## GW-361

## REPORTS

# **YEAR(S)**: 2005-2003

TEPPO 2005

## COPY

## SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INVESTIGATION

Property at:

HOBBS STATION Off County Road 61 Hobbs, Lea County, New Mexico

> October 7, 2005 Project No. 0105013

> > Prepared for:

TEPPCO, L.P. 2929 Allen Parkway, Suite 3200 Houston, Texas 77019 Attention: Mr. David Smith, P.G.

Prepared by:

B. Chris Mitchell, P.G. Principal Geoscientist

RECEIVED

-

1987 - See

N. 177

and the second

and the second second

CELES CON

1. C. North

N 1997

State of the second

OCT 1 0 2005

ENVIRONMENTAL DEPT.

Rusty Sinfoson, P.G., C.P.G. Senior Technical Review

outhwest CIENCE

3030 LBJ Freeway, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75234 Ph: (214) 722-7531 Fax: (214) 722-7632

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

and the second se

E 226230

Sec. 19

tes operations Same tank

**拉利金 2** 

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
1.0INTRODUCTION41.1Site Description41.2Site Background41.3Scope of Work51.4Standard of Care51.5Additional Limitations61.6Reliance6
2.0 SENSITIVE RECEPTOR SURVEY
3.0FIELD ACTIVITIES73.1Borings and Monitoring Wells73.2Soil and Groundwater Sampling8
4.0 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS
5.0DATA EVALUATION95.1Soil Samples95.2Groundwater Samples10
6.0 MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION EVALUTION
7.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
LIST OF APPENDICES
Appendix A: Figure 1 – Topographic Map Figure 2 – Site Vicinity Map Figure 3 – Site Plan
Appendix B: Tables
Appendix C: Water Well Search Report
Appendix D: Boring Logs
Appendix E: Laboratory Analytical Reports & Chain of Custody Documentation

i



ana x

## SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INVESTIGATION

## HOBBS STATION Off County Road 61 Hobbs, Lea County, New Mexico SWG Project No. 0105013

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

State and a state

The TEPPCO Hobbs Station is located off County Road (CR) 61, south-southwest of Hobbs, New Mexico, referred to hereinafter as the "site" or "subject site". The site consists of approximately 35 acres developed as a crude oil storage facility associated with crude oil pipeline operations.

During the completion of due diligence activities during the acquisition of select ARCO assets by TEPPCO, soil borings MW-1, MW-2, MW-4 and B-5 were advanced at the station by ALPHA TESTING, INC. (ALPHA) in March, 2003. Soil borings MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4 were subsequently converted to permanent groundwater monitoring wells. The objective of due diligence activities was to evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in the on-site soil and groundwater as a result of the operations historically associated with the Site.

In addition, an existing monitoring well previously installed under the direction of ARCO, labeled MW-3, was identified on the north-northeast portion of the site during the completion of the due diligence activities. No other existing monitoring wells were observed during the 2003 investigation activities.

Petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations identified in on-site soils during the ALPHA Environmental Site Investigation (ESI) dated May 23, 2003, which exceed the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division's (OCD's) *Remediation Action Levels* were limited to the TPH DRO concentration of 621 mg/Kg associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2. The TPH DRO concentration was resubmitted for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) analysis. The identified PAH constituent concentrations do not exceed the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) *Tier 1 Soil Concentrations Protective Of Groundwater*.

Petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations identified in on-site groundwater during the ALPHA ESI dated May 23, 2003, which exceed the New Mexico Water Quality Commission (NMWQC) *Ground Water Standards* were limited to the benzene concentration of 0.0637 mg/L associated with the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3(ARCO).

The objective of the Supplemental Environmental Site Investigation (SESI) conducted by Southwest Geoscience (SWG) was to further evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in the on-site soil and groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-3, previously installed under the direction of ARCO. One (1) boring, MW-3R, was advanced at the site and converted to a permanent groundwater monitoring well. Soil boring MW-3R was advanced adjacent to monitoring well MW-3, previously installed by ARCO.

Section 2

Based on SWG's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's *Remediation Action Levels*, the TPH DRO concentration identified in the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R exceeds the remediation action level of 100 mg/kg. However, based on the results of the TX 1005/1006 analysis, TPH concentrations were not identified above the laboratory method detection limits.

EN#

BNA

2

In addition, SWG compared the identified TPH concentrations to the NMED *TPH* X Screening Guidelines dated June 24, 2003. Due to the absence of TPH Screening Values for crude oil in this guidance document, SWG compared the identified TPH concentrations to the lower of the published NMED Screening Guidelines (Residential Direct Exposure) for Diesel #2, #3/#6 Fuel Oil, Kerosene and Jet Fuel. Based on the laboratory analytical results, the TPH DRO concentration identified in the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R does not exceed the lower of the published NMED Screening Guidelines (Residential Direct Exposure) for Diesel #2, #3/#6 Fuel Oil, Kerosene and Jet Fuel of 880 mg/kg.

Based on the laboratory analytical results, TPH GRO/DRO concentrations were identified in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3R; however, the identified concentrations do not exceed the applicable New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Human Health Standards for  $\chi \cdot N_{2}$  ( $\chi \cdot N_{2}$ ) ( $\chi \cdot N_{2}$ )

Based on SWG's review of the historic and current laboratory analytical results, the primary lines of evidence with regard to natural attenuation of chemicals of concern (COCs) demonstrate a clear trend of stable of decreasing COC concentrations in groundwater over time and with distance away from potential source(s).

Based on the results of this SESI, SWG presents the following recommendations:

- Report the results of the investigation to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department OCD and coordinate site activities through the OCD;
- Based on the COC concentrations identified in the on-site soil and  $NO^{-1}$  and  $OO^{-1}$  and O
- If soils or groundwater located on the site are to be disturbed during future excavations or construction activities, proper procedures should be followed with respect to worker health and safety, and any affected soil or groundwater encountered should be properly characterized,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Human Health Standards for Groundwater for groundwater with a total dissolved concentration (TDS) of less than 10,000 mg/L.



treated and/or disposed in accordance with applicable local, state or federal regulations.

3



## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

2

1.00

## 1.1 Site Description

The TEPPCO Hobbs Station is located off County Road (CR) 61, south-southwest of Hobbs, New Mexico, referred to hereinafter as the "site" or "subject site". The site consists of approximately 35 acres developed as a crude oil storage facility associated with crude oil pipeline operations.

A topographic map is included as Figure 1, a site vicinity map is included as Figure 2, and a site plan is included as Figure 3 of Appendix A.

### 1.2 Site Background

During the completion of due diligence activities during the acquisition of select ARCO assets by TEPPCO, soil borings MW-1, MW-2, MW-4 and B-5 were advanced at the station by ALPHA TESTING, INC. (ALPHA) in March, 2003. Soil borings MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4 were subsequently converted to permanent groundwater monitoring wells. The objective of the due diligence activities was to evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in the on-site soil and groundwater as a result of the operations historically associated with the Site. No  $\Delta u \in \mathcal{N}$ 

In addition, an existing monitoring well previously installed under the direction of ARCO, labeled MW-3, was identified on the north-northeast portion of the site during the completion of the due diligence activities. No other existing monitoring wells were observed during the 2003 investigation activities.

SWG's review of the ALPHA TESTING, INC. Environmental Site Investigation (ESI) dated May 23, 2003, identified the following findings:

"Based on the results of the ESI, the on-site soils in the vicinity of soil borings MW-1, MW-2, and B-5 appear to be affected by petroleum hydrocarbons.

Based on the results of the ESI, the on-site groundwater in the vicinity of monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 appears to be affected by petroleum hydrocarbons.

ALPHA compared the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations in on-site soils and groundwater to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division's (OCD's) Remediation Action Levels and the New Mexico Water Quality Commission (NMWQC) Ground Water Standards for sites affected by a release of oilfield products (i.e. crude oil, condensate, etc.).

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's Remediation Action Levels, the identified TPH DRO concentrations associated with the soil samples collected from soil borings MW-1 and B-5 and the identified ethylbenzene and TPH GRO concentrations associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 do not exceed their respective action levels.

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's Remediation Action Levels, the identified TPH DRO concentration associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 exceeds the remediation action level of 100 mg/kg.

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the NMWQC Ground Water Standards, the identified toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, TPH DRO/GRO and PAH concentrations associated with the groundwater samples collected from monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 do not exceed the respective groundwater standards.

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the NMWQC Ground Water Standards, the identified benzene concentration associated with the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-3 exceeds the groundwater standard of 10  $\mu$ g/L."

Due to the exceedance of the OCD's Remediation Action Level of 100 mg/Kg for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), ALPHA resubmitted the soil sample for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) analysis. The OCD does not have published cleanup standards for PAHs; therefore, SWG compared the identified PAH concentrations to the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) Tier 1 Soil Concentrations Protective Of Groundwater. Based on SWG's review, the identified PAH concentrations do not exceed the Tier 1 Soil Concentrations Protective Of Groundwater.

A groundwater monitoring event was subsequently conducted by ALPHA in May, 2004 to further evaluate the magnitude of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents in the on-site groundwater. During the completion of sampling activities, on-site personnel indicated the location of two additional groundwater monitoring wells previously installed under the direction of ARCO, labeled MW-1 and MW-2. ALPHA sampled monitoring wells MW-1(ARCO), MW-2(ARCO), MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4. However, the groundwater table appeared to have dropped below the total depth of monitoring well MW-3(ARCO); therefore, no groundwater sample was collected.

Analytical tables which include the historical soil and groundwater analytical data are provided in Appendix B.

## 1.3 Scope of Work

Southwest Geoscience (SWG) has conducted a Supplemental Environmental Site Investigation (SESI) at the Hobbs Station based on the results of the ALPHA ESI dated May 23, 2003. The objective of the SESI was to further evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in the on-site soil and groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-3, previously installed under the direction of ARCO. SWG's SESI was conducted in accordance with SWG's Proposal P01051017 dated April 20, 2005 and authorized on June 9, 2005.

## 1.4 Standard of Care

SWG's services were performed in accordance with standards customarily provided by a firm rendering the same or similar services in the area during the same time

.....

100

period. SWG makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the services performed hereunder. Additionally, SWG does not warrant the work of third parties supplying information used in the report (e.g. laboratories, regulatory agencies, or other third parties). This scope of services was performed in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client, as detailed in our proposal.

## 1.5 Additional Limitations

Findings, conclusions and recommendations resulting from these services are based upon information derived from the on-site activities and other services performed under this scope of work and it should be noted that this information is subject to change over time. Certain indicators of the presence of hazardous substances, petroleum products, or other constituents may have been latent, inaccessible, unobservable, or not present during these services, and SWG cannot represent that the site contains no hazardous substances, toxic materials, petroleum products, or other latent conditions beyond those identified during this LSI. Environmental conditions at other areas or portions of the Site may vary from those encountered at actual sample locations. SWG's findings, and recommendations are based solely upon data available to SWG at the time of these services.

## 1.6 Reliance

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of TEPPCO, L.P., and any authorization for use or reliance by any other party (except a governmental entity having jurisdiction over the site) is prohibited without the express written authorization of TEPPCO, L.P. and SWG. Any unauthorized distribution or reuse is at the client's sole risk. Notwithstanding the foregoing, reliance by authorized parties will be subject to the terms, conditions and limitations stated in the proposal, SESI report, and SWG's Agreement. The limitation of liability defined in the agreement is the aggregate limit of SWG's liability to the client.

## 2.0 SENSITIVE RECEPTOR SURVEY

During the completion of field activities, a sensitive receptor survey, which included a ½-mile radius search for registered water wells and a 500-foot walking survey for unregistered water wells and potential sensitive human and ecological receptors, was performed in the vicinity of the site.

SWG completed a field inventory of registered and unregistered water wells located within 500 feet of the central portion of the site. Additionally, a records inventory of water wells located within a 0.5 mile of the site was completed and included as Appendix C. The results of the water well search conducted during the investigation activities did not identify the beneficial use of groundwater within a one-half mile radius of the site.  $\chi$ 

During the completion of the 500-foot receptor survey, SWG inspected the site vicinity for dwellings, schools, hospitals, day care centers, nursing homes, businesses and subsurface utilities located within 500 feet of the site. In addition, sensitive receptors such as surface water bodies, parks, recreational areas, wildlife sanctuaries and wetlands areas located within 500 feet of the site were evaluated, if present. The site is located within an agricultural rangeland and oil and gas production and storage setting. SWG did not observe the above referenced sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the site.

Hobbs Station, Off CR 61, Hobbs, New Mexico SWG Project No. 0105013 October 7, 2005



## 3.0 FIELD ACTIVITIES

### 3.1 Borings and Monitoring Wells

SWG's field activities were conducted on July 25, 2005 by Mr. B. Chris Mitchell, an SWG environmental professional. As part of the approved scope of work, one (1) boring, MW-3R, was advanced at the site and converted to a permanent groundwater monitoring well. Soil boring MW-3R was advanced adjacent to monitoring well MW-3, previously installed by ARCO.

Figure 3 is a site plan which indicates the approximate location of the soil boring/monitoring well in relation to pertinent structures and general site boundaries (Appendix A).

Drilling services were performed under the supervision of a State of New Mexico licensed Water Well Driller using an air-rotary drilling rig. An SWG professional was present to observe the drilling procedures. Soil samples were collected using a one foot core barrel sampler. Drilling equipment was cleaned using a high pressure washer prior to beginning the project and before beginning each soil boring. Sampling equipment was cleaned using an Alconox<sup>®</sup> wash and potable water rinse prior to the beginning of the project and before collecting each soil sample.

Soil samples were collected continuously and observed to document soil lithology, color, moisture content and evidence of petroleum hydrocarbon impact. The soil samples were field-screened using a calibrated photoionization detector (PID) to indicate the presence of volatile organic compounds.

The lithology encountered during the advancement of soil boring MW-3R consisted of a brown silty clay from the surface to a depth of approximately 2 feet below grade surface (bgs). A tan caliche was encountered from a depth of 2 feet bgs to a depth of approximately 18 feet bgs. The tan caliche was underlain by a pale pink caliche from a depth of 18.0 to 33.0 feet bgs. A reddish purple quartzite lens was encountered from a depth of approximately 33 to 34 feet bgs. The quartzite lens was underlain by a reddish tan sand from a depth of 34 to 40.0 feet bgs. The sand was underlain by a red sand with fragmented sandstone from a depth of 40.0 bgs to the terminus of the soil boring at a depth of 48.0 feet bgs. Detailed lithologic descriptions are presented on the soil boring logs included in Appendix D.

