1R - 219

REPORTS

DATE: $\rho_{HASE II} = \frac{9}{95}$

PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION

RICE ENGINEERING

SOUTH HOBBS BY-PASS SALTWATER PIPELINE LEAK **RECEIVED**

SEP 2 5 1995

Environmental Bureau

Prepared For:

RICE ENGINEERING CORPORATION

Project Number 14131

Prepared by:

PHILIP ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES CORPORATION 7904 Interstate 20 West Midland, Texas 79706 (915) 563-0118

Table of Contents

List of Appendices iii					
List of Tables iii					
List of	Figure	es iii			
Executi	Executive Summary iv				
1.0	0 INTRODUCTION 1				
2.0	ELECTROMAGNETIC CONDUCTIVITY SURVEY				
3.0	MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION				
4.0	RESULTS				
	4.1	Lithology 5			
	4.2	Laboratory Analysis of Groundwater Samples 5			
	4.3	Laboratory Analysis of Soil Samples			
	4.4	Hydrogeology 6			
5.0	CONC	CLUSIONS			
6.0 REFERENCES					
List of Appendices					
List of Tables10					
List of Figures10					

9/95/14131(2242)1

- ----

Appendices

I COLLESPONDENCE	I	Correspondence
------------------	---	----------------

II Roberts/Schornick and Associates Report

III Driller's Lithology Logs

IV Monitor Well Completion Diagrams

V Analytical Data

List of Tables

1 Summary of Water Sample Analytical Results

- 2 Soil analytical Results
- 3 Summary of Groundwater Measurements

List of Figures

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Well Location Map
- 3 Cross Section Location Map
- 4 East-West Cross Section
- 5 North-South Cross Section
- 6 Chloride Concentration Map
- 7 Benzene Concentration Map
- 8 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map

9/95/14131(2242)1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On July 17, 1995, Philip Environmental Services Corporation (Philip) initiated a Phase II Environmental Investigation at a site located on the South Hobbs By-Pass. This work was performed at the request of Rice Engineering Corporation following their discovery of a leak along a produced water line. Mr. Wayne Price of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division was present and witnessed the Phase II Investigation Activities.

Prior to initiation of the Phase II Investigation, one monitor well had been drilled at the site. Groundwater samples obtained from the monitor well exhibited chlorides at a concentration of 14,091 parts per million (ppm). Additionally, a sample obtained from a nearby inactive water well exhibited a chloride concentration of 7,178 ppm.

Six monitor wells were drilled at the site by Environmental Spill Control, under contract with Rice Engineering Corporation, and sampled for laboratory analysis. A Philip representative was present at the site to oversee the drilling and sampling of the wells. Groundwater elevation data were obtained for use in evaluating the groundwater flow direction at the site. The depth to groundwater at the site ranges from 60.49 - 62.99 feet below ground surface. The local groundwater flow direction appears to be centered in the direction of Monitor Well #2.

The groundwater analytical results indicate the presence of chloride in concentrations exceeding the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) standards of 250 milligrams per liter (mg/L) in four of the monitor wells and the inactive water well. Benzene was detected in concentrations above the WQCC drinking water standard of 0.01 mg/L in three of the monitor wells and the inactive water well. Toluene was detected in samples from two monitor wells, but concentrations did not exceed WQCC drinking water standards. Ethylbenzene was detected in samples from three monitor wells, but concentrations did not exceed WQCC drinking water standards. Total xylenes were detected in samples from two monitor wells and the inactive water standards. Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons were detected in concentrations above the WQCC drinking water standard of 0.03 mg/L in samples from one of the monitor wells, and was detected in concentrations below drinking water standards in a sample from the inactive water well.

Prior to drilling the six monitor wells, an Electromagnetic Conductivity (EM) Survey was conducted by Roberts/Schornick and Associates (RSA) on May 15 - 16, 1995. The EM survey was conducted using a depth of investigation of 50 and 100 feet below ground surface. Results of the EM survey were used to aid in the placement of the wells.

PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL INVESTIGATION AT THE SOUTH HOBBS BY-PASS SITE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In May and July of 1995, Philip Environmental Services Corporation (Philip) conducted a Phase II Environmental Investigation at a site located south of the Carlsbad Highway on West County Road (Figure 1). The Phase II investigation consisted of conducting an Electromagnetic Conductivity (EM) Survey, and drilling, sampling, and measuring water levels for six new monitor wells. A Philip representative was on-site to coordinate and oversee these activities.

The site is located along the highway in an area which consists predominantly of oil producing properties. In April 1994, a leak was discovered from a buried produced water line. The leak was repaired and soil samples were obtained from the pipeline ditch. Sample results indicated a Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) concentration of 7,721 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and a chloride concentration of 680 mg/kg. Two additional soil samples were obtained from the ditch bottom and ditch wall. The ditch bottom sample exhibited a TPH concentration of 17,817 mg/kg and a chloride concentration of 160 mg/kg. The wall sample concentrations were 16,665 mg/kg TPH and 3,278 mg/kg chlorides.

A 40-foot soil boring was drilled in this location and hydrocarbon odor and staining was observed. Additionally, the possible presence of groundwater was indicated by moisture in the drill cuttings. A 65-foot monitor well was drilled and installed 15 feet to the southeast of the borehole location. Groundwater was encountered at a depth of 41 feet below ground surface (bgs). Groundwater samples from this monitor well had a TPH concentration of 3.8 milligrams per liter (mg/L), chloride concentration of 17,495 mg/L and total dissolved solids (TDS) of 36,200 mg/L.

Two additional water samples were obtained and analyzed from nearby wells. A currently unused, domestic water well located 163 feet to the southeast of Monitor Well #1 (Figure 2) had a TPH concentration of 1.4 mg/L, chloride concentration of 4,879 mg/L, and a TDS concentration of 10,446 mg/L. A domestic well located approximately 450 east of Monitor Well #1 had TPH, chloride, and TDS concentrations of 0.3 mg/L, 36 mg/L and 440 mg/L, respectively.

Based on the results of the drilling and sampling, a workplan for a Phase II Environmental Investigation was developed and submitted by Rice Engineering Corporation to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD). NMOCD approved the plan, requesting that the work be completed and a report submitted to NMOCD by June 30, 1995. Rice Engineering requested a ninety day extension for submittal of the report to allow sufficient time to gain surface owner approval for site

access. Copies of correspondence between Rice Engineering and the NMOCD are included in Appendix I.

9/95/14131(2242)1

2.0 ELECTROMAGNETIC CONDUCTIVITY SURVEY

An Electromagnetic Conductivity (EM) Survey was performed on July 15 - 16, 1995, by Roberts/Schornick and Associates (RSA) to evaluate the impacts to soil and/or groundwater near the produced water leak location. The purpose of the EM survey was to delineate the extent of impacts, and thereby aid in the placement of monitor wells. RSA's report of findings is included in the report in Appendix II.

The EM survey grid is approximately centered at the location of the pipeline leak. The area surveyed is approximately 700 feet (east to west) by 1,000 feet (north to south). The survey equipment was configured to allow a depth of investigation of 50 feet and 100 feet below ground surface (bgs). Both the 50 feet bgs and the 100 feet bgs surveys exhibit elevated conductivity in the location of the leak. Elevated conductivity measurements are also exhibited in an area northwest and an area southwest of the leak location. RSA interprets these elevated conductivity levels to possibly be associated with former reserve pits. Pit liner materials were observed at both of these locations. RSA concludes in their report that "the geometry of the overall conductivity anomaly generally conforms to the regional, southeasterly, groundwater flow direction."

Interpretation of the EM Survey results was used in selecting the locations of the monitor wells drilled at this site.

3.0 MONITOR WELL INSTALLATION

Six monitor wells were drilled by Environmental Spill Control using an air-rotary rig (Figure 2). Split-spoon samples were collected at five-foot intervals and logged by a Philip representative and an Environmental Spill Control representative (Appendix III). Drill cuttings were also collected during drilling, and changes in lithology indicated by the drill cuttings were noted on the driller's log.

One deep (155 feet bgs) and five shallow (55 - 57 feet bgs) monitor wells were drilled. The wells are constructed of flush-threaded, Schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) riser and 0.020-inch, slotted, well screen. The shallow wells were constructed with 25 feet of screen, and the deep well (Monitor Well #3) was constructed with 50 feet of screen. Monitor Wells #6 and #7 are constructed with 2-inch inside diameter riser and screen, and Monitor Wells #2, #3, #4 and #5 are constructed with 4-inch inside diameter riser and screen. Monitor well completion diagrams are included in Appendix IV.

