STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,757

2 11124 PH 3:49

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING MARKS AND GARNER PRODUCTION, LTD., CO. TO PROPERLY PLUG 17 WELLS, AUTHORIZING THE DIVISION TO PLUG SAID WELLS IN DEFAULT OF COMPLIANCE BY MARKS AND GARNER, LTD., CO., ORDERING A FORFEITURE OF APPLICABLE PLUGGING BOND AND ASSESSING CIVIL PENALTIES FOR FALSE PRODUCTION REPORTING, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner

January 10th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, DAVID R. CATANACH, Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, January 10th, 2001, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 1

INDEX	
January 10th, 2002 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 12,757	
	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
STATEMENT BY MR. BROOKS	
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
JANE E. PROUTY (Systems Analyst, OCD)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks	6
Examination by Mr. Bruce	10
Examination by Examiner Catanach	12
<u>JERRY GUY</u> (Compliance Officer and Field Inspector Artesia District Office, District 2, NMOCD)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks	13
Examination by Mr. Bruce	38
Redirect Examination by Mr. Brooks	46
Examination by Examiner Catanach	49
<u>TIM W. GUM</u> (District Supervisor, Artesia District Office, District 2, NMOCD)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks	52
Examination by Mr. Bruce	58
Examination by Examiner Catanach	58
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	68
* * *	

2

Ε	Х	Н	Ι	в	Ι	\mathbf{T}	S
---	---	---	---	---	---	--------------	---

~___

Applicant's	Identified	Admitted
Exhibit 1	7	15
Exhibit 2	7	15
Exhibit 3	7	15
Exhibit 4	7	15
	,	10
Exhibit 5	7	15
Exhibit 6	7	15
Exhibit 7	7	15
Exhibit 8	7	15
Exhibit 9	7	15
Exhibit 10	7	15
Exhibit 11	7	15
Exhibit 12	7	15
Exhibit 13	9	15
Exhibit 14	15	38
Exhibit 15	18	38
Exhibit 16	19	38
	0.0	
Exhibit 17	20	38
Exhibit 18	22	38
Exhibit 19	23	38
Exhibit 20	25	38
Exhibit 21	26	38
Exhibit 22	20	38
Exhibit 23	28	38
Exhibit 24	20	38
EXHIDIC 24	29	50
Exhibit 25	30	38
Exhibit 26	31	38
Exhibit 27	31, 32	38
Exhibit 28	32	38
Exhibit 29	33	38
Exhibit 30	34, 35	38
Exhibit 31	36	38
Exhibit 32	53	58
Exhibit 22	E /	FO
Exhibit 33 Exhibit 34	54 55	58 58
EXHIDIC 34	55	20
	* * *	

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

......

DAVID K. BROOKS Attorney at Law Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Assistant General Counsel 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR MARKS AND GARNER PRODUCTION, LTD., COMPANY:

JAMES G. BRUCE, Attorney at Law 324 McKenzie Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317 4

1	WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at
2	3:17 p.m.:
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, let's call the hearing
4	back to order, and at this time I will call Case 12,757,
5	Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for
6	an order requiring Marks and Garner Production, Ltd., Co.
7	to properly plug 17 wells, authorizing the Division to plug
8	said wells in default of compliance by Marks and Garner,
9	Ltd., Co., ordering a forfeiture of applicable plugging
10	bond and assessing civil penalties for false production
11	reporting, Eddy County, New Mexico.
12	Call for appearances in this case.
13	MR. BROOKS: David Brooks, Energy, Minerals and
14	Natural Resources Department of the State of New Mexico,
15	appearing for the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division.
16	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe,
17	representing Marks and Garner Production, Ltd., Company.
18	MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, I'd like to make a
19	very brief opening statement.
20	As you correctly read, it is styled an
21	Application to plug 17 wells. We're now down to five wells
22	that have not been plugged, and while we currently
23	anticipate that Marks and Garner will take care of those as
24	they have of the others, we will ask for an order to plug
25	those remaining five wells.
1	

However, the main focus of this proceeding at 1 this point is Marks and Garner's production reports, which 2 the Division contends are false, and we believe 3 intentionally so, and we will be asking for significant 4 penalties, based on the evidence we wish to offer. 5 Thank you. 6 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Bruce, any response? MR. BRUCE: I have no opening statement. 8 Okay, Mr. Brooks? 9 EXAMINER CATANACH: MR. BROOKS: I have three witnesses. 10 EXAMINER CATANACH: Will the witnesses please 11 stand to be sworn in? 12 13 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) MR. BROOKS: Call Ms. Prouty. 14 15 JANE E. PROUTY, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 16 her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. BROOKS: 19 State your name for the record, please. 20 Q. 21 Α. Jane Prouty. And by whom are you employed? 22 Q. The Oil Conservation of the State. 23 Α. In what capacity? 24 Q. As a computer -- My title is Computer Operations 25 Α.

6

1	Specialist. I manage the group that works with the C-115s
2	here, the production reporting, I manage the data in our
3	ONGARD system and work with permitting.
4	Q. And you are the person that is in charge of that
5	aspect of the Division's operations, that is, the
6	production reporting and the maintenance of records
7	concerning production reporting?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. I will ask you to look at the exhibit folder. I
10	see you've removed the exhibits as we normally do, and I
11	will ask you to look at Exhibits 1 through 12, and I will
12	ask you to tell us what they are.
13	A. These are C-115 production reports from Marks and
14	Garner for the months of September, 2000, tthrough August
15	of 2001.
16	Q. And were these copied and prepared by you or
17	persons acting under your direction?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. When I say prepared, the copies were prepared.
20	Now, these were sent to the Division by others; they were
21	not prepared by the Division, correct?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Now, there is an exception to the normal manner
24	of preparation in the case of Exhibit Number 6. Let me
25	call your attention to Exhibit Number 6, and can you tell

1	us about Exhibit Number 6, why that is different from all
2	the others?
3	A. Yes, Devin Garner from Marks and Garner was
4	working with sending his files electronically and sent us
5	several test files and then some real files. And this one,
6	this month's, February, 2001, we did not key the C-115; we
7	received the file that he sent us in electronic format and
8	processed that successfully, and that left us with no C-115
9	to look at.
10	So he had faxed us a copy, it's my understanding,
11	for different purposes: to compare the values to make sure
12	that they were accurate and they were at the time it got
13	through our testing procedure but also since we had this
14	copy, I compared it against the actual data we have in our
15	system, and it's 100-percent accurate.
16	So this one is not one we keep. All of the
17	others we did keep.
18	Q. Now, the Examiner will probably appreciate your
19	preparing it in this format rather than asking him to read
20	it.
21	A. He didn't see what you guys saw.
22	Q. Okay. Now, these are copies of the actual
23	with the exception of Exhibit Number 6, which is a
24	facsimile transmission, Exhibits 1 through 5 and Exhibits 7
25	through 12, are those copies of actual reports that were

sent to the Division, presumably by Marks and Garner? 1 2 Α. Yes. And did those have a signature on them that Q. 3 appears to be the signature of Devin Garner, correct? 4 Yes, I see Exhibit 5 doesn't appear to be 5 Α. 6 signed --7 Correct. Q. -- but the others do. And I believe the reason 8 Α. for that -- as I mentioned, I believe he sent two 9 10 unsuccessfully. I believe the January one was one where it may have been received electronically and on paper. 11 Based 12 on the initials at the top, it looks like we keyed it, but I believe his intention was to use a printout representing 13 14 the file he sent. But I think they had some problems with it, so we keyed it. 15 Very good. I will now call your attention to 16 Q. Exhibit Number 13 and ask you to identify it. 17 That's a report of the production of Marks and Α. 18 19 Garner for the well that you asked me to show the production in the system. 20 Is Exhibit Number 13 a summary prepared by the 21 Q. ONGARD system of the data that is recorded on Exhibits 1 22 through 12? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 Now, the operators in the state submit this data Q.

to the OCD in the normal course of business, do they not? 1 2 Α. Yes. And in fact, they are required by OCD rules to do 3 Q. 4 so --5 Α. Yes. -- correct? 6 Q. And that's the only source from which the OCD 7 gets information about production on wells? 8 9 Α. Yes. Have you had contacts with people at Marks and 10 Q. Garner about these reports, Exhibits 1 through 12? 11 Not me personally. I know that one staff member 12 Α. worked with them to help them file electronically. 13 MR. BROOKS: Correct. Okay, I believe that's all 14 I have to offer from this witness. I'll pass the witness. 15 16 MR. BRUCE: I just have a couple of questions. EXAMINATION 17 18 BY MR. BRUCE: 19 0. You said the only one you've spoken with at Marks and Garner is Devin Garner? 20 And I believe I had a conversation with him about 21 Α. electronic filing, but I couldn't even really recall. It 22 would be more than a year ago. I know Andrea Wheeler on 23 our staff worked with him quite a bit, yes. 24 25 Q. Okay.