Groundwater was encountered at a depth of approximately 37 feet bgs during the advancement of monitoring well MW-3R.

The groundwater flow direction and the depth to shallow groundwater likely vary depending upon seasonal variations in rainfall and the depth to the soil/bedrock interface. Without the benefit of on-site groundwater monitoring wells surveyed to a datum, groundwater flow direction beneath the site cannot be determined. Based on field observations, the general groundwater flow direction appears to follow topography, which grades toward the southwest.

Petroleum odors and PID readings ranging up to 1,342 parts per million (ppm) were detected in the soil samples collected from soil boring MW-3R. The highest PID reading was observed in the soil sample collected from a depth of 36 to 37 feet bgs (capillary fringe) in soil boring MW-3R. The soil boring log is included in Appendix D.

7

Subsequent to advancement, soil boring MW-3R was converted to a permanent monitoring well. The monitoring well was completed using the following methodology:

- Installation of 15.0 feet of 2-inch diameter, 0.010-inch machine slotted PVC well screen with a threaded bottom cap;
- Installation of 33.0 feet of 2-inch diameter, threaded flush joint PVC riser piper to just above the ground surface;
- Addition of a pre-sieved 20/40 grade annular silica sand pack from the bottom of the boring to at least 0.5-feet above the top of the well screen;
- Addition of a hydrated bentonite seal above the sand pack filter zone;
- Addition of grout to the surface; and,
- Installation of an above grade monitoring well cover with locking well cap.

Monitoring well construction details are presented on the soil boring log for this monitoring well which is included in Appendix D.

The monitoring well was developed by surging and removing groundwater with a new, disposable, polypropylene bailer until the groundwater was relatively free of fine-grained sediment. Approximately twenty-five gallons of groundwater was removed from the monitoring well during the development activities.

## 3.2 Soil and Groundwater Sampling

SWG's soil sampling program involved submitting one soil sample from the soil boring for laboratory analysis. The soil sample was collected from the zone exhibiting the highest PID reading, which was the capillary fringe zone. Soil sample intervals are presented along with the soil sample analytical results in Table 1 (Appendix B) and included on the boring log in Appendix D.

A groundwater sample was collected from the monitoring well utilizing a dedicated disposable bailer.

Soil and groundwater samples were collected and placed in laboratory prepared glassware, sealed with custody tape and placed on ice in a cooler, which was secured with a custody seal. The sample coolers and completed chain-of-custody forms were relinquished to Severn Trent's analytical laboratory in Corpus Christi, Texas for normal turnaround.

## 4.0 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS

The soil samples collected from each boring and the groundwater samples collected from the monitoring wells were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) using EPA SW-846 method #8021B and TPH DRO/GRO utilizing EPA method SW-846# 5030B/8015Bmodified. In addition, the vsoil sample was analyzed utilizing Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Method TX1005/1006 to speciate the identified petroleum hydrocarbons.

(ANA

Laboratory results are summarized in the tables included in Appendix B. The executed chain-of-custody form and laboratory data sheets are provided in Appendix E.

Hobbs Station, Off CR 61, Hobbs, New Mexico SWG Project No. 0105013 October 7, 2005



### 5.0 DATA EVALUATION

ALC: NO.

### 5.1 Soil Samples

SWG compared the petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations identified in the on-site soils to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division's (OCD's) Remediation Action Levels for sites affected by a release of oilfield products (i.e. crude oil, condensate, etc.) in accordance with the OCD's Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases.

In addition, SWG analyzed the soil sample utilizing TCEQ Method TX1005/1006 to X DNA evaluate the aliphatic and aromatic fractions associated with the identified TPH concentration. The inverse weighted average (TPH Mass Fractions) of the aliphatic and aromatic fractions derived from the TPH Method TX 1006 analysis are typically utilized to establish cleanup values for the complete TPH mixture (i.e., the whole product), for each applicable exposure pathway. However, the TX 1005/1006 analysis did not identify petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations above the laboratory method detection limits.

Based on the laboratory analytical results, benzene, toluene and xylenes concentrations were not identified in the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R above the laboratory method detection limits.

Based on SWG's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's Remediation Action Levels, the identified ethylbenzene concentration associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R does not exceed the remediation action level of 50 mg/kg for Total BTEX.

Based on SWG's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's Remediation Action Levels, the identified TPH DRO concentration associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R exceeds the remediation action level of 100 mg/kg. However, based on the results of the TX 1005/1006 analysis, TPH concentrations were not identified above the laboratory method detection limits.

In addition, SWG compared the identified TPH concentrations to the New Mexico Environmental Department TPH Screening Guidelines dated June 24, 2003. Due Cruse to the absence of TPH Screening Values for crude oil, SWG compared the NOT identified TPH concentrations to the lower of the published NMED Screening Product Guidelines (Residential Direct Exposure) for Diesel #2, #3/#6 Fuel Oil, Kerosene and Jet Fuel. Based on the laboratory analytical results, the TPH DRO concentration identified in the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R does not exceed the lower of the published NMED Screening Guidelines (Residential Direct Exposure) for Diesel #2, #3/#6 Fuel Oil, Kerosene and Jet Fuel of 880 mg/kg.

The results of the soil sample analyses are summarized in Table 1, included in Appendix B.

- NOT IN NM

## 5.2 Groundwater Samples

SWG compared the petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations identified in on-site groundwater to the New Mexico Water Quality Commission (NMWQC) Ground Water Standards for sites affected by a release of oilfield products (i.e. crude oil, condensate, etc.) in accordance with the Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases.

Based on the laboratory analytical results, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and/or xylenes concentrations were not identified in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3R above the laboratory method detection limits.

Based on the laboratory analytical results, TPH GRO/DRO concentrations were identified in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3R; however, the identified concentrations do not exceed the applicable NMWQC Groundwater Water Standards.

The results of the groundwater sample analyses are summarized in Table 2 included in Appendix B.

X ANA

## 6.0 MONITORED NATURAL ATTENUATION EVALUATION

SWG conducted a natural attenuation screening to evaluate the site for remediation by monitored natural attenuation. Natural attenuation of petroleum hydrocarbons is recognized as a viable remedial alternative where favorable subsurface conditions prevail. The ASTM guidance document, <u>Standard Guide for Remediation of Ground</u> <u>Water by Natural Attenuation at Petroleum Release Sites</u>, was utilized as the standard for evaluating natural attenuation.

Natural attenuation is the process by which contaminants in the environment are degraded, or reduced in concentration by various means including volatilization, adsorption, desorption, dispersion, dilution, diffusion, biodegradation, and abiotic degradation. Natural attenuation is achieved when one or more of these processes brings about a reduction in the total mass, toxicity, mobility, volume, or concentration of a contaminant. The presence or absence of key indicator parameters will indicate the degree to which (if any) natural attenuation may occur. Monitored natural attenuation is the measurement or analysis of these key indicator parameters over time to establish trends that document that a reduction in total mass, toxicity, mobility, volume, or concentration of a contaminant is taking place. Several of the indicator parameters such as Oxygen, Conductivity, pH, Temperature, and Oxidation-Reduction Potential can be measured in the field. The remaining indicator parameters such as Alkalinity, Nitrate, Ferrous Iron, Ferric Iron, Carbon Dioxide, Sulfate and Methane are submitted to the laboratory for analysis.

## Primary Lines of Evidence

Primary lines of evidence consist of historical groundwater data that demonstrate a clear trend of stable of decreasing COC concentrations in groundwater over time and with distance away from the source at appropriate monitoring or sampling points.  $X - S \in \mathcal{T} \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M} \mathcal{R}$ 

Southwest

Based on SWG's review of the current and historical groundwater data, COC concentrations exhibit a decreasing trend in groundwater samples collected during sample events conducted in 2003 to 2005.

## 7.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

N HUNG

SWG's field activities were conducted on July 25, 2005 by Mr. B. Chris Mitchell, an SWG environmental professional. As part of the approved scope of work, one (1) boring was advanced and converted to a permanent groundwater monitoring well. Boring MW-3R was advanced adjacent to monitoring well MW-3, previously installed by ARCO.

Based on SWG's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's *Remediation Action Levels*, the identified ethylbenzene concentration associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R does not exceed the remediation action level of 50 mg/kg for Total BTEX.

Based on SWG's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's *Remediation Action Levels*, the identified TPH DRO concentration associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R exceeds the remediation action level of 100 mg/kg. However, based on the results of the TX 1005/1006 analysis, TPH concentrations were not identified above the laboratory method detection limits.

In addition, SWG compared the identified TPH concentrations to the New Mexico Environmental Department *TPH Screening Guidelines* dated June 24, 2003. Due to the absence of TPH Screening Values for crude oil, SWG compared the identified TPH concentrations to the lower of the published NMED Screening Guidelines (Residential Direct Exposure) for Diesel #2, #3/#6 Fuel Oil, Kerosene and Jet Fuel. Based on the laboratory analytical results, the TPH DRO concentration identified in the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-3R does not exceed the lower of the published NMED Screening Guidelines (Residential Direct Exposure) for Diesel #2, #3/#6 Fuel Oil, Kerosene and Jet Fuel of 880 mg/kg.

Based on the laboratory analytical results, TPH GRO/DRO concentrations were identified in the groundwater sample collected from monitoring well MW-3R; however, the identified concentrations do not exceed the applicable NMWQC Groundwater Water Standards.

Based on SWG's review of the historic and current laboratory analytical results, the primary lines of evidence with regard to natural attenuation of chemicals of concern (COCs) demonstrate a clear trend of stable of decreasing COC concentrations in groundwater over time and with distance away from potential source(s).

Based on the results of this SESI, SWG presents the following recommendations:

• Report the results of the investigation to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department OCD and coordinate site activities through the OCD;

11

Hobbs Station, Off CR 61, Hobbs, New Mexico SWG Project No. 0105013 October 7, 2005

141.42.63

Constant of



- Based on the COC concentrations identified in the on-site soil and groundwater, the trend of decreasing COC concentrations in groundwater over time, the absence of beneficial use of groundwater in the vicinity of monitoring well MW-3R, the anticipated future use of the site (crude oil pipeline facility) and the direction of groundwater flow, SWG recommends TEPPCO request regulatory closure from the NMEMNRD OCD in accordance with Section VII of the OCD's Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills & Releases dated August 13, 1993;
- If soils or groundwater located on the site are to be disturbed during future excavations or construction activities, proper procedures should be followed with respect to worker health and safety, and any affected soil or groundwater encountered should be properly characterized, treated and/or disposed in accordance with applicable local, state or federal regulations.



Str. Bar

## APPENDIX A

## Figures











ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INVESTIGATION on HOBBS STATION Off County Road 61 Hobbs, New Mexico

> ALPHA Project No. E03211 May 23, 2003

> > Prepared for:

**TEPPCO Crude Oil, LP** c/o **TEPPCO, LP** 2929 Allen Parkway Houston, Texas 77019

3. Cl. I

B. Chris Mitchell, P.G. Environmental Department Manager

Jim L. Hillhouse

Jim L. Hillhouse Senior Technical Review

ALPHA TESTING, INC. 2209 Wisconsin St., Suite 100 Dallas, Texas 75229 Phone: (972) 620-8911 Fax: (972) 620-1302

PREPARED BY:



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	
2.0 FIELD EXPLORATION. 2.1 Advancement Of Soil Borings.	4
<ul> <li>2.2 Field Screening of Soil Borings</li> <li>2.3 Installation of Monitor Wells</li> <li>2.4 Soil &amp; Groundwater Sampling Program</li> </ul>	5
3.0 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL PROGRAM AND RESULTS	7
3.2 Groundwater	8
<ul> <li>4.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.</li> <li>4.1 Data Evaluation.</li> <li>4.1.1 Soil.</li> <li>4.1.2 Groundwater</li></ul>	

FIGURES	Figure 1: Topographic Map
	Figure 2: Site Plan
	Figure 3: Site Vicinity Map

Appendix A	SOIL BORING LOGS
Appendix B	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA &
	CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

ALPHA TESTING, INC. (ALPHA) has conducted an Environmental Site Investigation (ESI) at the site located south of County Road 61 to the west of State Highway 18 in Hobbs, New Mexico. ALPHA's scope of work is based on the Modified Environmental Site Assessment conducted by HBC Engineering, Inc. (HBC) and the information provided by TEPPCO Crude Oil, LP (TEPPCO).

The objective of the ESI was to evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in the onsite soil and groundwater as a result of the operations historically associated with the Site.

ALPHA's ESI was conducted on March 19 and 20, 2003, by an ALPHA environmental professional. Four soil borings (MW-1, MW-2, MW-4 & B-5) were advanced on-site during the completion of this ESI. Monitor well MW-3 had been previously installed by others at the station under the direction of ARCO. Soil boring MW-1was advanced in a topographically down-gradient position to the southwest of the 55,000 bbls. crude oil storage tank currently operated by TEPPCO. Soil boring MW-2 was advanced in a topographically down-gradient position to the southwest of the 55,000 bbls. crude oil storage tank currently operated by TEPPCO. Soil boring MW-2 was advanced on the southern portion of the site in the vicinity of the former on-site 55,000 bbls. crude oil storage tank, and soil boring B-5 was advanced in the vicinity of the small volume storage tank formerly located in the central portion of the Site.

Based on the results of the ESI, the on-site soils in the vicinity of soil borings MW-1, MW-2, and B-5 appear to be affected by petroleum hydrocarbons.

Based on the results of the ESI, the on-site groundwater in the vicinity of monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 appears to be affected by petroleum hydrocarbons.

ALPHA compared the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations in on-site soils and groundwater to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division's (OCD's) *Remediation Action Levels* and the New Mexico Water Quality Commission (NMWQC) *Ground Water Standards* for sites affected by a release of oilfield products (i.e. crude oil, condensate, etc.).

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's *Remediation Action Levels*, the identified TPH DRO concentrations associated with the soil samples collected from soil borings MW-1 and B-5 and the identified ethylbenzene and TPH GRO concentrations associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 do not exceed their respective action levels.



Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's *Remediation Action Levels*, the identified TPH DRO concentration associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 exceeds the remediation action level of 100 mg/kg.

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the NMWQC *Ground Water Standards*, the identified toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, TPH DRO/GRO and PAH concentrations associated with the groundwater samples collected from monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 do not exceed the respective groundwater standards.

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the NMWQC *Ground Water Standards*, the identified benzene concentration associated with the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-3 exceeds the groundwater standard of 10  $\mu$ g/kg.

ALPHA recommends that additional subsurface investigation activities be conducted to further evaluate the magnitude and extent of petroleum hydrocarbon affected soil and groundwater at the site.

The release of oilfield wastes or products should be reported to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division in accordance with Section 116 of 19.15.3 NMAC.

