REVIEWED By OCD Dr Oberding at 7:52 am, Feb 07, 2017

December 23, 2016

Characterization Activities and Results at the State C AC I #3 SWD Unit L of Section 2, T12 S, R 33 E Lea County, New Mexico

Prepared For: Samson Resources

Prepared by R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd. Albuquerque, New Mexico

R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745 Artesia ▲ Carlsbad ▲ Durango ▲ Midland

On April 18, 2016, there was a release of produced water at the State C AC I #3 Tank Battery. Plate 1 shows the location of the tank battery in Unit L of Section 2, T 12 S, R 33E.

Characterization Activities

Trench Sampling

In order to characterize the effect of the produced water release at the State C AC I #3 Battery, three trench locations were chosen for sampling. All three locations were within the release footprint. This was accomplished on May 6, 2016 using a backhoe (see Plate 2).

Samples were taken every foot. At all three locations, a dense caliche horizon encountered at depths from 3.0 feet to 5.5 feet prevented sampling at greater depth. The results of field titrations of the samples are presented in the following table.

Sample	Depth	Chloride Conc.			
Location	[feet]	[mg/kg]			
	1.0	2839			
	2.0	2088			
Tropph 1	3.0	3074			
THEFICITI	4.0	4668			
	5.0	3940			
	5.5	2969			
	1.0	4430			
Trench 2	2.0	3883			
	3.0	3596			
	1.0	1109			
Tronch 2	2.0	2094			
THENCH S	3.0	1551			
	3.5	2404			

Table 1

The data is presented as chloride concentration profiles in Figure 1 below. As can be seen,

- All concentrations were less 4800 mg/kg and greater than 1000 mg/kg.
- Trench 1 had the lowest average concentration while Trench 2 had the highest average concentration.



As all chloride concentrations were greater than 250 mg/kg, it was concluded that a boring was necessary to establish a vertical characterization of the release at the site.

Soil Boring

Based upon the trench sampling results and the physical difficulties of maneuvering the drill rig within the tank battery site, the boring location for SB-1 was chosen as the Trench 2 sampling site. On November 2, 2016, Hicks Consultants met with Atkins Engineering to drill SB-1. To access the location, a minimal section of the berm was removed. This was replaced after the boring was completed (Plate 2)

The Boring Log is presented in Plate 3.

Soil samples were obtained at depth intervals of five feet by use of a split-spoon sampler. The samples were field tested for chloride concentration.

To determine if soil chloride concentrations demonstrated a complete vertical characterization of the site, the following protocol was used.

• If the two lowermost samples had chloride concentrations less than 250 mg/kg, the boring would be terminated.

- If not, the boring would be extended.
- If the boring was continued to the water table (approximately 47 feet bgs at the site), the boring would be extended 15 feet below the water table and a representative sample of ground water was to be collected and field titrated.

During the field work, no sample had a chloride concentration less than 250 mg/kg with the exception of the sample from the depth of 45 feet (216 mg/kg). Following the field protocols, the boring was extended 15 feet below the water table and a sample of ground water was obtained.

All chloride concentration data is presented in Table 2. Laboratory Results are presented in the Appendix.

SB-1	Field Titration	Laboratory Result
Depth in {feet]	[m	<u>g/L]</u>
10	836.9	
15	731.8	
20	642.9	
25	369.5	330
30	1006.4	280
35	468.1	
40	491.0	420
45	216.4	97
Ground Water	749.8	760

Table 2

The data is also presented as a graph of chloride concentration as a function of depth in Figure 2. Because SB-1 was placed in the footprint of Trench 2, the Trench 2 data is shown with an interpolated line connecting the datasets.





As can be seen from the data in Table 2 and the Graph in Figure 2:

- With one exception, the lowest concentration observed in the field data was about 370 mg/kg at a depth of 25 feet.
- The exception is from the sample at 45 feet (216 mg/kg). This soil is within the capillary fringe and has a higher moisture content. As such, it is diluted by ground water from below.
- The highest concentration observed was about 1000 mg/kg at a depth of 30 feet.

