# BW – 8

# REPORTS

From:	Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD
To:	"Ayarbe, John"
Cc:	"Pieter Bergstein (pieter@bergsteinenterprises.com)"; "susan@bergsteinenterprises.com"; Zbrozek, Michael
Subject:	RE: SUBMITTAL of First Semiannual 2020 GW Monitoring and O&M
Date:	Monday, September 14, 2020 8:00:00 AM

John,

Received. OCD is reviewing the document and updating the admin. record.

OCD will contact you if there are any questions.

Thank you.

Mr. Carl J. Chavez, CHMM (#13099) New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (Albuquerque Office) Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department 5200 Oakland Avenue, NE Albuquerque, New Mexico 87113 Ph. (505) 660-7923 E-mail: <u>Carl J. Chavez@state.nm.us</u> "Why not prevent pollution, minimize waste to reduce operating costs, reuse or recycle, and move forward with the rest of the Nation?" (To see how, go to: <u>http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD</u> and see "Publications")

From: Ayarbe, John <jayarbe@geo-logic.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 12, 2020 8:55 AM
To: Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD <CarlJ.Chavez@state.nm.us>
Cc: 'Pieter Bergstein (pieter@bergsteinenterprises.com)' <pieter@bergsteinenterprises.com>; 'susan@bergsteinenterprises.com' <susan@bergsteinenterprises.com>; Zbrozek, Michael
<mzbrozek@geo-logic.com>
Subject: [EXT] SUBMITTAL of First Semiannual 2020 GW Monitoring and O&M

Hi Carl,

Attached is the Semiannual Groundwater Monitoring and O&M Report for the Salty Dog Brine Station for the period January 1 through June 30, 2020. I'm submitting the report to you on behalf of PAB Services, Inc.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Sincerely,

John P. Ayarbe Senior Hydrogeologist

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. a Geo-Logic Company 6020 Academy Road NE, Suite 100 Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109 Office: (505) 822-9400 | Direct: (505) 353-9137 Mobile: (505) 280-4339 jayarbe@dbstephens.com or jayarbe@geo-logic.com

www.dbstephens.com | www.geo-logic.com

The contents of this e-mail message, including any attachments, are for the sole use of the intended recipient named above. This email may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, be advised that any dissemination, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and permanently delete all copies of the original email and any attached documentation. Thank you.



September 11, 2020

Mr. Carl Chavez New Mexico Oil Conservation Division **Environmental Bureau** 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-4225

Re: Semiannual Groundwater Monitoring and O&M Report January 1 through June 30, 2020 Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Chavez:

On behalf of PAB Services, Inc., Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. (DBS&A) is submitting the enclosed groundwater monitoring and operation and maintenance (O&M) report for the Salty Dog brine station located in Lea County, New Mexico. Semiannual groundwater monitoring activities were completed at the site on June 23 and 24, 2020.

Please call us at (505) 822-9400 if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

DANIEL B. STEPHENS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

John Ayarbe, P.G.

Senior Hydrogeologist

JA/rpf Enclosure cc: Pieter Bergstein, PAB Services, Inc. Jim Sayre, Salty Dog, Inc.

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc.

6020 Academy NE, Suite 100 505-822-9400 FAX 505-822-8877

# First Semiannual 2020

## **Groundwater Monitoring and**

# **O&M** Report

Salty Dog Brine Station

Lea County, New Mexico

**Prepared for** 

New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division

September 11, 2020



Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc.

6020 Academy NE, Suite 100 • Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109



## **Table of Contents**

Se	ction Pa	age
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Scope of Work	2
3.	Monitoring Activities	3 3 4
4.	<ul><li>Analytical Results</li><li>4.1 Former Brine Pond Area Wells</li><li>4.2 Brine Well Area Wells</li></ul>	5 5 6
5.	<ul> <li>Groundwater Extraction System O&amp;M</li> <li>5.1 Former Brine Pond Area</li> <li>5.2 Brine Well Area</li> <li>5.3 Facility and System Maintenance</li> </ul>	7 8 8 9
6.	Recommendations	9
Re	ferences	.11

## List of Figures

#### Figure

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Former Brine Pond Area Potentiometric Surface Elevations, June 2020
- 3 Playa Lake and Brine Well Area Potentiometric Surface Elevations, June 2020
- 4 Former Brine Pond Area Chloride Concentrations in Groundwater, June 2020
- 5 Playa Lake and Brine Well Area Chloride Concentrations in Groundwater, June 2020



### List of Tables

Fable		<b>'</b> age
1	Fluid Level Measurements, June 23, 2020	3
2	Chloride Groundwater Analytical Data, June 2020	5
3	Groundwater Analytical Results, MW-3	7
4	Average Groundwater Extraction Rates	8

## List of Appendices

#### Appendix

- A Laboratory Analytical Report
- B Field Notes
- C Historical Data



## Semiannual Groundwater Monitoring and O&M Report January 1 through June 30, 2020 Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico

#### 1. Introduction

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. (DBS&A) has prepared this groundwater monitoring and operation and maintenance (O&M) report for submission to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division (OCD) Environmental Bureau on behalf of PAB Services, Inc. (PAB) for the Salty Dog brine station (the site) located in Lea County, New Mexico (Figure 1). The report summarizes activities conducted at the site during the reporting period of January 1 through June 30, 2020. Groundwater monitoring and O&M during the reporting period was conducted in accordance with discharge permit BW-8 (DP-BW-8), which was last renewed on May 17, 2019 (NMEMNRD OCD, 2019).

The site consists of a northern portion, where the brine pond was located prior to closure in October 2008, and a southern portion, where the brine well is located. The brine pond area and the brine well area are separated by approximately 2,500 feet, joined by a dirt road (Figure 1). Injection water for the brine well comes from two fresh water supply wells (FWS-1 and FWS-2) and from remedial pumping at a recovery well in the brine well area (RW-2).

Brine that is produced for sale is stored at a tank battery on the southern boundary of the former brine pond area. The tank battery consists of six 750-barrel aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) surrounded by a berm (Figure 1). A concrete truck loading pad with two brine filling stations is located north of the tank battery. An operations shed is located adjacent to the loading pad to the west.

The former brine pond area contains 6 monitor wells (PMW-1, DBS-1R, and DBS-2 through DBS-5), 1 nested well (NW-1), 1 fresh water supply well (FWS-1), and a former recovery well (RW-1). The brine well area contains 10 monitor wells (MW-2 through MW-6, DBS-6 through



DBS-10), 1 nested well (NW-2), 1 fresh water supply well (FWS-2), and 1 recovery well (RW-2) (Figure 1).

In April 2012, DBS&A installed groundwater extraction systems at the site to provide hydraulic containment and removal of chloride-impacted groundwater in the former brine pond and brine well areas (DBS&A, 2009a and 2009b). The extraction systems consist of wells, submersible pumps, conveyance lines, electrical power, and controls to extract impacted groundwater. Extracted groundwater is conveyed to the on-site ASTs for reinjection at the brine well. Although groundwater extraction at well RW-1 was stopped in 2015, pumping at well FWS-1 provides hydraulic containment and removal of chloride-impacted groundwater in the former brine pond area; well FWS-1 is located approximately 50 feet southeast of RW-1. Extraction at RW-1 was stopped because the water level at the well had declined and was near the bottom of the well. Pumping at RW-2 provides hydraulic containment and removal of chloride-impacted groundwater in the brine well area.

#### 2. Scope of Work

The scope of work for semiannual groundwater monitoring conducted in June 2020 consisted of (1) measuring groundwater levels in and collecting groundwater samples from 12 monitor wells and (2) performing maintenance on the groundwater extraction systems, as necessary. Groundwater samples were collected and submitted to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (HEAL) in Albuquerque, New Mexico for chloride analysis using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method 300.0. Section 2A.1 of DP-BW-8 requires that PAB collect one groundwater sample to be analyzed for general chemistry and other inorganic groundwater constituents in addition to chloride. In consultation with Carl Chavez (OCD), DBS&A selected monitor well MW-3 for this additional analysis. Appendices A and B provide the laboratory report and field notes, respectively.

The monitor wells included in the sampling program were selected in October 2010 in consultation with Jim Griswold, the OCD Project Manager for the site at that time. The sampled monitor wells are shown in Figures 2 through 5.



### 3. Monitoring Activities

#### 3.1 Fluid Level Measurement

On June 23, 2020, DBS&A measured water levels in monitor wells DBS-1R, DBS-2 through DBS-5, and PMW-1 in the former brine pond area (Figure 2) and in wells DBS-6, DBS-8 through DBS-10, MW-3, and MW-5 in the brine well area (Figure 3) using a properly decontaminated electronic water level meter. Table 1 reports water level measurements and groundwater elevations. Appendix C provides historical groundwater level data.

Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-1R	58.0–78.0	3,817.00 <sup>b</sup>	68.66	3,748.34
DBS-2	58.0–78.0	3,820.50	71.54	3,748.96
DBS-3	56.0-76.72	3,816.66	66.81	3,749.85
DBS-4	56.0–76.0	3,820.37	72.36	3,748.01
DBS-5	56.9–76.9	3,820.66	69.16	3,751.50
DBS-6	56.7–76.7	3,812.65	68.29	3,744.36
DBS-8	55.2–75.2	3,810.70	66.42	3,744.28
DBS-9	48.0–68.0	3,806.26	59.55	3,747.71
DBS-10	57.2–77.2	3,807.48	66.03	3,741.45
PMW-1	63–78	3,821.17	72.03	3,749.14
MW-3	NA	3,812.05	69.16	3,742.89
MW-5	112–132	3,808.96	66.26	3,742.70

Table T. Fluid Level Measurements, June 23, 2020	Table 1.	Fluid Level	Measurements,	June 23, 2020
--	----------	-------------	---------------	---------------

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

bgs = Below ground surface btoc = Below top of casing

msl = Above mean sea level NA = Not available

During this monitoring event, the average depths to water beneath the former brine pond area and brine well area were 70.09 feet below ground surface (bgs) and 65.95 feet bgs, respectively. On average, water levels in the former brine pond area rose by approximately 1.25 feet since the last monitoring event in December 2019, while water levels in the brine well area declined by 0.61 foot.



Figures 2 and 3 present potentiometric surface maps for the former brine pond area and the brine well area, respectively. The direction of groundwater flow beneath the former brine pond area remains to the southeast; the hydraulic gradient was approximately 0.0036 foot per foot (ft/ft) during this reporting period (Figure 2). The direction of groundwater flow beneath the brine well area also remains to the southeast; the hydraulic gradient in this area was approximately 0.0071 ft/ft during this reporting period (Figure 3).

In May 2020, FWS-1 was taken offline for maintenance. FWS-1 was not pumping when groundwater levels were measured; as a result, the hydraulic gradient had flattened in the area of the pumping well and a cone of depression was not present (Figure 2). Well RW-2 was pumping during the period of the groundwater monitoring event. The potentiometric surface shows groundwater flowing toward RW-2 (Figure 3). Little groundwater was extracted from well FWS-2 during this reporting period.

#### 3.2 Groundwater Sampling

On June 23 and 24, 2020, groundwater samples were collected from monitor wells DBS-1R, DBS-2 through DBS-6, DBS-8 through DBS-10, MW-3, MW-5, and PMW-1. The samples were collected following standard sampling procedures developed from EPA guidance. Before sampling, each well was purged of a minimum of three casing volumes using a dedicated bailer to ensure that a representative groundwater sample was collected. While purging, DBS&A measured water quality field parameters consisting of temperature, specific conductance, and pH. Sample containers were filled, labeled, and placed in an ice-filled cooler. Groundwater samples were submitted under chain of custody to HEAL for analysis.

Samples of the brine well injection water and the produced brine were also collected to meet requirements under DP-BW-8. Analytical results of these samples will be presented in the 2020 annual Class III well report.



#### 4. Analytical Results

Table 2 summarizes chloride analytical results for the groundwater samples. Figures 4 and 5 show the distribution of chloride in groundwater beneath the former brine pond area and the brine well area, respectively. The laboratory report and chain of custody documentation are provided in Appendix A. Field notes recorded during groundwater monitoring activities are provided in Appendix B. Historical groundwater quality data are provided in Appendix C.

Monitor Well	Date	Chloride Concentration (mg/L)
NM	WQCC Standard	250
DBS-1R	6/23/2020	220
DBS-2	6/24/2020	66
DBS-3	6/24/2020	50
DBS-4	6/23/2020	35
DBS-5	6/23/2020	190
DBS-6	6/24/2020	230
DBS-8	6/24/2020	34
DBS-9	6/24/2020	360
DBS-10	6/24/2020	560
PMW-1	6/23/2020	11,000
MW-3	6/24/2020	6,400
MW-5	6/24/2020	660

Table 2. Chloride Groundwater Analytical Data, June 2020

**Bold** indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard. All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0. NMWQCC = New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission mg/L = Milligrams per liter

4.1 Former Brine Pond Area Wells

Well PMW-1, located just upgradient of FWS-1, continues to exhibit chloride concentrations above the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) standard of 250 milligrams per liter (mg/L) (Figure 4). Chloride concentration had decreased to 3,400 mg/L in December 2019, but rebounded to 11,000 mg/L during the June 2020 monitoring event (Appendix C).



The chloride concentration at well DBS-1R, located downgradient of well PMW-1, was 220 mg/L during this reporting period—below the NMWQCC standard (Figure 4). The chloride concentration at upgradient monitor well DBS-5 was 190 mg/L. The chloride plume in the former brine pond area remains bounded by the existing monitor well network (Figure 4). The chloride concentration at downgradient monitor well DBS-4 remains below the NMWQCC standard, as do chloride concentrations at the two cross-gradient monitor wells, DBS-2 and DBS-3.

#### 4.2 Brine Well Area Wells

Since the last monitoring event in December 2019, minor changes in chloride concentrations were observed at the monitor wells in the brine well area (Appendix C). Monitor wells MW-3 (the well closest to extraction well RW-2) and downgradient monitor wells MW-5 and DBS-10 continue to exhibit chloride concentrations above the NMWQCC standard (Figure 5).

The chloride concentration at cross-gradient monitor well DBS-6, which had exceeded the NMWQCC standard until June 2017, remained below the NMWQCC standard during this reporting period at 230 mg/L.

The chloride concentration at upgradient monitor well DBS-9 was 360 mg/L during this reporting period—exceeding the NMWQCC standard. Chloride concentration has fluctuated at DBS-9 (Appendix C).

