7 Number Thirteen to this proceeding and describe what's 8 reflected on that exhibit for the Examiner and those in attendance. 9 10 Α This is a structure map of the proposed 11 unit area. The proposed unit is situated on 12 the 13 northeast end of a north/northwest south/southeast trending anticline of the Penrose Skelly trend and parallels 14 the western edge of the Central Basin Platform. 15 16 is approximately 300 feet There of 17 structural relief within the proposed unit area and dips are 18 generally in the range of 1 to 2 degrees. 19 This particular structure map was drawn 20 on the top of the Blinebry but both the underlying Tubb and Drinkard formations more or less mimic the same structure. 21 22 The structurally highest point within the 23 field is down to the southwest corner. 24 Okay, let's look at what we've marked as 0 25 Exhibit Number Fourteen to this proceeding, and could you

BARON FORM 28C16P3 TOLLFREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

2 А This is a type log for the proposed Northeast Drinkard Unit. This log is taken from the 3 4 No. 8 Well, which is located in Section 15 and it's noted 5 with the red dot on the county map. 6 Q

describe that for us, please?

1

NATIONWIDE 400-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA \$00-227-2434

FORM 25CIGP3

Okay, that's a little far off for some Could you walk over and show us in what part of the 7 folks. 8 proposed unit the type log is taken from, please?

9 Α The proposed unit is outlined in orange 10 here, the Argo No. 8 Well, located in Section 15.

11 Could you describe the Q information 12 reflected on the type log, please?

13 A We are proposing to waterflood three 14 formatins, the Blinebry, the Tubb, and the Drinkard. Those 15 three formations are equivalent to the Upper Clearfork, the 16 Tubb, and the Lower Clearfork in West Texas.

17 The top of the unit is the New Mexico Oil 18 Conservation Division top of Blinebry, which has been 19 defined as 75 feet above the Blinebry marker.

20 The bottom of the unit is the top of the 21 Abo formation.

22 The entire interval is 12-to-1300 feet 23 thick and of that approximately 160 feet is considered pay. 24 The pay is distributed in thin, porous streaks, interbedded 25 with dense nonreservoir quality rock.

24

ARGO

25 Okay, could you give us some indication Q 1 of the thickness of expected productive zones in each of the 2 Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard formations, please? 3 The Blinebry we -- the average is A about 72 feet of pay; the Tubb is about 34 feet of pay; and the 5 Drinkard is about 54 feet of pay. 6 0 You indicated that you had examined two 7 cores in this area. Could you briefly relate for us what 8 that core examination revealed? 9 Α I'll go through each one of the forma-10 tions separately, starting with the Blinebry. 11 The Blinebry is approximately 450 feet 12 thick and core examination revealed it consists of a tan to 13 gray colored dolomite with various amounts of nodular re-14 placement and pore filling anhydrite. The reservoir rock 15 consists of a grain-supported packstone. We have six cores 16 within the study area from which we have core data avail-17 18 able. For those sampoles with a permeability greater than average permeability was 2.45 millidarcies. 19 Q Okay. 20 A The Tubb formation is approximately 400 21 feet thick. There is no core available for examination but 22 a 1971 ARCO report described the Tubb as a gray, fine-23 grained, silty sandstone interbedded with brown, 24 finely 25 sucrosic, sandy dolomite.

26 1 Cuttings from a recently drilled Shell 2 well confirm that same lithology. 3 We have three wells within the study area 4 from which we have core data available. For those samples 5 with a permeability greater than .1 millidarcy, the average 6 porosity was 8.28 percent and the average permeability was 7 1.19 millidarcies. 8 Okay, and moving town to the Drinkard, Q 9 could you describe that for us, please? 10 Ά The Drinkard is approximately 300 feet 11 thick. Based on core examination it is a tan to dark gray 12 limestone and dolomite. Core filling and replacement anhy-13 drite are most common in the limestone and nodular anhydrite 14 is most common in the dolomite. 15 The reservoir rock consists of a skeletal 16 lime grainstone and lime packstone and a little bit of dolo-17 mitic packstone. 18 We have one core with core data available 19 within the study area. Those samples that had a permeabil-20 ity greater than .1 millidarcy, the average porosity was 11 21 percent and the average permeability was 2.45 millidarcies. 22 Q At this time let's take a moment and hang 23 what we've marked as Exhibit Number Fifteen on the wall. 24 Could you describe first of all what's А 25 reflected on Exhibit Fifteen?

BARON FORM 25CI6F3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

27 1 Exhibit Fifteen is cross section A-A', Α which is an east/west cross section . It takes in every 2 3 well along the east/west line noted on the index map. Exhibit Number Sixteen is cross section 5 B-B', which takes approximately every other well along the 6 north/south line noted on the index map. 7 Both of these are structural cross sec-8 tions. They've been hung of datum of -1800 feet and the 9 horizontal scales for the two cross sections are different 10 but they're both noted down in the righthand corner. 11 All right, what's the primry type of log Q reflected on these cross sections? 12 13 A Resistivity, SP logs have been the pri-14 mary correlation tools throughout the history of the field 15 and this is the type of log that we've included on the cross 16 section. We do have one neutron log on the cross section. 17 It's the Conoco Hawk. 18 Both the neutron and the resistivity logs 19 are useful tools to determine or distinguish reservoir rock 20 from non-reservoir rock. 21 Those low porosity dense zones correspond 22 with high resistivities and the higher porosity reservoir 23 rock -- reservoir quality rock correspond to the low resis-24 tivities. 25 Both the Blinebry and the Drinkard have

BARON FORM 28C18P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

28 ۱ historically been broken up into five cycles based on the 2 log response, and the cycles are most important in the 3 Blinebry and the most pronounced. Now go through each one of the forma-5 tions? 6 Would you please? Q 7 The log correlations of the Blinebry re-Α 8 veal five cycles of porous reservoir quality rock interbed-9 ded with zones of dense highly resistant rock. 10 We have core data available from five 11 wells within the proposed unit area and the core data cor-12 responds well with those -- both the resistivity and neutron 13 log response. Those zones that are -- have high porosity 14 correspond with low resistivity and vice versa. 15 I'll point out the five cycles that we 16 This is porosity zone one, two, three, four, and five. see. 17 If you could just take a moment on one of 18 the logs on that well and indicate the depths that you're 19 indicating the five cycles occurring, the record's not going 20 be able to tell otherwise. 21 A Okay. In the Cities Service State S No. 22 Zone 1 for this -- for practicality starts with the 5 Well, 23 New Mexico Oil Conservation Division top of Blinebry, go 24 through a porosity zone into a dense zone and ends at about 25 5670 total depth.

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434

2801893

FORM

NOWY
29 1 Porosity 2 starts at that depth, goes 2 down to approximately 5730 where it ends up in a dense zone. 3 Porosity 3 starts at that depth and goes 4 down to approximately 5850. 5 Porosity 4 starts, goes into a dense zone 6 and ends at about 5950. 7 Then you pick up the Porosity 5 zone which ends at the top of the Tubb formation and which is 8 9 about 6000 feet. 10 Q Okay, thank you. 11 A If we go through and describe the type of 12 production we have from each one of these zones, Zone 1 is 13 primarily gas productive. 14 Zone 2 produces gas and 65 degree API 15 gravity condensate. 16 Zones 3, 4, and 5 produce 38 to 40 degree 17 API gravity oil and associated gas. 18 Available core data along with log corre-19 lation in the zones indicates that there's a fairly contin-20 uous dense zone that exists between Zones 2 and 3. This dense zone is anywhere from 20 to 40 feet thick and should 21 22 act as a permeability barrier preventing any vertical com-23 munication between the oil zones and the gas zones. 24 And there are similar dense zones separ-25 ating the other cycles, as well, but the only one that I've

1 highlighted on the cross section is that between Zones 2 and 2 3. 3 We're planning on flooding Zones 3, 4, 4 and 5 and producing gas reserves from Zones 1 and 2 through 5 separate wellbores. 6 Log correlations in the five zones from 7 porous reservoir quality rock and interbedded dense zones 8 can be carried easily throughout the field. For this reason 9 we feel that that supports the potential of the Blinebry as a floodable unit. 10 11 Q Anything else on the Blinebry? Α That's just about it. 12 Let's move down to the Tubb and would you 13 0 14 discuss what's reflected on the exhibit with regard to the Tubb? 15 Both oil and gas are productive, 16 А are 17 produced from the Tubb but there does not appear to be 18 common gas/oil contact across the entire field. We've seen 19 oil production from as high as -2750 and gas as low as -20 3050. 21 Α production surveillance study 22 identified only two areas of the field as oil productive. 23 Those were the north half of Section 10 and all of Section 24 2. The rest of the field is primarily gas bearing with a 25 few scattered oil wells.