We submitted samples from the depth intervals of 25 feet, 30, 40, and 45 feet to the laboratory. The first two samples are of the lowest and highest concentrations respectively while the last two are from the bottom of the vadose zone.

- The laboratory result for the sample from 25 feet agrees favorably with the field result (330 mg/kg and 370 mg/kg respectively).
- The laboratory result from the sample at 30 feet is rather different from the field result (280 mg/kg and 1006 mg/kg respectively). Obviously, field and lab tests can never use the exact same soil although the samples come from within close proximity to each other. A second sample of this material was field tested and had a concentration of 594 mg/kg.
- The samples from 40 and 45 feet also agree well (see Table 2).

Observations Regarding the Vadose Zone Chloride Profile

As mentioned above, the datasets from Trench 2 and SB-1 are shown on the graph.

- Samples from Trench 2 are all from above the caliche beds (present to a depth of about 20 feet with additional thin layers at depth, Plate 2)
- Concentrations in Trench 2 are an order of magnitude higher than those observed in SB-1.
- Concentrations within and below the caliche are above 250 mg/kg, the soil screening guideline.

Ground Water Concentrations

Chloride concentration of the ground water sample exceeded The New Mexico WQCC standard of 250 mg/L for chloride. The field and laboratory ground water results agree quite closely (750 mg/L and 760 mg/L respectively).

Observations and Conclusions

- The fluid from the recent release is present in the upper vadose zone (perhaps 5 to 8 feet or less)
- Chloride in the lower vadose zone (10 feet to 45 feet) is an order of magnitude less in concentration than the chloride concentration above the caliche horizon. This material could possibly be attributed to previous oilfield events. Vadose zone migration rates in SE New Mexico are commonly 1 to 3 feet/year. Hence, it would be unlikely for the recent release to have attained the greater depths.
- Ground water (at 760 mg/L) is impacted at a level about three times the drinking water standard (250 mg/L).
- Ground water flows to the east-southeast in the area (Tillery, 2008). There is some distance down gradient from the tank battery at which dispersion and diffusion dilute chloride within ground water to a concentration less than 250 mg/L.
- The site is about 750 feet east and 500 feet south of the midpoint of the western boundary of Section 2. There are no known wells or other ground water diversions providing drinking water in Section 2.
- The site is an operating tank battery. Installation of an evapotranspiration barrier to lower the chloride flux entering ground water from the vadose zone is not currently practical.

Reference

Tillery, A., Current (2004-07) Conditions and Changes in Ground-Water Levels from Pre-development to 2007, Southern High Plains Aquifer, Southeast New Mexico-Lea County Underground Water Basin, United States Geologic Survey, 2008

Plates

R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd.

901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW, Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104