Section 2A.1 of DP-BW-8 requires that PAB collect one groundwater sample to be analyzed for general chemistry and several other groundwater constituents. Monitor well MW-3 was selected for this additional analysis because it is located downgradient of the brine well. Groundwater at MW-3 has historically shown chloride impacts. Analytical results for the MW-3 sample are provided in Table 3.



	Concentration (mg/L <sup>a</sup> )				
	NMWQCC	MW-3			
Constituent	Standard	(6/23/2020)			
Alkalinity, total	NS	292.3			
Bicarbonate	NS	292.3			
Calcium, total	NS	970			
Carbonate	NS	<2.0			
Bromide	NS	1.6			
Chloride	250	6,400			
Fluoride	1.6	<1.0			
Magnesium, total	NS	160			
Nitrate (as N)	1.0	<4.0			
Nitrite (as N)	10.0	<4.0			
Orthophosphate (as P)	NS	<5.0			
pH (s.u.)	6–9	7.44			
Potassium, total	NS	16			
Sodium, total	NS	2,700			
Sulfate	600	350			
Total dissolved solids	1,000	11,200			

#### Table 3. Groundwater Analytical Results, MW-3

**Bold** indicates that value exceeds New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) standard.

<sup>a</sup> Unless otherwise noted

NS = No standard

s.u. = Standard units

#### 5. Groundwater Extraction System O&M

Groundwater extraction from fresh water supply well FWS-1 and recovery well RW-2 provides hydraulic containment and removal of chloride-impacted groundwater in the former brine pond area and brine well area, respectively. PAB began remedial groundwater extraction in April 2012 (Appendix C). Extracted groundwater is used as injection water at the brine well or sold as fresh water.

Table 4 shows the average groundwater extraction rates for the two wells during this reporting period. The rates were determined using fresh water production records, fresh water sales volumes, and totalizer flow meter readings.



Recovery Well	Date	Average Extraction Rate <sup>a</sup> (gpm)
FWS-1	6/23/2020	21.2
RW-2	6/23/2020	16.7

#### Table 4. Average Groundwater Extraction Rates

<sup>a</sup> Average extraction rates based on totalizer flow meter readings and/or fresh water production records.
 gpm = Gallons per minute

#### 5.1 Former Brine Pond Area

Based on Salty Dog production records from December 2019 through June 2020, the average pumping rate at well FWS-1 during this reporting period was 21.2 gallons per minute (gpm) (Table 3). Pumping at FWS-1 decreased slightly from the previous reporting period due to a decline in brine sales, as well as maintenance performed on the pump. The average pumping rate during the previous reporting period was 27.7 gpm (Appendix C).

In the former brine pond area, monitor well PMW-1 is currently the only well to exhibit a chloride concentration above the NMWQCC standard (Figure 4). Pumping at FWS-1 is preventing the downgradient migration of chloride-impacted groundwater. The chloride concentrations at wells DBS-1R and DBS-4, both located downgradient of well PMW-1, are below the NMWQCC standard (Figure 4).

#### 5.2 Brine Well Area

During this reporting period, the average pumping rate at well RW-2 was 16.7 gpm (Table 3), which is slightly higher than the average pumping rate during the previous reporting period. The average pumping rate during the previous reporting period was 14.9 gpm (Appendix C). According to PAB site manager Adam Sitton, well RW-2 became the primary pumping well in late April 2020 due to operational issues with the FWS-1 pump.

Downgradient monitor wells DBS-10 and MW-5 exhibit chloride concentrations above the NMWQCC standard (Figure 5). The chloride concentration at DBS-10 increased slightly from



540 mg/L (December 2019) to 560 mg/L (June 2020), while the chloride concentration at MW-5 increased from 550 mg/L (December 2019) to 660 mg/L (June 2020) (Appendix C). The chloride concentration at cross-gradient monitor well DBS-6 met the NMWQCC standard during this reporting period (Table 2). Water quality samples collected at this well have exceeded the NMWQCC standard in the past (Appendix C).

Pumping at well RW-2 is providing hydraulic containment and removal of chloride-impacted groundwater originating from the area upgradient of the recovery well. Pumping at this recovery well is preventing further degradation of downgradient and cross-gradient water quality. Chloride concentrations at monitor wells MW-5 and DBS-6 have decreased since PAB began remedial groundwater extraction at well RW-2 (Appendix C).

#### 5.3 Facility and System Maintenance

In May and June 2020, PAB serviced the pump at fresh water supply well FWS-1. The pump was not operating when groundwater monitoring was conducted during this reporting period. The pump was restored to normal operation in July 2020.

On July 20, 2020, Basin Surveys surveyed the five surface subsidence monitoring points that were installed at the site in March 2018 (DBS&A, 2018). The survey was conducted in accordance with Condition 2.B.1 of DP-BW-8 (NMEMNRD OCD, 2019). Results of the survey were reported to Carl Chavez on August 7, 2020, and will be included in the 2020 annual Class III well report.

#### 6. Recommendations

Based on the current groundwater monitoring results and site O&M activities, DBS&A has the following recommendations:

• Continue groundwater extraction at FWS-1 to provide hydraulic containment and removal of the chloride plume in the former brine pond area.



- Continue groundwater extraction at RW-2 to provide hydraulic containment and removal of the chloride plume in the brine well area.
- To the extent practical, attempt to balance groundwater extraction between FWS-1 and RW-2.

In addition, DBS&A and PAB will complete the following activities at the site in 2020 to meet the requirements of DP BW-8:

- Continue to conduct semiannual groundwater monitoring and O&M of the extraction systems at the site.
- Conduct semiannual surveys of the surface subsidence survey monitoring points.
- Prepare a 2020 annual Class III well report for submittal to OCD.



#### References

- Daniel B. Stephens & Associates (DBS&A). 2009a. Recovery well installation and pump test report, Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico. Prepared for New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau. November 20, 2009.
- DBS&A. 2009b. *Preliminary conceptual remedial design report, Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico.* Prepared for New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau. December 31, 2009.
- DBS&A. 2018. Letter report from John Ayarbe and Michael D. McVey to Carl Chavez, New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau, regarding Installation of a monitor well and subsidence survey monitoring points at the Salty Dog Brine Station (API No. 30-025-26307). June 25, 2018.
- New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Department (NMEMNRD OCD). 2019. Discharge Permit (BW-8), Standard Energy, UIC Class III Brine Well Brine Supply Well No.1 API No. 30-025-26307 UL: J Section 5 Township 19 South, Range 36 East, Lea County, New Mexico. May 17, 2019.

Figures









Figure 4



Red indicates concentration equal to or greater than the NMWQCC standard.

Playa Lake and Brine Well Area Chloride Concentrations in Groundwater June 2020

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. 8/12/2020 JN DB19.1198.00

Appendix A

Laboratory Analytical Report



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: clients.hallenvironmental.com

July 09, 2020

John Ayarbe Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc. 6020 Academy NE Suite 100 Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: FAX:

OrderNo.: 2006E69

RE: Salty Dog

Dear John Ayarbe:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 14 sample(s) on 6/26/2020 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

Andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis	s Laboratory, In	c.		Date Reported: 7/9/202	20
CLIENT: Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Client	Sample I	D:DBS-1R	
<b>Project:</b> Salty Dog	Collection Date: 6/23/2020 5:38:00 PM				
Lab ID: 2006E69-001	Matrix: GROUND	WA Rec	ceived Dat	e: 6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM	[
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS				Analys	st: MRA
Chloride	220	50	mg/L	100 7/1/2020 9:56:11 PM	A70083

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceededND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit
- Page 1 of 20

Hall Environmental Analysis	S Laboratory, Inc.				Date Reported: 7/9/202	0
CLIENT: Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Clier	nt Sample II	D: DE	3S-2	
<b>Project:</b> Salty Dog	<b>roject:</b> Salty Dog <b>Collection Date:</b> 6/24/2020 8:55:00 AM					
Lab ID: 2006E69-002	Matrix: GROUNDWA	R	eceived Dat	<b>e:</b> 6/2	6/2020 11:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: MRA
Chloride	66	5.0	mg/L	10	7/1/2020 10:09:02 PM	A70083

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 20

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Hall Environmental Analysis	s Laboratory, I	nc.		Da	te Reported: 7/9/202	0
CLIENT: Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Client	Sample I	D:DBS-3	3	
<b>Project:</b> Salty Dog	Collection Date: 6/24/2020 10:16:00 AM					
Lab ID: 2006E69-003	Matrix: GROUNI	DWA Re	ceived Dat	e: 6/26/2	020 11:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF Da	te Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	MRA
Chloride	50	5.0	mg/L	10 7/	1/2020 10:34:47 PM	A70083

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 20

10 7/1/2020 11:00:31 PM A70083

Hall E	nvironmental Analysis	Laboratory, Inc.		Date Reported: 7/9/20	)20
CLIENT:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Client Sample	ID: DBS-4	
Project:         Salty Dog         Collection Date: 6/23/2020 6:50:00				ate: 6/23/2020 6:50:00 PM	
Lab ID:	2006E69-004	Matrix: GROUNDWA	Received Da	ate: 6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM	1
Analyses		Result F	RL Qual Units	B DF Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA ME	THOD 300.0: ANIONS			Analy	st: MRA

5.0

mg/L

35

Chloride

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limitsP Sample pH Not In Range
- P Sample pH Not In RL Reporting Limit
- ]

Page 4 of 20

10 7/1/2020 11:52:00 PM A70083

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.				Date Reported: 7/9/2020			
CLIENT:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		С	lient Sample I	<b>D:</b> DBS-5		
Project:	Salty Dog			Collection Dat	te: 6/23/2020 5:10:00 PM		
Lab ID:	2006E69-005	Matrix: GROUNDWA	4	Received Dat	te: 6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM	1	
Analyses	8	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS Analyst: MF					st: MRA		

5.0

mg/L

190

Chloride

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- JAnalyte detected below quantitation limitsPSample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 20

100 7/2/2020 12:30:37 AM A70083

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.         Date Report					20
CLIENT:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Client Sample I	D:DBS-6	
Project:	Salty Dog		<b>Collection Dat</b>	te: 6/24/2020 3:00:00 PM	
Lab ID:	2006E69-006	Matrix: GROUNDWA	Received Dat	te: 6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM	[
Analyses		Result R	L Qual Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA MET	THOD 300.0: ANIONS			Analys	st: MRA

50

mg/L

230

Chloride

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- JAnalyte detected below quantitation limitsPSample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 20

Hall Environmental Analysi	s Laboratory, Inc	inc.			Date Reported: 7/9/2020	
CLIENT: Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Clier	nt Sample II	D: DE	S-8	
<b>Project:</b> Salty Dog		Co	llection Dat	e: 6/2	4/2020 12:45:00 PM	
Lab ID: 2006E69-007	Matrix: GROUNDW	A R	eceived Dat	e: 6/2	6/2020 11:15:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: MRA
Chloride	34	5.0	mg/L	10	7/2/2020 12:43:29 AM	A70083

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 20

100 7/2/2020 1:22:08 AM

Analyst: MRA

A70083

Hall Er	vironmental Analysis	Date Reported: 7/9/2020			
CLIENT:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Client Sample I	<b>D:</b> DBS-9	
Project:	Salty Dog		Collection Dat	te: 6/24/2020 10:55:00 AM	
Lab ID:	2006E69-008	Matrix: GROUNDWA	Received Dat	te: 6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM	
Analyses		Result RI	L Qual Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch

360

50

\*

mg/L

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory Inc

**EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** Chloride

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range RL Reporting Limit
- Page 8 of 20

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.				Date Reported: 7/9/2020				
CLIENT: Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Cl	ient Sa	ample I	<b>D:</b> DBS-10			
<b>Project:</b> Salty Dog		(	Collect	tion Dat	<b>te:</b> 6/24/2020 11:26:00 AM			
Lab ID: 2006E69-009	Matrix: GROUND	WA	Recei	ved Dat	<b>te:</b> 6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	MRA		
Chloride	560	50	*	mg/L	100 7/2/2020 1:47:51 AM	A70083		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 9 of 20

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

B Analyte detected in the associated Method BlankE Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 10 of 20

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information	Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information
--	--

Qualifiers: \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

**EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS** 

Chloride

- D Sample Diluted Due to MatrixH Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

1E+ 7/2/2020 2:26:26 AM

Analyst: MRA

A70083

**Analytical Report** Lab Order 2006E69 Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc. Date Reported: 7/9/2020 CLIENT: Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc. Client Sample ID: PWM-1 **Project:** Salty Dog Collection Date: 6/23/2020 6:21:00 PM Lab ID: 2006E69-010 Matrix: GROUNDWA Received Date: 6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM Analyses Result **RL** Qual Units **DF** Date Analyzed Batch

11000

\*

mg/L

500
**Analytical Report** Lab Order 2006E69

100 7/2/2020 3:30:44 AM

A70083

Hall E	nvironmental Analysis	Date Reported: 7/9/2020					
CLIENT: Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc. Client Sample ID: MW-5							
<b>Project:</b>	Salty Dog		С	ollect	ion Dat	te: 6/24/2020 2:35:00 P	M
Lab ID:	2006E69-011	Matrix: GROUNDWA	. 1	Receiv	ved Dat	te: 6/26/2020 11:15:00	AM
Analyses	3	<b>Result</b>	RL (	Qual	Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS Analyst: MRA							

50 \*

mg/L

660

Chloride

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 11 of 20

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**Analytical Report** Lab Order 2006E69