The gravel pack consisted of 10 - 20 grade, washed silica. Once the gravel pack was extended approximately one foot above the screen, a minimum 4-foot-thick bentonite plug was installed by hydrating bentonite pellets with potable water. The remaining annular space was then filled with a cement/bentonite grout containing a minimum of five percent bentonite. The drilling contractors installed a locking monitor well cap at each location.

Well development included pumping groundwater from each well until the pH, conductivity, and temperature had stabilized for three consecutive measurements and the water appeared clear and free of sediment. A minimum of three casing volumes of water were removed from each well.

Drilling equipment, sampling tools, and bailers were decontaminated prior to use at each monitor well location. Decontamination included cleaning all equipment with a high pressure steam or $Alconox^{TM}$ soap solution, followed by a potable water rinse.

9/95/14131(2242)1

4.0 **RESULTS**

4.1 Lithology

The lithology encountered in the monitor wells is generally consistent throughout the site investigated. Figure 3 shows the locations of an east-west cross section (Figure 4) and a north-south cross section (Figure 5). The cross sections display the general lithology at the site. The subsurface is characterized by an upper layer of white and brown indurated limestone (caliche). Underlying the limestone is a silty, fine grained, calcareous sandstone containing scattered limestone fragments. The thickness of this unit ranges from 30 - 40 feet thick throughout the site, and the unit locally contains a thin unit (3 - 6 feet) of fine grained and indurated siliceous sandstone. Fine grained, slightly calcareous sandstones underlie this unit. Drilling log diagrams showing the lithology of each monitor well are included in Appendix III.

4.2 Laboratory Analysis of Groundwater Samples

The results of the laboratory analyses of groundwater samples are presented in Table 1 and Appendix V.

Chloride concentrations above New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) standards of 250 milligrams per liter (mg/L) were detected in groundwater samples from Monitor Wells #1, #2, #3, #4, #7, and the Inactive Water Well (Figure 6). Total dissolved chloride concentrations ranged from 96 mg/L to 14,091 mg/L.

Total Dissolved Solids concentrations in groundwater samples ranged from 493 mg/L to 25,106 mg/L.

Benzene was detected in concentrations above the WQCC drinking water standard of 0.01 mg/L in samples from Monitor Wells #1, #2, #3, and the Inactive Water Well (Figure 7). Benzene concentrations ranged from not detected to 2,871 mg/L.

Toluene was detected in samples from Monitor Wells #2 and #3, but concentrations did not exceed the WQCC drinking water standard of 0.75 mg/L. Ethylbenzene was detected in samples from Monitor Wells #1, #2, and #3, but concentrations did not exceed the WQCC drinking water standard of 0.75 mg/L. Total xylenes were detected in samples from Monitor Wells #1, #3, and the Inactive Water Well, but concentrations did not exceed the WQCC drinking water standard of 0.62 mg/L.

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) were detected above the WQCC drinking water standard of 0.03 mg/L in the sample from Monitor Well #1, and were detected below drinking water standards in the Inactive Water Well.

Phase-separated hydrocarbons were observed in Monitor Well #1. The thickness of the phase-separated hydrocarbons is 5.12 feet.

The analyses were conducted using USEPA Method 8020 for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and USEPA Method 8270 for PAHs. Chloride and TDS analyses were conducted using method SM 4500 CL-B and USEPA Method 160.1, respectively.

4.3 Laboratory Analysis of Soil Samples

The results of the laboratory analyses for VOCs are presented in Table 2 and Appendix V. TPH and chloride concentrations were measured in the field by an Environmental Spill Control representative, and are presented in Table 2.

TPH concentrations ranged from 26 parts per million (ppm) to 107 ppm in soil samples obtained from the borings emplaced prior to construction of the monitor wells. Chlorides were detected in the sample from Monitor Well #2 (at a depth of 15 - 16 feet bgs) at a concentration of 5,000 ppm, and at a concentration of 900 ppm at a depth of 30 -31 feet bgs.

Volatile organics were not detected in any of the soil samples submitted for analysis. VOCs were analyzed using USEPA Method 8020.

4.4 Hydrogeology

The Ogallala Formation is the principle source of groundwater in Lea County, New Mexico (Ash, 1963). Depth to groundwater in Lea County ranges from approximately 12 feet bgs to 300 feet bgs. At the site, the static depth to groundwater in the Ogallala ranged from 60.49 feet bgs to 62.90 feet bgs in the monitor wells present.

Regionally, groundwater occurs under unconfined conditions and the water table slopes towards the southeast at an average gradient of approximately 12 feet/mile. Water wells completed in the Ogallala exhibit yields ranging from 200 gallons per minute to greater than 1,000 gallons per minute.

The local hydraulic gradient at the site appears to slope in a direction centered towards Monitor Well #1 (Figure 8). At the site, groundwater is primarily encountered beneath an indurated, siliceous sand layer. The dip of this layer in the direction of Monitor Well #1 may contribute to the water table sloping in this direction.

Approximately 1,800 barrels of water was recovered in 24 hours from Monitor Well #1, indicating that good yields can be expected from the monitor wells at the site. A groundwater elevation measurement taken in the Inactive Water Well exhibited a 6-inch

drop in groundwater elevation subsequent to pumping Monitor Well #1 for 24 hours. No other pump test data were obtained.

9/95/14131(2242)1

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Groundwater at the site has been impacted by hydrocarbon constituents and chlorides. Phase-separated hydrocarbons are present in Monitor Well #1. Benzene was detected in concentrations above the WQCC drinking water standard in samples from Monitor Wells #1, #2, #3, and the Inactive Water Well. PAHs were detected above drinking water standards in the groundwater sample from Monitor Well #1.

Chloride concentrations above WQCC standards were detected in samples from Monitor Wells #1, #3, #4, #7, and the Inactive Water Well.

The water table appears to slope in the direction of Monitor Well #2. Based on water level data, water quality data, and the results of the EM survey, the contaminant plume appears to be localized at the site.

The NMOCD is the regulatory agency with jurisdiction over the operations at this site. The NMOCD currently does not have standards for contaminants in groundwater, and will therefore likely enforce the WQCC drinking water standards.

6.0 **REFERENCES**

- Ash, Sidney R., Groundwater Conditions in Northern Lea County, New Mexico, Dept. of the Interior, US Geological Survey, Hydrologic Atlas -62.
- Fisher, W.L., 1990, Geologic Framework and Regional Hydrology: Upper Cenozoic Blackwater Draw and Ogallala Formations, Great Plains, Texas Bureau of Economic Geology, Austin, Texas
- New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, 1993 Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases
- New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Regulations, 1993, Part 3-Water Quality Control, Section 3-103
- Roberts/Schornick and Associates, 1995, Report of Findings Electromagnetic Terrain Conductivity Survey; prepared for Rice Engineering, May, 1995

Appendices

- I Correspondence
- II Roberts/Schornick and Associates Report
- III Driller's Lithology Logs
- IV Monitor Well Completion Diagrams
- V Analytical Data

List of Tables

- 1 Summary of Water Sample Analytical Results
- 2 Soil analytical Results
- 3 Summary of Groundwater Measurements

List of Figures

- 1 Site Location Map
- 2 Well Location Map
- 3 Cross Section Location Map
- 4 East-West Cross Section
- 5 North-South Cross Section
- 6 Chloride Concentration Map
- 7 Benzene Concentration Map
- 8 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map

9/95/14131(2242)1

APPENDIX I

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

STA

Bill Ober (Gos) 787-7154 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 S. PACHECO SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87505 (SOS) 827-7131

STATE OF NEW MEXICO



March 8, 1995

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT NO. P-667-242-217

Mr. Jerry D. Hillard Division Manager Rice Engineering Corporation 122 West Taylor Hobbs, New Mexico 88240



æ :

RE: GROUND WATER CONTAMINATION INVESTIGATION WORK PLAN RICE ENGINEERING PRODUCED WATER GATHERING LINE LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Dear Mr. Hillard:

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) has completed a review of Rice Engineering Corporation's (REC) January 13, 1995 "GROUNDWATER IMPACT, RICE ENGINEERING PRODUCED WATER GATHERING PIPELINE, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO". This document contains REC's work plan for investigating the extent of ground water contamination related to a leak from a REC produced water gathering line in the SW/4, NW/4 of Section 5, T19S, R38E NMPM Lea County, New Mexico.

The above work plan is approved with the following conditions:

- 1. All monitor wells will be constructed as set out below:
 - a. If the well is to be screened across the water table interface, a minimum of 15 feet of well screen will be installed with at least 10 feet of well screen below the water table and 5 feet of well screen above the water table.
 - b. An appropriately sized gravel pack will be set around the well screen from the bottom of the hole to 2-3 feet above the top of the well screen.
 - c. A 2-3 foot bentonite plug will be placed above the gravel pack.