1 The location of those oil and gas productive areas do not correspond with the structure map. 2 Or-3 iginal API gravities of liquid hydrocarbon production in 4 those areas that are oil productive average 38 degrees API 5 gravity. All those areas that are gas productive average 51 6 degrees. 7 Based on log correlations the oil and gas 8 productive areas cannot be differentiated from one another, 9 nevertheless, all of the production information that we have

10 indicates that the pay intervals within the Tubb must be ex-11 tremely discontinuous. We are only planning on flooding 12 those areas that have been identified as oil bearing.

As a final note on the Tubb, there obviously must be vertical separation between the bottom zones of the Blinebry and the Tubb itself for Tubb gas to have remained within that formation over geologic time.

At the bottom of porosity Zone 1, porosity Zone 5 in the Blinebry, there is another tight streak.
That, in combination with the fact that the Tubb formation
is a silty formation, probably combined to form the permeability barrier separating those two formations.

22 Q All right, anything else with regard to23 the Tubb in these exhibits?

A No.

 $\mathcal{Q}$ 

25

24

Let's look at the Drinkard, please.

A The Drinkard has historically been broken
up into five cycles, also; however the cycles are less
pronounced and the bottom four cycles are much thinner than
they are in the Blinebry.

5 Zone 1 is two to three times thicker than 6 the other cycles. The top three-quarters of Zone 1 is 7 primarily non-reservoir quality dolomite. We have core data 8 available on this interval and both the porosity and the 9 permeability are very low. This is the zone I've highlighted on this cross section. 10 Because of this we feel 11 that this a good permeability barrier between the Drinkard zone and the Tubb formation, and it's easily carried across 12 13 the entire field.

The bottom of Zones 1 -- of Zone 1 and Sones 2, 3, 4 and 5 are relatively thin and they consist of thin, porous streaks of limestone and interbedded dense zones of limestone and a few zones of porous dolomite.

Based on the description in the Drinkard formation in the Central Drinkard Unit, it appears as though the litholoy in both those areas are similar. Gross log correlation in the Drinkard is fairly continuous from well to well and we feel that all these observations support the potential of the Drinkard as a floodable unit.

24 Q All right, let's go back and just
25 summarize a couple points, if we may, Mrs. Corder.

33 1 You've indicated that in the Blinebry and 2 Tubb you have separate oil and gas zones, is that correct? 3 Α Right. 4 0 You've also indicated to us that because 5 of interbedding you do not expect any waterflooding within 6 the oil zones in those two formations to affect gas produc-7 tion from other portions of those formations, is that cor-8 rect? 9 Correct. Α 10 0 And I believe you indicated that the pro-11 posal for operation of this unit is to have separate well-12 bores for gas wells and oil wells, is that correct? 13 A Correct. Just in summary I wanted to 14 note that in addition to the success of the Central Drinkard 15 Unit there are numerous successful waterfloods on the Cen-16 tral Basin Platform in West Texas in the Upper and Lower 17 Clearfork formations, which are equivalent to the Blinebry 18 and Drinkard. 19 0 Okay, anything further at this time? 20 А No. 21 MR. PEARCE: I have nothing 22 further of this witness at this time, Mr. Examiner. 23 24 QUESTIONS BY MR. LYON: 25 Q Victor Lyon, Chief Engineer for the OCD.

\_

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120 25CIEP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 TORN NONAL

34 1 Ms. Corder, you've use a couple of terms that I haven't heard before. I'm not exactly a newcomer to 2 3 the business, but could you further define for me what's a packstone and what's a grainstone? 5 A Well, the grainstone is just a grain sup-6 ported rock. 7 The packstone is also grain supported but 8 it has more matrix. 9 So they're both grain supported rock, as 10 opposed to like a mudstone or a waxstone. 11 Is this something that we generally char-0 12 acterize as a sandstone? 13 A Well, we use it a lot in carbonate rocks. 14 I have not worked that much in sandstones, since the whole 15 time that I've been in West -- working for Shell in the wes-16 tern division it's all been carbonates. 17 0 Do you consider a packstone or a grain-18 stone to be reservoir quality rock? 19 А They can -- you can have pore filling 20 grainstones. We've got anhydrite throughout most of the 21 formations. Some of the packstones and grainstones, they're 22 called packstones and grainstones because they are grain 23 supported, but they may have pore filling anhydrite. 24 Where we see pay, we see grainstones and 25 packstones and various amounts of pore filling anhydrite.

MADN FORM 25CIGP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

35 1 But that's where we see the pay. It's in 2 the marine intervals and are primarily dolomite or in the 3 Blinebry there are dolomite and packstones, and in the 4 Drinkard there are dolomite and limestone grainstones and 5 packstones. 6 Q I'm not sure that I understand any better 7 than I did. 8 А Well, we've always used that terminology 9 for as long as I've been working for Shell. 10 Q Well, Shell does have some different 11 terms. 12 I think that's all. 13 14 QUESTIONS BY MR. LEMAY: 15 Ms. Corder, Bill Lemay, Director of OCD. 0 16 You studied the Texas fields as well as 17 New Mexico fields and correlated your cross sections from 18 Texas into New Mexico? 19 I'm just -- just stating that there А No. 20 are successful waterfloods in Texas in equivalent forma-21 tions. 22 Q How about the zoning of the Blinebry and 23 zoning of the Drinkard formations? Can you make those five 24 zonations (sic) in Texas in the Upper and Lower Clearfork as 25 well as here in New Mexico?

BARON FORM 28CIGP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0-20

1 A All of the formations that I have worked on in Texas you cannot do that. The porous streaks cannot 2 3 be carried across the entire field is what we're seeing 4 here. Now, the logs that we're using are just resistivity 5 We don't have any type of neutron logs over the enlogs. 6 tire field, but the fact that our core data appears to cor-7 respond with the resistivity log response, we feel that 8 those low porosity -- or those low resistivity zones do cor-9 respond with pay and they can be carried easily across the 10 field.

We haven't gone through and tried to correlate individual porosity stringers by any means, but the
whole packages can be carried across the field.

14 Q Is there a shaley component through the 15 carbonate so that some of the low resistivities might be re-16 flecting a shale content to the rock?

17 The core that I examined, we had discrete Ά 18 shale streaks but they were generally in the -- anywhere 19 from a few inches up to six inches. You have mudstones 20 that may have a little bit of shale in them but we don't see 21 those showing up at low resistivity. I think the fact that 22 they're usually packed around areas that are dense dolomite 23 prohibits that resistivity from coming down on the logs that 24 we've seen.

25

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

FORM 25CIGP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 400-227-2434

And we've broken it up into discrete

1 packages, as porosity and dense.