1	A. And it was Devin Garner, yes.
2	MR. BRUCE: Thank you, that's all I have.
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Just for clarification, Mr.
4	Brooks, which five wells are we seeking to be plugged in
5	this case?
6	MR. BROOKS: That would be the Cave Pool Unit
7	Number 3, 14, 16, 32 and 53.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Now
9	MR. GUM: Mr. Examiner, there has been a C-103
10	approved for these five wells.
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: An intent to P-and-A?
12	MR. GUM: Intent to P-and-A.
13	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Before we get into
14	that, I just want a little bit of background here.
15	With regards to the production reporting, Mr.
16	Brooks, are we dealing with all of these wells?
17	MR. BROOKS: All of the wells, yes. I believe
18	There is one exception, however, because there is one well
19	in which no production was reported, and we assume that to
20	be correct. I believe that's the Mosley Spring. As to all
21	of the wells that are shown on these C-115s, we are dealing
22	with all those wells.
23	EXAMINER CATANACH: And what is the significance
24	of the period that you've chosen to show me here, the
25	September, 2000, through the August, 2001, period?
•	STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR

11

Mr. Examiner, Marks and Garner began MR. BROOKS: 1 reporting wells, or production on wells, in September of 2 2000, on which they had not previously reported production, 3 and that will be shown by Exhibit Number 13, which carries 4 it back to 1997. They reported production on those wells 5 from September, 2000, through August of 2001. We believe 6 7 that those reports were incorrect and that in fact there was no production from those wells during that period. 8 9 That's the reason we have presented all of these C-115s, is 10 to show that they, in fact, did report that production. 11 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, that's what I needed clarification on. 12 13 MR. BROOKS: This is the unusual situation. Usually we're showing the production reports to show that 14 15 they reported that there was no production. In this case we're attempting to show that they did report production. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: 17 Okay. 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: Now, Ms. Prouty, your Exhibits 1 through 12, 20 Q. these are inclusive of all of the wells that this operator 21 operates? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. So -- Okay. And the report -- The last Exhibit, 13, is only 25 Α.

the wells that were, I believe, on the exhibit. 1 EXAMINER CATANACH: Got it all straight now. 2 Thank you, no more questions. 3 MR. BROOKS: You may step down. 4 Call Jerry Guy. 5 6 JERRY GUY, 7 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS: 10 11 0. Would you state your name, please, for the 12 record? Jerry Guy. 13 Α. By whom are you employed? 14 Q. The Oil Conservation Division. 15 Α. And in what office? 16 Q. I'm a compliance officer and field inspector for 17 Α. the Artesia District. 18 And what is the nature of your duties in that 19 Q. capacity? 20 I inspect the wells in the field to maintain 21 Α. complaince. 22 And if you found that a well is not in 23 Q. compliance, do you file a report? 24 Yes, sir, I file a report and follow through to 25 Α.

13

1	bring the well back into compliance.
2	Q. Is there now a procedure in the OCD where those
3	reports are entered into a computer database?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. I'll call your attention to what's been marked as
6	Exhibit Number 14, a copy of which should be in front of
7	you.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Brooks I'm sorry, Mr.
9	Brooks, has Mr. Guy testified before the Division before?
10	MR. BROOKS: I believe he has not.
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: Can we qualify him in terms
12	of his work experience?
13	Q. (By Mr. Brooks) Okay, would you please review
14	your work history, Mr. Guy?
15	A. I've been in the oilfield for roughly 30 years,
16	I've worked in several different capacities as a contract
17	pumper, as a pumper for Enron Oil and Gas, as the field
18	manager of a trucking firm, Rowland Trucking Company, in
19	Carlsbad. And I worked for Byron Jackson as a well
20	cementer and field representative and foreman.
21	Q. And are you personally familiar with the nature
22	of the equipment, surface equipment, that is used in oil
23	and gas wells?
24	A. Iam.
25	Q. And would you be able to tell from looking at an
L	

14

1	oil and gas well whether or not it is equipped so that it
2	can produce?
3	A. Iam.
4	Q. And have you inspected the wells that are the
5	subject matter of this proceeding?
6	A. I have.
7	MR. BROOKS: We tender Mr. Guy as an expert field
8	inspector.
9	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Guy is so qualified.
10	MR. BROOKS: Very good. Call your attention to
11	what's been marked as Exhibit Number 14, which appears
12	Well, before I do that, we'll offer in evidence Exhibits 1
13	through 13 based on Ms. Prouty's testimony.
14	EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits Number 1 through 13
15	will be admitted as evidence.
16	Q. (By Mr. Brooks) Okay, would you identify for us,
17	Mr. Guy, Exhibit Number 14?
18	A. It's a well-status from the RBDMS database,
19	showing well inspections and the findings of those well
20	inspections.
21	Q. There is a column labeled "Comments" on that
22	report.
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. The comments placed on there, are those your
25	comments?
•	

	10
1	A. They are.
2	Q. Were thosee made at or about the date of the
3	inspection as indicated on Exhibit Number 14?
4	A. Yes, sir.
5	Q. And those are reported by the computer, and they
6	are printed out with the dates that those comments were
7	entered into the system?
8	A. That's true.
9	Q. Because I'm going to ask you to compare this
10	exhibit with the other exhibits that will be offered, I
11	will now ask you to look at Exhibits Numbers 15 through 30,
12	and I will ask you Those all appear to be photographs,
13	and I believe the Examiner has for the most part color
14	copies, and Mr. Bruce and myself have xerox copies that are
15	not real distinct, and I believe, Mr. Guy, you have the
16	black-and-white printouts which are somewhere in between,
17	but they're all the same photographs.
18	Were these photographs which you took?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Well, I'll give you a chance to look through them
21	all before you respond.
22	Okay, in a minute I'm going to ask you which
23	specific wells they relate to, but I have some coverall
24	questions to ask you. In each of these cases, were you at
25	the location of the well?
1	

1	A. I was.
2	Q. And you personally took these photographs?
3	A. Yes, sir.
4	Q. And do each of the photographs accurately and
5	fully depict the conditions that you observed when you were
6	at the wells on the date on which you took those
7	photographs?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Now, we'll go through them individually.
10	First of all let me ask you, some of these wells
11	have signs that will enable you to refresh your
12	recollection as to what well they were on. The others, I
13	believe, have some printout information on the bottom of
14	the picture. Now, did you enter these pictures into a
15	computer and send those pictures to me by e-mail?
16	A. I did, sir.
17	Q. And did you give each of those pictures at the
18	time you entered them into the computer an identification
19	code, file name?
20	A. I did.
21	Q. And on most of these, I think you will find those
22	identification codes are either printed out or written on
23	the photograph to enable you to refresh your recollection
24	as to which specific well is depicted.
25	A. That's correct.

17

1	Q. I will ask you first to look at Exhibit Number
2	15. On my copy, the well name is not very distinct on
3	there. Can you read it on your copy?
4	A. It's the Cave Pool Unit Number 1.
5	Q. That's what I thought, but it wasn't real clear.
6	And did you visit the Cave Pool Unit Number 1 location on
7	January 30, 2001?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. And was this picture taken on January 30, 2001,
10	at the Cave Pool Unit location?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. In looking at this picture, what does this
13	indicate about the condition of the well?
14	A. It indicates that the well is not capable of
15	producing, since it has no motor on the pumping unit.
16	Q. If there were a motor, it would be on those three
17	metal flat pieces back behind the pumping unit, correct?
18	A. There are two braces on the rear of the pumping
19	unit, that's where they go.
20	Q. You also have a note here, "Tubing out of hole."
21	Is that depicted on this picture?
22	A. No, sir, it is not.
23	Q. Okay. But that was a condition you observed at
24	the well?
25	A. Yes, sir.