RICE ENG HOBBS NM → 19155639526 03/10/95 11:22

NO.512 P003

Mr. Jerry Hillard March 8, 1995 Page 2

NOTE:

The remainder of the hole will be sealed with cement đ. containing 3-5 % bentonite.

Ł

- REC will develop each well upon completion using EPA approved 2. procedures.
- REC will sample ground water from all monitor wells. Ground 3. water from these monitor wells will be sampled and analyzed for concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX), major cations and anions, heavy metals and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons using EPA approved methods.



The OCD will not require REC to analyze ground all di notals water samples for heavy metals and PAH's, if, REC can provide the OCD with an analysis of the produced water from this line showing that these constituents do not exceed New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission ground water standards.

- REC will submit a report on the investigation to the OCD by 4. June 30, 1995. The report will contain:
 - A description of all activities which occurred during the a. investigation, conclusions and recommendations.
 - A summary of the laboratory analytic results of water b. quality sampling of the monitor wells.
 - c. A water table elevation map using the water table elevation of the ground water in all monitor wells.
 - A geologic log and as built well completion diagram for d. each well.
- REC will notify the OCD at least one week in advance of all 5. scheduled activities such that the OCD has the opportunity to witness the events and or split samples.
- original documents submitted for approval will be 6. A11 submitted to the OCD Santa Fe Office with copies provided to the OCD Hobbs District Office.

Please be advised that OCD approval does not relieve REC of liability should the investigation activities determine that contamination exists which is beyond the scope of the work plan or 03/10/95 11:22 RICE ENG HOBBS NM → 19155639526

NO.512 P004

Mr. Jerry Hillard March 6, 1995 Page 3

if the activities fail to adequately determine the extent of contamination related to REC's activities. In addition, OCD approval does not relieve REC of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state or local laws and/or regulations.

If you have any questions, please call me at (505) 827-7154.

Sincerely,

William C. Olson Hydrogeologist Environmental Bureau

xc: Jerry Sexton, OCD Hobbs District Supervisor Wayne Price, OCD Hobbs Office

RICE ENGINEERING CORPORATION

122 W. TAYLOR HOBBS NEW MEXICO

PHONE: (505) 393-9174 FAX: (505) 397-1471

DATE: 3-10-95	TIMB: 11:30 A.M.
NO: BURKINGTON EDV.	ATTA: Sharon Hull
ROM. DAVE Abbott	
SUBJECT: REMEISIAL PLAN App.	roun of West County Road Spil
NUMBER OF PAGES: (PLUS COVER PAG	
DETAILS: FOR YOUR REVIE	EQ.
THANKS DAUE	
DAUE	
IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES	S INCLUDED, PLEASE CALL

THE OFFICE PHONE NUMBER LISTED AT THE TOP OF THIS PAGE.

RICE Engineering Corporation

122 WEST TAYLOR TELEPHONE (505) 383-9174

HOBBS. NEW MEXICO 88240

June 5, 1995

Attn: Mr. Bill Olson New Mexico Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088

Dear Mr. Olson;

Our spill site phase II investigation of the plume of contamination, has not yet began due to surface owners issues that will not allow access on their properties. It is our position, at this time, to petition the Oil Conservation Division for a 90 day extension that will allow time for resolution of the issues with the surface owners. This extension would also allow ample time for the phase II completion and a completed Hydrological study. If you should have any further questions, please contact this office at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Dave Abbott Division Engineer

DA/lr

cc: LBG JW Neal Sharon Hall File I.

Į.

RICE ENGINEERING CORPORATION

- -----

122 W. TAYLOR HOBBS NEW MEXICO

PHONE: (505) 393-9174 PAX: (505) 397-1471

ATE: 3/15/7 0: <u>Burliu</u> ROM: Jea	5			time:_		
o: Bunliu	10m		_ \ 1	Th: Sha	ROW Hel)
ROM: Jea	my Hillone]				
UBJECT:/L)	only plan	ass	obra			
UMBER OF PAG	BS: (PLUS	COARI	R PAGE	()		
BTAILS:						
		a it.				
				·		
·····				·		
				• <u> </u>		
				<u></u>		
			<u> </u>			
P YOU DO NOT	PRCEIVE	AT:T. 1	PAGEC	TNCLIDED	PLRACE CA	T.T.

THE OPPICE PHONE NUMBER LISTED AT THE TOP OF THIS PAGE.

RICE Engineering Corporation

122 WEST TAYLOR TELEPHONE (505) 393-9174

HOBBS. NEW MEXICO 88240

January 13, 1995

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

Attention: Roger C. Anderson

Re: Groundwater Impact Rice Engineering Produced Water Gathering Pipeline Lea County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Enclosed is the work plan for Site Assessment of the above referenced Groundwater Impact area. This area is located on the western edge of Section 5, T19S, R38E, NMPM, Lea County.

If there are any questions or additional information needed, please contact us at this office.

Yours very truly,

RICE ENGINEERING CORPORATION

Jerry Hillard Division Manager

Enclosures

1

HOBBS SWD SYSTEM SPILLSITE INVESTIGATION PLAN SECTION 5 & 6, TOWNSHIP 19 SOUTH, RANGE 38 EAST LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

PHASE I - DISCOVERY AND DETERMINATION OF GROUNDWATER IMPACT

A leak was discovered April 29, 1994 on a buried produced water line, South of the Carlsbad Highway on West County Road, approximately 1/2 mile south of Walker Machine Shop. The line is an eight (8) inch poly line, buried at a depth of 2.5 feet at the bottom of the west borrow ditch, which runs under West County Road from the West to the East. The leak was located and repaired on the west side of the road. The impact from the leak was mostly on the east side of the road due to the drainage of the conduit installed in an eastward down slope. The leak appears to have been caused by heavy equipment when the borrow ditches were being excavated for storm water control.

A. Oral Notification of the Oil Conservation Division (OCD) 4-29-94 and written notice 5-3-94.

The OCD advised that samples should be taken on the impacted soil removed from the ditchline to make the repair. The soil was spread out along the ditchline, fertilized, watered and allowed to set for 6 days. A composite sample was taken and analyzed, showing TPH of 7,721 ppm and chlorides of 680 ppm. (Sample results indicated on attachment 1)

Two additional samples were taken following this analysis. One at the ditch bottom and the other approximately half-way down one ditch wall. These samples did have a hydrocarbon odor. The lab analyses showed TPH of 17,817 ppm bottom, 16,665 ppm wall, chlorides of 160 ppm bottom and 3,278 ppm wall. The OCD indicated they felt at this point there was a high possibility of deep chloride penetration. (Sample results indicated on attachment 1)

B. Per recommendation from the OCD, a bore hole was drilled to 40 feet from ground level using an auger rig to determine the extent of impacted area of the site. The bore hole was located next to the pipeline in the ditch bottom. The boring indicated moderate presence of hydrocarbons down to the 40 foot level and a shallow water groundwater zone was indicated by some moisture in the cuttings. This bore hole was plugged with cement and the ditchline backfilled.

C. In further consideration of the recommendation of the OCD, a monitor well was drilled using an air rig, 15 feet to the southeast of the first bore hole. (Well no. 1 on attached plat) A

4 3/4 inch hole was drilled to a total depth of 65 feet. At the top of the hole immediately under the cover soil unconsolidated rock materials were encountered down to around 10 feet. Sand and caliche was then drilled down to 35 feet where a hard sandstone layer was encountered. This layer extended about 6 feet upon which groundwater was incurred immediately below. Hydrogen sulfide was encountered at this point requiring proper safety equipment. Representatives from the OCD were present during drilling and took samples along with Environment Spill Control Incorporated.

The decision was made to ream out the hole to 6 1/2 inches in order to set 4 1/2 inch flush joint casing, which would allow for the installation of a downhole pump to test the water. The hole was reamed to 65 feet, casing set with 10 feet of screened slotted tailpipe in the water and 5 feet out. The bottom of the casing is at 55 feet, leaving 10 feet of rathole. Water level was gauged afterward standing at 37 feet, apparent fluid head of the Aquifer.

D. The State Engineer was consulted as to the designation of the groundwater. We were informed that this would be the expected top of the Ogallala Aquifer.