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 7/9/2020

CLIENT:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.	Client Sample ID: MW-3											
Project:	Salty Dog	Collection Date: 6/24/2020 4:38:00 PM											
Lab ID:	2006E69-012	Matrix: GRO	UNDWA	Received Date: (			6/26/2020 11:15:00 AM						
Analyses	3	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch					
SPECIFI	C GRAVITY						Analyst:	CAS					
Specific	Gravity	0.9969	0			1	7/1/2020 2:10:00 PM	R70056					
EPA ME	THOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst:	CJS					
Fluoride		ND	1.0		mg/L	10	7/2/2020 12:31:13 PM	R70134					
Chloride		6400	250	*	mg/L	500	7/8/2020 12:53:31 AM	A70164					
Bromide	)	1.6	1.0		mg/L	10	7/2/2020 12:31:13 PM	R70134					
Phospho	orus, Orthophosphate (As P)	ND	5.0	Н	mg/L	10	7/2/2020 12:31:13 PM	R70134					
Sulfate		350	5.0	*	mg/L	10	7/2/2020 12:31:13 PM	R70134					
Nitrate+	Nitrite as N	ND	4.0		mg/L	20	7/8/2020 1:43:08 AM	A70164					
SM2510	B: SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE						Analyst:	JRR					
Conduct	tivity	19000	50		µmhos/c	5	6/30/2020 11:57:39 AM	R70035					
SM23208	B: ALKALINITY						Analyst:	JRR					
Bicarbor	nate (As CaCO3)	292.3	20.00		mg/L Ca	1	6/30/2020 11:13:19 AM	R70035					
Carbona	ate (As CaCO3)	ND	2.000		mg/L Ca	1	6/30/2020 11:13:19 AM	R70035					
Total All	calinity (as CaCO3)	292.3	20.00		mg/L Ca	1	6/30/2020 11:13:19 AM	R70035					
SM25400	C MOD: TOTAL DISSOLVED SO	LIDS					Analyst:	KS					
Total Dis	ssolved Solids	11200	20.0	*H	mg/L	1	7/6/2020 6:07:00 PM	53476					
SM4500-	H+B / 9040C: PH						Analyst:	JRR					
pН		7.44		Н	pH units	1	6/29/2020 4:27:55 PM	R69980					
EPA 601	0B: TOTAL RECOVERABLE ME	TALS					Analyst:	ELS					
Calcium		970	10		mg/L	10	6/30/2020 1:41:26 PM	53392					
Magnes	ium	160	10		mg/L	10	6/30/2020 1:41:26 PM	53392					
Potassiu	ım	16	1.0		mg/L	1	6/30/2020 12:41:42 PM	53392					
Sodium		2700	50		mg/L	50	7/1/2020 12:09:54 PM	53392					

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. **Qualifiers:** 

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix Н

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

Е Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range RL

Reporting Limit

Page 12 of 20

Analytical Report
Lab Order 2006E69

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 7/9/2020

CLIENT:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.		Cl	lient Sa	ample ID	: Bri	ne	
Project:	Salty Dog		(	Collect	ion Date	: 6/2	4/2020 5:20:00 PM	
Lab ID:	2006E69-013	Matrix: GROUN	DWA	Receiv	ved Date	: 6/2	6/2020 11:15:00 AM	
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
SPECIFIC	CGRAVITY						Analyst	CAS
Specific	Gravity	1.191	0			1	7/1/2020 2:10:00 PM	R70056
EPA MET	HOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	JMT
Chloride		210000	10000	*	mg/L	2E+	- 7/8/2020 1:30:44 AM	A70164
SM2540C	MOD: TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLI	DS					Analyst	KS
Total Dis	solved Solids	279000	2000	*HD	mg/L	1	7/6/2020 6:07:00 PM	53476
SM4500-I	H+B / 9040C: PH						Analyst	JRR
pН		7.17		Н	pH units	1	6/29/2020 4:42:22 PM	R69980
EPA 6010	B: TOTAL RECOVERABLE META	LS					Analyst	ELS
Sodium		78000	2000		mg/L	2E+	- 7/1/2020 12:12:44 PM	53392

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 13 of 20

Analytical Report

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order **2006E69** 

Date Reported: 7/9/2020

CLIENT: Project:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc. Salty Dog		CI	ient Sa Collect	ample ID ion Date	: Inje : 6/2	ection 4/2020 5:00:00 PM	
Lab ID:	2006E69-014	Matrix: GROUN	DWA	Receiv	ved Date	<b>::</b> 6/2	6/2020 11:15:00 AM	
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
SPECIFIC	GRAVITY						Analyst:	CAS
Specific	Gravity	0.9900	0			1	7/1/2020 2:10:00 PM	R70056
EPA MET	HOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst:	CJS
Chloride		500	50	*	mg/L	100	7/2/2020 1:58:05 PM	R70134
SM2540C	MOD: TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLI	DS					Analyst:	KS
Total Dis	solved Solids	1210	20.0	*H	mg/L	1	7/6/2020 6:07:00 PM	53476
SM4500-I	H+B / 9040C: PH						Analyst:	JRR
рН		7.96		Н	pH units	1	6/29/2020 4:46:16 PM	R69980
EPA 6010	B: TOTAL RECOVERABLE MET	ALS					Analyst:	ELS
Sodium		310	5.0		mg/L	5	7/1/2020 12:15:24 PM	53392

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

Page 14 of 20



# ANALYTICAL REPORT

L1234733

06/30/2020

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

Sample Delivery Group:

Samples Received:

Project Number:

Description:

Report To:

Jackie Bolte 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Jason Romer Project Manager

Results relate only to the items tested or calibrated and are reported as rounded values. This test report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval of the laboratory. Where applicable, sampling conducted by Pace Analytical National is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures ENV-SOP-MTJL-0067 and ENV-SOP-MTJL-0068. Where sampling conducted by the customer, results relate to the accuracy of the information provided, and as the samples are received.

PROJECT:

SDG: L1234733 DATE/TIME: 07/07/20 10:44

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	×	
1	Ср	

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

ΆI

Sc

1
2
3
4
5
5
6
6
7
8
9

SDG: L1234733

DATE/TIME: 07/07/20 10:44

# SAMPLE SUMMARY

ONE LAB. NATIONWIDE.

2006E69-012C MW-3 L1234733-01 GW			Collected by	Collected date/time 06/24/20 16:38	Received date/ 06/30/20 08:4	time 5
Method	Batch	Dilution	Preparation date/time	Analysis date/time	Analyst	Location
Wet Chemistry by Method 2580	WG1504198	1	07/06/20 06:00	07/06/20 06:00	AKA	Mt. Juliet, TN

<sup>2</sup> Tc
³Ss
<sup>4</sup> Cn
<sup>5</sup> Sr
<sup>6</sup> Qc
<sup>7</sup> Gl
<sup>8</sup> Al
<sup>9</sup> Sc

-

Ср

# CASE NARRATIVE

\*

All sample aliquots were received at the correct temperature, in the proper containers, with the appropriate preservatives, and within method specified holding times, unless qualified or notated within the report. Where applicable, all MDL (LOD) and RDL (LOQ) values reported for environmental samples have been corrected for the dilution factor used in the analysis. All Method and Batch Quality Control are within established criteria except where addressed in this case narrative, a non-conformance form or properly qualified within the sample results. By my digital signature below, I affirm to the best of my knowledge, all problems/anomalies observed by the laboratory as having the potential to affect the quality of the data have been identified by the laboratory, and no information or data have been knowingly withheld that would affect the quality of the data.

Jason Romer Project Manager



SDG: L1234733 DATE/TIME: 07/07/20 10:44

PAGE: 4 of 10

#### SAMPLE RESULTS - 01 L1234733



#### Wet Chemistry by Method 2580

	, ,						1° Ch
		Result	Qualifier	Dilution	Analysis	Batch	
Analyte		mV			date / time		2
ORP		289		1	07/06/2020 06:00	WG1504198	Tc



$\infty$	
~	
$\mathbf{O}$	
-	
-	
N	
$\mathbf{O}$	
5	
<b>U</b> )	
7	
U.	
-	
<	
-	

QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

# Ss Б ğ Ч ភ $\overline{\mathbb{O}}$ DUP Diff Limits Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) • Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate (LCSD) DUP Diff Limits ЛV ЛV 20 20 **DUP** Qualifier DUP Qualifier Dilution DUP Diff Dilution DUP Diff (OS) L1235746-05 07/06/20 06:00 • (DUP) R3546210-4 07/06/20 06:00 (OS) L1234733-01 07/06/20 06:00 • (DUP) R3546210-3 07/06/20 06:00 0.400 L1235746-05 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP) L1234733-01 Original Sample (OS) • Duplicate (DUP) МV МV 1.00 <u>\_</u> Original Result DUP Result Original Result DUP Result 228 289 ЛV Ъ Wet Chemistry by Method 2580 МV ЛV 229 289 Analyte ORP Analyte ORP

\$00	LCS Rec. LCSD Rec. Rec. Limits LCS Qualifier LCSD Qualifier Diff Diff Limits	% % MV MV	98.4 99.3 86.0-105 1.90 20
	CS Qualifier LCSD Qual		
	Rec. Limits LC.	%	86.0-105
	LCSD Rec.	%	99.3
00:90	LCS Rec.	%	98.4
-2 07/06/20 (	LCSD Result	шV	226
SD) R3546210	LCS Result	шV	224
3/20 06:00 • (LC:	Spike Amount	шV	228
(LCS) R3546210-1 07/06		Analyte	ORP

Sc

₹

DATE/TIME: 07/07/20 10:44

**PAGE:** 6 of 10

# GLOSSARY OF TERMS

# \*

Τс

Ss

Cn

Sr

Qc

GI

AI

Sc

#### Guide to Reading and Understanding Your Laboratory Report

The information below is designed to better explain the various terms used in your report of analytical results from the Laboratory. This is not intended as a comprehensive explanation, and if you have additional questions please contact your project representative.

Results Disclaimer - Information that may be provided by the customer, and contained within this report, include Permit Limits, Project Name, Sample ID, Sample Matrix, Sample Preservation, Field Blanks, Field Spikes, Field Duplicates, On-Site Data, Sampling Collection Dates/Times, and Sampling Location. Results relate to the accuracy of this information provided, and as the samples are received.

#### Abbreviations and Definitions

Rec.	Recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference.
SDG	Sample Delivery Group.
Analyte	The name of the particular compound or analysis performed. Some Analyses and Methods will have multiple analytes reported.
Dilution	If the sample matrix contains an interfering material, the sample preparation volume or weight values differ from the standard, or if concentrations of analytes in the sample are higher than the highest limit of concentration that the laboratory can accurately report, the sample may be diluted for analysis. If a value different than 1 is used in this field, the result reported has already been corrected for this factor.
Limits	These are the target % recovery ranges or % difference value that the laboratory has historically determined as normal for the method and analyte being reported. Successful QC Sample analysis will target all analytes recovered or duplicated within these ranges.
Original Sample	The non-spiked sample in the prep batch used to determine the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) from a quality control sample. The Original Sample may not be included within the reported SDG.
Qualifier	This column provides a letter and/or number designation that corresponds to additional information concerning the result reported. If a Qualifier is present, a definition per Qualifier is provided within the Glossary and Definitions page and potentially a discussion of possible implications of the Qualifier in the Case Narrative if applicable.
Result	The actual analytical final result (corrected for any sample specific characteristics) reported for your sample. If there was no measurable result returned for a specific analyte, the result in this column may state "ND" (Not Detected) or "BDL" (Below Detectable Levels). The information in the results column should always be accompanied by either an MDL (Method Detection Limit) or RDL (Reporting Detection Limit) that defines the lowest value that the laboratory could detect or report for this analyte.
Uncertainty (Radiochemistry)	Confidence level of 2 sigma.
Case Narrative (Cn)	A brief discussion about the included sample results, including a discussion of any non-conformances to protocol observed either at sample receipt by the laboratory from the field or during the analytical process. If present, there will be a section in the Case Narrative to discuss the meaning of any data qualifiers used in the report.
Quality Control Summary (Qc)	This section of the report includes the results of the laboratory quality control analyses required by procedure or analytical methods to assist in evaluating the validity of the results reported for your samples. These analyses are not being performed on your samples typically, but on laboratory generated material.
Sample Chain of Custody (Sc)	This is the document created in the field when your samples were initially collected. This is used to verify the time and date of collection, the person collecting the samples, and the analyses that the laboratory is requested to perform. This chain of custody also documents all persons (excluding commercial shippers) that have had control or possession of the samples from the time of collection until delivery to the laboratory for analysis.
Sample Results (Sr)	This section of your report will provide the results of all testing performed on your samples. These results are provided by sample ID and are separated by the analyses performed on each sample. The header line of each analysis section for each sample will provide the name and method number for the analysis reported.
Sample Summary (Ss)	This section of the Analytical Report defines the specific analyses performed for each sample ID, including the dates and times of preparation and/or analysis.
Qualifier	Description
	The remainder of this page intentionally left blank, there are no qualifiers applied to this SDG.

SDG: L1234733

# **ACCREDITATIONS & LOCATIONS**

Pace National is the only environmental laboratory accredited/certified to support your work nationwide from one location. One phone call, one point of contact, one laboratory. No other lab is as accessible or prepared to handle your needs throughout the country. Our capacity and capability from our single location laboratory is comparable to the collective totals of the network laboratories in our industry. The most significant benefit to our one location design is the design of our laboratory campus. The model is conducive to accelerated productivity, decreasing turn-around time, and preventing cross contamination, thus protecting sample integrity. Our focus on premium quality and prompt service allows us to be YOUR LAB OF CHOICE.
\* Not all certifications held by the laboratory are applicable to the results reported in the attached report.
\* Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by Pace National.

#### State Accreditations

Alabama	40660
Alaska	17-026
Arizona	AZ0612
Arkansas	88-0469
California	2932
Colorado	TN00003
Connecticut	PH-0197
Florida	E87487
Georgia	NELAP
Georgia <sup>1</sup>	923
Idaho	TN00003
Illinois	200008
Indiana	C-TN-01
lowa	364
Kansas	E-10277
Kentucky <sup>16</sup>	90010
Kentucky <sup>2</sup>	16
Louisiana	Al30792
Louisiana <sup>1</sup>	LA180010
Maine	TN0002
Maryland	324
Massachusetts	M-TN003
Michigan	9958
Minnesota	047-999-395
Mississippi	TN00003
Missouri	340
Montana	CERT0086

Nebraska	NE-OS-15-05
Nevada	TN-03-2002-34
New Hampshire	2975
New Jersey–NELAP	TN002
New Mexico 1	n/a
New York	11742
North Carolina	Env375
North Carolina <sup>1</sup>	DW21704
North Carolina <sup>3</sup>	41
North Dakota	R-140
Ohio-VAP	CL0069
Oklahoma	9915
Oregon	TN200002
Pennsylvania	68-02979
Rhode Island	LAO00356
South Carolina	84004
South Dakota	n/a
Tennessee <sup>14</sup>	2006
Texas	T104704245-18-15
Texas ⁵	LAB0152
Utah	TN00003
Vermont	VT2006
Virginia	460132
Washington	C847
West Virginia	233
Wisconsin	9980939910
Wyoming	A2LA

#### Third Party Federal Accreditations

A2LA – ISO 17025	1461.01	AIHA-LAP,LLC EMLAP	100789
A2LA – ISO 17025 <sup>5</sup>	1461.02	DOD	1461.01
Canada	1461.01	USDA	P330-15-00234
EPA-Crypto	TN00003		

<sup>1</sup> Drinking Water <sup>2</sup> Underground Storage Tanks <sup>3</sup> Aquatic Toxicity <sup>4</sup> Chemical/Microbiological <sup>5</sup> Mold <sup>6</sup> Wastewater n/a Accreditation not applicable

#### **Our Locations**

Pace National has sixty-four client support centers that provide sample pickup and/or the delivery of sampling supplies. If you would like assistance from one of our support offices, please contact our main office. Pace National performs all testing at our central laboratory.