Based on the laboratory results of the ESI, the soil cuttings and/or groundwater generated during the installation and sampling of soil borings/monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4 and B-5 should be characterized, treated and/or disposed in accordance with applicable municipal, state, and federal regulations.

If affected soil located on the site is to be disturbed during future excavations, proper procedures should be followed with respect to worker health and safety, and any affected soil encountered should be properly handled and/or disposed in accordance with local and state regulations.



## ENVIRONMENTAL SITE INVESITGATION Hobbs Station Off County Road 61 Hobbs, New Mexico

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

ALPHA TESTING, INC. (ALPHA) has conducted an Environmental Site Investigation (ESI) at the site located south of County Road 61 to the west of State Highway 18 in Hobbs, New Mexico. ALPHA's scope of work is based on the Modified Environmental Site Assessment conducted by HBC Engineering, Inc. (HBC) and the information provided by TEPPCO Crude Oil, LP (TEPPCO).

The TEPPCO Hobbs Station consists of approximately 35 acres. The Hobbs Station is developed as a crude oil storage facility associated with crude oil pipeline operations. An existing monitor well labeled MW-3 was identified during the completion of the Modified Environmental Site Assessment conducted by HBC on the northeastern portion of the facility. As a result of the Modified Environmental Site Assessment completed by HBC, potential areas of concern included each of the two current on-site crude oil storage tanks owned by TEPPCO and the three former crude oil storage tank locations historically associated with the facility.

The objective of the ESI was to evaluate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in the onsite soil and groundwater as a result of the operations historically associated with the identified potential areas of concern associated with the Site.

ALPHA has observed the degree of care and skill generally exercised by the profession under similar circumstances and conditions in performing this environmental exploration. Observations and findings developed by ALPHA must be considered as opinions and conclusions based solely on the conditions which were observed during the site investigation. No warranties or representations, expressed or implied, are made as to the condition of the site beyond that observed by ALPHA during its site investigation.

This study and report have been prepared on behalf of and for the reliance of TEPPCO Crude Oil, LP solely for use in an environmental evaluation of the site and limited to the scope of work outlined in this report. The scope of services performed in execution of this study may not be appropriate to satisfy the needs of other users, and any use or re-use of this document regarding the findings, conclusions, or recommendations will be at the risk of the said user.



## 2.0 FIELD EXPLORATION

## 2.1 Advancement Of Soil Borings

ALPHA's ESI was conducted on March 19 and 20, 2003, by an ALPHA environmental professional. Four soil borings (MW-1, MW-2, MW-4 & B-5) were advanced on-site during the completion of this ESI. Monitor well MW-3 had been previously installed at the station by others under the direction of ARCO. Soil boring MW-1 was advanced in a topographically down-gradient position to the southwest of the 55,000 bbls. crude oil storage tank currently operated by TEPPCO. Soil boring MW-2 was advanced in a topographically down-gradient position to the southwest of the 55,000 bbls. crude oil storage tank currently operated by TEPPCO. Soil boring MW-2 was advanced on the southern portion of the site in the vicinity of the 55,000 bbls. crude oil storage tank currently operated by Navajo Pipeline Company. Soil boring MW-4 was advanced on the southern portion of the site in the vicinity of the former on-site 55,000 bbls. crude oil storage tank, and soil boring B-5 was advanced in the vicinity of the small volume storage tank formerly located in the central portion of the site. Figure 1 shows the boundaries of the site and surface topography on the USGS topographic quadrangle map of Hobbs, New Mexico. Figure 2 is a site plan which indicates the location of the soil borings advanced on-site in relation to the pertinent structures and site boundaries.

Each of the soil borings were advanced using a truck-mounted air rotary drilling rig under the supervision of a State of New Mexico licensed water well driller. Soil samples were collected continuously utilizing a core barrel sampler. Sampling and drilling equipment were decontaminated by high pressure cleaning prior to commencement of the project and between the advancement of each soil boring.

The lithology encountered during the advancement of soil boring MW-1 consisted of clayey sand with caliche from the surface to a depth of 3.0 feet below grade surface (bgs). A pale pinkish white caliche was encountered from a depth of 3.0 to 30.0 feet bgs. A brownish red sand was encountered from a depth of 30.0 to 35.0 feet bgs. The sand was underlain by a brownish red sand with fragmented sandstone from a depth of 35.0 bgs to the terminus of the soil boring at a depth of 45.0 feet bgs. The lithologies encountered during the completion of soil borings MW-2, MW-4 and B-5 were similar to that encountered during the installation of soil boring MW-1, with the exception of quartzite encountered in soil borings MW-2 and MW-4.

## 2.2 Field Screening of Soil Borings

During the advancement of soil borings on-site, soil samples were collected continuously and examined to document lithology, color, moisture content and visual or olfactory evidence of impairment. In addition, headspace analyses was conducted by placing a composite soil



sample collected from each one-foot interval into a plastic ziplock bag. The plastic bag was sealed and then placed in a warm area to promote volatilization. The air above the sample, the headspace, was then evaluated using a photoionization detector (PID) capable of detecting volatile organic compounds.

ALPHA did detect olfactory evidence indicating the presence of VOCs in association with the soil samples collected from soil boring MW-2. Results of the headspace analyses for the soil samples collected from soil boring MW-2 ranged from non-detect to 38 parts per million (ppm). The highest headspace reading from MW-2 was identified in the soil sample collected from the vadose zone at a depth of 34 to 35 feet bgs. The headspace results should be considered a qualitative field measurement and should not be interpreted as a quantitative analysis. The boring logs providing soil descriptions and headspace analyses readings are presented in Appendix A.

## 2.3 Installation of Monitor Wells

During the completion of ESI activities, groundwater was encountered at an approximate depth of 36 feet bgs during the installation of soil boring MW-1, 35 feet bgs during the installation of soil boring MW-2, and 37 feet bgs during the installation of soil boring MW-4. Subsequent to advancement, soil borings MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4 were converted to groundwater monitor wells. The monitor wells were completed using the following methodology:

- Installation of approximately 15.0 feet of 2-inch inside diameter, 0.010-inch machine slotted polyvinyl chloride (PVC) well screen assembly with a threaded bottom cap;
- Installation of 2-inch inside diameter, threaded, flush joint PVC riser pipe to the surface;
- Addition of a graded 20/40 annular sand pack from the bottom of the boring to approximately 2 feet above the top of the well screen;
- Addition of 2.5 feet of bentonite seal;
- Addition of cement grout to the annular space to the ground surface; and,
- Installation of an 8-inch diameter circular, flush mount or above grade monitor well cover with locking well cap.

Construction details associated with each of the monitor wells are presented on the soil boring logs for monitor wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4 which are included in Appendix A.

Subsequent to completion, each monitor well was developed by surging and removing groundwater utilizing a dedicated disposable bailer until fluids were generally free of fine-grained sediment.



Soil cuttings and groundwater generated during the advancement of the on-site soil borings, the development of monitor wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-4 and the purging of monitor well MW-3 were contained within DOT approved, labeled 55-gallon drums. The soil cuttings and development water were stored temporarily on-site pending receipt of laboratory analyses.

## 2.4 Soil & Groundwater Sampling Program

ALPHA's soil and groundwater sampling program consisted of the following:

## Soil borings/Monitor Wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-4

- Collection of one soil sample from each of the soil borings from the zone exhibiting the highest concentration of VOCs based on visual, olfactory or PID evidence, from the capillary fringe zone, from a change in lithology or from the bottom of the boring; and,
- Collection of one groundwater sample utilizing a dedicated disposable bailer and/or low-flow sampling equipment, subsequent to purging activities.

## Monitor Well MW-3

• Collection of one groundwater sample utilizing a dedicated disposable bailer and/or low-flow sampling equipment, subsequent to purging activities of the existing on-site monitor well.

## Soil boring B-5

• Collection of one soil sample from the soil boring from the zone exhibiting the highest concentration of VOCs based on visual, olfactory or PID evidence, from the capillary fringe zone, from a change in lithology or from the bottom of the boring.

Soil and groundwater samples were collected in laboratory prepared glassware, sealed with custody tape and placed on ice in a cooler which was secured with a custody seal. The sample coolers and completed chain-of-custody forms were relinquished to ERMI Environmental Laboratories, Inc. in Allen, Texas.



## 3.0 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL PROGRAM AND RESULTS

## 3.1 Soil

The soil samples collected from the soil borings were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) utilizing EPA Method SW-846 #0015 Diesel Range Organics (DRO)/Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX) utilizing EPA Method SW-846 #8021. In addition, the soil sample which exhibited the highest concentration of TPH was analyzed for polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) utilizing EPA Method SW-846 #8310.

Laboratory results associated with the soil sample collected from the Site are summarized in the tables below:

TABLE 3.1A SOIL SAMPLE ANALYSES									
Sample I.D.	Date	Sample Depth (feet)	Benzene (µg/kg)	Toluene (µg/kg)	Ethyl benzene (µg/kg)	Xylenes (µg/kg)	TOTAL BTEX (µg/kg)	TPH DRO (mg/kg)	TPH GRO (mg/kg)
New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division, Remediation Action Level		10,000	-	-	-	50,000	100	100	
MW-1	March 19, 2003	35-36	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<30.0	<60.0	5.13	<1.0
MW-2	March 19, 2003	34-35	<10.0	<10.0	57.9	<10.0	57.9	621	12.6
MW-4	March 20, 2003	36-37	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<30.0	<60.0	<2.9	<1.0
B-5	March 19, 2003	14-15	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<30.0	<60.0	5.77	<1.0

 $\mu g/kg = micrograms \ per \ kilogram$ 

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram



TABLE 3.1B SOIL SAMPLE ANALYSES							
Sample I.D.	Date	РАН	Observed Concentration (µg/kg)				
MW-2 (34-35)	March 19, 2003	Acenaphthene	489				
101 00 -2 (34-33)	Water 19, 2005	Acenaphthylene	291				
		Anthracene	193				
		Benzo(a)anthracene	<41.7				
		Benzo(a)pyrene	<41.7				
		Benzo(b)fluoranthene	51.2				
		Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	48.3				
		Benzo(k)fluoranthene	105				
		Chrysene	102				
		Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	28.8				
		Fluoranthene	570				
		Fluorene	<8.33				
		Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	244				
		Naphthalene	<41.7				
		Phenanthrene	296				
		Pyrene	23.0				

 $\mu g/kg = micrograms per kilogram$ 

## 3.2 Groundwater

The groundwater samples collected from the monitor wells were analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) utilizing EPA Method SW-846 #0015 DRO/GRO and BTEX utilizing EPA Method SW-846 #8021. In addition, the groundwater sample which exhibited the highest concentration of TPH was analyzed for PAHs utilizing EPA Method SW-846 #8310.

Laboratory results associated with the groundwater samples collected from the site are summarized in the tables below:



TABLE 3.2A GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSES							
Sample I.D.	Date	Benzene (µg/L)	Toluene (μg/L)	Ethyl benzene (µg/L)	Xylenes (µg/L)	TPH DRO (mg/L)	TPH GRO (mg/L)
New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Ground Water Standards		10	750	750	620	-	-
MW-1	March 20, 2003	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	2.44	<0.05
MW-2	March 20, 2003	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	0.493	<0.05
MW-3	March 20, 2003	63.7	2.49	197	6.23	18.0	1.95
MW-4	March 20, 2003	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<3.0	0.829	<0.05

 $\mu g/L = micrograms per liter$ mg/L = milligrams per liter

TABLE 3.2B GROUNDWATER SAMPLE ANALYSES							
Sample I.D.	Date	РАН	Observed Concentration (µg/L)	New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Ground Water Standards			
MW-3	March 20, 2003	Acenaphthene	<2.5	-			
		Acenaphthylene	4.85	-			
		Anthracene	15.0	-			
		Benzo(a)anthracene	0.290	-			
		Benzo(a)pyrene	0.394	0.7			
		Benzo(b)fluoranthene	<0.01	-			
		Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.545	-			
		Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.32	-			
		Chrysene	1.7	-			
		Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.623	-			
		Fluoranthene	16.1	-			
		Fluorene	9.18	-			
		Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2.1	-			
		Naphthalene	29.0	30			
		Phenanthrene	7.67	-			
		Pyrene	0.506	-			

 $\mu g/L = micrograms \ per \ liter$ 



## 4.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 4.1 Data Evaluation

## 4.1.1 Soil

The laboratory analyses of the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-1 did not indicate BTEX or TPH GRO concentrations above the method detection limits; however, the laboratory analysis did indicate a TPH DRO concentration of 5.13 mg/kg.

The laboratory analyses of the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 did not indicate benzene, toluene or xylenes concentrations above the method detection limits; however, the laboratory analysis did indicate a ethylbenzene concentration of 57.9  $\mu$ g/kg, a TPH DRO concentration of 621 mg/kg and a TPH GRO concentration of 12.6 mg/kg. In addition, the laboratory analysis of the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 exhibited PAHs including an acenaphthene concentration of 489  $\mu$ g/kg, an acenaphthylene concentration of 51.2  $\mu$ g/kg, a benzo(g,h,i)perylene concentration of 48.3  $\mu$ g/kg, a benzo(k)fluoranthene concentration of 102  $\mu$ g/kg, a dibenzo(a,h)anthracene concentration of 102  $\mu$ g/kg, a dibenzo(a,h)anthracene concentration of 28.8  $\mu$ g/kg, a fluoranthene concentration of 57.0  $\mu$ g/kg, an indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene concentration of 23.0  $\mu$ g/kg.

The laboratory analyses of the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-4 did not indicate BTEX or TPH DRO/GRO concentrations above the method detection limits.

The laboratory analyses of the soil sample collected from soil boring B-5 did not indicate BTEX or TPH GRO concentrations above the detection limits of the laboratory equipment; however, the laboratory analysis did indicate a TPH DRO concentration of 5.77 mg/kg.

Based on the results of the ESI, the on-site soils in the vicinity of soil borings MW-1, MW-2, and B-5 appear to be affected by petroleum hydrocarbons.

ALPHA compared the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations in on-site soils to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division's (OCD's) *Remediation Action Levels* for sites affected by a release of oilfield products (i.e. crude oil, condensate, etc.).

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's *Remediation Action Levels*, the identified TPH DRO



concentrations associated with the soil samples collected from soil borings MW-1 and B-5 and the identified ethylbenzene and TPH GRO concentrations associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 do not exceed their respective action levels.

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the OCD's *Remediation Action Levels*, the identified TPH DRO concentration associated with the soil sample collected from soil boring MW-2 exceeds the remediation action level of 100 mg/kg.