NATIONWIDE 600-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434

25CI 6P3

TORM

NOUV

2 Q Are these predominantly on log analysis
3 or as tied to the cores that you have.

Α Tied to the core that we have. 5 0 Your examination has shown that these as you've zoned them, are -- operate independent or 6 zones, 7 only where you have the colored in dense streaks? In other 8 words, the vertical communication that we're trying to find 9 out if it exists or not, may or not be -- may or may not be present in these various zones or how do you -- how do you 10 do the vertical communication within the Blinebry? 11 12 À Okay. Within the Blinebry I view it as 13 five independent zones of porosity. We see the most continuous tight streaks at the top of the whole interval, those 14 -- that's between Zones 1 and 2 and Zones 2 and 3. 15 In some areas of the field, based on resistivity log response, when 16 17 you get down to Zones 4 and 5, you don't have as high a re-18 sistivity break between those formations but all of the core 19 data that we have shows very low porosity and permeability 20 between all five of the zones. 21 We've got core data available on one well 22 throughout the whole interval down to Zone 5, and we see 23 those tight streaks all the way through. 24 So it's not just between 2 and 3. We've 25 seen it between 1 and 2, 3 and 4, and 4 and 5 on core data,

38 1 and we're carrying that across the entire field based on re-2 sistivity log response. 3 Q When you measured the permeability did 4 you measure vertical or horizontal permeability? 5 A The ones that I quoted are horizontal 6 permeabilities. I assume that we've measured vertical per-7 meabilities. I don't know on how many of the ones we have. I just have the summaries. I've reviewed the summaries of 8 9 the technical committee report, put in the report, and the 10 curves that they've generated and the averages that they've 11 generated. 12 0 Thank you. 13 MR. LYON: May I ask one more 14 question? 15 MR. CATANACH: Yes, sir. 16 17 QUESTIONS BY MR. LYON: 18 You may have stated this and I may have 0 19 missed it, but what do you consider to be the separation be-20 tween the Blinebry -- bottom of the Blinebry and the top of 21 the Tubb? 22 Α Okay, there is, on the resistivity log 23 response, there is a tight streak at the top of the Tubb. 24 Some places in the field you cannot see it as predominantly 25 as you do in other areas. That, in combination with the

silty nature of the Tubb and the fact that we do have gas
zones within the Tubb, we feel that there must be separation
between the Tubb and the Blinebry for gas to remain in that
formation over geologic time.

You're saying, as I understand it, that
even though you can't see a discrete separation in there,
the fact that the two fluids are in there as they are indicates that there is a complete separation.

A We see a dense zone, as you can see it on
some of these logs here, but then on this log you don't see
a tight zone, but again, now, we're comparing our resisitivity with some sort of porosity reading, and that, and just
combination with the lithology of the Tubb, that's enough,
and the fact that we've got gas with the oil, we have separation between the two.

16 Q And you did say that the bottom three 17 zones of the Blinebry are oil productive and the -- I be-18 lieve you said that the gas occurrence in the Tubb is not --19 you're unable to correlate the -- as to zones, whether the 20 content of the porous interval would be oil or gas.

21 A That's right.

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

FORM 28CIEPS

ARON

22 Q So there probably is some horizontal sep23 aration in there.

A That's -- that's what we think and that's
why we're only going ot be waterflooding those areas that

40 1 are oil productive. Those are the only two areas within the 2 field. 3 We think there's horizontal separation 4 because of the fact that the oil production has been seen as 5 high as -2750 and gas production as low as -3050. There's 6 got to be some sort of horizontal separation. 7 8 CROSS EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. CATANACH: 10 Q Can you define the two oil bearing Tubb 11 zones that you intend to flood, or the areas? 12 Define the areas? A 13 Yeah, just --Q 14 А The north half of Section 10 and Section 15 2. 16 Does Shell intend to use the Argo No. Q 8 17 Well as a type log for the -- for defining the vertical 18 limits of the --19 Yes. A 20 Q Your separation between Zones 2 and 3 in 21 the Blinebry, is that continuous across the field? 22 A We've got core data in five wells and 23 we've correlated that to resistivity log response and you 24 can carry that tight zone across the entire field. Some 25 areas it's, you know, quite a bit higher resistivity than it

FORM 25CI6P3 TOUL FAEE IN CALIFORMIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

NONA

41 1 it is in other places but we're correlating that with porosity and since resistivity doesn't read porosity, it's just 2 3 kind of a qualitative measurement. 4 But all of the core data that we have of the five wells show a 20 to 40 feet thick dense zone between 5 6 those two formations, or between those two zones. 7 Q Did you encounter any clear gas-bearing 8 zones in the Drinkard? 9 Α No. 10 That's all I have. Q 11 MR. CATANACH: Are there any 12 other questions of this witness? 13 MR. PEARCE: If I may briefly. 14 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. PEARCE: 17 0 Mrs. Corder, you've presented evidence 18 and testimony relating to Exhibits Thirteen, Fourteen, Fif-19 teen, and Sixteen. Were those exhibits prepared under your 20 direction and supervision or compiled under that direction 21 and supervision? 22 А Yes. 23 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, at 24 this time I would move the admission of Shell Western Exhi-25 bits One through Sixteen.

0

ARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

42 1 MR. CATANACH: Exhibits One through Sixteen will be admitted as evidence. 2 3 MR. PEARCE: Thank you. DOUG BURBANK, 5 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 6 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 7 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PEARCE: 10 11 Q All right, sir, at this time for the record would you please state your name and place of employ-12 ment? 13 14 А My name is Doug Burbank. I'm a reservoir engineer employed by Shell Western. My primary areas of re-15 16 sponsibility are West Texas and New Mexico. 17 Okay, Mr. Burbank, have you appeared be-0 18 fore the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division and had your 19 credetials as a reservoir engineer accepted and made a matter of record? 20 21 Α No, sir, I have not. 22 All right, sir, at this time I'd ask for Q you to go through your education beginning at undergraduate 23 24 degree and your work experience, please. 25 А I graduated from Iowa State University in

43 1 1981. That same year I began work for Shell in Houston. 2 My first three and a half years I spent 3 as a production engineer working on Shell's Denver Unit CO2 4 and the next two and a half years I worked as a re-Project 5 servoir engineer in various assignments in West Texas and 6 New Mexico. 7 Q All right, sir, and how long have you 8 worked on the area we're discussing today? 9 Α I've been assigned to the Northeast Drin-Unit fo the past year and have coordinated the activi-10 kard 11 ties between various groups within Shell. 12 Q And are you familiar with the request 13 that Shell Western is making at the hearing today for pool 14 creation, statutory unitization, and waterflood permission? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, at 17 this time I would tender the witness as an expert in petro-18 leum reservoir engineering. 19 MR CATANACH: He is so quali-20 fied. 21 All right. Mr. Burbank, at this time I'd 0 22 like for you to go through a little of the history of the 23 area under dicussion today for us. 24 Α The field was discovered in 1944 Okay. 25 with the drilling of the Gulf Vivian No. 1, as indicated on

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOC-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOC-227-0120

44 1 Exhibit One. 2 Most of the drilling activity occurred 3 between 1948 and 1958 when the field was drilled to 40-acre spacing. 5 Commingling of the Blinebry, Tubb, and 6 Drinkard began in the mid-seventies and has continued to 7 present. 8 The cumulative production in our unit 9 area from the Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard formations, has 10 been about 27-million barrels of oil and a little over 400 11 BCF of gas. 12 The current production from the unit area 13 is about 550 barrels of oil a day and 16-million cubic feet 14 of gas a day. 15 The -- we estimate that the field has 16 produced about 90 percent of the primary production. There 17 has been no significant infill drilling in the last twenty 18 years; therefore we feel that the well spacing has been 19 adequate to recover the primary production in our unit area. 20 All right, sir, at this time I'd refer 0 21 you to what we've marked as Exhibit Number Seventeen to this 22 proceeding and would you discuss that exhibit for the 23 Examiner and those in attendance? 24 Exhibit Seventeen summarizes the activity А 25 in the area, both past and present.

45 1 Our proposed unit area is indicated in 2 the green shaded area. I'd like to point out that there is a tract in the southeast corner of the unit, Tract 31, --3 Let's for simplicity refer back to what I Q 5 believe we marked as Exhibit Number Two to this proceeding, 6 and that indicates the tract we're discussing at Tract 31. 7 Tract when Α 31, the unitization proceedings with the working interest owners was started, 8 9 was owned by Mobil. It has since been purchased by Bison 10 Petroleum. Bison Petroleum has recently indicated to us 11 that they do not want to include Tract 31 in the unit and 12 Shell is agreeable to that. 13 Do you -- we'll cover some more of Q this 14 later, but do you believe that Tract 31 can be excluded from 15 the proposed unitization without substantially affecting the 16 operations of the unit as you plan them? 17 Yes А 18 All right. 0 Are there other tracts owned 19 now by Bison Petroleum which they have ratified into the 20 unit? 21 Α Yes. Tract 27, as indicated on Exhibit 22 Two, is also owned by Bison Petroleum and they have agreed 23 to leave that tract in the unit and we've agreed to unitize 24 that tract. 25 Q All right, sir.