1	Q. Now, are you familiar with the wells in this
2	area?
3	A. Yes, I am.
4	Q. And do they flow or do they have to be pumped?
5	A. No, they have to be pumped.
6	Q. So that it would be a fair inference, then, that
7	if the pump is not capable of operating, the well is not
8	capable of producing?
9	A. That is correct.
10	Q. I call your attention to what has been marked as
11	Exhibit Number 16, and this does not have a well sign, or
12	not one that can be read, but there is a notation on the
13	exhibit up in the upper left-hand corner. Does that
14	indicate to you what Does that refresh your recollection
15	as to what well this is a picture of?
16	A. Yes, sir, it is the CPU or the Cave Pool Unit
17	Number 3.
18	Q. Okay. And does that depict a wellhead with a
19	piece of tubing sticking up out of it, not connected to
20	anything?
21	A. That's correct, sir.
22	Q. Could a well be produced in that condition?
23	A. No, sir.
24	Q. Now, your Exhibit Number 14 indicates that you
25	visited the Cave Pool Unit for inspection on January 30,
•	

1	2001, and again on June 15, 2001, correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. And was the condition of the well as depicted in
4	this photograph basically the same on both of those
5	occasions, or was it different?
6	A. No, it was the same.
7	Q. And do you recall on which occasion you took this
8	photograph?
9	A. No, sir, I don't recall.
10	Q. But the color picture shows the foliage to be
11	rather green, so that would lead to the inference that
12	perhaps it was taken at an inspection in June, correct?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. I ask you to look at what's been marked as OCD
15	Exhibit Number 17 and ask you to identify that.
16	A. This is the Cave Pool Unit Number 12.
17	Q. The sign iis real distinct here.
18	A. Very.
19	Q. And what does that photograph indicate about the
20	Cave Pool Unit Number 12?
21	A. The well is capable of producing, however there's
22	no electrical hookup to the engine. Therefore the engine
23	cannot run.
24	Q. Now, that little box that appears right above the
25	sign, right above the word "Marks"

20

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. Is that the electrical box?
3	A. That's the electrical connection box, yes, sir.
4	Q. Okay. And the circular cable that goes around
5	it, if the well were hooked up, would that be connected to
6	the engine
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q I mean the motor?
9	A. It would be connected to the electrical
10	connection box on the engine, and that's just a flexible
11	conduit for the wire.
12	Q. But in this case it was not?
13	A. No, sir.
14	Q. Now, what is that rod that appears to go from the
15	box appearing above the word "Marks" off to the left?
16	A. That's normally the conduit where the wires run
17	through it to the electrical connection box.
18	Q. And if
19	A. Under normal circumstances that would be in the
20	ground, and it would run to the poles where the electricity
21	initiates.
22	Q. Looking at this picture, does that indicate that
23	there was no hookup of this? Not only was this not hooked
24	up to the well, but it wasn't hooked up to the electric
25	line also?

1	A. That's what it appears, yes, sir.
2	Q. Now, you inspected this on two separate dates six
3	months apart. Did you observe these conditions to exist on
4	both dates?
5	A. I did.
6	Q. Do you recall on which date this picture was
7	taken?
8	A. No, sir, I do not.
9	Q. Thank you. I call your attention to what's been
10	marked as Exhibit Number 18, and it's fairly clear on the
11	picture, but what well is that a picture of?
12	A. That picture is a little dark, but I Cave Pool
13	Unit Number 14.
14	Q. Now, this picture has a date, does it not?
15	A. Yes, sir.
16	Q. And that date is 2-13 of 2001?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. This picture was taken with a camera that records
19	the date?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. Your inspection report indicates that you
22	inspected on 1-30 of '01 and again on 10-16 of '01?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. Now, neither of those dates is 2-13 of '01. How
25	do you explain that?

22

1	A. January 30th was my initial inspection of the
2	entire area. After initially inspecting it, I found out
3	additional information that I needed in order to generate
4	the noncompliance report, and I went back in February and
5	took pictures to make sure to document how I saw the well.
6	I did not document that on this report, because it was in
7	the same condition as I found it on January the 30th.
8	Q. Was it still in the same condition on October the
9	16th?
10	A. Yes, sir, it was.
11	Q. What does this picture depict?
12	A. Just a wellhead with a piece of 2-7/8 pipe
13	sticking out of it with a 2-inch ball valve on the top, no
14	flow lines connected to it, a platform which it would be
15	possible to set a pumping unit on, but there's no pumping
16	unit available.
17	Q. And that was the condition of this well on both
18	January 30 well, on all three dates, January 30, 2001,
19	February 13, 2001, and October 16th?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. Call your attention to OCD Exhibit Number 19 and
22	ask you to identify it.
23	A. That particular well I cannot identify from the
24	picture.
25	Q. Can you identify it by the code written in the

1	upper left-hand corner of the exhibit?
2	A. Yes, sir, it's the Cave Pool Unit Number 16.
3	Q. And once again, this picture bears a date which
4	is not the same as any of the inspections. Did you return
5	and make a photograph on a date when you did not make an
6	inspection?
7	A. That is correct, yes, sir. I went back to verify
8	the status of the well on that date that I had initially
9	established.
10	Q. How many times did you inspect the Cave Pool Unit
11	Number 16?
12	A. Three times.
13	Q. And was there any difference in the condition on
14	those three dates of inspection reflected on Exhibit Number
15	14?
16	A. No, sir.
17	Q. That would have been January 30th, 2001; June
18	12th, 2001; and October 16th, 2001; is that right?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. Now, this depicts what, as far as this wellhead
21	is concerned?
22	A. Casinghead, which is buried in the ground, a
23	2-3/8-inch tubing coming out of it, a 2-inch ball valve on
24	top, no flow lines attached, no equipment available for
25	production.

24

1	Q. What is that box that appears in the picture?
2	A. That's an electrical connection box for a pumping
3	unit. Normally it's attached to an electrical pole, and
4	it's not attached.
5	Q. Was there any pumping unit on the location?
6	A. No, sir.
7	Q. I call your attention to what's been marked as
8	OCD Exhibit Number 20 and ask you to identify it.
9	A. It's the Cave Pool Unit Number 19.
10	Q. And this does not have a date on it. You
11	inspected that twice, correct?
12	A. Yes, sir.
13	Q. Was there any difference in the condition Does
14	this picture accurately reflect the condition of that well
15	at the time that you inspected both times you inspected
16	it?
17	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. And the dates of your inspection are reflected on
19	Exhibit Number 14?
20	A. If I can rephrase that, sir
21	Q. Yes, sir.
22	A the first time I looked at the well, which was
23	on January the 30th, there was a pumping unit available at
24	that time, but there was no engine on that pumping unit.
25	The second time I inspected it, on June the 12th,
L	

1	which is when I would have taken this picture, the pumping
2	unit had been removed and there was nothing there but the
3	rod sticking straight up.
4	Q. When the pumping unit was there, though, it did
5	not have a motor?
6	A. It had no motor.
7	Q. Okay. Call your attention to what's been marked
8	as OCD Exhibit 21 and ask you to identify it.
9	A. I can't read the sign very good on mine.
10	Q. Is there a legend on the lower left-hand corner
11	by which you can identify it?
12	A. Yes, sir, that's the Cave Pool Unit Number 30.
13	Q. And on what dates did you inspect the Cave Pool
14	Unit Number 30?
15	A. The Number 30 was inspected on January the 30th
16	and June the 15th.
17	Q. Now, while that legend enables you to identify
18	it, does the date August 1, '01, appearing in the lower
19	right-hand corner of Exhibit Number 21 have any
20	significance?
21	A. No, sir.
22	Q. That would have been the date that you
23	transmitted it to me by e-mail; is that correct?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Okay, what does Exhibit Number 21 show about the
•	

	21
1	Cave Pool Unit Number 30 well?
2	A. It's not capable of producing. It has a pumping
3	unit, but there is no engine or motor on the unit itself.
4	Q. Was that the same condition in which it existed
5	on both of the occasions when you inspected that well?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. Thank you. Call your attention to what's been
8	marked as OCD Exhibit Number 22. I ask you to identify it.
9	A. This is the Cave Pool Unit Number 32.
10	Q. And what does OCD Exhibit Number Well, first
11	of all, on what dates did you inspect the Cave Pool Unit
12	Well Number 32?
13	A. On January the 30th and again on October the
14	16th, 2001.
15	Q. And is there any indication anything to
16	refresh your recollection as to when this Well, now,
17	wait, let's see. Do you know when you took this
18	photograph?
19	A. Yes, sir, it was on February the 13th, 2001.
20	Q. Okay, and you went back after your January
21	inspection, just to make a photograph, and did not make a
22	notation of that inspection?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. What does Exhibit Number 22 show about the Cave
25	Pool Unit Number 32 well?