ACCOUNT: Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory PROJECT:

SDG: L1234733

DATE/TIME: 07/07/20 10:44



ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ANALYSIS HALL

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD PAGE 1

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory

-OF:

4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975

FAX: 505-345-4107

ESTHENU Website: clients.hallenvironmental.com

				10,
(615) 758-5859		D021	AL COMMENTS	
FAX:	EMAIL		NALYTIC	
(800) 767-5859			* CONTAINERS	1 ORP
PHONE	ACCOUNT#		COLLECTION DATE	24/2020 4:38:00 PM
			MATRIX	Groundw 6/2
ACE TN			BOTTLE TYPE	125HDP
COMPANY PA		2	MPLE ID	
N	Lebanon Rd	iet, TN 3712	CLIENT SA	MW-3
NTRATOR Pace T	120651	ATE, ZIP. Mt. Jul	SAMPLE	2006E69-012C
SUB CO	ADDRES	CITY, ST	ITEM	1

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS / COMMENTS:

SK I ONLINE Temp of samples 42 20042 Manuampt to Cool? REPORT TRANSMITTAL DESIRED. EMAIL. FOR LAB USE ONLY Please include the LAB ID and the CLIENT SAMPLE ID on all final reports. Please e-mail results to lab@hallenvironmental.com. Please return all coolers and blue ice. Thank you. T FAX HARDCOPY (extra cost) Cyris Bry Time: Time: 3rd BD Date: Date: 2nd BD Next BD Received By: Received By: ved By: Time: 10:30 AM RUSH Time: Time: 6/29/2020 Date: Date Date: andard Sam TAT: Relinquished By: Rehnquished By: Relinquished By:

Pace Analytical National Center for Testing & Innovation         Cooler Receipt Form         Client:       Noukinant         Cooler Receipt Form         Cooler Receipt Form         Cooler Received/Opened On:       6 130 1 20         Temperature:       4.2         Received By:       joey brent         Signature:       NP         Receipt Check List       NP         Constrate?       Constrate?         Bottles arrive intact?       Constrate?         Correct bottles used?       Correct bottles used?	vation (1234133
Cooler Receipt Form         Client:       HoluKiwArM         Cooler Received/Opened On:       6 /30 / 20       Temperature:       4.2         Cooler Received/Opened On:       6 /30 / 20       Temperature:       4.2         Received By:       joey brent       7       4.2         Signature:       NP       NP       Ye         Receipt Check List       NP       NP       Ye         COC Sal Present / Intact?       COC Signed / Accurate?       NP       Ye         Bottles arrive intact?       Correct bottles used?       NP       Ye         Sufficient volume sent?       Correct bottles used?       NP       Ye	d.2
Client:       HoluKWAr/M         Cooler Received/Opened On:       6 130 1 20       Temperature:       4.2         Received By:       joey brent       7       4.2         Received By:       joey brent       7       4.2         Signature:       7       7       4.2         Costanture:       7       7       4.2         Signature:       7       7       4.2         Costanture:       7       7       4.2         Costant Anter?       7       7       4.2         Costant Anter?       7       7       4.2         Costant Anter?       7       7       4.2         Bottles arrive intact?       7       4.2       4.2         Costant volume sent?       7       4.2       4.2         Sufficient volume sent?       7       4.2       4.2         Sufficient volume sent?       7       4.2       4.2         Sufficient volume sent?       7	L.2 (1034133
Cooler Received/Opened On:       6       130       1       20       Temperature:       4.2         Received By:       joey brent          4.2         Received By:       joey brent          4.2         Signature:            4.2         Signature:            4.2         Coc Seal Present / Intact?           Ye         CoC Signed / Accurate?           Ye         Bottles arrive intact?            Ye         Sufficient volume sent?            Ye	42
Received By:       joey brent         Signature:       NP         Signature:       NP         Receipt Check List       NP         COC Seal Present / Intact?       NP         COC Signed / Accurate?       NP         Bottles arrive intact?       Sufficient volume sent?	Configuration of the state of t
Signature: Receipt Check List COC Seal Present / Intact? COC Signed / Accurate? Bottles arrive intact? Correct bottles used? Sufficient volume sent?	
Receipt Check List       NP       Ye         COC Seal Present / Intact?       COC Signed / Accurate?       Ye         COC Signed / Accurate?       Sufficient volume sent?       Ye         Sufficient volume sent?       Sufficient volume sent?       Ye	
Receipt Check List     NP     Ye       COC Seal Present / Intact?     COC Signed / Accurate?        COC Signed / Accurate?         Bottles arrive intact?         Correct bottles used?         Sufficient volume sent?	
COC Seal Present / Intact? COC Signed / Accurate? Bottles arrive intact? Correct bottles used? Sufficient volume sent?	Yes No
COC Signed / Accurate? Bottles arrive intact? Correct bottles used? Sufficient volume sent?	
Bottles arrive intact? Correct bottles used? Sufficient volume sent?	
Correct bottles used? Sufficient volume sent?	
Sufficient volume sent?	1
	>
If Applicable	
VOA Zero headspace?	The submitted states and submitted states
Preservation Correct / Checked?	

륑

# QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:		Daniel B. Salty Dog	Stephens	s & Asso	ос.							
Sample ID:	MB		Samp	Type: mb	olk	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	PBW		Batch ID: A70083			F	RunNo: 70083					
Prep Date:			Analysis	Date: 7/	1/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	434998	Units: mg/L			
Analyte			Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride			ND	0.50								
Sample ID:	LCS		Samp	Type: Ics	5	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anions	6		
Client ID:	LCSW		Bato	h ID: A7	0083	F	RunNo: 7	0083				
Prep Date:			Analysis	Date: 7/	1/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	434999	Units: mg/L			
Analyte			Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride			4.9	0.50	5.000	0	97.8	90	110			
Sample ID:	MB		Samp	Type: mb	olk	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anions	;		
Client ID:	PBW		Bato	h ID: <b>R7</b>	0134	F	RunNo: 7	0134				
Prep Date:			Analysis	Date: 7/	2/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	437168	Units: mg/L			
Analyte			Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Fluoride			ND	0.10								
Chloride			ND	0.50								
Bromide			ND	0.10								
Phosphorus, C	Orthophosph	nate (As P	ND	0.50								
Sulfate			ND	0.50								
Sample ID:	LCS		Samp	Type: Ics	6	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	LCSW		Bato	h ID: <b>R7</b>	0134	F	RunNo: 7	0134				
Prep Date:			Analysis	Date: 7/	2/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	437169	Units: mg/L			
Analyte			Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Fluoride			0.51	0.10	0.5000	0	103	90	110			
Chloride			4.9	0.50	5.000	0	98.4	90	110			
Bromide			2.5	0.10	2.500	0	102	90	110			
Phosphorus, C	urtnopnospr	iate (As P	4.8	0.50	5.000	0	96.9	90	110			
Sullate			9.0	0.50	10.00	0	90.3	90	110			
Sample ID:	2006E69	9-012AMS	Samp	Type: <b>ms</b>	5	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	MW-3		Bato	h ID: <b>R7</b>	0134	F	RunNo: 7	0134				
Prep Date:			Analysis	Date: 7/	2/2020	ç	SeqNo: 24	437180	Units: mg/L			
Analyte			Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Fluoride			4.4	1.0	5.000	0	87.3	70.2	118			
Bromide			27	1.0	25.00	1.588	101	87.5	104			
Sulfate			480	5.0	100.0	354.7	121	91.2	105			S

#### Qualifiers:

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

WO#: 2006E69 09-Jul-20

# **QC SUMMARY REPORT** Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 2006E69

#### **Client:** Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc. **Project:** Salty Dog

Sample ID:	2006E69-012AMS	D SampT	ype: ms	sd	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	MW-3	Batch ID: <b>R70134</b>			F	RunNo: 7	0134				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 7/	2/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	437181	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Fluoride		4.4	1.0	5.000	0	87.5	70.2	118	0.229	20	
Bromide		26	1.0	25.00	1.588	99.5	87.5	104	1.31	20	
Sulfate		460	5.0	100.0	354.7	106	91.2	105	3.26	20	S
Sample ID:	МВ	SampT	olk	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions						
Client ID:	PBW	Batch ID: A70164			F	RunNo: 70164					
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 7/	8/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	438254	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	0.50								
Nitrate+Nitrite	as N	ND	0.20								
Sample ID:	LCS	SampT	ype: Ics	5	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anions	\$		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch	n ID: <b>A7</b>	0164	F	RunNo: 7	0164				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 7/	8/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	438255	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		4.9	0.50	5.000	0	97.3	90	110			
Nitrate+Nitrite	as N	3.5	0.20	3.500	0	98.6	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 16 of 20

<sup>09-</sup>Jul-20

Client:	Daniel B.	Stephens & Assoc.					
Project:	Salty Dog	Salty Dog					
Sample ID: Ic:	s-1 99.5uS eC	SampType: Ics	TestCod				

Sample ID: Ics-1 99.5uS eC	SampType: Ics			TestCode: SM2510B: Specific Conductance						
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: R70035			RunNo: 70035						
Prep Date:	Analysis Da	ate: 6/3	30/2020	S	eqNo: 24	433192	Units: µmho	s/cm		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Conductivity	99	10	99.50	0	99.7	85	115			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

# **QC SUMMARY REPORT** Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 2006E69 09-Jul-20

Client: Project:	Daniel B. Salty Dog	Stephens g	& Ass	oc.							
Sample ID:	MB-53392	Samp	Гуре: М	IBLK	Tes	TestCode: EPA 6010B: Total Recoverable Metals					
Client ID:	PBW	Batc	Batch ID: 53392			RunNo: 70033					
Prep Date:	6/29/2020	Analysis [	Date: 6	5/30/2020	5	SeqNo: 24	432951	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium		ND	1.0	)							
Potassium		ND	1.0	)							
Sample ID:	LCS-53392	Samp	Type: L	cs	Tes	tCode: EF	PA 6010B: 1	Total Recover	able Meta	als	
Client ID:	LCSW	Batc	h ID: 5	3392	F	RunNo: 70	0033				
Prep Date:	6/29/2020	Analysis [	Date: 6	6/30/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	432952	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Calcium		52	1.0	50.00	0	104	80	120			
Magnesium		53	1.0	50.00	0	106	80	120			
Potassium		51	1.0	) 50.00	0	102	80	120			
Sample ID:	MB-53392	MB-53392 SampType: MBLK				tCode: EF	PA 6010B: '	Total Recover	able Meta	als	
Client ID:	PBW	Batc	h ID: 5	3392	RunNo: 70048						
Prep Date:	6/29/2020	Analysis [	Date: 7	7/1/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	433650	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sodium		ND	1.0	)							
Sample ID:	LCS-53392	Samp	Type: L	cs	Tes	tCode: EF	PA 6010B:	Total Recover	able Meta	als	
Client ID:	LCSW	Batc	h ID: 5	3392	RunNo: 70048						
Prep Date:	6/29/2020	Analysis [	Date: 7	7/1/2020	5	SeqNo: 24	433651	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sodium		50	1.0	50.00	0	100	80	120			
Sample ID:	2006E69-014BMS	Samp	Гуре: 🛚	IS	Tes	tCode: EF	PA 6010B: "	Total Recover	able Meta	als	
Client ID:	Injection	Batc	h ID: 5	3392	F	RunNo: <b>7</b> (	0048				
Prep Date:	6/29/2020	Analysis [	Date: 7	7/1/2020	5	SeqNo: 24	433659	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sodium		340	5.0	50.00	309.5	58.5	75	125			S
Sample ID:	2006E69-014BMSI	D Samp⁻	Гуре: М	ISD	Tes	tCode: EF	PA 6010B: 1	Total Recover	able Meta	als	
Client ID:	Injection	Batc	h ID: 5	3392	RunNo: 70048						
Prep Date:	6/29/2020	Analysis [	Date: 7	7/1/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	433660	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sodium		350	5.0	50.00	309.5	76.0	75	125	2.54	20	

Qualifiers:

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Е Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

# QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client:	Daniel B. Stephens & Assoc.										
Project:	Salty Dog	5									
Sample ID: mb-1 alk SampType: mblk				Tes	TestCode: SM2320B: Alkalinity						
Client ID: PB	W	Batch ID: <b>R70035</b>			F	RunNo: 7	0035				
Prep Date:		Analysis [	Date: 6/	30/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	433180	Units: mg/L CaCO3			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Total Alkalinity (as C	CaCO3)	ND	20.00								
Sample ID: Ics	-1 alk	Samp	ype: Ics	6	Tes	tCode: SI	M2320B: AI	kalinity			
Client ID: LC	SW	Batc	h ID: <b>R7</b>	0035	RunNo: 70035						
Prep Date:		Analysis E	Date: 6/	30/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	433181	Units: mg/L	CaCO3		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Total Alkalinity (as C	CaCO3)	76.16	20.00	80.00	0	95.2	90	110			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

WO#:

Page 19 of 20

WO#:	2006E69
	09-Jul-20

Client: Project:	L S	Daniel B. S alty Dog	stephens	& Asso	ЭС.							
Sample ID:	MB-5347	6	SampT	уре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: SN	/12540C MC	DD: Total Diss	olved So	lids	
Client ID:	PBW		Batch	n ID: 53	476	F	RunNo: 70	0120				
Prep Date:	7/2/2020	) 4	Analysis D	Date: 7	/6/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	136557	Units: mg/L			
Analyte			Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Total Dissolved	d Solids		ND	20.0								
Sample ID:	LCS-5347	76	SampT	ype: <b>L</b>	s	Tes	tCode: SN	/12540C MC	DD: Total Diss	olved So	lids	
Client ID:	LCSW		Batch	n ID: 53	476	F	RunNo: 70	0120				
Prep Date:	7/2/2020	) 4	Analysis D	Date: 7	/6/2020	S	SeqNo: 24	436558	Units: mg/L			
Analyte			Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Total Dissolved	d Solids		1010	20.0	1000	0	101	80	120			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 20 of 20