	Logger: David Hamilton		n	Client:	Well ID:	Well ID:		
	Driller:Atkins EngineeDrilling Method:Hollw Stem AuStart Date:11/2/2016End Date:11/2/2016		Engineer	ing	Samson Resources L.L.C.			
Drillin			Method: Hollw Stem Augur		Project Name:			
			Date: 11/2/2016 Samson State C AC #3				SB-1	
			1/2/2016		Location:			
					Section 2, T 12S, R 33E, Unit L			
Donth	1						Donth	
(feet)		Description		Lithology	Comments		(feet)	
	Su	rface, 0 - 0.5 feet, ta	an	Littiology	Comments			
1.0	Sand, s	silt. clav. brown. 0.5	-2 feet				1.0	
2.0	,	, , ,					2.0	
3.0							3.0	
4.0							4.0	
5.0	_						5.0	
6.0	4						6.0	
7.0	-						7.0	
8.0	-						8.0	
9.0	-				Hard drilling		9.0	
11.0	Caliche,co	mposed of very fin	e grained				11.0	
12.0	- sand, silt,	sand, silt, white, 2	2-21 feet				12.0	
13.0]						13.0	
14.0	4						14.0	
15.0	4						15.0	
16.0	4						16.0	
17.0	4						17.0	
10.0	-						18.0	
20.0	1						20.0	
21.0							21.0	
22.0	Very fine	grained sand, silt,	caliche				22.0	
23.0	layer	s, white-tan, 21-25	feet				23.0	
24.0							24.0	
25.0	-						25.0	
26.0	-						26.0	
27.0	Very fine	e grained sand, silt,	caliche				28.0	
29.0	layer betw	een 29 and 32, whi	te tan, 25				29.0	
30.0		33 Teel					30.0	
31.0	4						31.0	
32.0							32.0	
33.0	-						33.0	
34.0	-						34.0	
36.0	-						36.0	
37.0	1						37.0	
38.0							38.0	
39.0	Very fine	grained sand, silt,	light tan,				39.0	
40.0	4	33-47 feet					40.0	
41.0	4						41.0	
42.0 43.0	1						42.0	
44.0	1				Sample was moist to touch	—	44.0	
45.0	1				• •		45.0	
46.0]						46.0	
47.0							47.0	
48.0	Very fir	ne grained sand, sil	t, Clay				48.0	
49.0	Icontent ind	creasing with depth	, grey, 47				49.0	
50.0	-						50.0	
52.0	1						52 0	
53.0	1						53.0	
54.0]						54.0	
55.0	Very fine grained sand, silt, clay, darker grey, 52-60 feet					55.0		
56.0						56.0		
57.0							57.0	
58.0							58.0	
59.0 60.0						I	59.0 60.0	
00.0	1						1 00.0	
<u>R.T</u>	<u>. Hicks Con</u>	sultants, Ltd		Same	son Resources LLC	Plate	e 3	
901 Rio	Grande Blvo	d NW Suite F-142						
А	Ibuquerque,	NM 87104			Soil Doring Log			
	303-200	-3004		:		Decembe		
L						1		

Appendix

R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd.

901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW, Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

November 11, 2016

David Hamilton R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD 901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104 TEL: (505) 266-5004 FAX (505) 266-0745

RE: State CAC 3

OrderNo.: 1611296

Dear David Hamilton:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 3 sample(s) on 11/4/2016 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysi	Lab Order 1611296 Date Reported: 11/11/2016						
CLIENT: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD			Client Samp	le ID: SB-1 25'			
Project: State CAC 3			Collection	Date: 11/2/2016 11:45:00 AM			
Lab ID: 1611296-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received Date: 11/4/2016 4:55:00 PM				
Analyses	Result	PQL Qua	l Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS				Analys	t: LGT		
Chloride	330	30	mg/Kg	20 11/8/2016 3:56:20 PM	28541		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Ana
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E	Valı
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Ana
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sam
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RI	Rep
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W	' Sam

- lyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- ue above quantitation range
- alyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 5

Analytical Report

- ple pH Not In Range
- orting Detection Limit
- ple container temperature is out of limit as specified

Hall Environmental Analysi	Lab Order 1611296 Date Reported: 11/11/2016				
CLIENT: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD			Client Samp	le ID: SB-1 30'	
Project: State CAC 3			Collection	Date: 11/2/2016 1:10:00 PM	
Lab ID: 1611296-002	Matrix:	SOIL	Received		
Analyses	Result	PQL Qua	l Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS				Analys	t: LGT
Chloride	280	30	mg/Kg	20 11/8/2016 4:21:08 PM	28541

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analy
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Value
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analy
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Samp
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Repo
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W	Samp

- yte detected in the associated Method Blank
- e above quantitation range
- yte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 5

Analytical Report

- ole pH Not In Range
- orting Detection Limit
- ble container temperature is out of limit as specified

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1611296
Data Dana et al. 11/11/2014

Hall Environmental Analysi	is Labora	c.	Date Reported: 11/11/2016					
CLIENT: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD			C	lient San	nple ID: SB-1 45'-60'			
Project: State CAC 3				Collectio	n Date: 11/2/2016 6:10:00 PM			
Lab ID: 1611296-003	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	5	Receive	ed Date: 11/4/2016 4:55:00 PM			
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	LGT		
Chloride	760	25	*	mg/L	50 11/9/2016 4:51:02 PM	R38595		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Kelel to the QC Summar	y report and	i sample login	CHECKHSt IOI	naggeu Q	preservation mio	ma