-	The share the coginghood tubing sticking out of
1	A. It shows the casinghead, tubing sticking out of
2	the casinghead, I can't verify what size, and I cannot
3	verify whether it has a valve on top or not. But it is not
4	capable of production, there are no flow lines attached to
5	it.
6	Q. Was there a pumping unit on the location?
7	A. No, sir.
8	Q. And you re-inspected this well on October 16,
9	2001. Was its condition unchanged?
10	A. Unchanged.
11	Q. Call your attention to what's been marked as OCD
12	Exhibit Number 23 and ask you to identify it.
13	A. It's the Cave Pool Unit Number 41.
14	Q. Now, the copy I have here is really indistinct.
15	I can't really tell much of anything from the copy that I
16	have in front of me. What does that picture depict about
17	the Cave Pool Unit Number 41?
18	A. There was nothing but a piece of casing extending
19	above the surface of the ground.
20	Q. No flow lines?
21	A. No flow lines, no casinghead.
22	Q. No pumping unit?
23	A. No pumping unit.
24	Q. And on what dates did you inspect that well?
25	A. That was inspected on February the 12th, 2001,

1	October the 16th, 2001, and again on December the 3rd,
2	2001.
3	Q. Now, on October the 16th, was its condition
4	unchanged compared to what was shown in this photograph?
5	A. Yes, sir.
6	Q. And on December 3rd its condition had changed,
7	correct?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. As noted in your report?
10	A. Yes, sir, they were attempting to temporarily
11	abandon the well.
12	Q. I call your attention to what's been marked as
13	OCD Exhibit Number 24 and ask you to identify it.
14	A. That's the Cave Pool Unit Number 51.
15	Q. And on what dates did you inspect the Cave Pool
16	Unit Number 51?
17	A. I inspected it on January the 31st, 2001; March
18	the 6th, 2001; and again on November the 16th, 2001.
19	Q. Now, on March the 6th Well, is this picture a
20	reflection of its condition, accurate reflection of its
21	condition on January 31 and March 6th, 2001?
22	A. Yes, sir.
23	Q. Do you know on what date you took this picture?
24	A. No, sir.
25	Q. And what

...

1	A. I would assume it would be after January 31st,
2	since I took no pictures on that day, I would have taken
3	it, then, in March.
4	Q. What does this picture reflect about the
5	condition of the Cave Pool Unit Well Number 51?
6	A. An existing wellhead, tubing coming out of the
7	wellhead, no flow lines, no pumping units, you'd be unable
8	to produce the well in that condition.
9	Q. Call your attention to what's been marked as OCD
10	Exhibit Number 25, ask you to identify it.
11	A. This is the Cave Pool Unit Number 53.
12	Q. And on what dates did you inspect the Cave Pool
13	Unit Number 53?
14	A. On January the 31st, 2001; March 6th, 2001;
15	October the 16th, 2001; and then it was inspected again on
16	November 16th of 2001.
17	Q. With the exception of November 16th, 2001, would
18	this picture be an accurate reflection of the condition of
19	that well on the dates that you inspected it?
20	A. Yes, sir, it would.
21	Q. And what does this picture depict?
22	A. It's incapable of production, it shows a piece of
23	casing sticking out of the hole with a bell nipple in the
24	top and a 2-inch ball valve on the top, no flow lines, no
25	pumping unit.

1	Q. Call your attention to what's been marked as OCD
2	Exhibit Number 26 and ask you to identify it.
3	A. This would be the Red Twelve Levers Federal
4	Number 8.
5	Q. And to refer to this well you're going to have to
6	need to move over to page number 10, Exhibit Number 14,
7	correct?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. On what dates did you inspect the Red Twelve
10	Levers Number 8?
11	A. On January 31st, 2001; October 16th, 2001; and
12	then it was inspected again on November 30th, 2001.
13	Q. Now, with the exception of November 30th, 2001,
14	does this accurately reflect the condition of the Red
15	Twelve Levers Number 8 well on the dates that you inspected
16	it?
17	A. Yes, it does.
18	Q. Can you describe, then, what Exhibit Number 26
19	depicts?
20	A. The well is incapable of production. On the date
21	of inspection it has a piece of casing coming out of the
22	ground with a bell nipple on top, a small 2-inch gate valve
23	on top, and a nipple, and there's no flow lines and pumping
24	unit.
25	Q. I ask you to identify OCD Exhibit Number 27.

This is the Red Twelve Levers Federal Number 12. 1 Α. And what dates did you inspect the Red Twelve 2 Q. Levers Federal Well Number 12? 3 On January the 31st, 2001, and again on October Α. 4 16th, 2001. 5 6 Q. Now, the inspection on October 16th, 2001, is reported over on page 11, correct? 7 Yes, sir. 8 Α. Does Exhibit Number 27 fairly and accurately 9 Q. 10 depict the condition of the Red Twelve Levers Number 12 on the two dates that you inspected it? 11 Yes, sir, it does. 12 Α. And was it taken on February, 2001, as indicated 13 Q. on the photograph? 14 Yes, sir, it was. 15 Α. And what does Exhibit Number 27 depict about the 16 Q. Red Twelve Levers Number 12? 17 A piece of casing coming out of the ground with a 18 Α. bell nipple, 2-inch valve, no flow lines, no pumping unit. 19 20 It would be incapable of production. 21 Q. Call your attention to OCD Exhibit Number 28 and ask you to identify it. 22 23 It's the Red Twelve State Number 6. Α. And was that picture taken on or about February 24 Q. 13th of 2001, as is indicated on the Exhibit? 25

32

1	A. Let me find my page exhibit here.
2	Q. I believe it's on page 12.
3	A. I inspected it on January the 31st, on June the
4	17th of 2001, again on October 16th, 2001, and then it was
5	inspected twice in November, 2001.
6	Q. Okay. Was the picture that is Exhibit 28 taken
7	on February 13th, 2001, as indicated?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. Does Exhibit Number 28 fairly and accurately
10	reflect the condition of the Red Twelve State Number 6 well
11	on January 31st, 2001; June 17th, 2001; and October 16th,
12	2001?
13	A. Yes, sir, that's correct.
14	Q. Was the condition unchanged on all three of those
15	dates?
16	A. Unchanged.
17	Q. And what does Exhibit Number 28 depict about the
18	Red Twelve State Number 6 will?
19	A. It has a wellhead, the 2-inch pipe coming out of
20	the top of the wellhead with the ball valve on top, no flow
21	lines, no pumping unit. It would be incapable of
22	production.
23	Q. Call your attention to what's been marked as OCD
24	Exhibit Number 29 and ask you to identify it.
25	A. It's the State Number 2.

33

And on what dates did you inspect the State 1 Q. Number 2 well? 2 On January the 30th, 2001, and again on June 3 Α. 15th, 2001. 4 And was the condition of the well unchanged from 5 Q. January 30th, 2001, to June 15th, 2001? 6 7 Α. Yes, sir. Does Exhibit Number 29 fairly and accurately 8 Q. depict the condition of the well on those two dates? 9 10 Yes, it does. Α. And what does Exhibit Number 29 show about the 11 0. 12 State Number 2 well? The pumping unit is there, but the engine or 13 Α. motor on the back to run it is missing. 14 Could the well produce in that --15 0. No, sir. 16 Α. -- condition? 17 Q. Very good. Now, I will call your attention to 18 what has been marked as OCD Exhibit Number 30, and that's 19 real hard to read on my copy, but I believe it's readable 20 on the original. And what does that depict? 21 22 Α. It's very hard to read on my copy also. MR. BROOKS: Let's see, could we borrow the 23 original and allow the witness to look at it? 24 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: I don't think this is an

original. 1 MR. BROOKS: Oh, well, we've got the wrong --2 Let's get the copy from the court reporter here. 3 (By Mr. Brooks) I'll ask you to look at the Q. 4 original of that exhibit and ask you to identify it. 5 It's the Cave Pool Unit Number 17. 6 Α. 7 And what is that? Q. That's a dryhole marker for a well that's been 8 Α. 9 plugged and abandoned. Okay. Does that indicate to you that on the date 10 Q. that picture was taken, the Cave Pool Unit Number 17 was 11 12 plugged and abandoned? 13 Α. Yes, sir, it does. And would you look at page 2 of Exhibit Number 14 Q. 14? 15 All right, sir. 16 Α. And what does that indicate? Did you inspect the 17 Q. Cave Pool Unit Number 17? 18 I did, on January the 30th, 2001, and again on 19 Α. 20 October the 16th, 2001. 21 Q. Now, would it have been on January the 30th, 2001, or soon after that, that Exhibit Number 30 was taken? 22 23 Α. Yes, sir. And again, did you take that picture? 24 Q. 25 I did take the picture, on February the 13th, Α.