## 4.1.2 Groundwater

The laboratory analyses of the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-1 did not indicate BTEX or TPH GRO concentrations above the method detection limits; however, the laboratory analysis did indicate a TPH DRO concentration of 2.44 mg/L.

The laboratory analyses of the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-2 did not indicate BTEX or TPH GRO concentrations above the method detection limits; however, the laboratory analysis did indicate a TPH DRO concentration of 0.493 mg/L.

The laboratory analyses of the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-3 indicated a benzene concentration of 63.7  $\mu$ g/L, a toluene concentration of 2.49  $\mu$ g/L, a ethylbenzene concentration of 197  $\mu$ g/L, a xylenes concentration of 6.23  $\mu$ g/L, a TPH DRO concentration of 18 mg/L and a TPH GRO concentration of 1.95 mg/L. In addition, the laboratory analysis of the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-3 exhibited PAHs including an acenaphthylene concentration of 4.85  $\mu$ g/L, an anthracene concentration of 15.0  $\mu$ g/L, a benzo(a)anthracene concentration of 0.29  $\mu$ g/L, a benzo(b)pyrene concentration of 0.394  $\mu$ g/L, a benzo(g,h,i)perylene concentration of 0.545  $\mu$ g/L, a benzo(k)fluoranthene concentration of 0.623  $\mu$ g/L, a fluorene concentration of 9.18  $\mu$ g/L an indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene concentration of 2.1  $\mu$ g/L, a naphthalene concentration of 29.0  $\mu$ g/L a phenanthrene concentration of 7.67  $\mu$ g/L and a pyrene concentration of 0.506  $\mu$ g/L.

The laboratory analyses of the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-4 did not indicate BTEX or TPH GRO concentrations above the method detection limits; however, the laboratory analysis did indicate a TPH DRO concentration of 0.829 mg/L.

Based on the results of the ESI, the on-site groundwater in the vicinity of monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 appears to be affected by petroleum hydrocarbons.

ALPHA compared the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations in on-site



groundwater to the New Mexico Water Quality Commission (NMWQC) Ground Water Standards for sites affected by a release of oilfield products (i.e. crude oil, condensate, etc.).

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the NMWQC *Ground Water Standards*, the identified toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, TPH DRO/GRO and PAH concentrations associated with the groundwater samples collected from monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4 do not exceed the respective groundwater standards.

Based on ALPHA's comparison of the identified petroleum hydrocarbon constituent concentrations to the NMWQC *Ground Water Standards*, the identified benzene concentration associated with the groundwater sample collected from monitor well MW-3 exceeds the groundwater standard of 10  $\mu$ g/kg.

## 4.2 Recommendations

ALPHA recommends that additional subsurface investigation activities be conducted to further evaluate the magnitude and extent of petroleum hydrocarbon affected groundwater at the site.

The release of oilfield wastes or products should be reported to the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division in accordance Section 116 of 19.15.3 NMAC.

Based on the laboratory results of the ESI, the soil cuttings and/or groundwater generated during the installation and sampling of soil borings/monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4 and B-5 should be characterized, treated and/or disposed in accordance with applicable municipal, state, and federal regulations.

If affected soil located on the site is to be disturbed during future excavations, proper procedures should be followed with respect to worker health and safety, and any affected soil encountered should be properly handled and/or disposed in accordance with local and state regulations.

## FIGURES






# APPENDIX A SOIL BORING LOGS



# RECORD OF SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

. .....

I.

ļ,

Client: TEPPCO,	LP	Soil Boring / Well Number:	<u>MW-1</u>
Project Name:	Hobbs Station	Project #:	E03211
Project Location:	County Road 61 & Highway 18, Hobbs, New Mexico	Drawn By:	BCM
Project Manager:	BCM	Aprroved By:	BCM

DRILLING & SAMPLING INFORMAITON	
Date Started: March 19, 2003 Hammer WtN/A	
Date Completed: March 19, 2003 Hammer Drop: N/A	
Drilling Company: Straub Corporation Sampler OD: 4"	
Driller: <u>Martin Straub</u> Bore Hole Dia: <u>6</u>	ह्र BORING AND
Geologist: BCM	
Boring Method: Air Rotary	
Geologist:       BCM         Boring Method:       Air Rotary         SOIL CLASSIFICATION       Boring Method:         Understand       Supple         SURFACE ELEVATION:       Supple	
	0
Caliche, Pale Pinkish White, Dry, No Odor	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
	0
Sand, Brownish Red, Dry to Moist, No Odor	
Sand w/ Sandstone, Brownish Red, Wet, No Odor	
Sand w/ Sandstone, Brownish Red, Wet, No Odor	
[이국화]	

SAMPLER TYPE CB - FIVE FOOT CORE BARREL

SS - DRIVEN SPLIT SPOON

ST - PRESSED SHELBY TUBE

GROUNDWATER DEPTH

 $\nabla$  AT COMPLETION  $\Psi$  AT WELL STABILIZATION



# **RECORD OF** SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

Client:	_ Soil Boring / Well Number:	MW-2
Project Name: Hobbs Station	Project #:	E03211
Project Location: County Road 61 & Highway 18, Hobbs, New Mexico	_Drawn By:	ВСМ
Project Manager:BCM	_ Aprroved By:	BCM

Da Drii Drii Boi	ler: ologist: ing Meth	d: pany: <u>Martin :</u> BCN od:Ai	Straub Corr Straub 1 r Rotary SOIL (	003 003 poration	_ Hammer Wt _ Hammer Drop: _ Sampler OD: _ Bore Hole Dia: _ _	4"	th th	÷ 0	ple	Sample Interval	% Recovery	Groundwater Depth	FID/PID Readings (ppm)	BORING AND SAMPLING NOTES
Monit	SURF	ACE EL	EVATION:				Strat	Depth Scale	Sample No.	Sam	% R(	Grou	FID/F	
	Claye Calich Quart No Oc	zite w/	l w/ Caliche, e Pinkish Wh Sand, Dark I	hite, Dry, No	ish Brown, Dry o Odor own & Pink, Dr bist, Slight Petr	у,			<u>69 Z</u>	<u>0</u>		5	LL 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Gray Petroleum Hydrocarbon Staining
	Sand,	Brown	ish Red, Moi	ist to Wet, I	No Odor			40				₩.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

SAMPLER TYPE CB - FIVE FOOT CORE BARREL

SS - DRIVEN SPLIT SPOON ST - PRESSED SHELBY TUBE

**GROUNDWATER DEPTH** 

 $\nabla$  AT COMPLETION  $\Psi$  AT WELL STABILIZATION



# **RECORD OF** SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

ł

Client: <u>TEPPCO,</u>	LP	Soil Boring / Well Number:	MW-4
Project Name:	Hobbs Station	Project #:	E03211
Project Location:	County Road 61 & Highway 18, Hobbs, New Mexico	Drawn By:	ВСМ
Project Manager:	BCM	Aprroved By:	ВСМ

Date Drillin Drille Geol	Started: Completed: ng Company:	March 20, 2003 Straub Corporation Straub	_ Hammer Wt. <u>N/A</u> Hammer Drop: <u>N/A</u> Sampler OD:4"				terval	ĥ	Groundwater Depth	FID/PID Readings (ppm)	BORING AND SAMPLING NOTES
Aonitor Veti Detail	SURFACE EL	SOIL CLASSIF	CATION	Stratum Depth	Depth Scale	Sample No.	Sample Interval	% Recovery	Broundwa	ID/PID R	
	Clayey Sanc Caliche, Pal Caliche w/ Ir	l, Pale Reddish Brown, e Pinkish White, Dry, N nterbedded Quartizite, f	-		5	0,2		8			
	Dry No Odor	e Pinkish White, Dry, N									
	Sand, Light T	Fan to Reddish Brown,	Dry to Wet, No Odor			ev 4(36-27)					

SAMPLER TYPE

- CB FIVE FOOT CORE BARREL SS DRIVEN SPLIT SPOON

ST - PRESSED SHELBY TUBE

**GROUNDWATER DEPTH** 

 $\nabla$  AT COMPLETION ▼ AT WELL STABILIZATION



---

# **RECORD OF** SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION

İ

Client: TEPPCO, LP	Soil Boring / Well Number:	B-5
Project Name: Hobbs Station	_ Project #:	E03211
Project Location: County Road 61 & Highway 18, Hobbs, New Mexico	_Drawn By:	BCM
Project Manager:BCM	_Aprroved By:	BCM

						Т	T	-	
Date	DRILLING & SAMPLING INFORMAITON Started: March 19, 2003 Hammer WtN/A								
	Completed: March 19, 2003 Hammer Drop: N/A			-		1	]		1
	g Company: Straub Corporation Sampler OD: 4"						1		
	:Bore Hole Dia:6"			-		1		Ê	BORING AND
	 gist:BCM			-	ľ		ے ا	(ppr	SAMPLING NOTES
	g Method:Air Rotary				<u>n</u>		Dept	lings	
<b></b>			-	1	Inter	ē	/ater	Read	
ail ail	SOIL CLASSIFICATION	be atra	le ch	Sample No.	Sample Interval	% Recovery	Groundwater Depth	FID/PID Readings (ppm)	
	SURFACE ELEVATION:	Det	Sce e	Sar No.	Sar	%	ŏ	E ID	
	Clayey Sand, Tan, Dry, No Odor		] .			1		0	
1 !			1.	1				0	
1		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		'		ſ	}	0	
	Caliche, Pale Pinkish White, Dry, No Odor							0	
			<u>د -</u>					0	
					ן ו			0	] 1
								0	] [
								0	
]			_					0	1
								0	
			10					0	
		日本	-	1				0	
]			-	1				0	
]		臣臣	-					0	
]			-	8-5(14-15)				0	
] [			15 —						
]			-						
1			-						
1			-				V	-	
1			-				$\nabla$		
1			20 —				ľ		
1			-				ł	~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
1			-				ł		
1			-				-		
1			-				ŀ		
1			25 —	. 1			ł		
			-				⊦		
1			-				ŀ		
1			-				ļ		
1			-				ŀ		
$\{ \mid $			30 -			[	ļ		
			-		1		ļ		
4			-						
			_						
			_	Ì					
$\Box$							[		

#### SAMPLER TYPE

CB - FIVE FOOT CORE BARREL SS - DRIVEN SPLIT SPOON ST - PRESSED SHELBY TUBE

**GROUNDWATER DEPTH** 

 $\nabla$  AT COMPLETION  $\nabla$  AT WELL STABILIZATION

## **APPENDIX B** LABORATORY ANALYTICAL DATA &

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc.	Page: Page 1 of 10
2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

Attached is our analytical report for the samples received for your project. Below is a list of your individual sample descriptions with our corresponding laboratory number. We also have enclosed a copy of the Chain of Custody that was received with your samples and a form documenting the condition of your samples upon arrival. Please note any unused portion of the samples may be discarded upon expiration of the EPA holding time for the analysis performed or after 30 days from the above report date, unless you have requested otherwise.

#### Sample Identification

Laboratory ID #	Client Sample ID	<u>Matrix</u>	Sampled Date/Time	Received Date/Time
0303634-01	MW-1 (35-36)	Solid	03/19/03 1205	03/21/03 1030
0303634-02	MW-2 (34-35)	Solid	03/19/03 1525	03/21/03 1030
0303634-03	MW-4 (36-37)	Solid	03/20/03 0910	03/21/03 1030
0303634-04	B-5 (14-15)	Solid	03/19/03 1700	03/21/03 1030

Thank you for the opportunity to serve your environmental chemistry analysis needs. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report please contact our Customer Service Department at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Generall K. Burun Kendall K. Brown

President

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123 🏹



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Page: Page 2 of 10 Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

			the second se					
Laboratory ID #: 0303634-01	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Solid			nple Collected Chris Mitchell	By Cus	stomer
Sample Description MW-1 (35-36)			<u>Sample Date</u> 03/19/03 12					
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
Total Petroleum Hyd	drocarbons - DRO							
TPH Diesel	5.13	2.90	2.90	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1915	PMS	
Surrogate: a-Pinene		33 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1915	PMS	Q-03
Surrogate: Triacontane		84 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1915	PMS	
Total Petroleum Hyd	drocarbons - GRO							
TPH Gasoline	ND	1.00	1.00	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 2022	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluo	robenzene	107 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 2022	SW	
BTEX								
Benzene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2022	SW	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2022	SW	
Toluene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2022	SW	
Xylenes (total)	ND	30.0	3.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2022	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluo	robenzene	107 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2022	SW	

Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123

ERMI



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc.	Page: Page 3 of 10
2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

		استكاب بسائلة وسنكور سنكي	أسور بالمستخلف المستقل المستكار بمستك					
Laboratory ID #: 0303634-02	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Solid			nple Collecter Chris Mitchell	By Cus	stomer
Sample Description MW-2 (34-35)			<u>Sample Date</u> 03/19/03 152					
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - DRO							R-01
TPH Diesel	621	29.0	2.90	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1859	PMS	
Surrogate: a-Pinene		16 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1859	PMS	Q-03
Surrogate: Triacontane		40 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1859	PMS	Q-03
Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - GRO							
TPH Gasoline	12.6	1.00	1.00	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 2050	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor	robenzene	127 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 2050	SW	
BTEX						·		
Benzene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2050	SW	
Ethyl Benzene	57.9	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2050	SW	
Toluene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2050	SW	
Xylenes (total)	ND	30.0	3.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2050	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene	127 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2050	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123

ERMI



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



#### **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

**ERM** 

Page 4 of 10 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 03/28/03 1421 Print Date/Time:

Laboratory ID #: 0303634-03 Sample Description	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Solid	- / <b>T</b> :		mple Collected Chris Mitchell	By Cus	stomer
MW-4 (36-37)			<u>Sample Date</u> 03/20/03 09					
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - DRO							
TPH Diesel	ND	2.90	2.90	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1921	PMS	
Surrogate: a-Pinene		47 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1921	PMS	
Surrogate: Triacontane		85 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1921	PMS	
Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - GRO							
TPH Gasoline	ND	1.00	1.00	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 2118	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor		107 %	70-130	mg/kg		03/26/03 2118		
BTEX								
Benzene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2118	SW	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2118	SW	
Toluene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2118	SW	
Xylenes (total)	ND	30.0	3.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2118	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene	107 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2118	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



**Report of Sample Analysis** 

Alpha Testing, Inc.	Page: Page 5 of 10
2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