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
Н		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND		Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- -

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 3 of 5
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	R.T. State	Hicks Consultants, CAC 3	LTD							
Sample ID	D MB-28541 SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions				S		
Prep Date:	11/8/2016	Analysis Date:	11/8/2016	r S	SeqNo: 1204	15 1508	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result PC	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC Lo	owLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5							
Sample ID	LCS-28541	SampType:	LCS	Tes	tCode: EPA	Method	300.0: Anion	s		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	28541	F	RunNo: 3855	5				
Prep Date:	11/8/2016	Analysis Date:	11/8/2016	S	SeqNo: 1204	509	Units: mg/K	ģ		
Analyte		Result PC	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC Lo	owLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5 15.00	0	91.4	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 5

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:		R.T. Hicks Consultar State CAC 3	its, L'I	ſD										
Sample ID	MB	SampTy	be: MI	IBLK TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions										
Client ID:	PBW	Batch	D: R3	8595	R	RunNo: 3): 38595							
Prep Date:		Analysis Da	te: 1	1/9/2016	S	SeqNo: 1	205548	Units: mg/L						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Chloride		ND	0.50											
Sample ID	LCS	SampTy	be: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions									
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch	D: R3	8595	R	lunNo: 3	8595							
Prep Date:		Analysis Da	te: 1	11/9/2016 Sec			205550	Units: mg/L						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Chloride		4.7	0.50	5.000	0	94.4	90	110						

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 5 of 5

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Albuqı TEL: 505-345-3975 F. Website: www.halle	4901 Hawk uerque, NM 4X: 505-345 nvironmenta	ins NE 87109 Sam 14107 nl.com	ole Log-In Cł	neck List
Client Name: RT HICKS W	/ork Order Number: 1	611296		RcptNo:	1
Received by/date:	1104/15		-		
Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 11/4	1/2016 4:55:00 PM		Junity Harry		
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 11/7	7/2016 9:00:29 AM		Junky Hope		
Reviewed By: U	107(16				
Chain of Custody					
1, Custody seals intact on sample bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Not Present 🗹	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?	:	<u>Client</u>			
<u>Log In</u>					
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >	0° C to 6.0°C	Approved	by client. No 🔽		
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Approved Yes_			
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?		Yes 🗹	MANNO D		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly pre	served?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	•	Yes 🗌	No 🔽	NA 🗌	
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?	,	Yes 🗸	No 🗌	No VOA Vials	
11. Were any sample containers received broken?		Yes 🗆	No 🗹 🛛	# - f	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	,	Yes 🗸	No 🗌	# of preserved bottles checked for pH:	
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	- 4- 0	(No. [(<2 or Adjusted?	>12 unless noted)
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	bay?	res ⊻ Vec ✓			
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	``	res 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)					
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this or	der?	íes 🗌	No 📋	NĄ 🗹	
Person Notified:	Date				
By Whom:	Via:	eMail 🗌	Phone 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:					
Client Instructions:					
17. Additional remarks: For Sample -	003: Pour	red o	FF water	r from H	ne. 2-407.
18. <u>Cooler Information</u> Jars that wa <u>Cooler No</u> Temp ℃ Condition Seal Int 1 20.3 Good Not Prese	ere Submitt act Seal No Sea ent	cd , in al Date	to a 12 Signed By	5 mL unp. for c1 an	container alysis. mgl