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY		TAL 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: clients.hallenvironmental.com			boratory kins NE M 87109 45-4107 ntal.com	Sample Log-In Check List			
Client Nam	e: Daniel B Assoc.	3. Stephens &	Work Order Nur	nber: 200	6E69			RcptNo: 1	
Received E	by: Juan R	ojas	6/26/2020 11:15:0	O AM		Him	rent		
Completed	By: Emily N	Nocho	6/29/2020 9:13:31	AM					
Reviewed E	y: DAT	0 11/29/20 6/29/20	DAO						
Chain of	Custody								
1. Is Chain	of Custody cor	mplete?		Yes	$\checkmark$	N		Not Present	
2. How was	the sample de	elivered?		UPS					
Log In									
3. Was an a	attempt made t	to cool the sample	\$?	Yes		No			
4. Were all	samples receiv	ved at a temperatu	re of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes		No			
5. Sample(s	s) in proper cor	ntainer(s)?		Yes	~	No			
6. Sufficient	sample volum	e for indicated tes	(s)?	Yes	~	No			
7. Are samp	les (except VC	A and ONG) prop	erly preserved?	Yes	V	No			
8. Was pres	ervative addec	to bottles?		Yes		No		NA 🗌	
9. Received	at least 1 vial	with headspace <1	/4" for AQ VOA?	Yes		No		NA 🔽	
10. Were any	sample conta	iners received bro	ken?	Yes		No		# of preserved	
11.Does pap	erwork match	bottle labels?		Yes		No		bottles checked ( for pH:	
(Note disc	crepancies on	chain of custody)		415.0	-			(<2)or >12 unless	noted
12. Are matri	what analyses	ientified on Chain	of Custody?	Yes		No			
14 Mere all I	what analyses	were requested?		Yes		No		Checked by: TD	120
(If no, not	ify customer fo	or authorization.)		res		NO			a. F.
Special Ha	ndling (if a	pplicable)							
15. Was clie	nt notified of al	Il discrepancies wit	h this order?	Yes		No	, 🗆	NA 🗹	
Pe	son Notified:	1	Date	e: ]	-		-		
By	Whom:	1	Via:	🗌 eM	ail 🗌	] Phone [	Fax	In Person	
Re	garding:	1					-		
Cli	ent Instructions	s: [			-				
16. Addition	al remarks:								
17. <u>Cooler</u> Coole	nformation r No Temp	°C Condition	Seal Intact Seal No	Seal D	ate	Signed	By	1	
1	-0.7	Good N	lot Present		194	2.3.00	-1	-	

ANALYSIS LABORATORY HALL ENVIRONMENTAL If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report. 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Fax 505-345-4107 1100 0 100 X X www.hallenvironmental.com Analysis Request Total Coliform (Present/Absent) (AOV-im92) 0728 (AOV) 0328 NO<sup>5'</sup> PO<sup>4'</sup> SO<sup>4</sup> 'EON CI' E' BL' 0 30 1 Tel. 505-345-3975 RCRA 8 Metals PAHs by 8310 or 8270SIMS (1.403 botteM) 803 8081 Pesticides/8082 PCB's Remarks: (OAM \ DRO \ DRO \ MRO) BTEX / MTBE / TMB's (8021) (D.) + 20010E69 Nut FURENT Time Time HEAL No. 6-2-02-C. L00--003 -002 -0010 600-010--005 800-100-100-10-Date 26 Date ON DO (no gar Auarbe C Rush Preservative Cooler Temp(including CF): ---20 NDS 1198.00 P-Yes 2 Type Turn-Around Time: Via: Via: Vie Project Manager Dalk. Project Name: 10hr **位** Standard # of Coolers: Type and # DBP 1 Received by: · Poly Container Received by Sampler: Project #: On Ice: Level 4 (Full Validation) 2 A hourbe P geo-logic. Com Chain-of-Custody Record Sample Name 9 2021-848-900 0 -muc ۱ OHO HOS mu-Å ł 00 RS-Stellhows Az Compliance Jorg Relinquished by: Relinquished by: AKQ □ Other Matrix à 38 Client: MM.R.I Mailing Address: email or Fax#: -1435 SHE 1055 1350 QA/QC Package: 210 1500 90% 168 1850 1738 2280 08-HC-9 1010 EDD (Type) Accreditation: Time Time: Time: X Standard D NELAC Phone #: perhe-9 6.3330 33.20 al her Date: 6-232 Date 3 5 3 Date: 3 5

Appendix B

**Field Notes** 



# GROUNDWATER ELEVATION DATA SHEET

Project Name: Salty Dog

Project #: DB19.1198

Project Manager: John Ayarbe

\_\_\_\_\_Sam<u>pler: 7. Margan</u> Sample Date: 6.03-00 Sheet # \_\_1\_\_of \_1\_\_\_

Well ID	previous (06/19)	Depth to Water	Total Depth	Comments: (well dia., sampled, condition)
DBS-1R	<u>68.25</u>	68.66	74.42	1605
DBS-2	70.94	71.54	75.35	600
DBS-3	<u>66.10</u>	66.81	<u>74.76</u>	1633
DBS-4	71.66	-72.36	78.81	1555
DBS-5	<u>68.44</u>	66.2669.16	75.38	1640
DBS-6	<u>67.24</u>	68.29	76.02	1504
DBS-7	<u>65.99</u>	66.86		WL only 1524
DBS-8	<u>65.52</u>	66.42	<u>69.91</u>	1527
BS-9	<u>58.53</u>	59.55	<u>67.55</u>	1549
BS-10	<u>65.11</u>	66.03	<u>78.11</u>	13:48
1W-2	65.45	67.04	=	1541 h11 ml
IW-3	<u>68.18</u>	69.16	147.13	1533
IW-4	<u>68.12</u>	69.07		WL only 1509
1W-5	<u>65.30</u>	66.26	128.78	3:50
1W-6	<u>66.70</u> (	57.71	1	WL only Wasas Spraned
MW-1	71.76	72.64 72.03	77.73	519
omments:	Totalizer)	FWS1 =	263130	BBL Not Puppy 16:14

S. Projects\ES08.0118.06\_Salty\_Dog\_2016\Field Forms\SD GW Elevation.docx



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project Name: Salty Dog	Sampler: Sampler:
Project #: DB19.1198.00 Project Manager: John Aya	Sample Date: be Sample Time:738
Well #: DBS-1R	
Well Diameter:2"	(inches) Height of Water Column: 5.76 (fee
Depth to NAPL:	(feet btoc) Casing Volume:0.92 (gal)
Depth to Water: 68.66	(feet btoc) Purge Volume:(gal)
Total Depth of Well: 74.42	(feet) Purge Method: Grab 47" hailer
Note:	

One casing volume (SCH 40 PVC): 2.0" ID casing = 0.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47 gal/ft

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	pН	Temp (°F)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7,64	19.5	1302	120.3	6.04	Tubid
1	7,62	18.9	1281	1192	6.51	u
2	7.58	18.9	278	1193	641	u
3	7.67	122	1254	114.0	6.47	и

prown

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations: Yellowill

No Twbit

odor

Analytical Method(s): Chloride

T-\Admin\Field Forms\Att 1.5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1.pdf



	GROUNDWA	ATER MON	TORING DATA S	HEET	
Project Name: Sa	Ity Dog		Sampler:	Pargas	
Project #: DB19.1	198.00		Sample Date		14.20
Project Manager:	John Ayarbe		Sample Time	:_08:	55
Well #: DBS-2					
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Wate	r Column:_	3.81 (feet)
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:	0.61	(gal)
Depth to Water:	71.54	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	1.83	(gal)
Total Depth of Wel	ll:75.35	(feet)	Purge Method:	GEAD 4	P" Bailer
Note: One casing volume (S	CH 40 PVC): 2.0"	ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 g	gal/ft; 6.0" = 1	.47 gal/ft

## Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рН	Temp (°F)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7.59	193	650	162.4	5.47	Twhid
1	7.32	19.1	652	114.3	5,10	Li.
2 "	7.26	191	656	152.7	5.27	4
3	7.32	19.1	679	147.0	4,83	ι.

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations: Twitid light reddight brown, No oder

Analytical Method(s): Chloride

T \Admin\Field Forms\Att 1.5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project Name: Salty	Dog		Sampler:	YP	biga		
Project #: DB19.119	8.00		Sample Da	ate: _	J	6.24,2	p
Project Manager: Jol	hn Ayarbe		Sample Ti	me:	16[	٥	_
Well #: DBS-3							
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Wa	ater C	olumn	7.95	_(feet)
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume	e:1	.27		(gal)
Depth to Water:	66.81	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	3.	82		(gal)
Total Depth of Well:	74.76	(feet)	Purge Metho	d: 🔄	ab (	IP"ba	iler
Note: One casing volume (SCH	40 PVC): 2.0'	ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.6	65 gal/f	t; 6.0" =	1.47 gal/ft	

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	pН	Temp C	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7.70	19.3	571	193.1	7.00	Twis.d
1	7.50	19.4	572	190.8	6.45	ч
2	7.34	19.4	572	191.6	6.51	66
3	7.44	196	571	177.9	6.56	ų

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations: Light read 56 brown

furgid

No odor

Analytical Method(s): Chloride

T. Admin/Field Forms/Att 1\_5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



### **GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET**

Project Name: Sa	Ity Dog		Sampler: L. Marsan			
Project #: DB19.1	198.00		Sample Date: 6-33- 20			
Project Manager:	John Ayarbe		Sample Time:8 50			
Well #: DBS-4			1.10			
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Water Column: 6,95 (feet)			
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume: 1.03 (gal)			
Depth to Water:	72.36	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume: 3, 10 (gal)			
Total Depth of We	ll: <u>78.81</u>	(feet)	Purge Method: Grab 48" bei br			
Note:						

One casing volume (SCH 40 PVC): 2.0" ID casing = 0.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47 gal/ft

# Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рН	Temp (°F)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	8.18	19,3	689	56.2	6.76	Twid
1	7.94	19.1	555.6	69.7	6,95	لر
2	7.79	19,1	550.9	81,2	6.97	e.
3	7,62	19.1	558.8	95.9	6.73	પ

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations:

Light redish brown No odar

turbid

Analytical Method(s): \_\_\_\_\_Chloride

T\Admin\FieldForms\Att15-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



	GROUNDW	ATER MON	TORING DATA S	HEET	
Project Name: Salt	y Dog		Sampler:	Massa	
Project #: DB19.11	98.00		Sample Date	- 6	- 23.20
Project Manager: Jo	ohn Ayarbe		Sample Time	e: 171	0
Well #: DBS-5					
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Wate	er Column:	(feet)
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:_	0.995	(gal)
Depth to Water:	69,16	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	2.99	(gal)
Total Depth of Well:	75.38	(feet)	Purge Method:	Grab 48'	bailer-poly
Note: One casing volume (SCI	H 40 PVC): 2,0'	' ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65	gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.4	47 gal/ft

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	pН	Temp (°F)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	6.13	20.0	1077	122.2	5.75	Tuob. d
1	6.00	197	1277	109.5	5.80	M
2	5.84	19.9	1241	105.9	2.63	ıl
3	7.07	20.2	1241	88.0	5,95	Li

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations: Kellowill Brow, Turb. d No oder

Analytical Method(s): \_\_\_\_Chloride

T \Admin\Field Forms\Att 1 5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



GROUNDV	VATER MON	TORING DATA S	HEET	
Project Name: Salty Dog		Sampler:	1 Morgan	
Project #: DB19.1198.00		Sample Date	6:24-20	
Project Manager: John Ayarbo	е	Sample Time	1500	
Well #: DBS-6				
Well Diameter:2"	(inches)	Height of Wate	r Column: 1.15	_(feet)
Depth to NAPL:	(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:	1.94	(gal)
Depth to Water: 68.99	(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	3.71	_(gal)
Total Depth of Well: 76.02	(feet)	Purge Method:	18 48 Pily 1	Scilo
Note: One casing volume (SCH 40 PVC): 2.	0" ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 g	gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47 gal/ft	

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рн -1.57	Temp	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	#Fb	19.6	1275	139.9	5,68	Slights
1	7.29	19.6	1249	133.6	6.07	Twobid
2	7.17	19.5	1235	140.0	5.89	n
3	7.30	19.7	1206	139.8	5,71	11

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations: Light reddich brown turbid, No got

Analytical Method(s): \_\_\_\_\_Chloride

TAdmin/Field Forms/Att 1.5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1.pdf



(	GROUNDWA	ATER MON	ITORING DATA S	HEEŢ	
Project Name: Salty	Dog		Sampler:	4. Morgan	
Project #: DB19.119	98.00		Sample Date	:- G-24-	. 20
Project Manager:	hn Ayarbe		Sample Time	1245	
Well #: DBS-8				2.10	
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Wate	r Column: 5.49	_(feet)
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:	0.56	(gal)
Depth to Water:	66.42	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	1.70	_(gal)
Total Depth of Well:_	69.91	(feet)	Purge Method:	Good Bailo	
Note: One casing volume (SCH	1 40 PVC): 2.0"	ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 g	gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47 gal/ft	

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рН	Temp () o C	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	8-02	20.3	628	169.7	6.67	Turbid
1	7.75	20.0	598	168.7	5.97	11
2	7,66	19.9	597	145.8	5.04	ч
3	7.45	80.0	594	120.6	4.24	~~

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations: No odry Turbid, light reddid brown

Analytical Method(s): Chloride

T \Admm\Field Forms\Att 1 5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



	GROUNDW	ATER MON	ITORING DATA S	HEET	
Project Name: Salt	y Dog		Sampler:	Y Morgan	A
Project #: DB19.11	98.00		Sample Date	: 6.8	14.70
Project Manager:	ohn Ayarbe		Sample Time	. 105	5
Well #: DBS-9					
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Wate	r Column:	8.00 (feet)
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:	1.28	(gal)
Depth to Water:	59.55	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	3.34	(gal)
Total Depth of Well:	67.55	(feet)	Purge Method:	GEB 48	paies
Note: One casing volume (SCI	H 40 PVC): 2.0'	' ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 g	gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.4	47 gal/ft

# Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	pН	Temp (∰) ∂ ⊂	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7.40	18.7	2071	187.0	5.77	Slight
1	722	18.5	1830	187,3	6.42	Turb. d
2	7.20	18.6	1666	186.1	6.30	h
3	7.21	18.6	1695	185,7	6.41	L

Sample Description: 1 poly

No Physical Observations: \_ Word Light e brown Odor Analytical Method(s): \_\_\_\_Chloride

T \Admin\Field Forms\Att 1 5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project Name: Salty Dog	Sampler: V.Morja
Project #: <u>DB13:1130.00</u> Project Manager: John Ayar	e Sample Date:6 - 09 70 e Sample Time:106
Well #: DBS-10	
Well Diameter:2"	(inches) Height of Water Column: 12.08 (feet)
Depth to NAPL:	(feet btoc) Casing Volume: 1.93 (gal)
Depth to Water: 66.03	(feet btoc) Purge Volume:5.80 (gal)
Total Depth of Well:78.11	(feet) Purge Method: Bailor foly
Note: One casing volume (SCH 40 PVC):	.0" ID casing = 0.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47 gal/ft

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рН	Temp €	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7.39	19.8	2493	178.4	5.49	Twid
1	7.11	19.5	2156	175.8	5,30	м
2	7.10	124	2195	175.7	5.11	Y
3	7,25	19.5	2306	182.4	\$ 4.94	ι

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations: Light reddizh

Amer twobid

No odar

# Analytical Method(s): \_\_\_\_\_Chloride

ThAdmin/Field Forms/Att 1.5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



# GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET

Project Name: Salty	Dog		Sampler: Y. Morgan
Project #: DB19.119	00.80		Sample Date: 6-24-20
Project Manager: Jo	hn Ayarbe		Sample Time: 1638
Well #: MW-3			-202
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Water Column: 71.97 (feet)
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume: 12, 48 (gal)
Depth to Water:	69,16	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume: <u>37.43</u> (gal)
Total Depth of Well:_	147.13	(feet)	Purge Method: 18 Poly bailor
Note: One casing volume (SCH	140 PVC): 2.0	)" ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47 gal/ft

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	pН	Temp	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7.67	20.0	1875	178.7	4.38	Not furbid
1	7.40	19.3	2249	144,8	3.8/	11
2	6.97	19.2	14648	1(3.7	3.64	п
3	7-19	19.4	16,816	145.5	3.44	11

Sample Description: <u>1 poly (unpreserved Chloride)</u>, Water Quality Suite

Physical Observations:	Non-twist		No odor		
		,		)	
Analytical Method(s):	Chloride	etc.			