2001, and the condition had not changed. 1 Okay, let me return that photograph to the court 2 Q. reporter, and we'll again borrow the original of Exhibit 3 Number 30, since the writing on these pipes is hard to 4 see -- 31. I'll ask you to look at OCD Exhibit Number 31 5 6 and tell me if you can identify it. It's the Cave Pool Unit Number 22. 7 Α. And does that again indicate that the Cave Pool 8 Q. 9 Unit Number 22 has been plugged and abandoned? Yes, sir, it does. 10 Α. Did you inspect the Cave Pool Unit Number 22 on 11 Q. January 30, 2001? 12 Yes, sir, I did, and again on October the 16th. 13 Α. Now, was this picture on Exhibit Number 31 taken 14 Q. on February 13th, 2001, as indicated? 15 Yes, sir, it was. 16 Α. Very good. Let's return the original exhibit 17 Q. 18 here to the court reporter. Okay, Mr. Guy, in your opinion, in January of 19 2001 and in June of 2001, were the wells that you have 20 21 testified about in a condition such that they would have been capable of production? 22 23 Α. No, sir. Since most of these wells were inspected more 24 Q. than once and the condition appeared to be essentially the 25

_	
1	same, in your opinion as a well inspector, is it at all
2	likely that somebody came in and fitted these wells out to
3	produce and then returned them to the same dilapidated
4	condition in which they appear in these photographs?
5	A. No, sir.
6	Q. So would it be a reasonable assumption that these
7	wells did not produce from January, 2000, through and
8	including June of 2000, and in the case of the ones you
9	inspected in October, through and including October of
10	2001?
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q. And looking at the condition of these wells as
13	you found them in January of 2001, did appear that they had
14	been in condition to produce recently?
15	A. No, sir, they did not.
16	Q. So would it then be a fair inference in your
17	opinion Would you have an opinion as to whether or not
18	they had produced in the last few months before January,
19	2000 2001?
20	A. No, sir, I would assume that they had not
21	produced in a good while, several years.
22	Q. And would that be your opinion based on your
23	observation of the condition of the wells as they existed
24	in January, 2001?
25	A. Yes, sir, it would be my opinion.
L	

MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness. 1 2 Oh, sorry, offer Exhibits Numbers 14 through 31, inclusive. 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Any objection? 4 MR. BRUCE: No objection, Mr. Examiner. 5 MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness. 6 7 EXAMINER CATANACH: 14 through 31 will be admitted. 8 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 10 11 Just a couple of ugestions, Mr. Guy, and I'm --Q. First, your Exhibit 14 I'm referring to, and move to page 12 10 of that, please. 13 14 Α. Yes, sir. Excuse me, page 11, page 11. Is one of the wells 15 Q. 16 that we're talking about here today the Red Twelve State Number 4? 17 18 Α. Yes. That's one of them? 19 Q. Yes, sir. 20 Α. Okay. And I just want to clear this up, because 21 Q. 22 I was going through a bunch of stuff when you were That well is in adequate shape; is that what 23 testifying. you're saying? Is that an injection well, by the way, or 24 25 is it a producing well?

_	
1	A. It doesn't indicate on my report.
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. I couldn't tell you from looking at this.
4	Q. Okay, but apparently that is one well that was in
5	good shape even before this Application was filed?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	MR. BRUCE: The reason I'm asking this, Mr.
8	Examiner, I'm looking at Exhibit 1, which Ms. Prouty and
9	maybe this question should be directed at her. I'm just
10	making an observation here.
11	Q. (By Mr. Bruce) If you would turn to page 6 of
12	Exhibit 1. I think that's the same well, the Red Twelve
13	State Number 4, I think that matches up with the API
14	number. I know we're here today talking about false
15	production reporting. It doesn't appear that there's any
16	production reports on that well and that the well is
17	otherwise in compliance. And maybe Ms. Prouty can answer
18	that, or Mr. Brooks. I'm just trying to clarify some of
19	the things we're
20	MR. GUM: Again, in Exhibit
21	MR. BRUCE: Yeah, page 6.
22	MR. GUM: Okay, page 6. What month are we on?
23	MR. BRUCE: Okay, this is the
24	MR. GUM: Okay, page 6.
25	MR. BRUCE: Looks like there's several pages.

Oh, I see --1 6 of 13? 2 MR. GUM: MR. BRUCE: It says of 6 of -- Excuse me, Mr. 3 Examiner. Six, seven -- It would actually be the eighth 4 page down. I guess it says -- Oh, I see, it says page 8 on 5 There's a 6 in front of it that I was 6 mine. 7 misinterpreting as a page number. 8 MR. GUM: Okay. Based on this report -- but it says it's a water disposal well --9 MR. BROOKS: Yeah they -- injection, not in 10 11 January, which this is --12 MR. BRUCE: My question to Mr. Guy, Mr. Examiner, 13 was simply that the well, from his examination of the premises, looked okay, and I'm just saying it is one of the 14 15 wells on here for production reporting, but it doesn't show any production on these C- --16 17 MR. GUM: Well, in the records it is listed as a disposal well. 18 19 MR. BRUCE: Okay. 20 So it would have production report, it MR. GUM: should have injection --21 MR. BRUCE: Injection --22 23 MR. GUM: -- volumes. 24 MR. BRUCE: Okay. 25 MR. GUM: Right.

40

MR. BRUCE: Okay, it should have injection 1 volumes, but there is no injection listed; would that be 2 3 correct, Mr. Gum? MR. BROOKS: If you will look at page 18 of 4 Exhibit Number 13, it will show that injection volumes were 5 6 reported for two months. 7 MR. BRUCE: Oh, okay. I had made it through 11 of these. 8 (Laughter) 9 MR. BRUCE: Okay. Okay, I just wanted to clarify 10 that. 11 (By Mr. Bruce) And again I'll ask this to Mr. 12 Q. Guy, although if Mr. Gum or Mr. Brooks could clarify this 13 for me, one of the wells we're here today for is the Cave 14 Pool Unit Number 51, which is on page 5 of Mr. Guy's 15 16 Exhibit 14. And I just want to be clear. Over on the right-hand side you have the API 17 18 number listed, and that's the API number according to the Division's files; is that correct? 19 20 Α. That's correct. MR. BRUCE: Okay. Mr. Examiner, there's -- I 21 22 haven't gone through every single report yet, and maybe they could fill me in. 23 In going through a lot of the C-115s, I don't see 24 that API number. 25

EXAMINER CATANACH: Which well are we talking 1 2 about, Mr. Bruce? MR. BRUCE: The Cave Pool Unit Number 51. 3 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 4 MR. BRUCE: And maybe it's on the most recent --5 that Mr. Brooks just pointed out to me. 6 But that 7 particular API number I don't see -- I didn't find, at 8 least in going through the first 11 or 12 production 9 reports. 10 MR. BROOKS: A different API number is shown on 11 the production reports from what is shown on the inspection 12 report. MR. BRUCE: Okay. And what I'm just looking for 13 is some clarification, Mr. Examiner. 14 15 MR. GUM: Well, as -- If I may. As reported by the operator, his well Number 51 he reports that one API 16 number, 30-015-02908 --17 MR. BRUCE: 908. 18 MR. GUM: -- but on our reports they are a 19 20 different API number. And why the difference is --21 MR. BROOKS: A different API number --22 MS. PROUTY: He has -- We had this problem 23 ourselves, David. That's the one where you take this 2903 and that said the C-115 filed. But there is a 2908 and a 24 2903, and the -- both of the -- both -- I believe the 2903 25