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

FORM 25CIGP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

BARON

46 1 A Now if I continue with my discussion of Exhibit Seventeeen, there's a dotted outline on there of an 2 3 ARCO proposed unit that was begun in the 1970's, an area 4 which received orders but -- but was never unitized. 5 There is one -- two existing waterflood 6 areas indicated on the map, the Central Drinkard Unit to the 7 southwest, and the Warren Unit indicated to the north. 8 The Central Drinkard Unit, which I will 9 discuss a little bit later, was used as an analog for 10 predicting the secondary recovery from the proposed 11 Northeast Drinkard Unit. To the west Amoco has a proposed North 12 13 Drinkard Unit and they are proceeding as we are to try to 14 unitize the Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard formations. 15 To the east is a Conoco proposed East 16 Blinebry Unit that is paralleling our efforts but has since 17 been delayed or put on the back shelf. 18 Anything else with regard to Exhibit 0 19 Seventeen? 20 No. А 21 All right, let's move to Exhibit Number 0 22 Eighteen and could you describe for us the information 23 reflected on that exhibit? 24 About four years ago Shell began А Okay. 25 an in-house study of the secondary recovery potential in

47 1 this unit area and the first thing that Shell looked at was 2 the pressures in the Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard. 3 And, as you can see from Exhibit 4 Eighteen, the pressures in the Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard 5 on the SWEPI leases in the early 1960's, there was a 6 significant difference in pressure but due to the comming-7 ling in the mid-seventies the pressure within those three 8 zones has equalized. 9 So we believe that this constitutes, the 10 three zones, Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard, constitute a com-11 mon source of supply of oil and gas. 12 0 Okay, you mentioned that approximately 13 four years ago SWEPI began to look at alternatives in that 14 area. Could you now refer to Exhibit Number Nineteen and 15 discuss some of the alternatives that were considered? 16 Shell, in considering the waterflood po-А 17 tential of these three zones looked at different alterna-18 tives to waterflooding the Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard 19 zones. 20 Alternative one, shown on Exhibit Nine-21 teen, was to build a common water injection plant and have 22 common injectors for the Blinebry and Drinkard formations 23 but to unitize the two formations separately. 24 That would have required drilling an ad-25 ditional 52 wells and required duplicate production facili-

ties to separate the Blinebry and Drinkard oil production,
and we indicate that the profit before Federal income tax is
a negative \$20,000,000 for that alternative.

We also looked at another alternative 5 that would be to unitize the Blinebry formation and put in 6 injection facilities, production facilities just for the 7 Blinebry formation, and use all the existing wells for 8 Elinebry use and the profit before Federal income tax is 9 approximately a negative \$10,000,000 because you do not have 10 the -- you lose the secondary reserves associated with the 11 Drinkard formation in that alternative.

Alternative three was to use the existing wells to flood the Drinkard formation and that alternative nets a negative \$35,000,000 profit and again you would lose the secondary potential in the Blinebry formation.

So Shell concluded that the optimum unit
interval would be to include the Blinebry, Tubb, and
Drinkard formations into one common injection interval.

19 Q All right, once you reached that initial
20 conclusion, what steps did Shell Western take?

21 A Shell then called a working interest
22 owners meeting of the owners in the unit area and that was
23 in October of 1984.

24 Q Let's look at Exhibit Twenty and describe25 that for us, please.

1 Shortly after the first working interest А 2 owners meeting was called they formulated a technical com-3 mittee charge which is shown on Exhibit Twenty, and that 4 charge included defining an optimum unit area, to define an 5 optimum unit vertical interval, to develop unitization para-6 meters to be used for a participation formula, and to deve-7 lop a water flood plan that included an oil recovery fore-8 cast investment, and economic evaluation. 9 0 All right, sir, you set in the charge, or 10 someone did, what's the next step in the story? 11 Let's look at Twenty-one and Twenty-two, 12 please. 13 Okay. The charge was fulfilled with the А 14 acceptance by the working interest owners of the technical 15 committee reports. 16 Exhibit Twenty-one is Part I of the tech-17 nical report, called Unit Area Vertical Interval to be uni-18 tized and Unitizatio Parameters by Tract for the Proposed 19 Blinebry-Drinkard Unit, Lea County, New Mexico. 20 And Part I fulfilled the first three 21 charges as defined on Exhibit Twenty, and Part II is the 22 Waterflood Plan and Economics for the Proposed Blinebry-23 Drinkard Unit, Lea County, New Mexico, and that fulfilled 24 the final item for the technical committee charge. 25 Q All right, sir, at this time could you

49

## NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 FORM 25CIGP3 SARON

discuss for us the unitization parameters, please, and I'd
refer you to Exhibit Number Twenty-three.

A As I mentioned in Part I of the technical committee report unitization parameters were tabulated for each tract in the unit area and those unitization parameters are for oil and gas, the current production from June of '84 to May of '85, the cumulative production through May of 1985, the remaining primary reserves after may of 1985, and the ultimate primary recovery.

10 Q Would you describe for us how those unit-11 ization parameters were utilized?

12 Α The unitization parameters were used to 13 formulate a participation formula to be used in the unit 14 area and in early 1987 several working interest owners meet-15 ings were called to negotiate our participation formula, adn 16 the working interest owners felt that a 2-phase formula and 17 the 2-phase formula -- 2-phase formula for oil and 2-phase 18 formula for gas should be used, and Exhibit Twenty-Four de-19 tails those participation formulas that were developed by 20 the working interest owners.

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

25C16P3

LORM

NOWN

I'll go through each of the phases andwhat each of the formulas mean.

23 The Phase 1 oil formula was developed by
24 the working interest owners to try to reflect their remain25 ing primary oil production share of the unit and also to

maintain their current income, so the participation formula in Phase I oil was agreed to be 25 percent of each tract's share of current oil production plus 75 percent of each tract's share of remaining primary oil reserves, and that formula is in effect until the remaining primary oil reserves are produced from the unit area after May of 1985, and that amounts to about 2.3-million barrels of oil.

Now after 2.3-million barrels of oil had
been produced from the unit area, then Phase II oil would go
into effect and this Phase II formula was developed to try
to reflect equal tract share of secondary recoverable -secondary recovery potential in the area.

Now, I won't go into -- I'll go a little later into how the secondary recovery forecast was developed and the analog used, but I'll say right now that the secondary recovery potential is a ratio with the ultimate primary production from each -- from the unit area, and therefore the Phase II oil was based 100 percent on each tract's share of ultimate primary production.

Now, the gas phase I formula, the working
interest owners wanted to insure that they would get their
share of the remaining primary gas reserves, and therefore
the Phase I formula was based on 100 percent of each tract's
share of remaining primary gas reserves and the technical
committee estimated that approximately 72 BCF remained of

1 primary gas reserves; therefore the Phase I gas formula will 2 be in effect until 72 BCF have been produced from the unit 3 area after May of 1985. Now, in case we underestimated the gas 5 reserves available from the unit area there was a Phase II 6 formula that would be in effect after the Phase I gas formu-7 la, and that is based on 100 percent of a tract's share of 8 ultimate primary gas production. 9 Now, if you refer to Exhibit Twenty-five, 10 this will more concisely how the participation formula 11 works. I've indicated on the top the unitization parameters 12 from Tract 5 for oil and gas, the current production of the 13 remaining primary, and ultimate primary oil for Tract 5 and 14 for the unit, and the remaining primary and ultimate primary 15 gas for Tract 5 and for the unit. 16 The Phase I oil participation is 25 per-17 cent of that tract's share of current production, which is 18 20,000 barrels over 272,000 barrels plus 75 percent of that 19 tract's share of remaining primary, which is 162 over 2285. 20 Adding those two fractions together, 21 Tract 5's unit participation is 7.2 percent. 22 Q And that participation factor will be in 23 effect until 2.3-million barrels have been produced from the 24 unit area. 25 The Phase II oil formula is 100 percent

NATIONWIDE 400-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOD-227-2434

FORM 25CIGP3

ARON

1 of that tract's share of ultimate primary and that equates 2 to 7.9 percent, so that will be in effect after the Phase I 3 oil.