T.\Admin\Field Forms\Att 1 5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1.pdf



	GROUNDWA	TER MONIT	FORING DATA SH	HEET	
Project Name: Sa	alty Dog		_ Sampler:	1. Morger	1 all as
Project #: DB19.	1198.00		Sample Date:	11/24	6-24 120
Project Manager:	John Ayarbe		Sample Time:	435	)
Well #: MW-5					(10)
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Wate	r Column:_	6J. J. (feet)
Depth to NAPL: _		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:	10.00	(gal)
Depth to Water:_	66.26	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	30.00	(gal)
Total Depth of W	ell: 128.78	(feet)	Purge Method:	000 4	8 pory his
Note: One casing volume	SCH 40 PVC): 2.0	" ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65	gal/ft; 6.0" =	1.47 gal/ft

# Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рН	Temp ©	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7.12	20.1	1892	164.1	4.70	Nontwisd
1	714	00.3	2221	100.3	3.73	4
2	7.50	19.8	2362	199.7	4.51	Starb.2
3	7.15	20.0	2513	111-5	6.87	2

Sample Description: <u>1 poly</u>	
Physical Observations: -Turkid	No odos, Light readers brown
Analytical Method(s): Chloride	

T/\Admin\FieldForms\Att15-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf


GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET	
-----------------------------------	--

Project Name: Sa	lty Dog		Sampler:	Y. Morgan	2.10
Project #: DB19.1 Project Manager:	198.00 John Ayarbe		Sample Date Sample Time	: <u> </u> 821	3-20
Well #: PMW-1					
Well Diameter:	2"	(inches)	Height of Wate	er Column: <u>5</u> ,	P_(feet)
Depth to NAPL:		_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:_	0.91	(gal)
Depth to Water:	72.03	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	2.74	(gal)
Total Depth of Wel	II: 77.73	(feet)	Purge Method:	Grab 48	bailer
Note: One casing volume (S	CH 40 PVC): 2.0'	' ID casing = 0	.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65	gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47	7 gal/ft

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рН	Temp (°F)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	7.17	19.9	29953	136.9	6.12	Twist
1	7.19	19.4	09012	127.7	6.24	Turbid
2	7.13	19.7	29670	1137	5,36	ч
3	7.08	19.8	28847	108.8	5.01	e,

Sample Description: 1 poly

Physical Observations:	Very	light brown.	two5,d	No ods	
	- )	, )		/	

T\Admun\FieldForms\Att 1 5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1.pdf



GROUNDWA	TER MONI	TORING DATA SH	HEET	
Project Name: Salty Dog		Sampler:	Y. Morgan	h
Project #: DB19.1198.00		Sample Date:	6.201-	00
Project Manager: John Ayarbe		Sample Time:	4712	100
Well # Brine			1	
Well Diameter:2"	(inches)	Height of Water	r Column:	(feet)
Depth to NAPL:	_(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:		(gal)
Depth to Water:	_(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:		(gal)
Total Depth of Well:	(feet)	Purge Method:	Grab	
Note: One casing volume (SCH 40 PVC): 2.0	" ID casing = (	).16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 g	gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.	47 gal/ft

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	рН	Temp €€) °C	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	6-87	39.2	240, 653	143.6	0,42	Mon tw-gid
1						
2						
3						

Poly Sample Description: 3 poly only 2

No odo No colos Non tousid Physical Observations:

Analytical Method(s): Chloride, TDS, Spec Gravity, pH, and Na



GROUNE	WATER MONI	TORING DATA SHEE	ΣT_	
Project Name: Salty Dog		Sampler:	Morgan	
Project #: DB19.1198.00		Sample Date:	16.24	20
Project Manager: John Ayar	rbe	Sample Time: 4	11	00
Well #: Well #:	10-		. 1.	
Well Diameter:2"	(inches)	Height of Water Co	olumn: N/L	(feet)
Depth to NAPL:	(feet btoc)	Casing Volume:		(gal)
Depth to Water:	(feet btoc)	Purge Volume:	V	(gal)
Total Depth of Well:	(feet)	Purge Method: Gr	ab	
Note:				

One casing volume (SCH 40 PVC): 2.0" ID casing = 0.16 gal/ft; 4.0" = 0.65 gal/ft; 6.0" = 1.47 gal/ft

### Groundwater Parameters:

Casing Volume	pН	Temp (°F)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	ORP (mv)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)
Initial	1.87	24.9	2223	99,1	4.40	Non tur dib
1						
2						
3						

oph Sample Description: (3 pely

Physical Observations: From you 211 100 tu 61 dol Color Analytical Method(s): Sodium, Chloride, TDS, Spec Gravity, pH

T \Admin Field Forms\Att 1.5-1\_GROUNDWATER MONITORING DATA SHEET\_rev1 pdf



### GROUNDWATER METER CALIBRATION SHEET

Project Name: Salty Dag	Sampler: Y. Moran
Project #:	Date: 200
Project Manager: J. Ayube	

<u>pH</u>	Temp (°C)	Comments
(4) 4.03	4.03 25.	8
(7) 7.03	7.03 24.7	
(10) 10,00	10.06 23.	9
SpCon (μs/cm)	Temp (°C)	Comments
(1413) 1430-9143	24.9	
ORP (mv)	Temp (°C)	Comments
221.7	25.7	
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub>	Temp (°C)	Comments
( \$5.9 -> 86.6	36.3	
(mg/L) 6.83	20.0	
Pressure	Temp (°C)	Comments
(mmHg) 6623	20.0	

Comments:

YSI Pro flus



### GROUNDWATER METER CALIBRATION SHEET

Project Name: Sampler: 6 65401 Project #: Date: Project Manager:

<u>рН</u>	Temp (°C)	Comments
(4) 4.03	23.3	
701	24.0	
(10) 10,06	22.5	
<u>SpCon (μs/cm)</u>	<u>Temp (°C)</u>	Comments
1452 → 1413	03.3	
ORP (mv)	Temp (°C)	Comments
199.6-300	21.4	
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub>	Temp (°C)	Comments
(%) 81,5 -> 86.1	24,1	
(mg/L) 3.80	23.0	
Pressure	Temp (°C)	Comments
(mmHg) 664,6	23.6	

Mylin Dr. Comments:

### **Tailgate Safety Meeting**

Location: Habs, NM Dat	e: 6.23.20	6.24-2
Project Coordinator: Mills Zbrozek No.	of Personnel Present:	1
Check Topics Discussed		
Scheduled Activities: GWM		
Chemical/Physical Hazards	Vehicle/Heavy Equipm	ent
Contaminants of Concern	Drill Rig "KILL" Swi	itches
Material Safety Data Sheets	Operation & Inspec	ction
Overhead & Underground Utilities	Preventive Mainter	ance
Extraordinary Site Conditions_ Mud	Rotating Augers/M	oving Parts
(		or ing i alto
Heat/Cold Stress (Inc. Sunburn)	Sanitation & Hygiene	
Other: Dehydrothy, Snoks Spider	5 Drinking Water/Flu	ids
0 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Restrooms	
First Aid	1 Personal Cleanline	22
Facilities/Kits/Eyewashes		
Personal Protective Equipment - Level D	Housekeeping	
Hard Hats/Hearing Protection	Waste Containers	
L Steel-Toed Boots	Waste Materials	
Gtasses/Goggles/Shields	Waste Water/Deco	n Water
Gloves		
Contingency: Level C	Fire Prevention	
Respirators & Tyvek/Saranex	Locations of Exting	uishers
	Smoking	unertere
Emergency Procedures/Site Safety	Hot Work	
"Buddy System"	Explosive & Flamm	able Liquids
Communication	Other:	and riduing
Facility-Specific Regulations		
Rally Point		
Emergency Facilities (and Directions),		
Name: [10665 NM		
Address:		
Tel. No.:94		
Safety Meeting Attendees:		
Name Signature	Namo	Ciment
Var Mara -	Maine -	Signature
Ter own per by		

ilient:	A Compliance	Container Container Container Container Container Container Container	Rush Jer: Jer: Dyes Dreservative	A I TO A I TO I No (°C) HEAL NO.	ТРН:8015D(GRO / DRO / MRO) 4 4 5 4 4 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	EDB (Method 504.1)	RAME AND A STOCIMS TO A SHAP	CI, F, Br, NO <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , PO <sub>4</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub>	S (AOV) (AOV	Total Coliform (Present/Absent)	100 C 00 - 100 1	0 00109 wripas	otoy
Date Time	Matrix Sample Name	Type and #	Vetro					X	×	×./	171	X	7
0011	BLAC N	Hod C	->	a d						1	XX	X	1
A LUI	- and the m	( 10											_
		J	(lest										
			5										-
					/	1	1/						
								1	1	/			
Date: Time:	Relinquished by:	Received by:	Via:	Date Time	Remark	ss: Male	<2	ot	10	1	7		-
Date: Time:	Relinquished by:	Received by:	Via:	Date Time		~	2						

			ſ	1										
lient: Name	1 R SRI	Person and a series of the ser		D Standard	C Rush				ANA	YS.	IS L	ABO	RAT	ORY
TANK			P	roject Name					www.h	allenviro	nment	al.com		
lailing Address	S ALA N	The state		TYS I	Non		46	01 Haw	kins NE	- Albu	Inerqui	e, NM 8	7109	
		As. I		roject #:	120	1- 010	Т	el. 505-	345-3975	Fa	x 505-	345-41(	70	
hone #:	688 505	0006-		DUSP. IV	18.00	1511				Analys	is Req	uest		
mail or Fax#:	TALLOUDE	P 200-baicle	The P	roject Mana	iger:		(12	5		os		(tuə:		
NA/QC Package	· · ·			Char	Audit	10	508) IM / (	CB.	SMIS	'*Oc		sdA\		
D Standard		evel 4 (Full Valid	ation)	3	1		s'al	d 21	5023	3 <sup>5</sup> , F		tuəs		
ccreditation:	D Az Compli	ance	0)	Sampler:	Sik Proly	er .	MT	808	82	ON	()	ser	-1	2
D NELAC	□ Other		5	On Ice:	□ Yes	No	E /	lest lest	0 0	·°O	101	յ) ա	_	
D EDD (Type)			44	t of Coolers:		1007		Dicic	158	N	(A	lion	0	
				Cooler Temp	(including CF):	()_)	.W /	ts90	py 8	Br,	Ser	tiloC	-	
Time	Matrix	mole Name		Container	Preservative Type	HEAL No.	X T R H 30	1 1808 1 FDB	sHA9	CI' E'	) 0228 ) 0928	Total (	10	
12.20 1428		RS-1K	1	104	NIA							7	1	-
2010/11/2	20	1 N	1	hall		ŧ						7		
GLO LAND		143 · O	1	-	-							1		
1 1010	-	165-3	1	-	_						-	0		
<281 94.4C	-	15-4	1				_					X		
ULI II	-	NOC 5	1	_					-			×	_	
31. 20 1 C. 1		200 L	1									X		
1001 10:14-9		a 197	1	-	-							×		
i lette		0-200	1	-								X		
102		D-000		-								7		
9CH "	-	01-59V			-				+		-			
6-23.20 182		T-mut	2	-	-				-		-	-7		
6.24.30 143.2	> <	MW-5	12	5	7		-			-	-	~		1
										1			_	-
Date: Time:	Relinquished b	Y. Monza-		Received by:	Via:	Date Time	Remai	Page	to 1	0				
Date: Time:	Relinquished b	y: 0		Received by:	Via:	Date Time								

ans If necessary, samples

Martin 6-34-30 Seler Cont 1 Cott eke lusu 5.10, onsite well rund frinc Toilgake B5.2 Anno 40665 (P) 5-3 σ 1 Selly Day Scarpling 3 200 3 ansite 2.1 (volo cms Lee ollor BIG ellon 1254 UNLOCK MA LE LE allo Brine 0930-0855 ~ 0750 Cal, Wra - 0730 Havin FROM Sum North 200 Ver. 8 0 1 ١ 6.233 Thurst 1 Claid 1 10-15 May Land Mary S all well 译 Slosable 6-5N sel lory Dag wells C.F 14665 V. Pyrga ner 2.00 PULE SILAN 2001 66° R R mab disile Semple CH . DB19, 1198 N 100 Low 12 rive alec Recent rain Reve 5161o les Salty Dog 410-1 Leven SAND - Collec Cel ibrok 9 1400 Leave . 85 YUM 1 com Werl Call - 0730 Gayl Wast - 1330 USing - 0/L/-B -1738-- 1500 1640 1881-1 1