NTAI	TORY							r N)	0 J)	səlddu8 niA						 			 	
MET DRA 7109 07														 		 				
2		COM	NM	5-41	st			(\	٬۸۵۸ ۱۱	-ime2) 0728						 		 		
	23	ental	,que,	05-34	seque	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's									 			 		
		ironm	ionbn	ax 5	'sis F	([*] O4)	S' [†] Od	°ON' ^ɛ	οN	⊖∃) anoinA	X	X	X	-		 				
ū	ΞŸ	llenv	- Alb	ш	Naly				slet	9M 8 АЯЭЯ										
	Į	w.ha	NE	3975			(SMI8	\$ 0728	l o (, PAH's (8310										
			/kins	345-3				(1.4)) <u>9</u> p	EDB (Wetho								 		
			Haw	505-		(റപ		81) 0\DF	ง หอ)						 			 		
			4901	Tel,		(Xjuc) seð)	Нат	+ 38	ITM + XƏT8						 	-		arks:	
						(1:	208) s	'8MT ·	+ 38	BTEX + MTI									 S	
Turn-Around Time:	KStandard 🗆 Rush	Project Name:	State CAC#3	Project #:		Project Manager:	David Hawilton	Sampler: DH Dn Ico: TT Vec XNn	Sample Temperature: 20.3	Container Preservative HEAL No. Type and # Type /////////	100- 1 top = 34, 1		2 402						Received by: Date Time Kinchey Wille 165 Received by: Date Time	0
Chain-of-Custody Record	ient: RT Hicks Consultants		ailing Address: 901 Rip (Scande NW)	Surte F-142 Alb. N.M. 87104	ione #: 505 266 5004	Iail or Fax#: dovid@Fthicks consult.com	VQC Package: Standard	creditation NELAP Dther	EDD (Type)	ate Time Matrix Sample Request ID	2 11:45 Soil 5B-1 25'	1 B:10 S.1 SB-1 30'	L 13:10 when SB-1 45-60'	•				2. 2. 2.	ite: Time: Relinquished by:	



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

December 19, 2016

David Hamilton R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD 901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104 TEL: (505) 266-5004 FAX (505) 266-0745

RE: State C AC #3

OrderNo.: 1612592

Dear David Hamilton:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 2 sample(s) on 12/12/2016 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report

Lab Order: 1612592

Hall Enviro	nmental Analys	Date Reported: 12/19/2016		
CLIENT: Project:	R.T. Hicks Consultant State C AC #3	s, LTD		Lab Order: 1612592
Lab ID: Client Sample ID	1612592-001 : SB-1 40'		Collection 1	Date: 11/2/2016 atrix: SOIL
Analyses		Result	PQL Qual Units	DF Date Analyzed Batch ID
EPA METHOD 30 Chloride	00.0: ANIONS	420	30 H mg/Kg	Analyst: LGT 20 12/16/2016 2:11:43 PM 29244
Lab ID: Client Sample ID	1612592-002 : SB-1 45'		Collection 1	Date: 11/2/2016 atrix: SOIL
Analyses		Result	PQL Qual Units	DF Date Analyzed Batch ID
EPA METHOD 30	00.0: ANIONS			Analyst: LGT
Chloride		97	30 H mg/Kg	20 12/16/2016 2:48:57 PM 29244

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

*

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Value above quantitation range Е
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 2
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	R.T. H State C	icks Consultants, AC #3	LTD									
Sample ID	MB-29244	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions								
Prep Date:	12/16/2016	Batch ID: Analysis Date:	29244 12/16/2016	H S	anno: 394 SeqNo: 123	6224	Units: mg/k	g				
Analyte		Result PG	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC l	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Chloride		ND	1.5									
Sample ID	LCS-29244	SampType:	LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions								
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	29244	R	RunNo: 394	79						
Prep Date:	12/16/2016	Analysis Date:	12/16/2016	S	SeqNo: 123	6225	Units: mg/K					
Analyte		Result PC	L SPK value	SPK Ref Val	KRef Val %REC LowLimit			%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Chloride		15	1.5 15.00	0	98.7	90	110					