42

one was the one, David, that showed up as your C-115 file, 1 so you came to me yesterday and said, Oh, that should have 2 been 2908. 3 MR. GUM: Right, okay. 4 MS. PROUTY: So there are two wells --5 MR. BRUCE: Okay, I'm just trying to get clear on 6 7 which wells are which. MS. PROUTY: Yeah. 8 9 MR. GUM: It's the same well, but it's different 10 API numbers; is that correct? MS. PROUTY: Well, we have two wells in our 11 12 system. 13 MR. GUM: Okay. MS. PROUTY: I can't tell you whether we should, 14 15 but we do. But they have never reported 2903, and -- or I say "never": not during that period. So then we took 2903 16 17 off the exhibit --MR. BROOKS: Yes, it would appear that there's 18 19 not a production report on the Cave Pool Unit Number 51. MS. PROUTY: Which there was -- If that's 2903, 20 there was yesterday, and that's the one that said "no C-115 21 filed". 22 I remember seeing that, but --23 MR. BROOKS: MS. PROUTY: But then you asked me to change it. 24 25 You thought it was a typo --

Changed it to 2908 --1 MR. BROOKS: Correct, which didn't have 2 MS. PROUTY: production filed. 3 And that shows the Cave Pool Unit MR. BROOKS: 4 Number 99. 5 MS. PROUTY: Yes, so maybe we should have left it 6 7 at 2903, do you think? Well, there seems to be some 8 MR. BROOKS: confusion here --9 MS. PROUTY: Yes. 10 MR. BROOKS: -- because -- 2903 does appear to be 11 correct, but it does appear that there was, in fact, no 12 production reporting from the Number 51. 13 MS. PROUTY: Ever, right. 14 MR. BROOKS: Not that I'm able to see here. 15 MR. BRUCE: I'm just trying to match up the 16 numbers, that's all. 17 (By Mr. Bruce) Just one final question for Mr. 18 Q. 19 Guy. Mr. Guy, other than the wells that you have 20 21 specifically responded to questions from Mr. Brooks regarding this list of wells, the other wells were not -- I 22 23 mean, they're in your list, but we're not here today for those other wells; is that correct? 24 That's the way I understand it, sir. 25 Α.

44

MR. BRUCE: Okay. That's all I have of Mr. Guy, 1 Mr. Examiner. 2 Just one final thing regarding two of the wells 3 that Mr. Guy was questioned about, which are the Cave Pool 4 5 Unit Number 17 and Number 22, those are not listed in the Application, or in the advertisement, I should say. And I 6 haven't gone through the other final exhibits to see if 7 8 they were in the letters, but they -- I think they are, but 9 they weren't in the advertisement. 10 MR. BROOKS: I believe they are in the text of 11 the Application --MR. BRUCE: Okay, I have not seen --12 13 MR. BROOKS: -- paragraph 11 --MR. BRUCE: I haven't seen the Application, Mr. 14 15 Brooks, so I'll take your word for that. MR. BROOKS: Okay. I believe they were not in 16 the published notice, but since Marks and Garner had actual 17 notice and there's no other party involved, I would believe 18 19 that to be harmless error. 20 EXAMINER CATANACH: They are in the Application, Mr. Brooks. 21 They are in the text of the 22 MR. BROOKS: Application, they're referred to in Paragraph Number 11 of 23 24 the Application itself. 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.

1	MR. BROOKS: I have one question on redirect for
2	Mr. Guy.
3	EXAMINER CATANACH: Go ahead.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. BROOKS:
6	Q. There was one well I forgot to ask you about
7	because we didn't have a picture of it, but I will call
8	your attention to page 12 and 13 of your report. That is
9	the Theos State Number 1. Did you inspect that well on
10	January 30, 2001?
11	A. Yes, sir, I did.
12	Q. And was that well in condition to produce on that
13	date?
14	A. No, sir, there were no rods in the hole, and
15	there was no motor on the pumping head.
16	MR. BROOKS: Okay. That's all my questions.
17	EXAMINER CATANACH: Mr. Brooks, for clarification
18	again, the wells I know the wells that you're seeking to
19	have plugged. The wells that we're seeking to have
20	penalties imposed for nonreporting are all of the wells
21	you've got in the advertisment for this case, plus the
22	additional that are not shown, the 17 and the 22?
23	MR. BROOKS: Yes, plus the two plugged ones, with
24	the exception of Well, there are two that go off, I
25	believe Mr. Bruce is correct, the Cave Pool Unit Number 51
Ĺ	

1	and the Red Twelve State Number 4. It does not appear that
2	there were any production reports filed on those.
3	But that leaves all of these wells on Exhibit A,
4	the Cave Pool Unit Number 1, 3, 12, 14, 16, 19, 30, 32, 41,
5	53, the Red Twelve Levers Federal Number 8 and 12, the Red
6	Twelve State Number 6, the State Number 2, and the Theos
7	State Number 1, plus the Cave Pool Unit Number 17 and 22.
8	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, and what are we doing
9	with the Cave Pool Unit Number 51?
10	MR. BROOKS: Apparently nothing. I believe that
11	that was on the list to be plugged and abandoned
12	originally, but it does not appear to be on the list of
13	ones that has not yet been plugged, so I assume Let's
14	see, where is that list? No, it's not on the list of wells
15	remaining to be plugged. So I assume it's either been
16	returned to production or has been plugged at this point.
17	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, my question is on that
18	particular well, are we seeking penalties for non-
19	reporting?
20	MR. BROOKS: No, I believe Mr. Bruce is correct
21	that no production was reported from that well.
22	EXAMINER CATANACH: And
23	MR. BROOKS: That was a confusion on my part
24	between different API numbers.
25	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. And then on the Red

-

1	Twelve State Number 4, same situation?
2	MR. BROOKS: Again, I believe no production was
3	reported from that well. We didn't offer any evidence of
4	condition as to that well either.
5	EXAMINER CATANACH: So no penalty on that well.
6	MR. BROOKS: No penalty on that well.
7	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, further clarification.
8	Is the Division seeking a penalty be imposed on each of
9	these wells that we just discussed for the period from
10	September of 2000 through August of 2001?
11	MR. BROOKS: What we will be asking for, Mr.
12	Examiner, is a penalty, and this will amount to a very
13	substantial penalty, and your honor may wish to remit some
14	of it due to extenuating circumstances, of which there are
15	some, but and we'll but Mr. Gum on the stand here in a
16	minute but what we're asking for is \$1000 per month for
17	each month \$1000 per month, per well, for each month in
18	which they reported production in which we believe there
19	was no production.
20	EXAMINER CATANACH: My question to you, Mr.
21	Brooks, is, does that include the period, the entire period
22	that I just described to you from September of 2000,
23	through August of 2001?
24	MR. BROOKS: I believe that it does. I have not
25	gone through these and tallied to be sure that every well
L	

48

1	is reported for every month, but basically they are
2	reported for most of them are reported for each one of
3	those months, and if there are exceptions they would be
4	anecdotal.
5	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY EXAMINER CATANACH:
8	Q. Mr. Guy, generally the date of first inspection
9	on these wells was January 30th, 2001?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. Can you recall there was Was there any other
12	earlier inspections than that, or did they is that when
13	they all started?
14	A. That particular round of inspections, that's the
15	day that they started. You'll see on the report there, it
16	indicates prior wells. Under normal conditions, those are
17	injection wells, and those are normal, routine inspections
18	that are pulled on an annual basis for those injection
19	wells.
20	But the round of inspections that we're dealing
21	with here, we started on January the 30th.
22	Q. Okay. Now, I believe Mr. Brooks asked you
23	something about whether or not it was your opinion if
24	whether or not these wells had produced in the months prior
25	to your first inspection of January 30th, 2001, and I

1	believe you testified that it was your opinion that they
2	had not produced?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. In fact, from the evidence that we have, can we
5	say that for sure?
6	A. Yes, sir.
7	Q. You can?
8	A. Yes, sir.
9	Q. But you cannot tell me You can tell me that
10	those wells did not produce from September until the first
11	time you made the first inspection in January?
12	A. Not from a specific date. I said that they had
13	not produced in several months. Now, the wells that
14	reflect the 2000 inspection date on this report, those are
15	Bradenhead tests, which do not require that the well be in
16	production. There's no way of telling from that specific
17	inspection report whether that well was producing or
18	injecting at the time. However, the inspection itself, the
19	pressure test, showed the well to be static on both of
20	those inspections, which indicated that there was no hookup
21	for injection available.
22	Q. You're talking about inspections that we don't
23	have listed here?
24	A. No, sir, they're listed, but they're back in the
25	year 2000.
L	