PHASE II - IMPACTED AREA ASSESSMENT

Currently, the information as to the extent of area coverage and depth of impact is from only two sources, the spillsite monitor well and a currently unused domestic water well 163 feet to the Southeast of the site monitor well. A sample taken from the one monitor well show TPH of 3.8 ppm, chlorides of 17,495 ppm and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) of 36,200 ppm. A sample from the domestic well to the Southeast has a TPH of 1.4 ppm, chlorides of 4,879 ppm and TDS of 10,446. One other sampled domestic well, approximately 450 feet east, had TPH of 0.3 ppm, chlorides of 36 ppm and TDS of 440 ppm. (See Attachment no. 2 for all water analyses) Known hydrology and geology of the Ogallala aquifer of this area indicates a reservoir fluid movement to the southeast direction and a probable total thickness of the sand of around 150'. It is felt that the direction of search for the impacted area extent should concentrate mostly in this direction.

It is being considered to perform an Electromagnetis Survey of the area to help define the suspected direction and extent of impacted waters. If this survey is utilized, the below investigation well plan could be changed. The objective of wells at that point would be to confirm the areal extent of impact indicated by the Electromagnetic Survey. If this extent is confirmed, then subsequent well drilling would need to be only for contaminant removal and monitoring.

It is planned, at this time, to start the impacted area assessment by drilling the following monitor and/or test wells.

A) A well 100' to the east of Well no. 1 (plat well no. 2)

This well will be located 7' to 10' to the south of the direct easterly line due to back cover of the pipeline.

B) A well 100' to the south of Well no. 1 (plat well no. 3)

C) A well 175' to the west northwest of Well no. 1 This well will be located adjacent to the pipeline. (plat well no. 4)

- D) A well 100' to the southeast of the existing domestic well that is 163' southeast of Well no. 1 (plat well no. 5)
- B) a well 100' to the north of well no. 1 (Plat well no. 6)

Bach well will be specifically drilled to a depth which indicates elevated levels of TPH and chlorides concentrations, then the well will be drilled 15 ft. deeper. The well will be drilled until elevated levels are reached or the well is at the bottom of the aquifer which is estimated to be 250 ft. in this particular area. If impacted waters are encountered in any well, additional wells will be drilled to define the extent of impact.

It is understood that past experience with impacted fluids entry of this type into the Ogallala has indicated the more dense waters move downward to the lower level of the aquifer. Rice has been informed that in some cases, though, there is an interval in the sand formation which effectively separates the sand into two or more layers. 03/13/95 14:56 RICE ENG HOBBS NM → 19155639526

•

.

NO.542 P006

1

| . !

1

ŀ

ATTACHMENT 1

· -- · · · · · · · · · ·

Soil and Well Cuttings Analysis

Date	Source	TRPHC ppm	CHLORIDES PPR
5/11/94	Ditch Cuttings	7,721	680
5/16/94	Ditch Bottom	17,817	160
5/16/94	Ditch Wall	16,665	3,278
5/18/94	Borehole-39' deep 40' deep		2,359 2,479
5/23/94	Test Well- Surface 10' 20' 30' 40' 50'	2,610 5,360 14,400 9,720 4,870 2,200	
5/23/94	West Side Road Auger Hole-8' deep	11,000	

. .

· ___

1

· _ · ···-

ATTACHMENT 2

Water Analysis

Date	Source	TRPHC ppm	Chlorides ppm	TDS ppm
5/31/94	Well #1	3.8	17,494	36,200
6/1/94	Well A	2.8	68	898
6/1/94	Well B	0.1	204	1,026
6/1/94	Well C	0.3	52	490
5/31/94	Well D	1.4	4,878	10,446
5/31/94	Well B	0.3	36	440
6/7/94	Well F	<1	40	421

03/13/95 14:57 RICE ENG HOBBS NM → 19155639526

NO.542 P008



APPENDIX II

REPORT OF FINDINGS ELECTROMAGNETIC TERRAIN CONDUCTIVITY SURVEY RICE ENGINEERING HOBBS, NEW MEXICO

Prepared for:

Philip Environmental Services Corp. 7904 Interstate 20 West Midland, Texas 79706

Prepared by:

Roberts/Schornick & Associates, Inc. Environmental Consultants 3700 W. Robinson, Suite 200 Norman, Oklahoma 73072 (405) 321-3895

May 31, 1995

9508901\r001



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Secti</u>	n Pag	e
LIST	OF FIGURES	ii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	HYDROGEOLOGY	2
3.0	ELECTROMAGNETIC (EM) TERRAIN CONDUCTIVITY SURVEY	4
4.0	EM-34XL SURVEY RESULTS	6
	4.1 EM-34XL Survey With 20-Meter Intercoil Separation	6
	4.2 EM-34XL Survey With 40-Meter Intercoil Separation	7
5.0	CONCLUSIONS	9
6.0	REFERENCES	1

d.

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE

1	Site Location
2	Terrain Conductivity Survey, 20-Meter Intercoil Spacing
3	Terrain Conductivity Survey, 40-Meter Intercoil Spacing

Report of Findings Electromagnetic Terrain Conductivity Survey Rice Engineering Hobbs, New Mexico

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Roberts/Schornick & Associates, Inc. (RSA) has been retained by Philip Environmental Services Corp., Midland, Texas to conduct an electromagnetic (EM) terrain conductivity survey to evaluate if impacts to soil and/or groundwater was present beneath portions of Sections 5 and 6, Township 19 South, Range 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico. The area surveyed was a rectangular area approximately 700 feet (east to west) by 1,000 feet (north to south). The survey area is located along the southwest corporate boundary of Hobbs, New Mexico (Figure 1). The survey area is approximately three (3) miles west of the intersection of U.S. Highway 62 and State Highway 18, and one quarter mile south of the intersection of U. S. Highway 62 and the South By-Pass. The EM survey grid was established by RSA personnel on May 15, 1995. An EM survey was then conducted by RSA on May 16, 1995.

The EM survey grid is approximately centered at the location of salt water release from a pipeline operated by Rice Engineering Corporation, Hobbs, New Mexico. The location of the reported leak is approximately 2,000 feet south of U.S. Highway 62 and adjacent to the west side of the South By-Pass.

2.0 <u>HYDROGEOLOGY</u>

The region is overlain by soils of the Kimbrough Association, which consists of nearly level to gently sloping, well-drained loams, or gravelly fine sandy loams overlying indurated caliche which occurs at a depth of 6 to 20 inches. Site-specific soil consist of a surface layer of dark, grayish-brown gravelly loam from 0 to 6 inches thick overlying a white caliche substratum. (Turner, Cox, Mickelson, Roath, and Wilson, 1974).

Soils in the survey area are underlain by rocks of the Pliocene-age Ogallala Formation. The Ogallala Formation is approximately 200 feet thick in the survey area and consists mostly of fine-to very-fine sand, but includes minor quantities of clay, silt, coarse sand, and gravel. Near the top of the Ogallala Formation, sediments have been cemented by calcium carbonate to form caliche. The caliche is reported to be approximately 20 feet thick in the area.

Groundwater occurs under unconfined conditions mainly in the unconsolidated sand and gravel of the Ogallala Formation, beneath the caliche cap rock. The hydraulic conductivity of the Ogallala Formation varies widely both vertically and laterally. Vertical variation is due chiefly to the amount of calcium carbonate cement present, which typically decreases downward. Lateral variation is generally the result of the coarseness and degree of sorting of the sediments. The Ogallala Formation is the principal source of groundwater in Lea County. Water wells completed in the Ogallala Formation display a wide range of yields (less than 200 gpm to greater than 1,000 gpm) of generally good quality water. The depth-to-groundwater ranges from less than 12 feet to almost 300 feet. The water table slopes gently toward the southeast at an average gradient of approximately 12 feet/mile. Regionally, the saturated
thickness ranges from less than 25 feet to almost 250 feet (Ash, 1963). Rocks of the Ogallala Formation are underlain, unconformably, by Mesozoicage rocks.

Mesozoic-age rocks in northern Lea County range in thickness from 1,400 to 2,100 feet and consist of Triassic-age shale and sandstone and Cretaceous-age siltstone and limestone.

3.0 ELECTROMAGNETIC (EM) TERRAIN CONDUCTIVITY SURVEY

The electromagnetic terrain conductivity survey was conducted by RSA utilizing a Geonics Limited EM-34XL Ground Conductivity Meter. The EM-34XL is a two-man portable ground conductance meter calibrated to read terrain conductivity in millimhos per meter (millimhos/m). The meter is equipped with two (2) coils, a transmitting coil and a receiving coil, separated by a coaxial cable. The transmitter coil energized with an alternating current at an audio frequency, is placed on the earth and a receiver coil is located a short distance away. The time-varying magnetic field arising from the alternating current in the transmitter coil induces very small currents in the earth. These currents generate a secondary magnetic field which is sensed, together with the primary field, by the receiver coil. The meter does have certain limitations which include:

- Mineralogical compositions of some soils result in unsatisfactory conductivity responses,
- If contaminants in the subsurface are being investigated, the constituent(s) of interest must raise or lower the conductivity of the groundwater appreciably to create an anomalous response measured by the instrument,
- Interference from electrical power lines, pipelines, fences, and buildings can cause erratic conductivity responses which are generally detectable by the operator, and

 Access restrictions due to terrain, heavy vegetation and/or water bodies.