	Laboratory ID #: 0303634-04	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Solid			mple Collectec Chris Mitchell	By Cus	tomer
	Sample Description B-5 (14-15)			Sample Date 03/19/03 17					
			<u> </u>						
Ħ	Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
	Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - DRO							
_	TPH Diesel	5.77	2.90	2.90	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1927	PMS	
	Surrogate: a-Pinene		50 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1927	PMS	
-	Surrogate: Triacontane		86 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1927	PMS	
	<b>Total Petroleum Hyd</b> TPH Gasoline	rocarbons - GRO ND	1.00	1.00	mg/kg	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 2146	SW	
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene	103 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 2146	SW	
	втех								
	Benzene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2146		
2	Ethyl Benzene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2146		
	Toluene	ND	10.0	1.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2146		
	Xylenes (total)	ND	30.0	3.00	ug/kg	EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2146	SW	
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluoro	obenzene	103 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/26/03 2146	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 6 of 10 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - DRO - Quality Control**

Analyte(s)	Result		I Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Flag
Batch 3C24026 - EPA	3550B Sonication				- <b>1</b>			<u>t "_</u>	<u> </u>	-l
Blank (3C24026-BLK1 Prepared: 03/24/03 150		03 2134								-
TPH Diesel	ND	2.90	mg/kg							
Surrogate: a-Pinene	1.42		mg/kg	2.86		50	40-130	)		
Surrogate: Triacontane	2.83		mg/kg	2.86		99	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control Sa Prepared: 03/24/03 150										
TPH Diesel	29.8	2.90	mg/kg	28.6		104	60-140	)		
Surrogate: a-Pinene	1.98		mg/kg	2.86		69	40-130	)		
Surrogate: Triacontane	2.67		mg/kg	2.86		93	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control Sa Prepared: 03/24/03 150										
TPH Diesel	30.2	2.90	mg/kg	28.6		106	60-140	) 1	30	
Surrogate: a-Pinene	1.88		mg/kg	2.86		66	40-130	)		
Surrogate: Triacontane	2.75		mg/kg	2.86		96	70-130	)		
Matrix Spike (3C24026 Prepared: 03/24/03 150		03 2017		S	ource: 0303	589-01				
TPH Diesel	269	14.5	mg/kg	28.6	178	318	70-130	)		Q-02
Surrogate: a-Pinene	1.40		mg/kg	2.86		49	40-130	)		
Surrogate: Triacontane	2.26		mg/kg	2.86		79	70-130	)		
Matrix Spike Duplicate Prepared: 03/24/03 150		03 2022		S	ource: 0303	589-01				
TPH Diesel	210	14.5	mg/kg	28.6	178	112	70-130	) 25	30	
Surrogate: a-Pinene	1.13		mg/kg	2.86		40	40-130	)		
Surrogate: Triacontane	1.86		mg/kg	2.86		65	70-130	)		Q-03

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. \* Allen, Texas 75013



#### **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 7 of 10 Page: Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - GRO - Quality Control**

Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Flag
Batch 3C26033 - EPA	5035 Purge-and	Trap and Ex	traction-V	OCs in S	Soil					
Blank (3C26033-BLK1) Prepared: 03/26/03 173		3 1743								···· · • -
TPH Gasoline	ND	0.100	mg/kg							
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0565		mg/kg	0.0500		113	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control Sa Prepared: 03/26/03 173										
TPH Gasoline	0.467	0.100	mg/kg	0.500		93	70-130	)		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0506		mg/kg	0.0500		101	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control Sa Prepared: 03/26/03 173										
TPH Gasoline	0.471	0.100	mg/kg	0.500		94	70-130	0 (	20	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0513		mg/kg	0.0500		103	70-130	)		
Matrix Spike (3C26033 Prepared: 03/26/03 173		3 1925		So	ource: 030363	4-01				-
TPH Gasoline	0.472	0.100	mg/kg	0.500	ND	94	70-130	)		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0537		mg/kg	0.0500		107	70-130	)		
Matrix Spike Duplicate Prepared: 03/26/03 173		3 1953	,,	Sc	ource: 030363	4-01				
TPH Gasoline	0.452	0.100	mg/kg	0.500	ND	90	70-130	) 4	20	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0554		mg/kg	0.0500		111	70-130	)		

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 8 of 10 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

#### **BTEX - Quality Control**

<b>A</b> 1 4 4 X	Desult	L +00:		Spike Level (	Source Result	I%REC	%REC	I RPD	RPD L Limit	Fl	ar
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units								_
Batch 3C26033 - El	PA 5035 Purge-and	-Trap and Ex	traction-V	OCs in S	Soil			_	_		
Blank (3C26033-BLI Prepared: 03/26/03 1	<b>K1)</b> 730 Analyzed: 03/26/	03 1743									
Benzene	ND	1.00	ug/kg								
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.00	ug/kg								
Toluene	ND	1.00	ug/kg								
Xylenes (total)	ND	3.00	ug/kg								
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	56.5 ·		ug/kg	50.0		113	70-130	0			
Laboratory Control Prepared: 03/26/03 1	Sample (3C26033-BS 730 Analyzed: 03/26/	<b>51)</b> 03 1828									_
Benzene	52.2	1.00	ug/kg	50.0		104	70-130	)			
Ethyl Benzene	53.8	1.00	ug/kg	50.0		108	70-130	כ			
Toluene	55.7	1.00	ug/kg	50.0		111	70-130	)			
Xylenes (total)	161	3.00	ug/kg	150		107	70-130	)			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	50.6		ug/kg	50.0		101	70-130	)			
	Sample Duplicate (3) 730 Analyzed: 03/26/										•
Benzene	50.8	1.00	ug/kg	50.0		102	70-130	) 3	20		
Ethyl Benzene	52.0	1.00	ug/kg	50.0		104	70-130	) 3	20		
Toluene	53.7	1.00	ug/kg	50.0		107	70-130	) 4	20		
Xylenes (total)	156	3.00	ug/kg	150		104	70-130	) 3	20		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	51.3		ug/kg	50.0		103	70-130	)			
Matrix Spike (3C260 Prepared: 03/26/03 1	<b>33-MS1)</b> 730 Analyzed: 03/26/(	)3 1925		So	ource: 0303	634-01				··· <u></u> ·	-
Benzene	50.6	1.00	ug/kg	50.0	ND	101	70-130	)			
Ethyl Benzene	52.5	1.00	ug/kg	50.0	ND	105	70-130				
Toluene	53.7	1.00	ug/kg	50.0	ND	107	70-130				
Kylenes (total)	156	3.00	ug/kg	150	ND	104	70-130				
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	53.7		ug/kg	50.0		107	70-130				



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



#### **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Page: Page 9 of 10 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

#### **BTEX - Quality Control**

Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Flag
Batch 3C26033 - EP	A 5035 Purge-ar	nd-Trap and Ex	traction-V	OCs in S	Soil (conti	nued)				
Matrix Spike Duplicat Prepared: 03/26/03 17				So	ource: 0303	634-01				
Benzene	51.8	1.00	ug/kg	50.0	ND	104	70-130	) 2	20	
Ethyl Benzene	53.7	1.00	ug/kg	50.0	ND	107	70-130	) 2	20	
Toluene	54.8	1.00	ug/kg	50.0	ND	110	70-130	) 2	20	
Xylenes (total)	160	3.00	ug/kg	150	ND	107	70-130	) 3	20	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	55.4		ug/kg	50.0		111	70-130	)		



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc.	Page: Page 10 of 10
2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/28/03 1421

#### **Notes and Definitions**

The results presented in this report were generated using those methods given in 40 CFR Part 136 for Water and Wastewater samples and in SW-846 for RCRA/Solid Waste samples.

Q-02 The recovery of an analyte(s) in the MSs was outside the acceptable range due to interference, large dilutions required for analysis or a combination of these factors. The recovery of this analyte(s) in the LCSs was within the required limits. The recovery of the surrogate(s) were outside of the acceptable range due to matrix interferences and/or large Q-03 dilutions required for the analysis of this sample. The results presented should, therefore, be considered an estimated concentration(s). R-01 The higher reporting limit(s) is due to dilutions required for analysis as a result of a high concentration of target and/or non-target parameters in this sample. ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit Sample results reported on a dry weight basis dry LCS/LCSD Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate MS/MSD Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate RPD **Relative Percent Difference** mg/kg milligrams per kilogram milligrams per liter mg/l ug/kg micrograms per kilogram ug/l micrograms per liter exc Not covered under scope of NELAP accreditation.

		ints:			Normal A Expedite	1	REQUESTED ANALYSES					778					2											Date: 3-21-5 Time: 094			Date 2/2//0 5 Time (CF)	
		• Comments:			TAT:		8		00	19	/0; 0		د 	108	9)	Sample Type	Grab		× ×	         	<b>×</b>		、 ~		×			Lura Z		, DD.	2V Find	
Chain-of-Custody					le: 753399	-			le:	mber:		Project Number: 50321		le:		Sam	Preservative Comp.	7.4	7.7	7.6	1.0.1	h-c/hu	4. 57	4-6/14	4.C/m			Received By: S		Received By:	Dr ERMI BY: MA	erms and Conditions
	()			100	Y Zip Code:	Fax Number:			Zip Code:	Fax Number:		Project		M / Zip Code:	, celin	jo #	Matrix Bottles	Solu	Soil 2		Solt 1		H,0 7	H, 0 4	H20 5			6-12	5.1	Time:	Received for ERMI By:	See
	28-ERMI (Long Distanc	ESTING, TNC.		UST. SUR	State: 7				State:			2	/8	State: NM	Signature: 2	Sample	Date Date Date	3.A.03 [205	1	3.20.01 910	0021	<b>NIS</b>	945	3.20.4 1035	3.20.03 120S			Date: 3-	Date: 2- 2	Date:	Date:	2MI conv. DINK: Custor
	Bethany Tech Center 400 W. Bethany, Suite 190 Allen, Texas 75013 972- 727-1123 (Local) * 800- 228- <b>ERMI</b> (Long Distance) 972- 727-1175 (Fax)	ACPHA 7	eis Mitchnu	5	2	122 620-891		erent):			lber:	HOBBS STATION	61 \$ Huy		they Mizamu	Field Sample	Ū.	(35-36)	- 2(34-35) 3	4 (36-33)	<u>S(14-15) 3</u>	HW-1 3			Mw-4 3	<b>N</b>	A AMA		Haran I	A ~		with Report: YELLOW: EF
	Beth 400 V Allen 972- 972- 972-	Company Name:	Contact: CHP	Address: 220	City: DANAS	phone: <	Billing Name:	Billing Address (if different):	City:	Telephone:	Purchase Order Number.	Project Name:	Address: CR	City: Hoges	Sampler: B. Cm	ERMJ	Use Only	Jozeotal Mw-1	23036342 MW		020363474 B.							Relinquished By:	Relinquished By: 🔏	Relinguished By:	Method of Shipment:	VITTE: Original to be returned with Benort: YELLOW: ERMI conv: PINK- Customer

-

١

l

Lab Number(s):		ERMI	_										
Sample Preservation Documentation *         On Ice (Circle One)       YES OR NO (Check if sent with dry ice)													
Parameters	Containers # Size	Required Preservation	Sample Container	Circle pH Note any discrepane									
Metals		pH < 2	Glass or Plastic	pH < 2									
Dissolved Metals		Unpreserved prior to being filtered, Cool 4° C	Glass or Plastic	-									
Semivolatiles,, Pesticides, PCBs, Herbicides		Cool 4° C	Glass only with Teflon lid										
VOA (BTEX, MTBE, 624, 8260, TPH-GRO)		Cool 4° C, pH < 2 Zero Head Space	40 ml VOA vial	DO NOT OPEN									
VOA (TPH-1005)		Cool 4° C, pH < 2 Zero Head Space Please check if collected in pre-weighed vials	40 ml VOA vial	DO NOT OPEN									
Phos., NO <mark>₃/NO₂,</mark> NH₃N, COD, TKN, TOC		Cool 4° C , pH < 2	Glass or Plastic	pH < 2									
TDS, BOD,CBOD, Cond, pH, TSS, F, SO4, Cr <sup>6+</sup> ,CI,A!k, Sulfite		Cool 4° C	Glass or Plastic, Plastic only if F										
Oil & Grease, TPH, Phenols		Cool 4° C , pH < 2	Glass only with Teflon lid	pH < 2									
Cyanide		Cool 4° C , pH > 12	Glass or Plastic	pH > 12									
Sulfide		Cool 4° C , pH > 9	Glass or Plastic	pH > 9									
Bacteria		Cool 4° C	Plastic Sterile Cup										
Soil, Sludge, Solid, Oil, Liquid	5 802	Cool 4° C Note: please check if collected in pre-weighed											

\* This form is used to document sample preservation. Circle parameter requested. Fill in number and size of containers received. Check pH (adjust if needed) and note if different from what is required. Make a notation of any samples not received on ice. Note any incorrect sample containers or preservation on chain-of-custody.

Preservation Checked By

Date





Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Page: Page 1 of 10 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

Attached is our analytical report for the samples received for your project. Below is a list of your individual sample descriptions with our corresponding laboratory number. We also have enclosed a copy of the Chain of Custody that was received with your samples and a form documenting the condition of your samples upon arrival. Please note any unused portion of the samples may be discarded upon expiration of the EPA holding time for the analysis performed or after 30 days from the above report date, unless you have requested otherwise.