Phase I gas is that tract's share of re-5 maining primary, 8.8 percent, and Phase II gas is that 6 tract's share of ultimate primary gas recovery, 7.2 percent. 7 0 All right, Mr. Burbank, besides, I sup-8 pose, keeping a number of accountants very busy for the next 9 number of years as a result of this formula, do you believe 10 -- it it your petroleum engineering opinion that this for-11 mula is a fair and equitable basis to distribute proceeds from production in this unit and has it been agreed to by 12 the vast majority of working interest, royalty interest own-13 14 ers and overriding royalty interest owners in the unit area? 15

16 Thank you. All right, sir, let's turn to 0 17 what we've marked as Exhibit Number Twenty-six, please, and 18 would you describe what that is?

Yes.

Α

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 400-227-2434

2501693

FORM

NONAB

19 Α Okay, Exhibit Twenty-six we refer to as 20 the AFE package and this package was sent to all working in-21 terest owners along with the unit agreement and unit operat-22 ing agreement.

23 And in this package it details the in-24 vestment required for the unitization. It details the fut-25 ure operating costs associated with the unit. It gives a

54 1 remaining primary forecast and a predicted secondary recovery forecast for the unit, and it also gives the facilities 2 3 diagrams for flow lines and production lines for the unit area. 5 Could we take a moment and look at the Q 6 production forecast contained in that exhibit, please. 7 A Okay, there's two production forecasts 8 contained in Exhibit Twenty-six. 9 Q Excuse me, if I may, just a moment. 10 MR. PEARCE: For the Examiner, 11 those are graphical representations perhaps 2/3rds of the 12 way back into the package. 13 The first graph is a graph of the remain-A 14 ing primary oil production from the unit area and the tech-15 nical committee predicts that the remaining primary oil pro-16 duction for May of '85 to depletion is approximately 2.3-17 million barrels. 18 And adding that to the cumulative oil 19 production through May of '85, gives an ultimate primary oil 20 production of a little over 29-million barrels of oil. 21 Now the next page is the secondary recov-22 ery forecast developed by the technical committee and I will 23 go into more detail on how this was formulated. 24 The technical committee used the Central 25 Drinkard Unit as an analog for predicting their secondary

800.8 NATIONWIDE FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 TOLL 25C16P3 PORM

NONA

1 oil recovery potential. 2 The Central Drinkard Unit, I'll point 3 out, is located to the southwest of our proposed unit area 4 on Exhibit One. 5 Q Do you recall how long that unit's been 6 in operation? 7 Α The Central Drinkard Unit started waterflooding in the mid-sixties so they have over twenty years 8 9 of waterflooding experience. 10 They predict that they will recover a 11 volume of secondary oil equal to half of their predicted primary production, so you have a secondary to primary ratio 12 13 of 0.5, and that is what the Northeast Drinkard technical 14 committee used to estimate the production, secondary produc-15 tion from the unit area, so from the first graph I showed 16 you, 29.4-million barrels of primary production times 0.5, 17 we estimate that the ultimate secondary oil production will 18 be 14.7-million barrels fromour unit. 19 Let's look at the first couple of pages 0 20 of the AFE and would you indicate the expected investment 21 costs of this project, please. 22 A Okay, the initial investment associated 23 with the Northeast Drinkard Unit is approximately \$18.6-mil-24 lion. 25 The -- there's a summary of economics

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

25CIGP3

FORM

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

shown on the third page, which shows the initial investment
of \$18.7-million, an ultimate investment of \$24-million and
a -- which yields a profit of 174 percent.

Q Okay, let's turn now to what we've marked
as Exhibit Number Twenty-seven to this proceeding and could
you describe that, please, for the Examiner and those in attendance?

8 A Exhibit Twenty-seven is the proposed
9 flood plan for the Northeast Drinkard Unit. Indicated on
10 here by blue circles are water source wells. We plan on us11 ing San Andres water for our injection needs at the Drink12 ard, Northeast Drinkard Unit.

The yellow circles are gas wells which
are interspersed throughout the unit. There are twenty gas
wells.

16 The red circles are oil wells and the17 blue triangles are our water injection wells.

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

FORM 25CIGP3

18 flood pattern is a 5-spot injection The 19 pattern and I'd like to point out a couple of areas on this 20 flood map where we plan to co-op' with bordering units. 21 Around the southwest side of Section 14 we have three injec-22 tion wells along the unit boundary, which we plan on co-op-23 ing with the J. R. Cone lease, and not shown on this map but 24 on the north border Wells 109 and 114, we plan on converting 25 to injectors and co-oping with the Warren Unit to the north

57 1 of our unit. 2 Q All right, sir, anything further on Exhi-3 bit Twenty-seven? 4 I'd like to introduce Exhibits Twen-Α NO. 5 ty-eight and Twenty-nine, which are listings of the proposed 6 gas wells and the proposed injection wells in our unit area. 7 It gives the current well and lease name, 8 the future unit well designation and a location of those 9 particular wells. 10 0 Okay. And those are the dots reflected 11 on Exhibit Twenty-seven, is that correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 All right. 0 Thank you. Now, sir, if you 14 would, let's turn to what we've marked as Exhibit Number 15 Thirty and could you describe that exhibit for the Examiner 16 and those in attendance? 17 А Okay. In order to include the Blinebry, 18 Tubb, and Drinkard into our unitized interval, we had to de-19 velop some special rules and regulations for the now named 20 North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool, so we 21 combined the three existing pools into a new North Eunice 22 Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool and I'd like to go 23 through some of these particular pool rules. 24 I'll start with Rule No. 3, which says, 25 that the acreage may be simultaneously dedicated to a gas

\_

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120 IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 FALE 101 2501693 FORM

ARON

58 well and an oil well in the North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-1 Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool, thereby receiving separate oil 2 3 and gas allowables. Q All right, let me interrupt for a moment. 5 When Mrs. Corder was on the stand I asked if the oil and the 6 gas wells would be separate wellbores. Will that occur? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And on that basis do you believe that 9 simultaneous dedication of acreage within a pool will not in 10 effect simultaneously deplete the same zones in that pro-11 posed pool? 12 That was awful. 13 А I'm not sure I understand the question. 14 Q I'm not sure I do, Mr. Burbank. Let me 15 try again, please. 16 Do you believe that a gas well in the 17 proposed pool with 160 acres dedicated will deplete the same 18 zones within the pool that are being depleted by oil wells 19 on the same 160 acres? 20 A No. 21 0 Thank you, sir. On that basis do you be-22 lieve that it is sound engineering principle to allow simul-23 taneous dedication of acreage within the proposed North 24 Eunice Blinebry-Tubb and Drinkard Oil Pool to oil wells and 25 gas wells at the same time?

00-11-1-0120

NATIONWIDE

IN CALIFORNIA BOD-227-2434

TOLL FREE

2501673

FORM

ARON

59 1 Yes. A 2 All right. Thank you, Q sir. Now let's look, if we could, to proposed Rule No. 4. 3 А Rule No. 4 states that any acreage with-5 in the North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool 6 shall not be assigned to a gas well proration unit if the 7 acreage is located within 1,320 feet of the North Eunice 8 Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Pool boundary, and 2) such acreage is 9 not contiguous to offset non-unit gas proration unit. 10 Okay, looking back, if you would, please, Q 11 to Exhibit Number Twenty-seven, do you find yellow spots in-12 dicating proposed gas wells which do not meet the conditions 13 set forth in proposed Rule No. 4? 14 А Yes, there are three gas wells shown on 15 Exhibit Twenty-seven that do not meet the new pool rules and 16 those particular wells are Wells 409, 510, and 201. 17 409 is a well in Tract ll. 510 is a well 0 18 in Tract 13. What was the other number? 201, and that's a 19 well reflected as being in Tract Number 5. Is it Shell 20 Western's proposal to return during a subsequent hearing to 21 seek exception to these proposed pool rules and allow others 22 to present their opinions with regard to that matter? 23 Α Yes. 24 С Okay. Anything further on proposed Rule 25 No. 4, Mr. Burbank?