The EM-34XL can be configured with the transmitting and receiving coils arranged in either the horizontal (coils perpendicular to the ground surface) or vertical dipole position (coils parallel to the ground surface). The distance between the coils, referred to as the intercoil separation or spacing, can also be varied from 10-, 20- and 40-meters. Using the different combinations of dipole orientations and intercoil separations, specific depth investigative objectives can be achieved. Using the horizontal dipole orientation and the three (3) different intercoil separations of 10-, 20- and 40-meters, the maximum effective depths of investigation are approximately 75% of the intercoil separation, or 25, 50 and 100 feet below ground surface (bgs), respectively. Using the vertical dipole orientation and the three (3) different intercoil separations of 10-, 20- and 40-meters, the maximum effective depths of 10-, 20- and 40-meters, the maximum effective depths of 10-, 20- and 100 feet below ground surface (bgs), respectively. Using the vertical dipole orientation and the three (3) different intercoil separations of 10-, 20- and 40-meters, the maximum effective depths of 10-, 20- and 40-meters, the maximum effective depths of investigation are approximately 150% of the intercoil separation, or 50, 100 and 200 feet bgs. The horizontal dipole orientation is generally preferred because it is less susceptible to interference.

4.0 EM-34XL SURVEY RESULTS

On May 16, 1995, RSA conducted an EM-34XL survey with the conductivity meter configured in the horizontal dipole orientation, at intercoil separations of 20-meters and 40-meters. Therefore, the maximum effective depths of investigation were 50 and 100 feet bgs, respectively. The reference point for the survey grid, 400 feet east and 600 feet north (400E/600N), is the west side of the pad of monitor well MW-1 (see Figures 2 and 3). Survey point 700E/ON is adjacent to a natural gas pipeline placard. Survey profile 300E is 14 feet west and parallel to the west edge of the asphalt shoulder of the South By-Pass.

4.1 EM-34XL Survey With 20-Meter Intercoil Separation

Figure 2 presents the results of the EM-34XL survey in the horizontal dipole orientation with a 20-meter intercoil separation. Background conductivity measurements ranged from approximately 8 millimhos/m to 17 millimhos/m. Electrical interference resulting from overhead power lines or pipelines is indicated on the survey figures by the symbol "I". Terrain conductivity values greater than 20 millimhos/m are considered to be above background and are potentially due to oil and natural gas production operations in the area.

Two (2) areas, which yielded elevated conductivity levels, are suspected of being associated with oil and gas production activities. These two (2) areas, one centered on survey point 200E/900N (Figure 2) and the other centered on survey point 200E/300N (Figure 2), are suspected of being previously closed reserve pits which were utilized during drilling operations of adjacent oil/gas wells. Visual inspection of the ground surface revealed the presence of a pit liner in each of these areas. Terrain conductivity values increase from background levels to 52 millimhos/m at the center of the conductivity anomaly in the northwestern portion of the grid (200E/900N). The anomaly measures

approximately 100 feet in both an east-west and north-south direction. Terrain conductivity values increase from background levels to 46 millimhos/m at the center of the conductivity anomaly in the southwestern portion of the grid (200E/300N). This anomaly measures about 100 feet in an northwest-southeast direction and about 250 feet in a northeast-southwest direction.

A third area which yielded elevated conductivity levels surrounds the location of the saltwater release. This area is centered on survey points 300E/600N and 400E/600N. Terrain conductivity values increase from background to 45 millimhos/m and 38 millimhos/m at survey points 300E/600N and 400E/600N, respectively. This conductivity anomaly measures about 480 feet in a northwest-southeast direction and 220 feet in a northeast-southwest direction. The geometry of the overall conductivity anomaly generally conforms to the regional, southeasterly, groundwater flow direction.

Electrical interference was observed in the southeastern portion of the grid adjacent to a metal barn (survey point 700E/300N). Interference was also observed along powerline (survey points 550E/50N and 550E/150N) and pipeline routes (survey points 650E/50N and 700E/0N).

4.2 EM-34XL Survey With 40-Meter Intercoil Separation

Figure 3 presents the results of the EM-34XL survey performed in the horizontal dipole orientation and at an intercoil separation of 40-meters. Background conductivity measurements for this configuration ranged from 13 millimhos/m to 19 millimhos/m. These background conductivity measurements are generally higher for the 40-meter intercoil separation, as compared to the 20-meter intercoil separation results. These deeper measurements are possibly influenced by natural changes in groundwater chemistry or a result of the depth-of-exploration encompassing more saturated thickness of the Ogallala

Formation. Conductivity values greater than 20 millimhos/m may be the result of impact due to oil and gas activity.

The two (2) areas suspected of being closed reserve pits, which yielded elevated conductivity levels with the 20-meter intercoil separation, also yielded elevated conductivity values with the 40-meter intercoil separation. Terrain conductivity values increase from background levels to 26 millimhos/m near the anomaly (200E/800N) in the northwestern portion of the grid. This anomaly measures about 100 feet in both an east-west and north-south direction. Terrain conductivity values increase from background levels to 32 millimhos/m at the center of the conductivity anomaly in the southwestern portion of the grid (200E/300N). This anomaly measures approximately 280 feet in an east-west direction and about 320 feet in a north-south direction.

The third EM anomaly, which yielded elevated conductivity levels with the 20meter intercoil separation, surrounds the location of the saltwater release. The conductivity values increased from background to 68 millimohs/m at survey point 300E/600N. Terrain conductivity values were 60 millimohs/m for survey points 400E/500N and 500E/500N. The conductivity anomaly measures about 640 feet in a northwest-southeast direction and 480 feet in a northeastsouthwest direction. The geometry of the conductivity anomaly generally conforms to the regional, southeasterly, groundwater flow direction.

Electrical interference was observed in the southeastern portion of the grid adjacent to a metal barn (survey points 700E/300N and 400N). Interference was also observed along powerline (survey points 550E/50N and 550E/150N) and pipeline (survey points 650E/50N and 700E/0N) routes.

5.0 <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

The following conclusions were reached by RSA after assessing the results of the EM-34XL conductivity survey conducted May 16, 1995. These conclusions were also based upon information given to RSA through verbal communication with Rice Engineering.

- The EM-34XL survey data indicate that an increase in background conductivity is experienced at the site when the depths of investigation are increased from 50 feet bgs to 100 feet bgs. This increase is likely a result of natural change in groundwater chemistry or as a result of the depth-of-exploration encompassing more saturated thickness of the Ogallala Formation.
- Two (2) areas of elevated conductivity values, which are suspected of being associated with closed reserve pits, were observed while conducting the EM-34XL surveys using both the 20-meter and 40-meter intercoil separation. The two areas are located in the northwestern (approximately 200E/900N) and southwestern (approximately 200E/300N) portions of the grid.
- Pit liner material was observed at the surface in both areas suspected of being closed reserve pits.
- The EM-34XL survey data does indicate that groundwater of elevated conductivity is in close proximately to the point of saltwater release (300E/600N) from the pipeline operated by Rice Engineering.

- The geometric shapes of the 20- and 40-meter conductivity anomalies possibly associated with the saltwater pipeline release are generally oval, and are elongated in a northwest-southeast direction.
- Based upon the geometry of the conductivity anomaly associated with the saltwater pipeline release, the groundwater flow direction is likely from northwest to southeast.
- The conductivity anomaly associated with the saltwater pipeline release measures (based on the results of the 40-meter intercoil separation) about 640 feet in a northwest-southeast direction and 480 feet in a northeast-southwest direction extending to about survey point 500E/200N.

6.0 <u>REFERENCES</u>

Turner, Cox, Mickelson, Roath, and Wilson, 1974, <u>Soil Survey. Lea County, New</u> <u>Mexico</u>, Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.

Ash, Sidney R., 1963, Ground-Water Conditions in Northern Lea County, New Mexico, Dept. of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Hydrologic Atlas-62.