#### **Sample Identification**

Laboratory ID #	Client Sample ID	Matrix	Sampled Date/Time	Received Date/Time
0303635-01	MW-1	Aqueous	03/20/03 1115	03/21/03 1030
0303635-02	MW-2	Aqueous	03/20/03 0945	03/21/03 1030
0303635-03	MW-3	Aqueous	03/20/03 1035	03/21/03 1030
0303635-04	MW-4	Aqueous	03/20/03 1205	03/21/03 1030

Thank you for the opportunity to serve your environmental chemistry analysis needs. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report please contact our Customer Service Department at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Generall K. Birun

Kendall K. Brown President

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



# **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Page: Page 2 of 10 Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

	Laboratory ID #: 0303635-01 Sample Description MW-1	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Aqueous <u>Sample Date</u> 03/20/03 111			mple Collectec Chris Mitchell	By Cus	stomer
	Analyte(s)	j Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
	Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - DRO							
_	TPH Diesel	2.44	0.100	0.100	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1826	PMS	
	Surrogate: a-Pinene		65 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1826	PMS	
	Surrogate: Triacontane		90 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1826	PMS	
	Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - GRO							
_	TPH Gasoline	ND	0.0500	0.0500	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1417	SW	
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene	95 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1417	SW	
Ï	BTEX								
	Benzene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1417	SW	
	Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1417	SW	
	Toluene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1417	SW	
_	Xylenes (total)	ND	3.00	3.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1417	SW	
Ż	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluoro	obenzene	95 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1417	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



#### **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Page: Page 3 of 10 Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

Laboratory ID #: 0303635-02	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Aqueous			mple Collected Chris Mitchell	By Cus	stome
Sample Description MW-2	1		Sample Date 03/20/03 094					
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis   Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
Total Petroleum H	lydrocarbons - DRO							
TPH Diesel	0.493	0.100	0.100	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1820	PMS	
Surrogate: a-Pinene		68 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1820	PMS	
Surrogate: Triaconta	ne	102 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1820	PMS	
Total Petroleum H	lydrocarbons - GRO							
TPH Gasoline	ND	0.0500	0.0500	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1349	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofi	luorobenzene	100 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1349	SW	
BTEX								
Benzene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1349	SW	
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1349	SW	
Toluene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1349	SW	
Xylenes (total)	ND	3.00	3.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1349	SW	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofl	uorobenzene	100 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1349	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



Customer

#### **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Laboratory ID #: Sample Type		Page: Page 4 of Project: Hobbs S Project #: E0321 Print Date/Time:	tation 1
Laboratory ID #:	<u>Sample Type</u>	<u>Matrix</u>	Sample Collected By
0303635-03	Grab	Aqueous	B. Chris Mitchell

Sample Description MW-3			Sample Date 03/20/03 103					
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
Total Petroleum Hydro	ocarbons - DRO							R-0
TPH Diesel	18.0	1.00	0.100	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/27/03 1048	PMS	
Surrogate: a-Pinene		98 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/27/03 1048	PMS	
Surrogate: Triacontane		75 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/27/03 1048	PMS	
Total Petroleum Hydro	ocarbons - GRO							
TPH Gasoline	1.95	0.100	0.0500	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1605	SW	R-0
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorol	benzene	124 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1605	SW	
BTEX								
Benzene	63.7	2.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1605	SW	R-0
Ethyl Benzene	197	2.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1605	SW	R-0
Toluene	2.49	2.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1605	SW	R-0
Xylenes (total)	6.23	6.00	3.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1605	SW	R-0
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorot	enzene	124 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1605	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc.	Page: Page 5 of 10
2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

	Laboratory ID #: 0303635-04	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Aqueous			nple Collecter Chris Mitchell	By Cus	stomer
	Sample Description MW-4			Sample Date 03/20/03 120					
	Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
	Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - DRO							
	TPH Diesel	0.829	0.100	0.100	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1814	PMS	
	Surrogate: a-Pinene		66 %	40-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1814	PMS	
	Surrogate: Triacontane		107 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/26/03 1814	PMS	
ſ	1								
	Total Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons - GRO							
_	TPH Gasoline	ND	0.0500	0.0500	mg/l	EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1514	SW	
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene	106 %	70-130		EPA 8015B mod	03/25/03 1514	SW	
	BTEX								
	Benzene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1514	SW	
	Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1514	SW	
	Toluene	ND	1.00	1.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1514	SW	
	Xylenes (total)	ND	3.00	3.00	ug/l	EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1514	SW	
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluor	obenzene	106 %	70-130		EPA 8021B	03/25/03 1514	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. \* Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 6 of 10 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 03/27/03 1446 Print Date/Time:

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - DRO - Quality Control**

Analyte(s)	I Result	1 *SRL	Units	Spike Level I	Source Result	1%RECI	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	1	Flag
Batch 3C25046 - EPA				2010.1	Result				<u></u>		`
Balch JCZJU40 - EFA	SSIUC Separato	ry Fuillei Ex	uraction							<b>.</b> .	
Blank (3C25046-BLK1) Prepared: 03/25/03 1610	) Analyzed: 03/26/0	03 1741									
TPH Diesel	ND	0.100	mg/l								
Surrogate: a-Pinene	0.0550		mg/l	0.100		55	40-130	)			
Surrogate: Triacontane	0.0912		mg/l	0.100		91	70-130	)			
Laboratory Control Sar Prepared: 03/25/03 1610								-			
TPH Diesel	0.991	0.100	mg/l	1.00		99	80-120	)			
Surrogate: a-Pinene	0.0689		mg/l	0.100		69	40-130	)			
Surrogate: Triacontane	0.0913		mg/l	0.100		91	70-130	)			
Laboratory Control San Prepared: 03/25/03 1610			<u> </u>	·····							
TPH Diesel	1.02	0.100	mg/ł	1.00		102	80-120	) 3	30		
Surrogate: a-Pinene	0.0692		mg/l	0.100		69	40-130	)			
Surrogate: Triacontane	0.0940		mg/l	0.100		94	70-130	)			
Matrix Spike (3C25046- Prepared: 03/25/03 1610		03 1758		S	ource: 03034	432-07					
TPH Diesel	1.01	0.100	mg/l	1.00	ND	101	70-130	1			
Surrogate: a-Pinene	0.0606		mg/l	0.100		61	40-130	1			
Surrogate: Triacontane	0.0953		mg/l	0.100		95	70-130	)			
Matrix Spike Duplicate Prepared: 03/25/03 1610		3 1803		Se	ource: 03034	432-07					
TPH Diesel	0.975	0.100	mg/l	1.00	ND	98	70-130	4	30		
Surrogate: a-Pinene	0.0550		mg/l	0.100		55	40-130	ł			
Surrogate: Triacontane	0.0966		mg/l	0.100		97	70-130	I			



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 **ATTN: Chris Mitchell** 

Page 7 of 10 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

#### **Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - GRO - Quality Control**

Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Flag
Batch 3C25016 - EP	A 5030B Purge-an	d-Trap for Ac	lueous Sa	amples						
Blank (3C25016-BLK Prepared: 03/25/03 10	(1) 048 Analyzed: 03/25/0	3 1117			<u> </u>					
TPH Gasoline	ND	0.0500	mg/l							
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0539		mg/l	0.0500		108	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control S Prepared: 03/25/03 10	Sample (3C25016-BS 048 Analyzed: 03/25/0									
TPH Gasoline	0.518	0.0500	mg/l	0.500		104	70-130	)		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0566		mg/i	0.0500		113	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control S Prepared: 03/25/03 10	Sample Duplicate (30 048 Analyzed: 03/25/0	25016-BSD1) 3 1215								
TPH Gasoline	0.529	0.0500	mg/l	0.500		106	70-130	2	20	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0527		mg/l	0.0500		105	70-130	l		
Matrix Spike (3C2501 Prepared: 03/25/03 10		3 1252		S	ource: 0303	635-02				
TPH Gasoline	0.544	0.0500	mg/l	0.500	ND	109	70-130	l		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0556		mg/l	0.0500		111	70-130	I		
Matrix Spike Duplica Prepared: 03/25/03 10		3 1320		Se	ource: 0303	635-02				
TPH Gasoline	0.538	0.0500	mg/l	0.500	ND	108	70-130	1	20	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.0539		mg/l	0.0500		108	70-130	I		

r Sample Reporting Limit \*\* Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 8 of 10 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

#### **BTEX - Quality Control**

A	l Result		111-4-	Spike Level I	Source Result	ı%REC	%REC Limits	I RPD	RPD Limit	Flag
Analyte(s)	PA 5030B Purge-ar	*SRL	Units		Result	701120				
Blank (3C25016-BLK	(1)									
Prepared: 03/25/03 1	048 Analyzed: 03/25/	03 1117								
Benzene	ND	1.00	ug/l							
Ethyl Benzene	ND	1.00	ug/l							
Toluene	ND	1.00	ug/l							
Xylenes (total)	ND	3.00	ug/l							
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	53.9		ug/l	50.0		108	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control S Prepared: 03/25/03 10	Sample (3C25016-BS 048 Analyzed: 03/25/	<b>51)</b> 03 1146						•		
Benzene	48.9	1.00	ug/l	50.0		98	70-130	)		
Ethyl Benzene	50.2	1.00	ug/l	50.0		100	70-130	)		
Foluene	52.1	1.00	ug/l	50.0		104	70-130	)		
Kylenes (total)	151	3.00	ug/l	150		101	70-130	)		
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	56.6		ug/l	50.0		113	70-130	)		
Laboratory Control S Prepared: 03/25/03 10										
Benzene	49.8	1.00	ug/l	50.0		100	70-130	) 2	20	
Ethyl Benzene	51.0	1.00	ug/l	50.0		102	70-130		20	
Foluene	53.0	1.00	ug/l	50.0		106	70-130		20	
(ylenes (total)	154	3.00	ug/l	150		103	70-130		20	
Surrogate: 1-Bromofluorobenzene	52.7		ug/l	50.0		105	70-130	)		
Matrix Spike (3C250 Prepared: 03/25/03 10	16-MS1) 048 Analyzed: 03/25/0	13 1252		So	ource: 03036	35-02	·			
Benzene	50.6	1.00	ug/l	50.0	ND	101	70-130	1		
Ethyl Benzene	52.0	1.00	ug/i	50.0	ND	104	70-130			
Toluene	53.7	1.00	ug/l	50.0	ND	104	70-130			
(ylenes (total)	156	3.00	ug/l	150	ND	107	70-130			
Surrogate: I-Bromofluorobenzene	55.6	0.00	ug/l	50.0		111	70-130			



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 9 of 10 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

#### **BTEX - Quality Control**

	Analyte(s)		Result		*SRL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit		Flag
_	Batch 3C25016 - EPA	5030	B Purge-	and-Ti	rap for A	Aqueous Sa	mples (	continued	l)					
	Matrix Spike Duplicate Prepared: 03/25/03 104				320		Source: 0303635-02							
	Benzene		48.4		1.00	ug/l	50.0	ND	97	70-13	0 4	20		
	Ethyl Benzene		51.6		1.00	ug/l	50.0	ND	103	70-13	0 0	20		
	Toluene	į	52.1		1.00	ug/l	50.0	ND	104	70-13	03	20		
-	Xylenes (total)	1	55		3.00	ug/l	150	ND	103	70-13	0 0	20		
	Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	ę	53.9			ug/l	50.0		108	70-13	0			

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Page: Page 10 of 10 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 03/27/03 1446

#### **Notes and Definitions**

The results presented in this report were generated using those methods given in 40 CFR Part 136 for Water and Wastewater samples and in SW-846 for RCRA/Solid Waste samples.

- R-01 The higher reporting limit(s) is due to dilutions required for analysis as a result of a high concentration of target and/or non-target parameters in this sample.
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- LCS/LCSD Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate
- MS/MSD Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
- RPD Relative Percent Difference
- mg/kg milligrams per kilogram
- mg/l milligrams per liter
- ug/kg micrograms per kilogram
- ug/l micrograms per liter
- exc Not covered under scope of NELAP accreditation.

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123

Page / of Z	30 Rec. @ C		nal A Expedite	REQUESTED ANALYSES 0																Date: 3-21-5 Time: 0940		Date: Time:	Date5/2//03 Time(C-X	
	19698	Comme	TAT: Normal		(12 (02	08. 19/	_	78-10 C _	25) 5108		Hd	<u> </u>								102		$\sum_{i \in I}  i  \leq 1$	DIANK	
Chain-of-Custody		TNL, 0 Suity 100	イイ Zip Code: フンンチイ Fax Number: <b>(172) 620 - 130 2</b>	0	Zip Code:	Fax Number:	Θ	Project Number: 63211 6	NM / Zip Code:	B. Chlind	# of Sample Type Matrix Bottles Preservative Comp. Grab		Solu 2 4°C X	7.5 1	1 4°2		14/2·1 t a	20 5 4°C/44		3- 21-7 Time: 010, Received By: 20	<i>3</i> . 2/·3 Time:/03.0 Received By:	Time: Received By: , , ,		See I
	Bethany Tech Center 400 W. Bethany, Suite 190 Allen, Texas 75013 972-727-1175 (Fax) 972-727-1175 (Fax)	Company Name: ACPHA 76371NG, TN Contact: CHPUS MITEHTUL Address: 2209 USEWISM ST. SWI	City: DAVAS Telephone: (972) 620-8911	Billing Name:	Billing Address (if different): City: State:	Telephone:	Purchase Order Number:	Project Name: HoBBJ STATION Address: C.R. 61 年 HJU / B	tpgg3 State:	Sampler: B. Citer MIRIAN Signature:	ERMI Field Sample Sample Use Only I.D. Date Time		MW- Z(34-35) 3.19.19152	E0.07.E (2C-7E) h	B-S(14-15) 3.15.03	- MM - 2	T23 MW-3 3.20.4	0303635 24 MW - 4 3.20.03 1205	And But	L Date:	Relinquished By: De Provin Mate: Date:	Relinquished By: Date:	Method of Shipment: Date:	WHITE: Original to be returned with Report: YEI ( OW: ERM) conv. DINK: Cristome

al to be returned with Report; YELLOW: £KMI copy; |

ł

Copy

ι :

-

1

On Ice (Circle O	/ '\	e Preservation Documen R NO (Check if sent with dry		
Parameters	Containers # Size	•	Sample Container	Circle pH Note any discrepancy
Metals		pH < 2	Glass or Plastic	pH < 2
Dissolved Metals		Unpreserved prior to being filtered, Cool 4° C	Glass or Plastic	~
Semivolatiles,, Pesticides, PCBs, Herbicides	5 HAR	Cool 4° C	Glass only with Teflon lid	-
VQA (BTEX, MTBE, 624, 8260, TPH-GRO)	W 40	Cool 4° C, pH < 2 Zero Head Space	40 ml VOA vial	DO NOT OPEN
VOA (TPH-1005)		Cool 4° C, pH < 2 Zero Head Space Please check if collected in pre-weighed vials	40 ml VOA vial	DO NOT OPEN
Phos., NO₃/NO₂, NH₃N, COD, TKN, TOC		Cool 4° C , pH < 2	Glass or Plastic	pH < 2
TDS, BOD,CBOD, Cond, pH, TSS, F, SO₄, Cr <sup>6+</sup> ,CI,A!k, Sulfite		Cool 4° C	Glass or Plastic, Plastic only if F	
Oil & Grease, 7PH, Phenols	8 LITR AM	Cool 4° C , pH < 2	Glass only with Teflon lid	pH < 2
Cyanide		Cool 4° C , pH > 12	Glass or Plastic	pH > 12
Sulfide		Cool 4° C , pH > 9	Glass or Plastic	pH > 9
Bacteria		Cool 4° C	Plastic Sterile Cup	
Soil, Sludge, Solid,		Cool 4° C Note: please check if		

\* This form is used to document sample preservation. Circle parameter requested. Fill in number and size of containers received. Check pH (adjust if needed) and note if different from what is required. Make a notation of any samples not received on ice. Note any incorrect sample containers or preservation on chain-of-custody.

