

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

21 October 1987

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conser- CASE
vation Division on its own motion for 9242
an order creating, contracting, and
extending certain pools in Lea County,
New Mexico.

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:

Jeff Taylor
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

I N D E X

PAUL KAUTZ

Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor

4

E X H I B I T S

Division Exhibit One, Information

4

1
2 MR. CATANACH: This hearing
3 will come back to order.

4 Call next Case 9242, in the
5 matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Divi-
6 sion on its own motion for an order creating, contracting,
7 and extending certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico.

8 Are there appearances in this
9 case?

10 MR. TAYLOR: May it please the
11 Examiner, I'm Jeff Taylor, Counsel for the Division and I
12 have one witness who has previously been sworn.

13 MR. CATANACH: Are there any
14 other appearances in this case?

15 Okay, you may proceed, Mr. Tay-
16 lor.

17 MR. TAYLOR: I'd like the re-
18 cord to reflect that this witness has been previously sworn
19 and qualified.

20 MR. CATANACH: Let the record
21 show that the witness has been sworn in the previous case.
22
23
24
25

PAUL KAUTZ,

being called as a witness and having been previously sworn
and remaining under oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAYLOR:

Q Would you please state your name, by whom
you're employed, and in what capacity?

A My name is Paul Kautz and I'm employed by
the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division as its District
Geologist in Hobbs, New Mexico.

Q Mr. Kautz, are you prepared to make re-
commendations to the Examiner today concerning the nomencla-
ture of certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico?

A Yes, I am.

Q Are your recommendations prepared in the
form of an exhibit?

A Yes, they are.

Q How is that exhibit denominated?

A Exhibit One.

Q Exhibit One. Would you then please refer
to Exhibit One and to the docket that's been prepared and
distributed at the hearing today and point out any differ-
ences between the two?

A There is no differences.

1 Q So the nomenclature as set forth in Case
2 9242 corresponds with the actual nomenclature.

3 A That is correct.

4 Q And was Exhibit One prepared by you or
5 under your supervision and control?

6 A Yes, it was.

7 Q Do you have anything further to add to
8 your testimony?

9 A No, I don't.

10 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, I'd
11 move the admission of Exhibit One.

12 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit One will
13 be admitted into evidence.

14 MR. TAYLOR: And that's all we
15 have in this case.

16 MR. CATANACH: There being no-
17 thing further in Case 9242 it will be taken under advise-
18 ment.

19
20 (Hearing concluded.)
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9242,
heard by me on October 21 19 87.

David R. Catamb, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division