APPENDIX III

Location	Spin and		NMENTA NTROL. 11 5) 392-6167 5) 397-5085	<u>AL</u> nc.	Drilli	ng	Log	
	N-6, Hobbs SWD System Lea Co., New Mexico	Well/Bore Number MW - 2	Date Dr		Driller: AH/{	ЗК	Logged By [.] W	/R/JK
Dritting	Method: Air Rotary Depth of Boring: 60 Feet	Depth of Well: 55	Feet	Length of Casing:	30 Feet	Length a	of Screen: 25	Feet
e Dic	imeter: 8 Inch Cosing Diameter: 4 Inch	Screen Diameter 4	Inch	Slot Size: 0.0	02 Inch	Well Mat	^{erial:} Sch 4(D PVC
Depth	Lithology	Sample Type	OVA (PPM)		emarks		Well Design	Depth
, 0					•			0
5	White and Brown Inducated Limestone.	_						5
5	Light Brown Calcareous Sand (Caliche).	Splil Spoon	<1					
- 1 0	Gray. Silty, Calcareous Fine-groin Sand (Caliche) Containing Scattered Limestone Stringers.	Splil Spoon	>1000	Hydrocarbon St	aining			10
- <u>-</u> 15		Splil Spoon	>1000					15
20		Split Spoon	300				· ·	20
- 25	Gray, Silty Fine-grained Sand (SM).	Split Spoon	>2					25
30	White And Light Brown, Calcareous, Fine-groined	-						30
	Sand (caliche) Containing Scattered Limestone Stringers.	Split Spoon	110					
35				. Water Encount	tered During Dr	illing		35
40	Brown Slightly Calcareous to Calcareous	-						40
—	Fine-grained Sand (SM) Containing Scattered Gravel.							
45								45
50								50
55								55
60	Bottom of Boring.							60
65								65
70								70
75								75
80								80
. 85				Noi . Gro	n-Shrinking out			
. 05				Ber	ntonite -			85
90				Sa	nd			90
9 5				Ca	sing			95
					-			
100				Sci	reen			
105]							105

| | |

I.

:

Location:	Spill Site	ineering Corperation		IRONMENT/ L CONTROL, 1 DME (505) 392-6167 FAX (505) 397-5085	<u>AL</u> nc.	Drilli	ng	Log	
	N-6, Hobbs S Lea Co., New		Well/Bore Number MW-3	Dote D	T	Driller: AH/E	BK/JT	Logged By: W	'R/JK
Drilling Me	^{ethod:} Water Base Mud	Depth of Boring: 160 Feet	Depth of Well:	155 Feet	Length of Casing:	105 Feet	Length of	f Screen: 50	Feet
re Dian	^{neter:} 8 Inch	Cosing Diameter: 4 Inch	Screen Diameter:	4 Inch	Slot Size 0 (02 Inch	Well Mate	^{rial:} Sch 4() PVC
Depth		Lithology	Sample Type	ОVА (РРМ)	R	emarks		Well Design	Depth
0									0
5	Removed lemporary Well See Drilling Log Fror	MW-3A and Reamed Hole. n MW-3A For Description.							5
10									10
15 									15
20									20
25									25
30									30
35								•	35
40								4	40
- 45									45
50							·		50
55					·				55
60									60
65	Gray Sandy Limestone Red-brown Fine-grain	ned Calcareous Sand (Caliche).						65
70			Cuttings					4 5	70
75								• • • • • • •	75
80	Mulli-colored Limes	no Mort Cooldining V data			(* *-)	e t () -		· · ·	80
	and Limestone Fragm	ne Mart Containing Mudstone ents	Cuffings	<1	Gro				85
90					Ben Sor	ntonite		· .	90
95	Brown Interbedded Sili	cious Sandstone and	Cuttings	<1	Cos	sing			95
_ 100	Limestone Stringers.		Cullings	<1	Scr	een			100
105									105

|

Location:	Drilled for: Rice Engineering Corperation Spill Site	SPILL C: PHONE (X rv (X	ONTROL. 1 5) 392-6167 5) 397-5085	<u>AL</u> Drilli	ng Lo	g
	N~6, Hobbs SWD System Lea Co., New Mexico	Well/Bore Number: MW - 3	Dote D 7	rilled. 17&1995 Driller AH/E	BK/JT	WR/JK
Orating Me	Water Base Mud Depth of Boring: 160 Feet	Depth of Well: 155	Feet	Length of Cosing 105 Feet	Length of Screen:	50 Feet
e Diarr	neter: 8 Inch Casing Diameter: 4 Inch	Screen Diameter: 4	Inch	Stot Size: 0.02 Inch	Well Material: Sch	40 PVC
Depth	Lithology	Sample Type	OVA (PPM)	Rēmarks		Depth
105		Cultings	<1			्य 105
110	White and Light Brown Limestone (Caliche). Red-brown Silly Slightly Calcareous to Calcareous	-				110
	Fine_grained Sand (Sm).					
115	Limestone Stringers	-				115
120	Sand (SM).	Cuttings	<1			120
125						125
	Red-brown, Silty, Fine-grained Sand (SM).					
130						
· <u> </u>						135
40		Split Spoon	<1			140
45	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					145
_ 150						150
155		Cuttings	-<1			155
60				Top of 'Red-bed'		
	Indurated Red-brown Siltstone Containing Clay and Scattered Gravel.	Cuttings	<1	Drilled 0.5' in 10 Minutes. w/Rock Bit		
65	Bottom of Boring.					
170						170
. 75						175
. 80						
185				Non-Shrinking		
90			a dan paking a s	Grout		190
95				Bentonite Sond		195
200				Casing		200
205				Screen		205
_ 200				June Scieta		
10						

i.

fompany Location:	Drilled for: Rice Eng Spill Site	gineering Corperation	SPILL CC	NMENT DNTROL. I 5) 392-6167 5) 397-5085	AL nc.	Drilli	ing	Lo)g
	N-6, Hobbs Lea Co., New		Well/Bore Number: MW-3A	Date D	rilled: 7-17-95	Driller: AH/	ВК	Logged I	^{By:} WR/JK
Drilling Me	thod: Air Rotary	Depth of Boring: 60 Feet	Depth of Well: 47	Feet	Length of Casing	22 Feet	Length	of Screen:	25 Feet
pre Diam	^{eter:} 8 Inch	Casing Diameter: 4 Inch	Screen Diometer: 4	Inch	Slot Size: 0.1	02 inch	Well Mal	erial: Sc	h 40 PVC
Depih		Lithology	Sample Type	OVA (PPM)	R	emarks		Well Desigr	Depth
L O	<u></u>			<u></u>				، ، ، ،	0
- -	······································	vn Limestone (Caliche).	-						
. 5	Containing Scattered	ine-grain Sand (Caliche) Limestone Stringers.	Split Spoon	_<1					5
10			Split Spoon	->1				0 P E	0
15			-					N	
	Sand (Caliche).	own, Calcareous, Fine-grained	Split Spoon	>1					
20	Limestone Stringer.		Split Spoon	>1					20
. 25		ine-grained Sand (Caliche). Limestone Stringers.	Split Spoon						25
25									
30	Red-brown Indurated	Silicious Sandstone.	Split Spoon		No Recovery				
35			Split Spoon	>1	Moisture in San	nple			35 _
40	Brown Slightly Calcar	eous, Fine-grained Sand (SM)		·	. Water Encoun	tered During D	rilling		40
-	Containing Scattered	Gravel.							
45									45
50									50
55									55
60	Gray, Sandy, Limesto	ne.							60 _
65	Bottom of Boring. Set Temporary Well 1	o Sample Shallow Groundwater.							
65									
70									70
75									75
80					. · · No	n-Shrinking			80
85					Gri	but			85
90					Be	ntonite			
					So	nd			90
95					Ca	sing			95
_ 100					Sc	reen			100
105									105

ļ

|

l .