Preservation Checked By

Date

5 Time



Environmental Laboratories Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



#### **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc.	Page: Page 1 of 6
2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100	Project: Hobbs Station
Dallas TX, 75229	Project #: E03211
ATTN: Chris Mitchell	Print Date/Time: 04/16/03 1740

Attached is our analytical report for the samples received for your project. Below is a list of your individual sample descriptions with our corresponding laboratory number. We also have enclosed a copy of the Chain of Custody that was received with your samples and a form documenting the condition of your samples upon arrival. Please note any unused portion of the samples may be discarded upon expiration of the EPA holding time for the analysis performed or after 30 days from the above report date, unless you have requested otherwise.

#### Sample Identification

Laboratory ID #	Client Sample ID	<u>Matrix</u>	Sampled Date/Time	Received Date/Time
0303847-01	MW-2 (34-35)	Solid	03/19/03 1525	03/31/03 0815

Thank you for the opportunity to serve your environmental chemistry analysis needs. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report please contact our Customer Service Department at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

endall K. Birun

Kendall K. Brown President

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Page: Page 1 of 6 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/16/03 1740

Attached is our analytical report for the samples received for your project. Below is a list of your individual sample descriptions with our corresponding laboratory number. We also have enclosed a copy of the Chain of Custody that was received with your samples and a form documenting the condition of your samples upon arrival. Please note any unused portion of the samples may be discarded upon expiration of the EPA holding time for the analysis performed or after 30 days from the above report date, unless you have requested otherwise.

#### Sample Identification

Laboratory ID #	Client Sample ID	<u>Matrix</u>	Sampled Date/Time	Received Date/Time
0303847-01	MW-2 (34-35)	Solid	03/19/03 1525	03/31/03 0815

Thank you for the opportunity to serve your environmental chemistry analysis needs. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report please contact our Customer Service Department at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

Genball K. Brown

Kendall K. Brown

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123 🔊 🗸



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. \* Allen, Texas 75013



#### **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 **ATTN: Chris Mitchell** 

Page 2 of 6 Page: Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 04/16/03 1740 Print Date/Time:

Laboratory ID #: 0303847-01	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Solid			mple Collected Chris Mitchell	By Cus	tomer
Sample Description MW-2 (34-35)		<u>Sample Date</u> 03/19/03 152						
Analyte(s)	Result	SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis Date/Time	Analyst	Flag
Semivolatile Polynuc	lear Aromatic Hyd	drocarbons						
Acenaphthene	489	16.7	16.7	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Acenaphthylene	291	41.7	41.7	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Anthracene	193	16.7	16.7	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	41.7	41.7	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	41.7	41.7	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	51.2	6.66	6.66	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	48.3	6.66	6.66	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	105	1.66	1.66	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Chrysene	102	0.833	0.833	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	28.8	3.33	3.33	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Fluoranthene	570	16.7	16.7	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Fluorene	ND	8.33	8.33	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	244	4.16	4.16	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Naphthalene	ND	41.7	41.7	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Phenanthrene	296	3.73	3.73	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Pyrene	23.0	8.33	8.33	ug/kg	EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene		116 %	40-130		EPA 8310	04/11/03 0623	SW	

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.


Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. \* Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 3 of 6 Page: Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 04/16/03 1740 Print Date/Time:

Semivolatile Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Quality Control

				Spike	Source		%REC	1000	RPD	1 51
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flag
Batch 3D01026 - EPA 3	3550B Sonica	tion Extraction	I							
Blank (3D01026-BLK1)		4/00 0044								
Prepared: 04/01/03 1335	-									
Acenaphthene	ND	16.7	ug/kg							
Acenaphthylene	ND	41.7	ug/kg							
Anthracene	ND	16.7	ug/kg							
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	41.7	ug/kg							
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	41.7	ug/kg							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	6.66	ug/kg							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	6.66	ug/kg							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	1.66	ug/kg							
Chrysene	ND	0.833	ug/kg							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	3.33	ug/kg							
Fluoranthene	ND	16.7	ug/kg							
Fluorene	ND	8.33	ug/kg							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	4.16	ug/kg							
Naphthalene	ND	41.7	ug/kg							
Phenanthrene	ND	3.73	ug/kg							
Pyrene	ND	8.33	ug/kg							
Surrogate: Decafluorobiphenyl	225		ug/kg	333		68	40-130	)		
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	323		ug/kg	333		97	40-130	)		
Laboratory Control Sam	nle (3D01026-	BS1)			·					
Prepared: 04/01/03 1335						•				
Acenaphthene	465	16.7	ug/kg	667		70	1-124	1		
Acenaphthylene	255	41.7	ug/kg	333		77	1-139	-		
Anthracene	ND	16.7	ug/kg	13.3		68	1-126			
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	41.7	ug/kg	33.3		91	12-135			
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	41.7	ug/kg	33.3		78	1-128			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	12.3	6.66	ug/kg	13.3		92	6-150			
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	47.7	6.66	ug/kg	53.3						
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	11.6	1.66	•••	53.3 13.3		89 87	1-116			
Chrysene	31.7	0.833	ug/kg				1-159			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	122	3.33	ug/kg	33.3		95 02	1-199			
			ug/kg	133		92	1-110			
Fluoranthene Fluorene	29.8	16.7	ug/kg	33.3		89	14-123			
	58.9	8.33	ug/kg	66.7		88	1-142			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	30.1	4.16	ug/kg	33.3		90	1-116			
Naphthalene	312	41.7	ug/kg	333		94	1-122			
Phenanthrene	25.7	3.73	ug/kg	26.7		96	1-155			
Pyrene	64.4	8.33	ug/kg	66.7		97	1-140			
Surrogate: Decafluorobiphenyl	212		ug/kg	333		64	40-130	)		
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	340		ug/kg	333		102	40-130			



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



# **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page: Page 4 of 6 Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/16/03 1740

Semivolatile Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons - Quality Control

				Spike	Source		%REC		RPD		
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit		Flag
atch 3D01026 - EPA 3	550B Sonicat	ion Extraction	(continue	ed)							
Laboratory Control Sam Prepared: 04/01/03 1335 /	ole Duplicate (3 Analyzed: 04/11	BD01026-BSD1)			<u></u>						
Acenaphthene	440	16.7	ug/kg	667		66	1-124	6	20		
Acenaphthylene	280	41.7	ug/kg	333		84	1-139		20		
Anthracene	ND	16.7	ug/kg	13.3		73	1-126		20		
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	41.7	ug/kg	33.3		93	12-135		20		
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	41.7	ug/kg	33.3		68	1-128		20		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	13.0	6.66	ug/kg	13.3		98	6-150		20		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	49.6	6.66	ug/kg	53.3		93	1-116		20		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	12.2	1.66	ug/kg	13.3		92	1-159		20		
Chrysene	32.9	0.833	ug/kg	33.3		99	1-199	-	20		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	128	3.33	ug/kg	133		96	1-110		20		
Fluoranthene	31.4	16.7	ug/kg	33.3		94	14-123		20		
Fluorene	59.6	8.33	ug/kg	66.7		89	1-142		20		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	32.7	4.16	ug/kg	33.3		98	1-116		20		
Naphthalene	313	41.7	ug/kg	333		94	1-122		20		
Phenanthrene	25.8	3.73	ug/kg	26.7		94 97	1-122		20		
	23.8 64.6	8.33		66.7		97 97	1-133		20		
Pyrene		0.33	ug/kg	333				-	20		
Surrogate: Decafluorobiphenyl	200		ug/kg	333		60 08	40-130				
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	325		ug/kg			98	40-130			- · -	
Matrix Spike (3D01026-M Prepared: 04/01/03 1335 /	<b>S1)</b> \nalvzed: 04/11/	03 0506		S	ource: 0303	847-01					
Acenaphthene	362	16.7	ug/kg	667	489	0	1-124				Q-
Acenaphthylene	324	41.7	ug/kg	333	291	10	1-139				
Anthracene	215	16.7	ug/kg	13.3	193	165	1-126				Q-
Benzo(a)anthracene	51.4	41.7	ug/kg	33.3	ND	102	12-135				-
Benzo(a)pyrene	61.1	41.7	ug/kg	33.3	ND	183	1-128				Q-
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	45.7	6.66	ug/kg	13.3	51.2	0	6-150				Q-
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	159	6.66	ug/kg	53.3	48.3	208	1-116				Q-
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	123	1.66	ug/kg	13.3	105	135	1-159				
Chrysene	63.9	0.833	ug/kg	33.3	102	0	1-199				Q-
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	190	3.33	ug/kg	133	28.8	121	1-110				Q-
Fluoranthene	525	16.7	ug/kg	33.3	570	0	14-123				Q-
Fluorene	118	8.33	ug/kg	66.7	ND	177	1-142				Q-1
ndeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	134	4,16	ug/kg	33.3	244	0	1-142				Q-1
Naphthalene	263	41.7	ug/kg	333	ND	79	1-110				Q-
Phenanthrene	330	3.73	ug/kg	26.7	296	127	1-122				
<sup>o</sup> yrene	92.6	8.33	ug/kg	20.7 66.7	290	127	1-155				
	V2.V	0.00	uy/N	00.7	2J.U	104	1-140				

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



# **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 5 of 6 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** E03211 Project #: Print Date/Time: 04/16/03 1740

Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Flag
Batch 3D01026 - EPA 3	3550B Sonicati	on Extraction	(continue	ed)						
Matrix Spike Duplicate ( Prepared: 04/01/03 1335				s	ource: 030	3847-01				
Acenaphthene	369	16.7	ug/kg	667	489	0	1-124	2	20	Q-02
Acenaphthylene	371	41.7	ug/kg	333	291	24	1-124		20	Q-02
Anthracene	249	16.7	ug/kg	13.3	193	421	1-126		20	Q-02
Benzo(a)anthracene	54.8	41.7	ug/kg	33.3	ND	112	12-135		20	G 01
Benzo(a)pyrene	67.9	41.7	ug/kg	33.3	ND	204	1-128	-	20	Q-02
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	86.3	6.66	ug/kg	13.3	51.2	264	6-150		20	Q-02,Q-0 4
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	139	6.66	ug/kg	53.3	48.3	170	1-116	13	20	Q-02
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	143	1.66	ug/kg	13.3	105	286	1-159		20	Q-02
Chrysene	66.2	0.833	ug/kg	33.3	102	0	1-199	4	20	Q-02
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	200	3.33	ug/kg	133	28.8	129	1-110	5	20	Q-02
Fluoranthene	618	16.7	ug/kg	33.3	570	144	14-123	16	20	Q-02
Fluorene	130	8.33	ug/kg	66.7	ND	195	1-142		20	Q-02
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	369	4.16	ug/kg	33.3	244	375	1-116	93	20	Q-02,Q-0 4
Naphthalene	272	41.7	ug/kg	333	ND	82	1-122	3	20	
Phenanthrene	370	3.73	ug/kg	26.7	296	277	1-155		20	Q-02
Pyrene	99.4	8.33	ug/kg	66.7	23.0	115	1-140	7	20	
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	322		ug/kg	333		97	40-130			

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



# **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 **ATTN: Chris Mitchell** 

Page: Page 6 of 6 Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/16/03 1740

#### Notes and Definitions

The results presented in this report were generated using those methods given in 40 CFR Part 136 for Water and Wastewater samples and in SW-846 for RCRA/Solid Waste samples.

Q-02	The recovery of an analyte(s) in the MSs was outside the acceptable range due to interference, large dilutions required for analysis or a combination of these factors. The recovery of this analyte(s) in the LCSs was within the required limits.
Q-04	The RPD of the target analyte(s) in the MS/MSD is outside of established limits. The RPD of this same analyte(s) in the LCS/LCSD is within acceptable limits. Therefore, the data were reported and are acceptable.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
dry	Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
LCS/LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate
MS/MSD	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
mg/kg mg/l	milligrams per kilogram milligrams per liter
ug/kg	micrograms per kilogram
ug/l	micrograms per liter
exc	Not covered under scope of NELAP accreditation.

Revised 10/09/96					nditiqns	Terms and Condition	Reverse for	See	n) pink	with Dent: YELLOW ERMI	interest original the reference
Act / C. 2	Date	Ĩ	111	LANE	- NA	Received for ERMI By:	Received		Date:	nt:	Method of Shipment:
	Date:	$\left  \right $		n ()	B.	Received B	Time:		Date:		Relinquished By:
	Date:	ł	1	۰ ۱	By:	Received By:	Time:"	5-21-3	Date:	to Orin M	Relinquished By:
5.51-2 Time: 6.77	Date:			177	By:	Received By:		2	Date:	it in t	Relinguished By:
			÷.								
					ан, 1 19,					A. 12	
		`		S.	Â	$\frac{4}{1}$	5 11,		20-63 1205	hym. H	
						H . C H	8 M	$ ^{\mu}, \nu$	1201 1035		
				X		$\frac{4}{1}$	4	بر <sup>ر</sup> ا	10.67 445	M1- 2 7	
				X	1	$h_{\tau,h}$	6	U z H	2111 202	HW-1	
		, \		۶		1 2 11		بر بر	10 1111	< ( III- 15 ) ] > .	1 herede 401
				<u>ہ</u>		7, 11	}	2 . L	206 200	H 4 1 1 1 1 1	
				, v		1/ <sup>1</sup>	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	, 	19 17 25	MW-2(24-26)	264
				X		$\mathcal{I}_{ih}$	1	10%	14.0-12.05	$MM \cdot I(25 \cdot C)$	H ID-HEOROCO
		Ĩ	1	p. Grab	Comp.	Preservative	Bottles	Matrix	Date Time		Use Only
		27	ſ?ŀ	ă	Sar		# of		Sample	Field Sample	ERMI
		$\dot{v}$	+ (	0			2	بل ب ر	Signature:	FRI TURINUS	Sampler: (* (
		- (	60			de:	/ Zip Code	21-1	State:		City: Hurtes
		/ 51.3	15						100	K (1 + Hay 1	Address:
		. 94	-	211 6	10.2	Project Number: (		6		HIPRE INTOIN	Project Name:
		1 ( <b>°</b>	Kc U	8						umber:	Purchase Order Number:
		<sup>z</sup> Ec	/6			Imber:	Fax Number:				Telephone:
		22	R			de:	Zip Code:		State:	1	City:
		<i>(</i> )	=)							different):	Billing Address (if different):
NALYSES	REQUESTED ANALYSES			8							Billing Name:
(Call for Pricing)			ſ	6.1.02	<u>)( )( )</u>	Imber: 195	Fax Number:			123-16) (22-891	Telephone:
Expedite	a M	Normal	TAT:		シンク		Zip Code:	÷1.	State:		City: TAL
								6100	51 .500	207212120000	Address:
										Harr Arite Hill	~.]
		nents:	Comments	0			- -	С.	NG, LN	ALTHA TEST.	Company Name:
0.8.0	So Rec.	196380 196380			· · · ·	- -	· ·	ance)	ERMJ (Long Dist	Bethany Tech Center 400 W. Bethany, Suite 190 Alleri, Texas 75013 972-727-1123 (Local) * 800- 228-ERMJ (Long Distance) 972-727-1175 (Fax)	
Page 1 of 21					ody	Chain-of-Custody	hain-o	C			

10 21/25 \$ 3



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



# **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Page: Page 1 of 6 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/15/03 1623

Attached is our analytical report for the samples received for your project. Below is a list of your individual sample descriptions with our corresponding laboratory number. We also have enclosed a copy of the Chain of Custody that was received with your samples and a form documenting the condition of your samples upon arrival. Please note any unused portion of the samples may be discarded upon expiration of the EPA holding time for the analysis performed or after 30 days from the above report date, unless you have requested otherwise.