	51
1	Q. They're not on this report, though?
2	A. There are a couple of instances where they appear
3	on here. I noticed one of them
4	What led me to make that statement that I did not
5	believe they had produced in several months was the
6	condition of the casing and the condition of the threads in
7	the top of the casing where there was no valve or
8	casinghead on the top of it. The threads were not shiny,
9	which it would take several months for those threads to
10	become rusted in the condition that I saw them in. So
11	there had been nothing plugged into the top of that well
12	that would have made the threads shiny and would indicate
13	that any wellhead or anything else had been screwed into
14	it.
15	Q. On the wells that, say, didn't have a motor
16	connected
17	A. Right, sir.
18	Q can you tell me that those wells had not
19	produced for several months prior to January 1st?
20	A. What I used for a basis for that is the condition
21	of the bolts in the tie-downs where the motor or the engine
22	would normally fit on those two runners. Those bolts were
23	rusted welded shut from rust, they would have to be cut
24	off in order for the motor to be replaced onto the unit
25	itself. So I can safely assume that it took several months
I	

for those nuts and bolts to become that rusted. 1 EXAMINER CATANACH: I have nothing further of 2 this witness. 3 MR. BROOKS: I have nothing further of this 4 5 witness. This witness may be excused. EXAMINER CATANACH: 6 MR. BROOKS: Call Tim Gum. 7 8 TIM W. GUM, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 9 10 his oath, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. BROOKS: Mr. Gum, would you state your name for the 13 Q. record, please? 14 Tim W. Gum. 15 Α. And by whom are you employed? 16 Q. A. State of New Mexico, with the Oil Conservation 17 Division. 18 In what capacity? 19 Q. District Supervisor of the Artesia District, 20 Α. District 2. 21 Are all of the wells we've been talking about 22 Q. located in District 2? 23 Yes, they are. 24 Α. 25 So you are the individual who's responsible for Q.

52

the inspection and regulation of these wells? 1 2 Α. I am. Call your attention to what's been marked as 3 Q. Exhibit Number 32. 4 This is a copy of the May 11th, 2000, mass 5 Α. mailout form requesting information on specific inactive 6 7 wells, and it happens to be that this is Marks and Garner's response to that questionnaire. 8 And I don't imagine that Mr. Catanach wants to 9 Q. hear any more background testimony about the May, 2000, 10 mailing. 11 The major comment could be that all this form 12 Α. indicates that all the wells are inactive. 13 Okay. On most of these wells, the box labeled 14 Q. 15 "TA'd" is checked; is that correct? That's correct. 16 Α. Now, do these well files actually reflect that 17 Q. 18 these wells were temporarily abandoned pursuant to Division 19 Rule 203? 20 Α. No. In fact, they were not for the most part; is that 21 Q. 22 correct? That's correct. 23 Α. And in fact, do you know if any of them was at 24 Q. the time that this letter was sent out? 25

53

This does not reflect that any of them were. 1 Α. Okay, very good. The fact that this 2 Q. questionnaire form of letter is filled out and has been 3 returned to and is in the records of the Artesia District 4 Office, does that indicate that it was received by Marks 5 and Garner? 6 Α. Yes, and also you'll note that there's two 7 signatures here, or two names printed that -- they are the 8 9 principals of Marks and Garner. Okay. Call your attention to what's been marked 10 Q. as Exhibit Number 33 and ask you to identify it. 11 This is a certified mail dated January 22nd, 12 Α. 2001, under my signature, attached with a list of wells 13 that were inactive at that point in time, asking for a 14 specific work plan to bring these wells into compliance. 15 And was page 2 the list of wells attached to the 16 Q. letter which is page 1 of Exhibit Number 33 at the time it 17 18 was sent? 19 Α. Yes. Call your attention to page 3 of Exhibit Number 20 Q. 21 33, and is that a postal receipt? Α. Yes. 22 Does that indicate that this Exhibit Number 33 23 Q. was received by Marks and Garner? 24 25 Yes, sir. Α.

1	Q. Call your attention to what's been marked as OCD
2	Exhibit Number 34.
3	A. This is a letter that the OCD office in Artesia
4	received on February the 6th, 2001, from Marks and Garner
5	it was dated February 5th, 2001 in response to the
6	previous correspondence.
7	Q. And would you read for the record the letter
8	that's the first page of Exhibit Number 34?
9	A. It says, "Dear Mr. Gum, Enclosed is our Dec. 2001
10	C-115 report. All wells shown on the attached list are
11	producing. We had hoped to"
12	Q. Go ahead.
13	A. Is that
14	Q. Go ahead.
15	A. "We had hoped to interest Yates Petroleum, the
16	current lease holder, in the well bore on the Mosley Spring
17	32-2. So far they have shown little interest, so we shall
18	commence P&A operations in the early spring (Mar. 15)."
19	Q. I call your attention to the remaining pages of
20	Exhibit Number 34, and does that appear to be a C-115 for
21	the month of December, 2000?
22	A. That's correct, it is a copy.
23	Q. In other words, this letter which Mr. Link Marks
24	signed, in which he said that all wells on your letter were
25	producing, related to one month before and was sent right
L	

about the time that Mr. Guy made his inspections of these 1 2 wells --That's correct. 3 Α. -- in which he showed the wells as depicted in 4 Q. the photographs that have been admitted in evidence? 5 Α. That's correct. 6 What does that lead you to conclude about the 7 Q. statement that is made in this letter? 8 My conclusion is that those wells listed in this 9 Α. particular discussion could not be producing as shown on 10 11 the C-115. So whether or not Mr. Marks actually knew the Q. 12 condition of these wells, obviously he didn't make any 13 effort to verify that this was correct before he sent you 14 this letter and told you this? 15 No, but the person that actually signed as agent 16 Α. for Marks and Garner the C-115, Devin Garner, is also the 17 18 field person for Marks and Garner. So that person should 19 have known the actual condition. He's the person that's responsible for the day-20 Q. to-day operation of Marks and Garner's wells? 21 22 Α. Right. Now, Marks and Garner does have some wells that 23 Q. are operating; is that correct? 24 25 Α. That's correct.

1	Q. These aren't all the wells that Marks and Garner
2	has in District 2?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Now, at some point you began to get some activity
5	out of Marks and Garner on these inactive wells. When was
6	that?
7	A. It was approximately October of 2001, as a result
8	of the previous scheduled hearing in which this hearing was
9	scheduled.
10	Q. Subsequent to the filing of the Application in
11	this Case Number 12,757?
12	A. That's correct. And they were given an extension
13	to December the 1st of 2001 to bring the wells into
14	compliance. They did make an effort to bring wells in, and
15	they have substantially reduced the number of wells that
16	were in noncompliance, plus have filed C-103s to plugged-
17	and-abandoned filed wells.
18	Q. And because of that activity, we are not asking
19	for any penalties for the noncompliance status of the
20	wells?
21	A. Not based on our previously indicated
22	Q. But we are asking for penalties for the reporting
23	of production from those months in which we believe there
24	was not production?
25	A. That's correct.

57

MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, we'll tender into 1 evidence Exhibits Numbers 32, 33 and 34. 2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Exhibits 32, 33 and 34 will 3 be admitted as evidence. 4 MR. BROOKS: Pass the witness. 5 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. BRUCE: 7 Just really one question. I think you said 8 Q. 9 earlier on, Mr. Gum, that the wells are now in compliance, except that there's several that a C-103 has been approved 10 11 for; is that correct? 12 Yes, that's five wells. We gave the list Α. 13 previously. MR. BRUCE: Okay, I don't have anything else, Mr. 14 15 Gum. EXAMINATION 16 BY EXAMINER CATANACH: 17 Mr. Gum, on Exhibit Number 32 the notice that you 18 Q. sent out in May of 2000 and the response that you got back 19 from Marks and Garner, are all of the wells that we're 20 talking about today on this list? 21 I believe that is correct, but I could not make a 22 Α. 23 definite statement that it is without making a comparison. Okay. Now, on that response, they indicated to 24 Q. 25 you that the wells were TA'd, correct?