Company Location:	Drilled for: Rice Eng Spill Site	gineering Corperation		NMENTA NTROL, 1 1) 392-6167 1) 397-5085	AL_ nc.	Drilli	ng	Log	
	N-6, Hobbs Leo Co., New		Well/Bore Number: MW-4	Dole D	rilled: 7-20-95	Driller: AH/J	T	Logged By: W	'R/JK
Drilling Mo	athod: Air Rotary	Depth of Boring: 60 Feet	Depth of Well: 57 F	eet	Length of Casing:	32 Feet	Length	of Screen: 25	Feet
ore Diam	^{heter:} 8 Inch	Cosing Diameter: 4 Inch	Screen Diameter: 4	Inch	Slot Size: 0.(02 Inch	Well Mal	^{lerial:} Sch 4(D PVC
Depth		Lithology	Sample Type	OVA (PPM)	R	emarks		Well Design	Depth
0	White and Light Brow	wn Limestone (Caliche).							<u>`</u> 0
5	Light Brown And Wh Silty, Calcareous Fin Containing Scattered	ite Mottled e-grain Sand (Caliche) Limestone Stringers.	Cullings						5
10			Cuttings	<1				4	10
15			Splif Spoon	_<1					15
_ 20			Split Spoon	<1					20
25	Brown Calcareous Fi	ne-grained Sand (Caliche).	Cuttings	<1					25
30 			Split Spoon	_<1					30
. 35			Split Spoon	<1					35
	Indurated Silicous Sa	Indstone (Red-brown).			. Moisture				40
45									45
50	Brown Slighlly Calcar Fine-grained Sand (Gravel.	eous to Calcareous SM) Containing Scattered			. Woter Encount	lered During Dri	illing		50
55									55
- 60	Bottom of Boring.								60
65 									65 <u> </u>
70									70
. 75									75
80					kt	n-Shrinking			80
85					Gro	ntonite			85
90					Sai				90
95					Ca	sing			95
100					Sci	reen			100
105									105

Company Location:	Spill Site	gineering Corperation		ONTROL. 1 5) 392-6167 5) 397-5085	Drilli	ng	_	
	N-6, Hobbs Lea Co., New	Mexico	Well/Bore Number: MW-5	Date D	rilled: 7-21-95	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Logged By:	WR/JK
Drilling Me	Air Rotary	Depth of Boring: 60 Feel	Depth of Well: 55	Feet	Length of Casing: 30 Feet		of Screen: 25	Feet
ore Diam	eter: 8 Inch	Cosing Diameter: 4 Inch	Screen Diameter: 4	inch	^{Slot Size:} 0.02 Inch	Well Ma	^{iterial:} Sch 4	0 PVC
Depth		Lithology	Sample Type	OVA (PPM)	Remarks		Well Design	Depth
0							[0
_ 5	Light Gray Limestone Light Brown and Whi							5
	Calcareous Fine-grai		Split Spoon					
10			Cuttings	<1				
15			Cullings				· •	15
20								20
			Split Spoon				: :	
25			Cuffings	_<1				25
30	Brown Calcareous Fir	ne-grained Sand (Caliche).	Split Spoon					30
35								35
	Indurated Sandstone	and Limestone Stringers.	Split Spoon	<1	. Moisture			
1 40 		eous to Calcareous SM) Containing Scattered			. Water Encountered During Dr	illing		40
45	Gravel.							45
50								50
	•							
55						Ļ		55
60			-					60
65	Bottom of Boring.							65
70								70
75								75
80								80
					Non-Shrinking			
8 5 -					Bentonite			85
90					Sand			90
					Casing			95
100					Screen			
105								105

{

-

.

Compony Localian:	Drilled for: Rice Eng Spill Site	gineering Corperation		NMENTA NTROL, I 1) 392-6167 1) 397-5085	AL nc.	Drilli	ng	Log	
	N—6, Hobbs Lea Co., New		Well/Bore Number: MW-6	Date D		Driller: AH/J	IT	Logged By:	WR/JK
Driiling Me	Air Rotary	Depth of Boring: 60 Feet	Depth of Well: 57 f	eet	Length of Casing	^{3:} 32 Feet	Length a	f Screen:	Feet
pre Diam	^{neter} 6 Inch	Casing Diameter: 2. Inch	Screen Diometer: 2	Inch	Slot Size: 0	.02 Inch	Well Mat	^{erial:} Sch 4	O PVC
Depth		Lithology	Sample Type	OVA (PPM)		Remarks		Well Design	Depth
. 0	White and Light Brow	vn Limestone (Caliche).							0
5	White and Light Brow Containing Scattered	vn Calcareous Sand (Caliche) Limestone Stringers.	Cuttings	_<1					5
10			Cuttings	_<1					10
15			Cultings						15
20			Split Spoon	<u></u>					20
25	Brown Slightly Calcar	eous Fine-grained Sand (SM).	Cuttings	<1					25
30 -			Split Spoon	<1					30
35	Sandstone and Limes	y Interbedded Silicious tone Stringers.	Split Spoon		No Recovery				35
40	Brown Slightly Calcar Fine-argined Soud (S	eous to Colcareous 5M) Containing Scattered	Split Spoon	<1					40
45	Gravel.								45
50									50
55									55
60									60
65	Bottom of Boring.								
70									70
75									75
_ 80									-
35					. · · No Gr	on-Shrinking out			80
_					Be	ntonite			85
90					So	and			90
95					C.	asing			95
100					Sc	reen			100
05									105

Į.

Company Location:	Drilled for: Rice Eng Spill Site	gineering Corperation		ONTROL, 11 5) 392-6167 15) 397-5065	AL_ nc.	Drilli	ng	Log	
	N-6, Hobbs Lea Co., New		Well/Bore Number: MW-7	Date D	rilled: 7-21-95	Driller: AH/.	JT	Logged By: V	/R/JK
Drilling Me	Air Rotary	Depth of Boring: 57 Feet	Depth of Well: 56	Feet	Length of Casing	^{3:} 31 Feet	Length o	f Screen: 25	Feet
pre Diam	^{lefer:} 6 Inch	Cosing Diameter: 2 Inch	Screen Diameter: 2	Inch	Slot Size: 0.	.02 Inch	Well Male	^{eriat:} Sch 4	O PVC
Depih		Lithology	Sample Iype	OVA (PPM)		Remarks		Well Design	Depth
0								<u> </u>	0
5	White and Gray Lime Light Brown And Whi		-						5_1
_	Silly, Calcareous Fine Containing Scattered	e-grain Sand (Caliche)	Cuttings	<1					
10			Cullings						10
15			Cullings	<1					15
20									20
			Cuttings	<1				:	
25			Cultings	<1					25
30			Cuttings						30
-	Brown Colcareous Sa	indstone.							
35	Light Brown Calcareo Containing Limestone	us Sand (Caliche) Fragments.	Split Spoon	<1					35
40	Red-brown Silicious :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		Water Encour	ntered During Dr	illing		40
45	Light Brown, Slightly Fine-grained Sand (S	Calcareous			. water chood	nered burning br			45
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
5 0	. <u> </u>								50
55	Brown Indurated Sand	dstone and Limestone.							55
60	Bottom of Boring.								60
65									65 <u> </u>
70									70
75									75
80					. · · No	on-Shrinking			80
85						rout entonite			85
90									90
					النديد ال	and			
· 95					C4	asing			95
100					so	creen			100
105									105

.

APPENDIX IV















APPENDIX V



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

BTEX ANALYSIS REPORT

Address:	Environmental Spill Control, Inc. P.O. Box 5890	Date: 7/24/95 Lab #: H2097
City, State:	Hobbs, NM 88241	

Project Name: H-6, Rice Engineering Location: 1/2 mile S. of Intersection of Marland and Loop Bypass Sampled by: JK Date: 7/21/95 Time: not given Analyzed by: LB Date: 7/21/95 Time: p.m. Sample Type: Soil/Water Sample Condition: Intact Units: ppm

	Field Code	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL BENZENE	PARA- XYLENE	META- XYLENE	ORTHO- XYLENE	MTBE
1 MW-5	(35')Soil	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020
2 MW-6	(H20)	<0.001	<0.001		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
3 MW-6	(39')Soil	<0.020	<0.020		<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020
4 MW-2	(H20)	0.047	0.012		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
6 MW-3	(H20)	0.044	0.061		0.011	0.011	0.020	<0.001

Accuracy 86.2% 93.9% 101.3% 99.2% 100.1% 95.5% 85. Air Blank <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	QC Recovery QC Spike Accuracy Air Blank	0.878 86.2%	0.873	0.867	0.862 99.2%	0.853		
---	--	----------------	-------	-------	----------------	-------	--	--

Methods - GAS CHROMOTOGRAPHY - EPA SW-846; 8020

nill

Manuel Garbalena

7-24-95

Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER

Company :	Environmental	Spill	Control,	Inc.	Lab #:	
City, St.:	P.O. Box 5890	-		Date	Received:	7/17/95
Proj.Name:	P.O. Box 5890 MW #3 Rice			Date	Analyzed:	7/18/95
Location :	not given				-	

Sample 1 : MW #3

Units: mg/L

<u>PARAMETER</u>

<u>RESULT 1</u>

pН	7.01
Chloride (Cl ⁻)	182
Conductivity (umhos/Cm)	1,317

Larry L. Bailey

7/17/95 Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

004

004

Company: Address: City, State:	Environme P.O. Box Hobbs, NM	ntal Spill Control, Inc. 5890 88241	Date: Lab # :	7/26/95 H2097 -4
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type:	1/2 mile JK	Engineering S. of Intersection of Marland Sample Co	Date:	Bypass 7/21/95
Sample ID:	MW-2	Units: pr	om and a state of the state of	
		POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDR	ROCARBONS	
<u>PARAMETER</u>		<u>RESULT</u>		
Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthrac Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoran Benzo(k)fluoran Benzo(k)fluoran Benzo(ghi)pery Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)and Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-co Naphthalene	nthene nthene lene thracene	<0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004		