Appendix C

**Historical Data** 



Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements
Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico
Page 1 of 9

Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-1	56.0-76.0	3,817.09	4/08/2009	62.38	3,754.71
			5/11/2011	64.70	3,752.39
			10/04/2011	Well c	lestroyed
DBS-1R	58.0–78.0	3,817.00 <sup>b</sup>	4/30/2012	63.60	3,753.40
			9/10/2012	65.65	3,751.35
			6/23/2013	64.40	3,752.60
			1/09/2014	67.23	3,749.77
			4/07/2014	66.36	3,750.64
			3/20/2015	67.17	3,749.83
			7/01/2015	67.92	3,749.08
			9/29/2015	67.07	3,749.93
			12/16/2015	67.54	3,749.46
			3/22/2016	66.61	3,750.39
			6/08/2016	66.23	3,750.77
			9/13/2016	67.43	3,749.57
			12/01/2016	67.31	3,749.69
			6/20/2017	69.60	3,747.40
			12/19/2017	67.80	3,749.20
			6/18/2018	67.45	3,749.55
			11/07/2018	68.71	3,748.29
			6/03/2019	68.25	3,748.75
			12/17/2019	70.41	3,746.59
			6/23/2020	68.66	3,748.34
DBS-2	58.0–78.0	3,820.50	4/08/2009	65.45	3,755.05
			5/11/2011	66.80	3,753.70
			10/04/2011	65.87	3,754.63
			2/08/2012	65.96	3,754.54
			4/30/2012	66.26	3,754.24
			9/10/2012	67.45	3,753.05
			6/23/2013	67.03	3,753.47
			1/09/2014	69.08	3,751.42
			4/07/2014	68.67	3,751.83

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009. <sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

- bgs = Below ground surface msl = Above mean sea level
- btoc = Below top of casing NA = Not available



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-2 (cont.)	58.0–78.0	3,820.50	3/20/2015	69.32	3,751.18
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			6/30/2015	69.29	3,751.21
			9/29/2015	69.41	3,751.09
			12/16/2015	69.71	3,750.79
			3/22/2016	69.13	3,751.37
			6/08/2016	68.91	3,751.59
			9/13/2016	69.76	3,750.74
			12/01/2016	69.73	3,750.77
			6/20/2017	71.33	3,749.17
			12/19/2017	70.42	3,750.08
			6/18/2018	70.25	3,750.25
			11/07/2018	71.07	3,749.43
			6/03/2019	70.94	3,749.56
			12/17/2019	72.43	3,748.07
			6/23/2020	71.54	3,748.96
DBS-3	56.0-76.72	3,816.66	4/08/2009	60.67	3,755.99
			5/11/2011	61.25	3,755.41
			10/04/2011	61.25	3,755.41
			2/08/2012	61.11	3,755.55
			4/30/2012	61.41	3,755.25
			9/10/2012	61.81	3,754.85
			6/23/2013	62.08	3,754.58
			1/09/2014	63.30	3,753.36
			4/07/2014	63.43	3,753.23
			3/20/2015	63.93	3,752.73
			6/30/2015	63.99	3,752.67
			9/29/2015	64.17	3,752.49
			12/16/2015	64.41	3,752.25
			3/22/2016	63.88	3,752.78
			6/08/2016	63.92	3,752.74
			9/13/2016	64.56	3,752.10
			12/01/2016	64.59	3,752.07

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 2 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

bgs = Below ground surface msl = Above mean sea level btoc = Below top of casing NA = Not available



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-3 (cont.)	56.0–76.72	3,816.66	6/20/2017	65.52	3,751.14
			12/19/2017	65.54	3,751.12
			6/18/2018	65.60	3,751.06
			11/07/2018	66.11	3,750.55
			6/03/2019	66.10	3,750.56
			12/17/2019	66.96	3,749.70
			6/23/2020	66.81	3,749.85
DBS-4	56.0–76.0	3,820.37	4/08/2009	66.27	3,754.10
			5/11/2011	67.23	3,753.14
			10/04/2011	66.67	3,753.70
			2/08/2012	66.76	3,753.61
			4/30/2012	67.02	3,753.35
			9/10/2012	67.78	3,752.59
			6/23/2013	67.70	3,752.67
			1/09/2014	69.37	3,751.00
			4/07/2014	69.23	3,751.14
			3/20/2015	69.81	3,750.56
			6/30/2015	69.85	3,750.52
			9/29/2015	70.00	3,750.37
			12/16/2015	70.25	3,750.12
			3/22/2016	69.74	3,750.63
			6/08/2016	69.62	3,750.75
			9/13/2016	70.35	3,750.02
			12/01/2016	70.38	3,749.99
			6/20/2017	71.67	3,748.70
			12/19/2017	71.08	3,749.29
			6/18/2018	70.98	3,749.39
			11/07/2018	71.61	3,748.76
			6/03/2019	71.66	3,748.71
			12/17/2019	72.90	3,747.47
			6/23/2020	72.36	3,748.01

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 3 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

- bgs = Below ground surface
- msl = Above mean sea level



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-5	56.9–76.9	3,820.66	4/08/2009	62.99	3,757.67
			5/11/2011	63.45	3,757.21
			10/04/2011	63.41	3,757.25
			2/08/2012	63.46	3,757.20
			4/30/2012	63.70	3,756.96
			9/10/2012	63.92	3,756.74
			6/23/2013	64.30	3,756.36
			1/09/2014	65.28	3,755.38
			4/07/2014	65.48	3,755.18
			3/20/2015	65.90	3,754.76
			7/01/2015	66.18	3,754.48
			9/29/2015	66.25	3,754.41
			12/16/2015	66.47	3,754.19
			3/22/2016	66.08	3,754.58
			6/08/2016	66.16	3,754.50
			9/13/2016	66.64	3,754.02
			12/01/2016	66.72	3,753.94
			6/20/2017	67.60	3,753.06
			12/19/2017	67.88	3,752.78
			6/18/2018	68.04	3,752.62
			11/07/2018	68.47	3,752.19
			6/03/2019	68.44	3,752.22
			12/17/2019	69.13	3,751.53
			6/23/2020	66.26	3,754.40
DBS-6	56.7–76.7	3,812.65	4/07/2009	62.75	3,749.90
			5/11/2011	63.11	3,749.54
			10/04/2011	63.16	3,749.49
			2/08/2012	63.20	3,749.45
			4/30/2012	63.43	3,749.22
			9/10/2012	63.60	3,749.05
			6/23/2013	63.74	3,748.91
			1/09/2014	64.00	3,748.65

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 4 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

- bgs = Below ground surface msl = Above mean sea level
- btoc = Below top of casing NA = Not available



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-6 (cont.)	56.7–76.7	3,812.65	4/07/2014	64.22	3,748.43
			3/19/2015	64.78	3,747.87
			7/01/2015	64.81	3,747.84
			9/29/2015	65.48	3,747.17
			12/16/2015	65.26	3,747.39
			3/22/2016	65.38	3,747.27
			6/08/2016	65.37	3,747.28
			9/13/2016	65.51	3,747.14
			12/01/2016	65.51	3,747.14
			6/20/2017	65.81	3,746.84
			12/19/2017	66.29	3,746.36
			6/18/2018	66.45	3,746.20
			11/07/2018	66.62	3,746.03
			6/03/2019	67.24	3,745.41
			12/17/2019	67.95	3,744.70
			6/23/2020	68.29	3,744.36
DBS-7	55.1–75.1	3,810.21	4/07/2009	61.74	3,748.47
DBS-8	55.2–75.2	3,810.70	4/07/2009	61.20	3,749.50
			5/11/2011	61.67	3,749.03
			10/04/2011	61.71	3,748.99
			2/08/2012	61.77	3,748.93
			4/30/2012	62.00	3,748.70
			9/10/2012	62.15	3,748.55
			6/23/2013	62.28	3,748.42
			1/09/2014	62.47	3,748.23
			4/07/2014	62.67	3,748.03
			3/19/2015	63.19	3,747.51
			6/30/2015	63.25	3,747.45
			9/29/2015	63.82	3,746.88
			12/16/2015	63.58	3,747.12
			3/22/2016	63.76	3,746.94
			6/08/2016	63.72	3,746.98

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 5 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-8 (cont.)	55.2–75.2	3,810.70	9/13/2016	63.83	3,746.87
			12/01/2016	63.79	3,746.91
			6/20/2017	64.09	3,746.61
			12/19/2017	64.53	3,746.17
			6/18/2018	64.70	3,746.00
			11/07/2018	64.82	3,745.88
			6/03/2019	65.52	3,745.18
			12/17/2019	66.12	3,744.58
			6/23/2020	66.42	3,744.28
DBS-9	48.0–68.0	3,806.26	4/08/2009	53.93	3,752.33
			5/11/2011	54.39	3,751.87
			10/04/2011	54.59	3,751.67
			2/08/2012	54.53	3,751.73
			4/30/2012	54.68	3,751.58
			9/10/2012	54.77	3,751.49
			6/23/2013	55.04	3,751.22
			1/09/2014	55.27	3,750.99
			4/07/2014	55.56	3,750.70
			3/19/2015	55.95	3,750.31
			7/01/2015	56.14	3,750.12
			9/29/2015	56.49	3,749.77
			12/16/2015	56.52	3,749.74
			3/22/2016	56.51	3,749.75
			6/08/2016	56.64	3,749.62
			9/13/2016	56.81	3,749.45
			12/01/2016	56.88	3,749.38
			6/20/2017	57.28	3,748.98
			12/19/2017	57.67	3,748.59
			6/18/2018	57.98	3,748.28
			11/07/2018	58.22	3,748.04
			6/03/2019	58.53	3,747.73

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 6 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

bgs = Below ground surface msl = Above mean sea level

btoc = Below top of casing NA = Not available



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
DBS-9 (cont.)	48.0–68.0	3,806.26	12/17/2019	59.25	3,747.01
			6/23/2020	59.55	3,746.71
DBS-10	57.2–77.2	3,807.48	6/18/2018	64.46	3,743.02
			11/07/2018	64.66	3,742.82
			6/03/2019	65.11	3,742.37
			12/17/2019	65.80	3,741.68
			6/23/2020	66.03	3,807.48
NW-1s	52.95-72.95	3,817.33	4/08/2009	62.35	3,754.98
NW-1m	99.31–119.31	3,817.35	4/08/2009	62.25	3,755.10
NW-1d	149.45–169.45	3,817.35	4/08/2009	62.04	3,755.31
NW-2s	53.35-73.35	3,812.50	4/08/2009	63.08	3,749.42
NW-2m	93.72–113.72	3,812.45	4/08/2009	63.27	3,749.18
NW-2d	126.87–146.87	3,812.46	4/08/2009	66.41	3,746.05
PMW-1	63–78	3,821.17	6/23/2008	67.51	3,753.66
			4/08/2009	65.97	3,755.20
			5/11/2011	68.70	3,752.47
			10/04/2011	66.95	3,754.22
			2/08/2012	66.69	3,754.48
			4/30/2012	67.27	3,753.90
			9/10/2012	69.77	3,751.40
			6/23/2013	68.40	3,752.77
			1/09/2014	71.24	3,749.93
			4/07/2014	69.97	3,751.20
			3/20/2015	70.78	3,750.39
			7/01/2015	71.41	3,749.76
			9/29/2015	70.76	3,750.41
			12/16/2015	71.03	3,750.14
			3/22/2016	70.30	3,750.87
			6/08/2016	69.65	3,751.52
			9/13/2016	71.08	3,750.09
			12/01/2016	70.97	3,750.20
			6/20/2017	73.06	3,748.11

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 7 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

bgs = Below ground surface msl = Above mean sea level



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
PMW-1 (cont.)	63–78	3,821.17	12/19/2017	71.19	3,749.98
			6/18/2018	70.97	3,750.20
			11/07/2018	72.52	3,748.65
			6/03/2019	71.76	3,749.41
			12/17/2019	76.25	3,744.92
			6/23/2020	72.03	3,749.14
MW-1	120–140	NA	6/23/2008	59.90	NA
MW-2	127–147	3,812.68	6/23/2008	61.42	3,751.26
			4/07/2009	61.65	3,751.03
MW-3	NA	3,812.05	6/23/2008	62.06	3,749.99
			4/07/2009	62.02	3,750.03
			5/11/2011	62.91	3,749.14
			10/04/2011	62.91	3,749.14
			2/08/2012	62.95	3,749.10
			4/30/2012	63.39	3,748.66
			9/10/2012	63.50	3,748.55
			6/23/2013	63.36	3,748.69
			1/09/2014	63.55	3,748.50
			4/07/2014	63.88	3,748.17
			3/19/2015	64.27	3,747.78
			7/01/2015	64.34	3,747.71
			9/29/2015	67.94	3,744.11
			12/16/2015	64.75	3,747.30
			3/22/2016	64.84	3,747.21
			6/08/2016	64.89	3,747.16
			9/13/2016	66.33	3,745.72
			12/01/2016	66.66	3,745.39
			6/20/2017	65.56	3,746.49
			12/19/2017	65.70	3,746.35
			6/18/2018	66.52	3,745.53
			11/07/2018	66.09	3,745.96
			6/03/2019	68.18	3,743.87

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 8 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

bgs = Below ground surface msl = Above mean sea level btoc = Below top of casing NA = Not available



Monitor Well	Screen Interval (feet bgs)	Top of Casing Elevation <sup>a</sup> (feet msl)	Date Measured	Depth to Water (feet btoc)	Groundwater Elevation (feet msl)
MW-3 (cont.)	NA	3,812.05	12/17/2019	67.38	3,744.67
			6/23/2020	69.16	3,742.89
MW-4	111–131	3,811.33	6/23/2008	62.12	3,749.21
			4/07/2009	62.51	3,748.82
MW-5	112–132	3,808.96	6/23/2008	60.60	3,748.36
			4/07/2009	60.79	3,748.17
			5/11/2011	61.17	3,747.79
			10/04/2011	61.72	3,747.24
			2/08/2012	61.23	3,747.73
			4/30/2012	61.50	3,747.46
			9/10/2012	61.65	3,747.31
			6/23/2013	61.75	3,747.21
			1/09/2014	61.90	3,747.06
			4/07/2014	62.18	3,746.78
			3/19/2015	62.96	3,746.00
			6/30/2015	62.71	3,746.25
			9/29/2015	63.92	3,745.04
			12/16/2015	63.02	3,745.94
			3/22/2016	63.14	3,745.82
			6/08/2016	63.47	3,745.49
			9/13/2016	63.66	3,745.30
			12/01/2016	63.70	3,745.26
			6/21/2017	63.62	3,745.34
			12/19/2017	65.02	3,743.94
			6/18/2018	64.32	3,744.64
			11/07/2018	64.34	3,744.62
			06/03/2019	65.30	3,743.66
			12/17/2019	65.57	3,743.39
			6/23/2020	66.26	3,742.70
MW-6	NA	3,810.17	6/23/2008	62.17	3,748.00
			4/07/2009	62.41	3.747.76

### Table C-1. Historical Fluid Level Measurements Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 9 of 9

<sup>a</sup> Top of casing elevations surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on May 28, 2009.