1	A. That's correct.
2	Q. Again, your records, your well records don't
3	reflect that the wells were, in fact, temporarily
4	abandoned?
5	A. That's correct, not in any approved program.
6	Q. Now, would this response indicate to you in May
7	of 2000 that none of these wells were producing?
8	A. That would be my conclusion, yes.
9	Q. Do you have any reason to believe that after this
10	notice was sent back to you that any of the wells were
11	brought back on production?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Okay. I believe that Mr. Brooks' statement
14	requested that we order Marks and Garner to plug five
15	wells; is that your understanding?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. They are proceeding, as I understand. They have
18	made some progress out there in plugging these wells?
19	A. The plugging has not started. They have an
20	approved C-103, intent to plug.
21	Q. For the five wells?
22	A. For the five wells, yeah.
23	Q. What about the other wells on the list today?
24	Have they plugged some of those wells?
25	A. No.

1	Q. They have not?
2	A. They have returned some to production, have TA'd
3	two, I believe, but none have been P-and-A'd.
4	Q. Okay, you're satisfied, with the exception of
5	these five wells that you're seeking to have plugged, that
6	everything else is in compliance now?
7	A. As far as I know at this time, yes.
8	Q. Okay. And they have filed an intent to P-and-A
9	these five wells?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. And do you have any reason to believe that they
12	will not plug these wells?
13	A. I believe their intent would be to plug the
14	wells, but I'm not for sure the timeliness of the plugging.
15	Q. Now, we've asked them since Was it May of 2000
16	when we asked them to take some action with regards to
17	these wells?
18	A. Yes, sir.
19	Q. And they have not done so, with the exception of
20	filing the C-103s for these five wells?
21	A. The main portion of that action came after the
22	results of the first hearing that was in October.
23	Q. And you believe we've given them sufficient
24	opportunity to bring these five wells into compliance?
25	A. Yes.

60

That's all I have of this EXAMINER CATANACH: 1 2 witness, Mr. Brooks. MR. BROOKS: Very good, I'm through except for 3 one housekeeping matter on notice. 4 5 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. MR. BROOKS: Mr. Examiner, Marks and Garner has 6 7 made an appearance, so the notice issue is really moot so far as they're concerned. However, I believe that if you 8 will take -- I will again ask you to take administrative 9 notice of the file, which reflects that they were served 10 11 and that they did receive the letter. 12 Now as to St. Paul Surety, the situation is a 13 little bit more complicated. I caused that notice to be sent, and it was sent by certified mail, return receipt 14 15 requested. And a return receipt did come back, but I can't find it either in the case file or in our file. 16 I do have a letter which probably should be in 17 the case file. It has an OCD receipt stamp on it, and it 18 is dated, the receipt, November 19th, 2001. 19 20 I don't know if you recall the sequence of events, but we first attempted to notify the surety by 21 22 serving the agent, and the agent apparently has gone out of 23 business. It was returned undeliverable. So we deferred 24 the hearing -- I requested the continuance of the hearing. 25 I re-notified St. Paul.

This letter, which is a part of the Oil 1 Conservation Division's files, I will tender, not as an 2 exhibit but as something that should be in the case file, 3 and it's signed by Mr. Wayne Anderson with St. Paul Surety, 4 and it indicates that he has received my letter of October 5 24, 2001, which is in the case file and is a notice of this 6 7 proceeding to St. Paul. So based on the fact that that should be in the 8 case file and has a received stamp on it, and on my letter, 9 10 I will ask you to take administrative notice that notice has been given to St. Paul Surety. 11 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I can simplify this for 12 Mr. Brooks. I was called by St. Paul, and I'm not sure --13 He had told me the exact surety was USF&G Company. I'm not 14 sure -- It's a St. Paul company. 15 MR. BROOKS: That was part of the original 16 confusion. First notice was sent to USF&G. I wanted to 17 avoid saying things as to which I had to testify. I have 18 also talked with Mr. Ander- --19 MR. BRUCE: But I had forgotten this, Mr. 20 Examiner. I am authorized to enter an appearance on behalf 21 of the bonding company. So that will take care of the 22 23 notice issue. MR. BROOKS: Okay. 24 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Very good.

MR. BRUCE: And I had forgotten to say that at 1 the beginning, so --2 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 3 MR. BRUCE: And I don't know -- I talked to --4 St. Paul Insurance Company is what I believe one of their 5 6 subsidiaries is, USF&G Company, or United States Fidelity 7 and Guaranty Company. MR. BROOKS: That was what was represented to me 8 by Mr. Anderson, as a matter of fact. 9 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. 10 11 MR. BROOKS: Okay, I'll rest. The OCD rests. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I just have a brief 12 statement and a brief request. 13 EXAMINER CATANACH: Before you do that -- Mr. 14 Brooks, the Division still hasn't proposed the amount of 15 penalty that they're seeking in this case with regards to 16 17 the production reporting? MR. BROOKS: Only the formula which is going to 18 result in something close to \$150,000 -- it's not going to 19 be quite that -- the formula of \$1000 per well, per month 20 21 for erroneous production. It can be computed exactly from the reports that 22 23 have been admitted in evidence, but I don't have that figure computed at this time. 24 25 But you've got an estimate. EXAMINER CATANACH:

1	
1	Is that a fair estimate?
2	MR. BROOKS: I think it probably is. Let's see,
3	there's one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight,
4	nine, ten, eleven, twelve, thirteen, fourteen, fifteen,
5	sixteen, seventeen, eighteen I count 18 wells, and most
6	of them will it's going to be a little higher than that,
7	because I count 18 wells, and I believe most of them were
8	reported for all 12 months, although there were a few that
9	were not reported for all months. If we had 18 wells for
10	12 months, that would be
11	EXAMINER CATANACH: \$216,000.
12	MR. BROOKS: Right, thank for Your calculator
13	work is better than my head.
14	EXAMINER CATANACH: We will use that as an
15	estimate. That may or may not be the final figure.
16	MR. BROOKS: It will be a little less than that,
17	because some of the wells did not report for all months,
18	but it will be somewhere in that order of magnitude.
19	EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay, I just wanted to
20	clarify that.
21	Go ahead, Mr. Bruce.
22	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my request is simple.
23	Obviously the Division has spent considerable time putting
24	this case together. This is the first time I've seen the
25	evidence that the Division has.

I was contacted very recently about this. I 1 tried to get -- I talked with Mr. Link Marks, whom Mr. Gum 2 knows. 3 He informed me that he had a funeral to attend 4 late yesterday in Texas, and I asked if Mr. Garner could 5 make it. He informed me that Mr. Garner has Parkinson's 6 disease and doesn't travel well, and therefore if I was 7 going to have a witness it should have been Mr. Marks 8 9 instead. 10 As I said, these are new cases before the Division. I haven't had time to research issues such as 11 12 the penalty involved in this matter. And in order to review this data and really get together with my client, I 13 would simply request that it be continued for four weeks so 14 15 if Marks and Garner does desire to present a witness, that I have one available. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. Mr. Brooks? 17 MR. BROOKS: Your Honor, we would object to such 18 a continuance. Mr. Bruce had the opportunity to request a 19 continuance prior to this hearing, and I think it's a 20 little bit unfair for him to hear our entire case and then 21 request four weeks to prepare his rebuttal evidence. 22 EXAMINER CATANACH: I would have to agree with 23 Mr. Brooks and deny the request to continue, although I 24 would allow you to present something in the form of a 25

defense if you wish, after the hearing. 1 MR. BRUCE: I would like to present something 2 regarding the penalties involved --3 EXAMINER CATANACH: 4 Okay. MR. BRUCE: -- whether that's in the form of a 5 proposed order -- Maybe that might be the way to go. I'm 6 7 sure --MR. BROOKS: That would be acceptable. 8 MR. BRUCE: I'm sure Mr. Brooks would be -- He's 9 presented some proposed orders in the other ones. 10 11 MR. BROOKS: Right, I have. EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay. So you want to present 12 a draft order? 13 MR. BRUCE: A draft order or something along that 14 15 nature. MR. BROOKS: Whatever your Honor would prefer. 16 EXAMINER CATANACH: Can you accomplish what you 17 want with a draft proposed order, Mr. Bruce? 18 MR. BRUCE: Yeah, and if, you know -- yeah, I 19 20 think I can. EXAMINER CATANACH: Certainly if you disagree 21 with the decision, you always have the right to appeal it 22 to the Commission. 23 MR. BRUCE: Correct. 24 25 EXAMINER CATANACH: Okay.

66

MR. BROOKS: Thank you. EXAMINER CATANACH: There being nothing further in this case, Case 12,757 will be taken under advisement, and this hearing is adjourned. (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 4:45 p.m.) 1 de 1..... ZOOZ

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL January 18th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

68