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO 227:2434 NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

60 1 A NO. 2 All right, let's look, 0 if you would 3 please, at proposed Rule No. 5. А Proposed Rule No. 5 reads, any well with-5 in the North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool 6 designated as a gas well shall be subject to the gas prora-7 tion rules set forth in Commission Order No. R-8170, as 8 amended for the Blinebry Oil and Gas Pool or Tubb Oil and 9 Gas Pool, or both, as appropriate. 10 In effect what that states is that the 11 gas produced from our unit gas wells will be prorated under 12 the existing proration rules in the Blinebry and Tubb 0i1 13 and Gas Pools. 14 All right, sir, let's look at proposed 0 15 Rule No. 7 at this time. 16 А The proposed Rule No. 7 reads, the limit-17 ing gas/oil ratio for oil wells in the North Eunice Bline-18 bry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool shall be 6000 cubic feet 19 of gas per barrel of oil. 20 Q And 6000-to-1 is the current gas/oil 21 ratio for the -- one of the three current pools, is that 22 correct? 23 Yes, the Drinkard --A 24 All right, sir. Q 25 -- Pool. A

BARON FORM 25C18P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

61 1 А There are two wells within the unit area that when this rule becomes effective will produce more gas 2 3 than they are now because they are limited under current Blinebry and Tubb gas/oil ratios of 4000 and 2000. 4 Those particular wells are the Exxon New Mexico State V No. 5 3 and 6 the Shell Western State Section 15 No. 1. 7 With the introduction of a higher gas/oil 8 ratio in the unit, we estimate that the gas production will 9 increase by only 27 MCF a day by raising the casinghead gas production limit from these two wells. 10 11 Q Let's look now, if we could, please, sir, at proposed Rule No. 8. 12 13 Α Rule No. 8 states that commingling in the wellbore of production from oil zones and gas zones in the 14 North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool is pro-15 16 hibited. 17 And, finally, Rule No. 9 states that the 18 gas volumes from our unit gas wells will be reported in the 19 current Blinebry and Tubb oil and gas proration schedules. 20 Q And has Shell Western discussed these re-21 porting requirements with the Division staff members respon-22 sible for natural gas prorationing? 23 A Yes. 24 Okay. Anything else with regard to Exhi-Q 25 bit Number Thirty, the proposed special pool rules?

AARON FORM 250,843 TOLLFREEN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 MATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

~

1 Α No. 2 Q All right, sir, let's look, if we could, please, at Exhibit Number Thirty-one to this proceeding and 3 4 if you could describe that exhibit for the Examiner and those in attendance. 5 6 Exhibit Number Thirty-one is a C-Α Okay. 7 108, which is the Application for Authorization to Inject, 8 and if I may, I'll just walk through this package and describe what we've included in here. 9 10 The first several pages are a listing of 11 injection wells in the unit and on there the proposed 12 describes the location of those wells; the casing and depth; 13 the sacks of cement used to cement the casing; the top of 14 cement in each of the -- on the production strings; and our 15 proposed tubing and packer assembly used for injection 16 purposes. 17 Now along with that table the next set of 18 papers in this packet are schematics of those particular 19 injection wells, and the data on that first section is 20 repeated on all of these schematic diagrams. 21 The next section describes some of the 22 data required on the C-108 form. 23 Our proposed average injection rate is 24 approximately 1350 barrels of water per day per well. The 25 maximum injection rate will be approximately 2000 barrels

62

250.1893 100.1. FREE IN CAUFORNIA 800.227.2434 NATIONWIDE 800.227.0.20

FORM

ARON

63 1 per day. 2 We propose a closed injection system. 3 The average injection pressure will be 1000 psi and the 4 maximum injection pressure will be approximately 1200 psi, 5 not to exceed the .2 psi per foot to top perforations 6 limitation. 7 The source water that we plan on using 8 comes from the San Andres formation and the analysis is 9 attached later on but why don't I continue by describing 10 this map that we have included in this package. 11 The map has highlighted our unit area in yellow and a blue is the area of review as required by the 12 13 C-108 form. 14 Just for clarification, how did you Q 15 arrive at the area of review? 16 The area of review requires a one-half A 17 mile radius around each injection well and rather than 18 drawing a circle around each injection well, we decided to 19 take a -- a quarter mile distance around the proposed unit 20 area as the area of review. 21 And because all of our injection wells 22 are located two locations inside of our unit, that quarter 23 mile around the unit area fulfills the requirement of a half 24 mile within our injection . 25 Now, for those wells in the area of

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434

FORM 25CIGP3

NONA

review we have tabulated all of the locations, 1 names, and completion schematics of those wells with the top of 2 the 3 cement of the production string indicated. I'd like to point out that of those wells 5 in the area of review there are two wells where the top of 6 cement is below our proposed injection interval. Those two 7 wells are the Chevron Eubank No. 8 and the Meridian Doron 8 No. 3. 9 The Meridian Doron No. 3 is located in 10 Section 10 and the Chevron Eubank No. 8 is located in 11 Section 22. 12 We plan on contacting these operators and insuring that there is cement across their 13 injection 14 interval prior to commencing with injection in that area. 15 Following the table of wells in the area 16 of review we have included schematics of all the plugged and 17 abandoned wells in our -- in our unit area, and reviewing 18 all the schematis we have insured safe protection of 19 the injection water in these wells. 20 Following the schematics of the plugged 21 wells we have attached a water analysis of the San Andres 22 source water we plan on using plus an analysis of the 23 Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard waters and our chemical 24 engineers have indicated that the source water is compatible 25 with our produced water.

800-227-0120

NATIONWIDE

IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

FREE 1011

250-643

FORK
1 Also in the unit area we have taken water 2 samples from fresh water sources in the area. We searched 3 the State Engineer's Office for sources of fresh water and the only sources of fresh water in the area were surface 5 alluvium deposits and we have attached three samples from 6 throughout the unit of that fresh water. 7 Q Mr. Burbank, obviously an extensive 8 amount of work has gone into the preparation of the C-108 9 and attachments. In conducting the study relative to this 10 matter the geologic and engineering data indicated in that 11 exhibit, have you found any evidence of open faults or other 12 hydrologic connection between the proposed injection zone 13 and any underground source of drinking water? 14 А No. 15 Okay. I would ask you now, sir, to refer 0 16 to what we've marked as Exhibit Number Thirty-two to this 17 proceeding, and tell the Examiner and those in attendance 18 what's reflected on that exhibit? 19 Exhibit Thirty-two is the certified re-A 20 turn receipts from sending out the C-108 form to all surface 21 owners and offset operators. 22 That was sent out on September 8th. 23 Q All right, sir. In summary, sir, I would 24 ask you to refer please to what we've marked as Exhibit Num-25 ber Thirty-three to this proceeding and describe --

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

TOLL FREE

2501693

LORM

A ROW

65

1 Å This --2 Go ahead. Q 3 Α Exhibit Thirty-three are three 4 applications. 9230, the contractino of exisitng pools, 5 creation of new pool. 9231, statutory unitization. And 6 9232, the waterflood. 7 9230 is summarize in order to accomplish 8 this pool creation it will be necessary to contract the pre-9 sent boundaries of the Blinebry Oil and gas Pool, Tubb Oil 10 and Gas Pool, and Drinkard Pool by eliminating from those 11 pools the acreage to be included within the North Eunice 12 Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool. 13 The Applicant prays that the Division en-14 ter its order creating a new pool named the North Eunice 15 Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool, contracting the 16 present boundaries of the Blinebry Oil and Gas Pool, the 17 Tubb Oil and Gas Pool, and the Drinkard Pool, to allow ac-18 reage presently in those pools to be included within the 19 North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool, desig-20 nating certain wells as gas wells and adopting the special 21 pool rules attached hereto as Exhibit A as the rules govern-22 ing the North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas 23 Pool, all for the purpose of prevention waste of natural re-24 sources and protecting the correlative rights of interest 25 owners within the area of the proposed North Eunice Bline

66

ARON

1 bry-Tubb-Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool.

2 Case 9231 states that the approval of the 3 statutory unitization of the Northeast Drinkard Unit is in the best interests of conservation, the prevention of waste, 5 and protection of correlatiave rights; wherefor, Shell Wes-6 tern respectfully requests that the application be set for 7 hearing before the Division Examiner on September 24th, 1987, and after notice and hearing as required by law and 8 9 the rules of the Division, the Division enter its order 10 granting this application.

11 Q All right, sir, and the final application 12 was the application reflected as Exhibit Number Thirty-one 13 to this proceeding and what is being sought in that applica-14 tion, the C-108?