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 2 of 2

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmenta All TEL: 505-345-397. Website: www.h	l Analysis Laborat 4901 Hawkins buquerque, NM 87. 5 FAX: 505-345-4. callenvironmental.c	ory NE 109 Samp 107	nple Log-In Check List						
Client Name: RT HICKS	Work Order Numbe	r: 1612592		RcptNo:	1					
Received by/date: Ar 12/12//(íe	·								
Logged By: Anne Thorne	12/12/2016 9:40:00 A	M	anne Arm	-						
Completed By: Anne Thorne	12/12/2016 10:45:55	AM	ame Im	-						
Reviewed By: 0.73	12/12/16									
Chain of Custody				_						
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles	?	Yes	No 🗌	Not Present 🗹						
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🖌	No	Not Present						
3. How was the sample delivered?		<u>Client</u>								
<u>Log In</u>										
4. Was an attempt made to cool the sam	ples?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹						
5. Were all samples received at a temper	ature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes	No 🗆	NA 🗹						
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌							
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated	test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌							
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) p	properly preserved?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌							
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NAL						
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗹						
11. Were any sample containers received	broken?	Yes	No 🗹	# of preserved						
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?		Yes 🔽	No 🗌	for pH:	or >12 unless noted)					
(Note discrepancies on chain of custor	ain of Custody?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌	Adjusted?						
14. Is it clear what analyses were requeste	ed?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌							
15. Were all holding times able to be met (If no, notify customer for authorization	? 1.)	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:						
<u>Special Handling (if applicable)</u>										
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies	with this order?	Yes	No 🗌							
Person Notified:	Date									
By Whom:	Via:	🗌 eMail 📃	Phone 🗌 Fax	ln Person						
Regarding:										

17. Additional remarks:

Client Instructions:

18. Cooler Information

TAI	ory							(N)	o ۷)	səlddu8 Air]
2	Ě		~																			-
Σ	Ř		37109	07														 	 			-
2	ğ	com	MM	5-41	st				νΟΛ' ΛΟ'	-ima2) 0708			 					 	 			=
ğ	25	ental	due,	5-34	edne	s	-BCB	7808 /	səp				 			_			 			-
2	S	onme	duen	ax 50	is R	([⊅] O\$	S,409	^{'²} ON ^{'^ɛı}		(D) snoinA	4	7	_					 	 			
Ľ	1 S	envir	Albu	Ъ	nalys				tals	ы 8 АЯЭЯ	,							 		•		1
-	F	v.hall	ц	975	AI		(SMI	S 0728) or)168) a'HA9												
	Ş	ww	ins N	45-39				(1.4()ç p	EDB (Wetho												
			Hawk	05-3				(1.8	.4 b	odteM) H9T												
			901 F	el. 5		(0) (()	N / O		49) 	86108 H9T			 					 		ks:		A
			4				200) (35 -							_				emar		
ſ	<u>-</u> -					(1)	208) =	- TMB'	- 36] [TM + X318			 				_		 	ž		
	tush		C # 3				2	N NO	20.2	ative HEAL No.	90	202							 ()	Date Iz Iz Imp	Date	This concerns on motion of t
Time:			C.A	•		ger:	", Ho		erature:	Preserve Type									$\left\langle \right\rangle$	1/-	9	and labo
Turn-Around ⁻	□ Standard	Project Name	State	Project #:		Project Mana	D. Han	Sampler: C	Sample Temp	Container Type and #										Received by:	Received by:	intracted to other on
tody Record	consultants	NW Alb NM 8716			5-004	hicks consult com	Level 4 (Full Validation)			Sample Request ID	\$\$\$\$ SB-1 40'	SB-1 451			~					4 Hemilton	y:	of to Half Environmental mary he curbe
-Cus	iks (ande 1			266	20 vt		Other		atrix	<u>-</u>	-						 		Day No.	nquished t	las srihmitte
1-of	শ্	S	ss:		72	9	ö			Ξ	لە م	آن ا	-	-		-		 			Rel	dmea /r
haiı	191	OL Ru	Addre		#: 51	Fax#	Packag dard	tation 4P	(Type	Timé									 	Time: 9'4(Time:	6220000
С О	Client:	6	Mailing		Phone #	email oi	QA/QCF			Date	11-2	7-11								Date: 2-13	Date:	

This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.