<sup>b</sup> Top of casing elevation surveyed by Pettigrew & Assoc. on June 13, 2012.

bgs = Below ground surfacebtoc = Below top of casingmsl = Above mean sea levelNA = Not available

P:\\_DB19-1198\1st Smannl-2020.8-20\Appx C\_Hstrcl Data\TC-1\_GW Elev.docx



		Chloride Concentration
Monitor Well Date		(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
Ι	VMWQCC Standard	250
DBS-1	4/08/2009	320
	5/12/2011	940
	10/04/2011	Well destroyed
DBS-1R	5/01/2012	3,000
	9/11/2012	3,200
	6/25/2013	3,300
	1/10/2014	1,000
	4/08/2014	1,700
	3/20/2015	1,200
	7/01/2015	860
	9/30/2015	670
	12/17/2015	760
	3/23/2016	560
	6/09/2016	570
	09/14/2016	360
	12/01/2016	360
	6/20/2017	320
	12/20/2017	190
	6/19/2018	190
	11/08/2018	180
	6/03/2019	190
	12/18/2019	210
	6/23/2020	220
DBS-2	4/08/2009	14
	5/12/2011	25
	10/05/2011	18
	2/09/2012	22
	5/01/2012	24
	9/11/2012	44
	6/25/2013	36
	1/10/2014	45

# Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 1 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride
Monitor Well	Date	(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
NI	/WQCC Standard	250
DBS-2 (cont.)	4/08/2014	22
	3/20/2015	29
	6/30/2015	28
	9/30/2015	40
	12/17/2015	35
	3/23/2016	46
	6/09/2016	41
	9/14/2016	41
	12/02/2016	53
	6/20/2017	59
	12/20/2017	37
	6/18/2018	47
	11/08/2018	47
	6/03/2019	42
	12/17/2019	68
	6/24/2020	66
DBS-3	4/08/2009	36
	5/12/2011	35
	10/05/2011	34
	2/09/2012	34
	5/01/2012	33
	9/11/2012	34
	6/24/2013	32
	1/10/2014	34
	4/08/2014	32
	3/20/2015	35
	6/30/2015	35
	9/30/2015	34
	12/17/2015	34
	3/23/2016	36
	6/09/2016	35

### Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical Data Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 2 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride
Monitor Well Date		(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
NN	IWQCC Standard	250
DBS-3 (cont.)	9/14/2016	37
	12/02/2016	37
	6/20/2017	39
	12/20/2017	42
	6/18/2018	47
	11/08/2018	46
	6/03/2019	46
	12/17/2019	48
	6/24/2020	50
DBS-4	4/08/2009	38
	5/12/2011	33
	10/05/2011	32
	2/09/2012	32
	5/01/2012	31
	9/11/2012	32
	6/25/2013	31
	1/10/2014	32
	4/08/2014	30
	3/20/2015	33
	6/30/2015	31
	9/30/2015	33
	12/17/2015	35
	3/23/2016	38
	6/09/2016	35
	9/14/2016	37
	12/02/2016	41
	6/20/2017	35
	12/20/2017	32
	6/19/2018	39
	11/08/2018	35
	6/03/2019	30

# Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 3 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride
Monitor Well	Date	(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
NM	NMWQCC Standard	
DBS-4 (cont.)	12/17/2019	35
	6/23/2020	35
DBS-5	4/08/2009	65
	5/12/2011	140
	10/05/2011	140
	2/09/2012	140
	4/30/2012	150
	9/11/2012	160
	6/24/2013	160
	1/10/2014	180
	4/08/2014	160
	3/20/2015	140
	7/01/2015	140
	9/30/2015	150
	12/17/2015	160
	3/23/2016	150
	6/09/2016	150
	9/14/2016	170
	12/02/2016	170
	6/20/2017	170
	12/20/2017	170
	6/18/2018	180
	11/08/2018	170
	6/03/2019	280
	12/18/2019	160
	6/24/2020	190
DBS-6	4/07/2009	380
	5/12/2011	410
	10/05/2011	400
	2/09/2012	380
	4/30/2012	400

### Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 4 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride
Monitor Well	Date	(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
NM	IWQCC Standard	250
DBS-6 (cont.)	9/11/2012	390
	6/24/2013	340
	1/10/2014	390
	4/07/2014	400
	3/19/2015	370
	7/01/2015	360
	9/30/2015	370
	12/17/2015	380
	3/23/2016	310
	6/09/2016	300
	9/14/2016	290
	12/02/2016	300
	6/21/2017	240
	12/19/2017	200
	6/19/2018	210
	11/08/2018	190
	6/03/2019	180
	12/17/2019	220
	6/24/2020	230
DBS-7	4/07/2008	570
DBS-8	4/07/2009	58
	5/12/2011	36
	10/05/2011	140
	2/09/2012	41
	4/30/2012	41
	9/10/2012	42
	6/24/2013	45
	1/09/2014	38
	4/07/2014	36
	3/19/2015	36
	7/01/2015	34

### Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 5 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride Concentration
Monitor Well	Date	(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
NN	IWQCC Standard	250
DBS-8 (cont.)	9/30/2015	35
	12/17/2015	33
	3/23/2016	35
	6/09/2016	34
	9/14/2016	34
	12/02/2016	33
	6/21/2017	33
	12/19/2017	28
	6/19/2018	33
	11/08/2018	30
	6/03/2019	35
	12/17/2019	30
	6/24/2020	34
DBS-9	4/08/2009	210
	5/12/2011	600
	10/05/2011	440
	2/09/2012	290
	4/30/2012	330
	9/11/2012	320
	6/24/2013	200
	1/10/2014	170
	4/07/2014	220
	3/19/2015	260
	7/01/2015	210
	9/30/2015	260
	12/17/2015	230
	3/23/2016	200
	6/09/2016	190
	9/14/2016	190
	12/02/2016	180
	6/21/2017	200

### Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 6 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride Concentration
Monitor Well Date		(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
NN	IWQCC Standard	250
DBS-9 (cont.)	12/20/2017	230
	6/19/2018	260
	6/03/2019	160
	12/17/2019	220
	6/24/2020	360
DBS-10	6/19/2018	690
	11/08/2018	590
	6/03/2019	510
	12/17/2019	540
	6/24/2020	560
NW-1s	4/08/2009	630
NW-1m	4/08/2009	57
NW-1d	4/08/2009	38
NW-2s	4/08/2009	410
NW-2m	4/08/2009	570
NW-2d	4/08/2009	4,700
PMW-1	2/27/2008	<b>9,500</b> <sup>b</sup>
	5/30/2008	<b>8,600</b> <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	12,700
	4/08/2009	11,000
	5/12/2011	13,000
	10/05/2011	12,000
	2/09/2012	12,000
	5/01/2012	12,000
	9/11/2012	14,000
	6/25/2013	14,000
	1/10/2014	11,000
	4/08/2014	12,000
	3/20/2015	8,500
	7/01/2015	8,600
	9/30/2015	9,700

# Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 7 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride Concentration
Monitor Well	Date	(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
N	MWQCC Standard	250
PMW-1 (cont.)	12/17/2015	9,800
	3/23/2016	8,200
	6/09/2016	8,500
	9/14/2016	9,300
	12/01/2016	8,300
	6/20/2017	13,000
	12/20/2017	12,000
	6/19/2018	9,600
	11/08/2018	10,000
	6/03/2019	11,000
	12/18/2019	3,400
	6/23/2020	11,000
MW-1	5/30/2008	75 <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	243
MW-2	2/27/2008	120 <sup>b</sup>
	5/30/2008	80 <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	1,480
	4/07/2009	1,200
	6/19/2018	390
MW-3	2/27/2008	<b>348</b> <sup>b</sup>
	5/30/2008	<b>360</b> <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	1,090
	4/07/2009	17,000
	5/12/2011	16,000
	10/05/2011	14,000
	2/09/2012	15,000
	4/30/2012	14,000
	9/10/2012	16,000
	6/24/2013	12,000
	1/10/2014	10,000
	4/07/2014	12,000

### Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 8 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



	Data	Chloride Concentration
Monitor Well	Date	(mg/L) -
N	MWQCC Standard	250
MW-3 (cont.)	3/19/2015	9,700
	7/01/2015	10,000
	9/30/2015	9,600
	12/17/2015	5,100
	3/23/2016	8,200
	6/09/2016	9,400
	9/14/2016	9,100
	12/02/2016	11,000
	6/21/2017	10,000
	12/20/2017	8,300
	6/19/2018	7,300
	11/08/2018	8,000
	6/03/2019	8,000
	12/18/2019	7,400
	6/24/2020	6,400
MW-4	2/27/2008	<b>476</b> <sup>b</sup>
	5/30/2008	<b>512</b> <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	5,730
	4/07/2009	6,600
MW-5	2/27/2008	<b>1,280</b> <sup>b</sup>
	5/30/2008	<b>1,220</b> <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	1,260
	4/07/2009	1,300
	5/12/2011	1,500
	10/05/2011	1,500
	2/09/2012	1,500
	4/30/2012	1,400
	9/10/2012	1,500
	6/24/2013	1,300
	1/10/2014	1,300
	4/07/2014	1,300

### Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical DataSalty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New MexicoPage 9 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



		Chloride Concentration
Monitor Well	Date	(mg/L) <sup>a</sup>
NM	IWQCC Standard	250
MW-5 (cont.)	3/19/2015	1,200
	7/01/2015	1,200
	9/30/2015	1,000
	12/17/2015	1,000
	3/23/2016	980
	6/09/2016	970
	9/14/2016	1,000
	12/02/2016	710
	6/21/2017	870
	12/19/2017	850
	6/19/2018	840
	11/08/2018	680
	6/03/2019	610
	12/18/2019	550
	6/24/2020	660
MW-6	2/27/2008	32 <sup>b</sup>
	5/30/2008	36 <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	31.4
	4/07/2009	25
Ranch Headquarters Supply Well	6/23/2008	35.4
Brine Station Fresh	2/27/2008	<b>630</b> <sup>b</sup>
Water Supply Well	5/30/2008	<b>590</b> <sup>b</sup>
	6/23/2008	650

### Table C-2. Historical Chloride Groundwater Analytical Data Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 10 of 10

Bold indicates that value exceeds the applicable standard.

<sup>a</sup> All samples analyzed using EPA method 300.0, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Samples analyzed using Standard Method 4500-Cl B.



Recovery Well	Date	Average Extraction Rate <sup>a</sup> (gpm)
RW-1	4/07/2012	Groundwater extraction started
	5/01/2012	2.1
	9/11/2012	2.9
	6/25/2013	4.1
	11/15/2013	3.6
	3/20/2015 <sup>b</sup>	2.4
	6/30/2015	
FWS-1	12/17/2015	
	3/22/2016	12.8
	6/08/2016	33.9
	9/13/2016	5.4
	12/02/2016	39.7
	6/20/2017	32.7
	12/19/2017	37.3
	6/18/2018	15.4
	11/08/2018	22.4
	6/03/2019 <sup>°</sup>	23.9
	12/18/2019	27.7
	6/23/2020	21.2
RW-2	4/06/2012	Groundwater extraction started
	5/01/2012	2.5
	9/11/2012	4.3
	12/14/2012	3.9
	6/25/2013 <sup>d</sup>	
	9/21/2013 <sup>e</sup>	2.9
	9/30/2015	68

#### Table C-3. Historical Average Groundwater Extraction Rates Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 1 of 2

<sup>a</sup> Average extraction rates based on totalizer flow meter readings and/or fresh water production records.

<sup>b</sup> Pumping at RW-1 stopped because pumping of FWS-1 lowered groundwater levels at RW-1, precluding groundwater extraction at RW-1. Pumping at FWS-1 provides hydraulic containment and removal of chloride-impacted groundwater in the former brine pond area.

<sup>c</sup> New meter on December 3, 2019; well stopped pumping on May 11, 2019.

<sup>d</sup> New pump installed in RW-2 and started on June 25, 2013.

<sup>e</sup> Meter and pump were removed from RW-2 on approximately September 21, 2013 by facility manager to install a new, larger-capacity pump.

<sup>f</sup> Meter was inoperable because it was damaged. Meter was replaced in November 2017.

<sup>g</sup> Meter read on November 8, 2018, but well had not been pumped since October 10, 2018; average extraction rate between June 18 and October 10, 2018 is reported. gpm = Gallons per minute



#### Table C-3. Historical Average Groundwater Extraction Rates Salty Dog Brine Station, Lea County, New Mexico Page 2 of 2

Recovery Well	Date	Average Extraction Rate <sup>a</sup> (gpm)
RW-2 (cont.)	12/17/2015	44
	3/22/2016	32
	6/08/2016	9.0
	9/13/2016	5.7
	12/01/2016 <sup>f</sup>	
	6/20/2017 <sup>f</sup>	—
	12/19/2017	12.4
	6/19/2018	5.2
	10/10/2018 <sup>g</sup>	3.4
	6/3/2019	7.0
	12/18/2019	14.9
	6/23/2020	16.7

<sup>a</sup> Average extraction rates based on totalizer flow meter readings and/or fresh water production records.

<sup>b</sup> Pumping at RW-1 stopped because pumping of FWS-1 lowered groundwater levels at RW-1, precluding groundwater extraction at RW-1. Pumping at FWS-1 provides hydraulic containment and removal of chloride-impacted groundwater in the former brine pond area.

<sup>c</sup> New meter on December 3, 2019; well stopped pumping on May 11, 2019.

<sup>d</sup> New pump installed in RW-2 and started on June 25, 2013.

<sup>e</sup> Meter and pump were removed from RW-2 on approximately September 21, 2013 by facility manager to install a new, larger-capacity pump.

<sup>f</sup> Meter was inoperable because it was damaged. Meter was replaced in November 2017.

<sup>g</sup> Meter read on November 8, 2018, but well had not been pumped since October 10, 2018; average extraction rate between June 18 and October 10, 2018 is reported.

gpm = Gallons per minute