15 A The application calls for authorization
16 to inject and conduct a secondary recovery operation.

17 All right, sir. After studying this pro-0 18 ject and devoting substantial amounts of time and energy to 19 this project, have you formed the professional petroleum re-20 servoir engineering opinion that approval of these three ap-21 plications is in the best interest of conservation of natur-22 al resources, the prevention of waste of natural resources, 23 and the protection of the correlative rights of various in-24 terest owners within this area?

25

NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434

2 BCIGP3

FORM

ARON

Yes.

А

67

68 1 Thank you, sir. Do you have anything Q 2 further at this time? 3 No. A 4 MR. PEARCE: I have nothing 5 further of the witness at this time, Mr. Examiner. I would 6 move the admission of Shell Western Exhibits Seventeen 7 through Thirty-three at this time. 8 MR. CATANACH: Exhibits Seven-9 teen through Thirty-three will be admitted into evidence. 10 There's quite a lot of informa-11 tion, Mr. Pearce. Why don't you give us fifteen, twenty 12 minutes to get our thoughts together. 13 MR. PEARCE: Good. 14 MR. CATANACH: We'll take about 15 a fifteen, twenty minute break. 16 17 (Thereupon a recess was taken.) 18 19 MR. CATANACH: I guess we'll 20 call this hearing back to order at this time. 21 22 CROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. CATANACH: 24 I only have a few questions. Q Mr. 25 Burbank, do you know why Tract 31 was not included or why

\_

FORM 25CISP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

BARON

69 1 Bison didn't want to included in the unit? 2 No, I'm not familiar with why they didn't A 3 want to be in it. 4 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, if it's at assistance at this time let me mark something as Ex-5 6 hibit Number Thirty-four to this proceeding and I may need 7 to get it in by recalling Mr. Goforth. 8 It's a copy of the letter which 9 we received from Bison requesting that that tract be ex-10 cluded, and for those who did not receive copies, the con-11 cluding sentence of that brief letter is, this tract is on 12 the edge of the productive limits and is not likely to pro-13 duce any economic secondary production. 14 I have not made an independent 15 investigation to determine whether or not Shell Western 16 agrees with that analysis, but that certainly was the posi-17 tion of Bison and on that basis and since, as the witness 18 testified, he did not believe he affected the operations of 19 the unit, we agreed to exclude that acreage. 20 MR. CATANACH: Okay. 21 Q Mr. Burbank, do you have a time frame on 22 when you think Phase II oil and gas are going to go into 23 effect? 24 А Yes. If you'll refer ot Exhibit Twenty-25 which is an AFE package, turn to the first table, wich Six,

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOD-227-2434

250(6P3

FORM

NATIONWIDE \$00-227-0120

-

70 1 the fifth page, is we estimate that Phase II oil 2 participation will begin in mid-1993. 3 And we do not expect Phase II gas 4 participation to ever be in effect. The reason for that is 5 we feel we will recover the remaining primary gas but we will not get any incremental gas production and Phase I 6 is 7 in effect until primary gas production is depleted. 8 Do you have any knowledge of -- of any of Q 9 your interest owners who -- who have had any problems with 10 yoiur allocation formulas? 11 Α No. 12 No one has sent any opposition to those? Q 13 A No. 14 Were Q those contained in the unit 15 agreement? 16 А Yes. 17 Q You said you had -- you were planning to 18 co-op with Conoco, I believe, and Cone, two parts of the 19 waterflood. Do you already have agreements in place with 20 those two parties 21 А No, we do not. We plan on pursuing those 22 after unitization. 23 Q Okay, probably before you start 24 waterflooding (not clearly understood)? 25 A Yes.

BARON FORM

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434

2501693

-

71 1 Referring to your Exhibit Thirty-one, Q the Form C-108, looking at your offset wells or wells within 2 3 the area of review, I notice that you have cement tops and some are listed as temperature survey tops. 5 A Uh-huh. 6 0 Did you -- how did you determine the 7 other cement tops on these wells? 8 Α The cement tops were calculated using a 9 25 percent loss and that was based on data available from 10 the temperature surveys. 11 All right, you further stated that Q the 12 only fresh water in the area that you have found was in the 13 surface alluvium. Do you have any depths that that fresh 14 water is encountered in here? 15 I don't have any available with me, Α but 16 it is, I believe all of the water is less than 150 feet 17 deep. 18 Does the fresh water, as far as you know, 0 19 extend throughout the field? 20 А From a map of all of the wells that were 21 -- had been drilled for fresh water in the unit area, most 22 of the unit area probably has some surface alluvium water 23 under it. But it was very difficult to find wells that were 24 active form those records, so we -- we attempted to get as 25 many fresh water samples as we could in the area.

\_

FORM 25CI6P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

ADAA

72 1 Q Okay, and your proposed waterflood operations will protect that fresh water in that area. 2 3 Α Yes. 4 O Okay. 5 6 QUESTIONS BY MR. LYON: 7 0 Mr. Burbank, referring to Exhibit Nine-8 teen, I guess that is Exhibit Nineteen, is --9 PEARCE: It may take MR. us just a moment, please, Mr. Examiner -- I'm sorry, Mr. Lyon. 10 11 All right. 12 Can you explain why you state in the Q al-13 ternatives two and three that you would have lost primary of 14 secondary (unclear) recovery reserves in the case of alter-15 native two and lost Blinebry and Tubb reserves in alterna-16 tive three? 17 A Those alternatives were looking at just 18 separate zone floods, so alternative two was -- we use all 19 the existing wells to flood the Blinebry and we don't flood 20 the Drinkard, and if we just try to flood the Blinebry, we 21 don't make any money. We have a negative profit. There-22 fore, you can conclude that if you had to drill another 50 23 wells plus in order to try to develop the Drinkard, that 24 definitely would not be profitable. 25 So when you just look at the alternative

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BDC-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 400-237-0120

FORM ZECIERS

73 of flooding the Blinebry and that's not profitable, 1 the 2 Drinkard will not be profitable either, and therefore you 3 would not pursue secondary recovery operations. Q But you're not -- are you saying that flooding one zone precludes any flooding of the other zones? 5 6 Economically. A 7 Are you looking at just a given time 0 8 period or you're saying that if you elect to flood one zone 9 individually, that you could never flood the other ones. 10 Α Okay, within a given time frame the 11 economics currently are not attractive to go after the secondary reserves in the Drinkard. I guess that's what 12 13 we're trying to say there. 14 Okay. Now, in Exhibits Twenty-four and C 15 Twenty-five, you have separate phases for oil and gas. Now, 16 under which one of those does casinghead gas come? 17 A It goes under gas, Phase I. 18 It comes under gas, so you're not dealing Q 19 strictly with the gas wells as such in that parameter. 20 A Right. 21 Q If you don't ever expect to enter into 22 Phase II in the gas phase, why do you have it? 23 Α It was -- it was developed so that in 24 case our estimates were low the working interest owners 25 would have another phase based on their ultimate primary gas

\_

FORM ESCIBP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

RARON

74 1 production. 2 So it was just used in case our estimates 3 are low, and instead of based on just what is left, if we 4 underestimated we want the Phase II to be based on their total that has been produced from each tract. 5 6 MR. PEARCE: I think the analogy 7 may be a belt and suspenders. 8 0 I have a little problem with some of your 9 nomenclature in your applications, right there on 9230. You refer to all of these things as lots and in the regular sec-10 11 tions they're actually quarter quarter sections, and so you don't have lots within Sections 10, 15, 22, and 23, and lots 12 13 that you refer to by letters are our designation of proration units (not clearly understood). 14 15 I just wanted to nitpick a little. 16 MR. PEARCE: We'll be happy to 17 clean that up, Mr. Examiner. 18 In addition, I would point out 19 that on the application in Case 9230 what's designated as 20 Lots L and M, Section 24, I believe is Tract 31, which is 21 not under consideration at this time. 22 So there are two things we need 23 to clean up on that. 24 Burbank, have you looked at all the Q Mr. 25 wells in the unit area?