#### **Sample Identification**

Laboratory ID #	Client Sample ID	<u>Matrix</u>	Sampled Date/Time	Received Date/Time
0303755-01	MW-3	Aqueous	03/20/03 1035	03/26/03 1645

Thank you for the opportunity to serve your environmental chemistry analysis needs. If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report please contact our Customer Service Department at the phone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

endall K. Birun

Kendall K. Brown

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.

Local: (972) 727-1123



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



**Report of Sample Analysis** 

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 2 of 6 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/15/03 1623

Laboratory ID #: 0303755-01 Sample Description	<u>Sample Type</u> Grab		<u>Matrix</u> Aqueous Sample Date/	Time	Sample Collected By Customer B. Chris Mitchell					
MW-3			03/20/03 103	5						
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	**MRL	Units	Method #	Analysis   Date/Time	Analyst	Flag		
Semivolatile Polynuc	lear Aromatic Hyd	drocarbons								
Acenaphthene	ND	2.50	2.50	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Acenaphthylene	4.85	1.25	1.25	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Anthracene	15.0	5.00	0.500	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0729	SW	R-01		
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.290	0.0250	0.0250	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.394	0.0250	0.0250	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.0100	0.0100	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.545	0.200	0.200	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	1.32	0.0100	0.0100	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Chrysene	1.70	0.0250	0.0250	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.623	0.100	0.100	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Fluoranthene	16.1	0.125	0.125	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Fluorene	9.18	0.250	0.250	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	2.10	0.125	0.125	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Naphthalene	29.0	1.25	1.25	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Phenanthrene	7.67	0.100	0.100	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Pyrene	0.506	0.0500	0.0500	ug/l	EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene		92 %	40-130		EPA 8310	04/10/03 0924	SW			

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center + Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. + Allen, Texas 75013



# **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

Page 3 of 6 Page: Project: **Hobbs Station** E03211 Project #: 04/15/03 1623 Print Date/Time:

Semivolatile	Polynuclear	Aromatic Hydrocarbons	- Quality Control
--------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------

				Spike	Source		%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Linnis	RPD		Fla
Batch 3C27034 - EPA 3	510C Separato	ory Funnel Ext	raction							
Blank (3C27034-BLK1)						···			·	
Prepared: 03/27/03 1015	•		"							
Acenaphthene	ND	2.50	ug/l							
Acenaphthylene	ND	1.25	ug/l							
Anthracene	ND	0.500	ug/l							
Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	0.0250	ug/i							
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	0.0250	ug/l							
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	0.0100	ug/l							
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	0.200	ug/l							
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	0.0100	ug/l							
Chrysene	ND	0.0250	ug/l							
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	0.100	ug/l							
Fluoranthene	ND	0.125	ug/l							
Fluorene	ND	0.250	ug/l							
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	0.125	ug/l							
Naphthalene	ND	1.25	ug/l							
Phenanthrene	ND	0.100	ug/l							
Pyrene	ND	0.0500	ug/l							
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	10.7		ug/l	10.0		107	40-130	)		
Laboratory Control Sam							<u> </u>			
Prepared: 03/27/03 1015										
Acenaphthene	18.9	2.50	ug/l	20.0		94	1-124			
Acenaphthylene	10.4	1.25	ug/l	10.0		104	1-139	)		
Anthracene	ND	0.500	ug/l	0.400		84	1-126			
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.18	0.0250	ug/l	1.00		118	12-135	i		
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.04	0.0250	ug/l	1.00		104	1-128	;		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.477	0.0100	ug/l	0.400		119	6-150	1		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.75	0.200	ug/l	1.60		109	1-116	i		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.461	0.0100	ug/l	0.400		115	1-159	Ļ		
Chrysene	1.21	0.0250	ug/i	1.00		121	1-199	ŀ		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	3.60	0.100	ug/i	4.00		90	1-110	ŀ		
Fluoranthene	1.12	0.125	ug/l	1.00		112	14-123			
Fluorene	2.27	0.250	ug/l	2.00		114	1-142			
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.15	0.125	ug/l	1.00		115	1-116			
Naphthalene	11.2	1.25	ug/i	10.0		112	1-122			
Phenanthrene	0.933	0.100	ug/l	0.800		117	1-155			
Pyrene	2.24	0.0500	ug/l	2.00		112	1-140			
Surrogate: Decafluorobiphenyl	7.41	-	ug/l	10.0		74	40-130			
			-	10.0			-			
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	8.97		ug/i	10.0		90	40-130			



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



# **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell

aliza latila D

Page: Page 4 of 6 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/15/03 1623

life Control

				Spike	Source		%REC	RPD [	RPD	I Elea
Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Level	Result	%REC	Limits	RPD	Limit	Flag
Batch 3C27034 - EPA	3510C Separa	atory Funnel Ext	traction (	continue	ed)					
Laboratory Control San Prepared: 03/27/03 1015	n <b>ple Duplicate</b> Analyzed: 04/1	(3C27034-BSD1) 0/03 0300								
Acenaphthene	14.7	2.50	ug/l	20.0		74	1-124		20	C-0
Acenaphthylene	8.06	1.25	ug/l	10.0		81	1-139	25	20	C-0
Anthracene	ND	0.500	ug/l	0.400		74	1-126		20	
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.00	0.0250	ug/l	1.00		100	12-135		20	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.915	0.0250	ug/l	1.00		92	1-128	13	20	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.407	0.0100	ug/l	0.400		102	6-150	16	20	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.53	0.200	ug/l	1.60		96	1-116		20	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.388	0.0100	ug/l	0.400		97	1-159		20	
Chrysene	1.04	0.0250	ug/l	1.00		104	1-199	15	20	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	2.97	0.100	ug/l	4.00		74	1-110	19	20	
Fluoranthene	0.990	0.125	ug/l	1.00		99	14-123	12	20	
Fluorene	1.72	0.250	ug/l	2.00		86	1-142	28	20	C-01
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.04	0.125	ug/l	1.00		104	1-116	10	20	
Naphthalene	8.32	1.25	ug/l	10.0		83	1-122	30	20	C-0
Phenanthrene	0.766	0.100	ug/l	0.800		96	1-155	20	20	
Pyrene	1.87	0.0500	ug/l	2.00		94	1-140	18	20	
Surrogate: Decafluorobiphenyl	5.07		ug/l	10.0		51	40-130			
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	6.48		ug/l	10.0		65	40-130			
Matrix Spike (3C27034-M Prepared: 03/27/03 1015		0/03 0338		Se	ource: 0302	588-02		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Acenaphthene	15.9	2.50	ug/l	20.0	ND	80	1-124			
Acenaphthylene	7.91	1.25	ug/l	10.0	ND	79	1-139			
Anthracene	ND	0.500	ug/l	0.400	ND	73	1-126			
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.05	0.0250	ug/l	1.00	ND	105	12-135			
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.03	0.0250	ug/l	1.00	0.0344	103	1-128			
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.507	0.0100	ug/l	0.400	0.0344	97	6-150			
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.76	0.200	ug/i	1.60	0.218	96	1-116			
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.499	0.200	ug/i ug/i	0.400	0.218	90 99	1-110			
Chrysene	1.08	0.0250	ug/l	1.00	0.0631	102	1-159			
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	3.57	0.100	-	4.00	ND	89				
Fluoranthene	1.36	0.125	ug/l ug/l	4.00	ND	136	1-110 14-123			C-02
Fluorene	2.55	0.250	ug/l	2.00	0.447	105	1-142			0-02
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.18	0.250	ug/l	2.00	0.447	98	1-142			
Naphthalene	11.2	1.25	ug/l	10.0	0.195 ND	112	1-1122			
Phenanthrene	0.898	0.100	ug/i ug/i	0.800	0.195	88	1-122			
Pyrene	1.97	0.0500	ug/i ug/i	2.00	ND	98	1-155			
Surrogate: Decafluorobiphenyl		0.0000	-	10.0						
	4.56		ug/l			46	40-130			
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	6.14		ug/l	10.0		61	40-130			

\*Sample Reporting Limit \*\*Method Reporting Limit The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Page: Page 5 of 6 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/15/03 1623

Analyte(s)	Result	*SRL	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Flag
Batch 3C27034 - EPA 3	510C Separato	ory Funnel Ext	raction (o	continue	ed)					
Matrix Spike Duplicate ( Prepared: 03/27/03 1015				S	ource: 0302	588-02				
Acenaphthene	14.5	2.50	ug/l	20.0	ND	72	1-124	9	20	
Acenaphthylene	6.68	1.25	ug/l	10.0	ND	67	1-139		20	
Anthracene	ND	0.500	ug/l	0.400	ND	72	1-126		20	
Benzo(a)anthracene	1.08	0.0250	ug/l	1.00	ND	108	12-135	3	20	
Benzo(a)pyrene	1.11	0.0250	ug/l	1.00	0.0344	108	1-128		20	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.519	0.0100	ug/l	0.400	0.119	100	6-150	2	20	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	1.84	0.200	ug/l	1.60	0.218	101	1-116		20	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.511	0.0100	ug/i	0.400	0.102	102	1-159	2	20	
Chrysene	1.10	0.0250	ug/l	1.00	0.0631	104	1-199	2	20	·
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	3.86	0.100	ug/l	4.00	ND	96	1-110	8	20	
Fluoranthene	1.41	0.125	ug/l	1.00	ND	141	14-123	4	20	C
Fluorene	2.31	0.250	ug/l	2.00	0.447	93	1-142	10	20	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	1.22	0.125	ug/l	1.00	0.195	102	1-116	3	20	
Naphthalene	10.4	1.25	ug/l	10.0	ND	104	1-122	7	20	
Phenanthrene	0.909	0.100	ug/l	0.800	0.195	89	1-155	1	20	
Pyrene	2.11	0.0500	ug/l	2.00	ND	106	1-140	7	20	
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene	4.74		ug/l	10.0		47	40-130			



Bethany Tech Center • Suite 190 400 W. Bethany Rd. • Allen, Texas 75013



## **Report of Sample Analysis**

Alpha Testing, Inc. 2209 Wisconsin Street, Suite 100 Dallas TX, 75229 ATTN: Chris Mitchell Page: Page 6 of 6 Project: Hobbs Station Project #: E03211 Print Date/Time: 04/15/03 1623

#### **Notes and Definitions**

The results presented in this report were generated using those methods given in 40 CFR Part 136 for Water and Wastewater samples and in SW-846 for RCRA/Solid Waste samples.

C-01	The RPD was greater than expected.
C-02	The recovery was greater than expected
R-01	The higher reporting limit(s) is due to dilutions required for analysis as a result of a high concentration of target and/or non-target parameters in this sample.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
dry	Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
LCS/LCSD	Laboratory Control Sample/Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate
MS/MSD	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram
mg/l	milligrams per liter
ug/kg	micrograms per kilogram
ug/l	micrograms per liter
exc	Not covered under scope of NELAP accreditation.

<b>FRN</b> 1400 W. Bethany. Suit <b>RRN</b> Allen, Texas 75013	antar											1
	400 W. P. Curron Canad 400 W. Bethany, Suite 190 Allen, Texas 75013 * 800- 228-ERM) (Long Distance) 972- 727-1175 (Fax)	ERM) (Lon	g Distance)				,	196 196	080	Rec. @	0, <i>8</i> ,0	, !
y Name: Act Critor S	HITE TEST.	NG.					0	Comments	S: 1			<b></b>
85S: 2209	NIS CONS M	07. 2.	SUNA 100			510	<u> </u>	- 11	- 11		ן ז	T
Telephone: (972) 6	168-029	l Sta	State:	Zip Code: Fax Numt	Der: /	- à	1302	TAT: N	Normal	Expedite (Call for P	Expedite	
Billing Name:					41		0		REQUESTED	TED ANALYSES	ES	0
Billing Address (if different):								(				11
City:		Sle	State:	Zip Code:	ode:			07				
Telephone:				Fax N	Fax Number:			08 19				
Purchase Order Number:							0	#"  a;				
Project Name: HoBBJ	STATION			Proje	Project Number: A	-03211	9	1718 				
Address: CR 61	*	8					$\leq$	5 S				
City: Hoge S		Ste	State: NM	/ Zip C	Code:			5/				
Sampler: B. Cherl M	MIRMU S	Signature:	B. Cl	ノンシー			0	$\frac{7}{3}$	4			
ERMI Field Sample		Sample		# of		Sample Type	Type	72 HO	22			
Use Only I.D.	L	Date Tir	Time Matrix	Bottles	Preservative	Comp.	Grab	e T				
MW-1 (35-	36)	3-19-03 205	Soll		Joh		۲					
MW-2(3		3-19-03 1525	201L	2	7.4		۲				   	
HW-4 (3	36-37 3.	3.20.03 910		-	7.4		, Y					
B-5(14	- (2)	3.15.03 17	1700 Soil	-	7.1		י א					
6303635 UI HW-		3.20.03 1115	S H20		4°C/H	ŝ	X					
032363722 Mw-				4	¥/2.+		7					1
CHA TOTOS NW -	N N	3.20.4 1035			4.c./h	4	۲					
-WH YO	4 3:	21 50.02.6	1205 H20	S	4°C/14		۲					
		₩.			1							
	The P											-
Relinquished By: CAC C	el-	0 8	Date: 3- 21-7	Time:	0. Received By:	By: <i>R</i>	1220	Z	1	Date: 2-2)	S Time: 8	99
Relinquished By: De Die	in I	0	Date: 5-21-3		Ċ,	Βy:				Date:	Time:	
Relinquished By:		Ω	Date:	Time:	Received B	BY: 1 2	$\dot{D}$			Date:	Time:	
Method of Shipment:		<u> </u>	Date:	Received	Received for ERMI by:	アーナス	アカビ	ALL X		Date5/21/0	J Time(C	- 