 $\overline{}$ 

FORM ESCIEPS TOLLFREE IN CALIFORNIA BOOEET-1434 NATIONWIDE BOOEET-0130

10841

75 1 Yes. А 2 Q Okay, have you looked at the wells imme-3 diately surrounding the unit area? А Yes. 5 I wonder if it would be -- if you would Q 6 be willing to supply us with a map that shows the acreage 7 dedication in the Tubb and Blinebry Pools around the peri-8 meter of the unit so that we can -- can see what acreage is 9 eligible to be assiend to the wells, to the gas wells. 10 А That can be done. 11 Q And if you want a cutoff date, say, ef-12 fective as of the hearing date, because I know those things 13 change from time to time. 14 Are you familiar with your well which on 15 Exhibit Twenty-Seven is designated as Well 204? 16 204? Yes. А 17 Q Did you look a the history on that well? 18 А I don't recall what it is, no. 19 I wondered if you could tell 0 m**e** what 20 zones it was -- it was open in, and what its production his-21 tory might have been. 22 A I don't -- I don't have that data with 23 me, no. 24 it's been awhile since I've looked Q Well, 25 at that well but I wondered if the history on that well is

-

FORM \$\$CIGPS TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

ARON

76 1 consistent with the representation that the top two zones of 2 the Blinebry are gas and that the rest of them are oil. 3 А I don't know. We can investigate that. 4 Q Okay, I wish you would. I believe that's 5 all I have. Thank you. 6 7 QUESTIONS BY MR. LEMAY: 8 Mr. Burbank, you've indicated, I think, Q 9 that -- that there was common source here, implying that 10 there was communication between all zones, at least that's 11 the way I interpreted your statement of common source. 12 Do you believe that's mechanical communi-13 cation or do you believe that there is communication within 14 these reservoirs throughout the interval you want to flood? 15 I think there is communication only in А 16 the wellbores from commingling and not, not any fracture 17 connection or anything, any such connection as that. 18 0 So you would adhere to the theory of your 19 geologist, that these are horizontally segregated zones --20 Α Yes. 21 Q -- by virtue of tight streaks and they 22 are not communicated? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q How about the water, is there water being 25 produced from these various zones?

 $\overline{}$ 

FORM ESCIEP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

NORAL

77 1 А Yes. 2 Which ones? Q 3 A Well, we have water samples from all three zones that we've included in our C-108 application. 4 5 Is this down dip water? Q It's not an active water drive, it's gas solution, I take it. 6 7 No, it's not an active water drive. Ä 8 Q And do both the Blinebry and the Drinkard 9 produce water mainly in the down dip wells, that's zones 10 produced in conjunction with the oil? 11 Α I don't know where the water is produced 12 but it's very minimal in the unit area. 13 Q Minimum amounts of water being --14 A Amounts of water, yes. 15 Q Can you give me a range at all? 16 A I don't know. 17 Q Do you know if the Ogallala carries water 18 in this area? Fresh water? 19 A No, it does not. 20 It's not present in here (unclear)? 0 21 Oh, it's below the cap, okay. You're off 22 the cap here? 23 A Yes. 24 25

-

FORM 25CI6P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

NONA

78 1 2 RECROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. CATANACH: Q Mr. Burbank, in your proposed set of 5 rules, pool rules for the North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-Drink-6 ard Oil and Gas Pool, referring to Rule 5, where it says the 7 District Supervisor shall have authority to classify any 8 well in the pool as a gas well or an oil well, do you have 9 any recommendations -- recommended criteria that we could 10 use to classify a gas well or an oil well? 11 А We had planned on submitting a list of 12 wells to the Division that we wanted classified as qas 13 wells, and those particular wells in our unit area would on-14 ly be completed in the gas zones in the Blinebry and Tubb 15 wells, but we had proposed any sort of GOR, no. 16 So the gas wells that you have listed as 0 17 of now, are those the ones that you intend to keep as gas 18 wells and you don't intend to complete any more gas wells? 19 A Well, at this time the initial plan is to 20 complete those twenty wells as gas wells and I can't predict 21 in the future what we will want to do but of those twenty 22 wells, as I mentioned before, there's three are exceptions 23 to these particular pool rules and we will come back to the 24 Division for exceptions in those cases. 25 Q Okay, and as I understand it, all your

FORM 25CIGP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

NOUV

79 1 producing wells will be open in all three zones? 2 They won't be separated by packers? Is 3 that correct? А No, not in the production wells. 5 Q Okay, your injection wells will be 6 some of them will be segregated by packers, is that right? 7 А We plan to separate injection in the Blinebry and Trubb and Drinkard zones with packers and the 8 9 plan at this time is to use downhole flow regulators to 10 regulate the flow of water into each zone. 11 And how do you intend to distribute 0 the flow into each of the zones? 12 13 А We'll prabably base it on the Phi-H of 14 each well as to how much water goes into each -- to each 15 injection zone. 16 Okay, of the gas wells you have listed, Q 17 are those -- are the majority of those already completed and 18 producing from the gas zone? 19 A No. 20 Q How do you intend or propose to complete 21 these gas wells? 22 We plan to go in and cement squeeze all A 23 the perforations and to go back in and re-perforate in the 24 gas zones and produce from the Tubb and/or Blinebry, we'll 25 have gas production.

MARON FORM 25C-0493 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

FORM 25CIGP3 TOLL FRE IN

80 1 On your application you're seeking Q sort 2 of a blanket approval to downhole commingle the two gas 3 zones. 4 A I guess we hadn't considered a comming-5 ling application at this time. 6 Okay would Shell be willing to -- to fol-Q 7 low standard procedure and file applications for each of 8 these gas wells when they're completed? 9 А Yes. 10 Does anyone else MR. CATANACH: 11 have any questions of this witness? 12 MR. PEARCE: I have one follow-13 up if there are not others. Excuse me just a moment. 14 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. PEARCE: 17 Q One follow-up question, Mr. Burbank. is 18 the unit operator willing to provide the Division and the 19 Hobbs District Office with annualized production numbers al-20 located to the Blinebry, Tubb, and Drinkard reservoirs for 21 historically record keeping purposes in this matter? 22 Yes. А 23 Q Okay. 24 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I --25 at this time I'm inclined not to try to get Exhibit -- what

18 1 I marked as Exhibit Thirty-four into the record. That's the 2 Bison letter. If you would like us to bring on another wit-3 ness and demonstrate that that came from our records and was duly received, we'll do that, but --5 MR. CATANACH: You don't want 6 to enter it into the record? 7 MR. PEARCE: I don't think it's 8 We'll be happy to do it if you would like it as important. 9 an exhibit to this proceeding. 10 MR. CATANACH: That's fine. We 11 don't need to enter that, Mr. Pearce. 12 MR. PEARCE: All right. With 13 that, Mr. Examiner, I have nothing further of this witness. 14 MR. CATANACH: The witness may 15 be excused. 16 MR. PEARCE: A11 right. In 17 conclusion, Mr. Examiner, I would like to hand you at this 18 time two sets of proposed orders in this matter. One is a 19 proposed order creating the North Eunice Blinebry-Tubb-20 Drinkard Oil and Gas Pool, contracting the present Blinebry 21 Oil and Gas Pool, Tubb Oil and Gas Pool, and the Drinkard 22 Pool, and establishing special pool rules for the new pool. 23 One is a statutory unitization 24 order for the Northeast Drinkard Unit and finally, an order 25 approving a waterflood project within this area.

\_

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120 IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434 r acc TOLL 250-693 PORM

82 1 MR. CATNACH: You must have 2 known I was going to ask you for these. 3 MR. PEARCE: And for the 4 record, the lot designation problem has been resolved by 5 numbering four lots in Section 4 by the numbers rather than 6 letters and the property description substitutes quarter 7 quarter section descriptions for the letter number -- letter 8 designated lots down in the application. 9 MR. CATANACH: Okay. Is there 10 anything further in any of these cases, Case 9230, 9231, or 11 9232? 12 If not, they will be taken 13 under advisement. 14 15 (Hearing concluded.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

FORM 25CIGP3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 500-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 500-227-0120

NON A

83
CERTIFICATE
I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.
Sally W, Boyd CSR
0
do hereby certify that the foregoing to
a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of C
neard by me on 19
Oll Consumity , Examiner
Conservation Division

GARON FORM 28CIEPS TOLL PREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120