METHODS- EPA SW 846-8270

W-1

7/26/95 Date

Manuel Garbalena

Phenanthrene

Pyrene



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Company: Address: City, State:	Environmen P.O. Box 5 Hobbs, NM	tal Spill Contr 890 88241	ol, Inc.	Date: Lab #:	7/26/95 H2097-6
Sampled by:	H-6 Rice E 1/2 mile S JK Water	ngineering . of Intersecti	on of Marland Sample Co	Date:	Bypass 7/21/95
Sample ID:	MW-3		Units: pp	m	
		POLYNUCLEAR	AROMATIC HYDR	OCARBONS	
PARAMETER		<u>R</u>	ESULT		
Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthra Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluora Benzo(ch)fluora Benzo(ch)fluora Benzo(ch)fluora Benzo(ch)fluora Benzo(ch)fluora Benzo(ch)fluora Benzo(ch)fluora Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-c Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	cene nthene nthene lene thracene		0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004 0.004		

METHODS- EPA SW 846-8270

nall

Manuel Garbalena

7/21/95

Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Company: Address: City, State:	Environme P.O. Box Hobbs, NN	ental Spill Control, Inc. 5890 1 88241	Date: 7/26/95 Lab #: H2097-2
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type:	H-6 Rice 1/2 mile JK Water	S. of Intersection of Marlar	nd and Loop Bypass Date: 7/21/95 Condition:
Sample ID:	MW-6	Units: p	opm
		POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYL	ROCARBONS
<u>PARAMETER</u>		RESULT	
Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthra Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluora Benzo(k)fluora Benzo(ghi)pery Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)an Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-c Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	cene nthene nthene lene thracene	<0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004	

METHODS- EPA SW 846-8270

m_1 cll

Manuel Garbalena

7/26/95 Date



.....

PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER

Company : El City, St.: P Proj.Name: H Location : 1,	nvironmental Spill (.O. Box 5890, Hobbs, -6, Rice Engineering /2 mile S. of Inters	Control, Inc NM I Section of Ma	Lab #: Date Received: Date Analyzed: Arland and Loop	H2097 7/21/95 7/21/95 Bypass
Sample 5 : MV Sample 7 : MV Sample 8 : MV Sample 9 : MV	V−2 (H2O) V−6 (H2O) V−1 (H2O) V−3 (H2O)		Units:	mg/L
<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT 5</u>	<u>RESULT 7</u>	<u>RESULT 8</u>	<u>RESULT 9</u>
Chloride (Cl ⁻)	132	96	***	256
API Gravity	***	96 ***	30.3	***

Mill Manuel Garbalena

7- 24-95 Date

118 Farr 505	S. (ning -326	Com Iton, 5-46	RC mei NN 69	DIN rcial A 87	ALLABORATORIE AVe. 101 E. Maria 401 Hobbs, NM 882 505-393-23 FAX 505-393-24	S and 240 326		Pro Pro Sa Cli Ad	ojec ojec mpl ent dre:	t I.C t Lo ed I Nar ss_)l cati 3y ne_	55C 900_ F. 1203 Hebb	Job FILL RICL Wesle Wess S, Ne	No, 129 SITE N-6 HOODS SWA SYSTEM ENCOINTERING EXCOINTERING EXCONTERING EXCONTERING EXCONTERING EXCONTANT EXCONT
Sample Number	Date	Time	Composite	Grab	Sample Location	Number of Containers	Ana Rec	júire	ed	a the	2			Remarks (Type sample, preservation, etc.)
1	8-10-9-	18:30		V	MW7	1 40m	V .							Lig
1	8-10-95	18;30		V	MW-4	1 SOOM	<u> </u>	V			. !			~
1	8-10-95	18:30		V	MW-4	1500nL				v_	<u></u>			
1:	8-10-95	18:2		V	MW-4	1 Lta		:	V.		 			
AB.						<u> </u>	<u></u>							· •
··· · ·											<u> </u>			-
						• •								
									· ·			·	·	
	1			<u> </u>										
	1]			·
										·.				
7. L	belle	(Slgna w 1 (Slgna	Doo	*	Date Time Received by: (Signature) $8-1/-9_5$ 15:20 Date Time Received by: (Signature) $8/11/_{95}$ 15:25 $3/1-1$		exclusi shall b includi vaiyed aftar c liable busines its sub	ve rem- e limi ng tho: unless omplet for in: s inter sidiar ance o	edy for ted to 1 se for 1 mede in ion of 1 cidents rruption les, afi (servic	any cl the amovinegligen s writin the app l or con s, los: 'illate:	aim arf unt pai nce and ng and licable nsequen a of us- a or au	sing, wh d by cli any oth received service tial dam e, or lo ccossore	wither ba ent for er cause by Card by Card i. In no ages, in as of pro arialno	iability and client's sed in contract or tort, the analyses. All claims, whatsoever shall be deemed inal within thirty (30) days event shall Cardinal be cluding, without limitation, offits incurred by client, out of or related to the erdless of whether wich



Chrysène

Fluorene

Pyrene

Dibenz(a,h)anthracene Flouranthene

Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene

PHONE (915) 673-7001 • 2111 BEECHWOOD • ABILENE, TX 79603

PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

<0.004 <0.004

<0.004 <0.004

<0.004 <0.004 <0.004

<0.004

	Environmental Spil. 1203 W. Dunnam Hobbs, NM	l Control	Date: Lab #:	
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type:	ESC Job No. 129 Spill Site N-6 SWD FWR Liquid		eering Date: Condition:	8/10/95 Intact
Sample ID:	MW-4			
	POLYNI	UCLEAR AROMATIC HY	DROCARBONS	
PARAMETER		RESULT		<u>UNITS</u>
Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthra Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)floura Benzo(k)floura Benzo(ghi)pery Chrysene	cene nthene nthene	<0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004		mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L

METHODS- EPA SW 846-8270

Ľ 0



<u>E/17/95</u> Date

mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L

mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L

mğ/L



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER

City. St. :	Environmental Spill Control Lab #: HA Hobbs, NM Date Received: 8 ESC Job No. 129 Date Analyzed: va Spill Site N-6 Hobbs SWD System Rice Engineer.	/11/95	
Sample 1 :	MW-4	Units:	mg/l

PARAMETER	<u>RESULT 1</u>
Chloride(ppm)	332
Total Dissolved Solids	983

E/19/95 Date

Gayle A. Potter


PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

BTEX ANALYSIS REPORT

Cit	Company: Address: y, State:	Environmental 1203 W. Dunnam Hobbs, NM		ntrol	Date: Lab #:	8/17/95 H2133		
Sa Ana	ect Name: Location: mpled by: lyzed by: ple Type:	ESC JOb No. 12 Spill Site N-6 FWR MG Liquid	Hobbs S Date: Date:	WD System 8/10/95 8/11/95 Sample Cor	Time: Time:	18:30 16:02 Intact	Units:	ppm
**** Samp #	Field Code	**************************************	TOLUENE	********** ETHYL BENZENE	PARA- XYLENE	******** META- XYLENE	********* ORTHO- XYLENE	*****
1	MW-4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.067	

QC Recovery	0.897	0.908	0.916	0.897	0.896	0.971
QC Spike	0.878	0.873	0.867	0.862	0.853	0.867
Accuracy	102.2%	104.0%	105.7%	104.1%	103.2%	112.0%
Air Blank	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Methods - GAS CHROMOTOGRAPHY - EPA SW-846; 8020



8/17/95 Dete

118 Farr 505 FAX	S. (ning -326	Com ton	RC 1 mei 1 NN 169	rcial 1 87	AL I Ave. 401		BORATOR 101 E. Ma Hobbs, NM 8 505-393- FAX 505-393-	IES Irland 8240 2326		Pro Pro Sa Cli Ad	ojec ojec mpl ent dre	t I.D t Lo led E Nan ss_	cati 3y_ ne_	4 - (on_ D/ R 1 122	05 1/2 m 1UE 1UE 1UE	stody Record pill 51 t 2 Rice Engineering nill South of Marchard + WestCty, Abbott EngineEring ESCI st TAYFOR POBX 5890, 66665 93-9174 2-6167
Sample Number	Date	Time	Composite	Grab	•		Sample Location	Number of Containers		alysi quire BI		7 00	52,50		cance	Remarks (Type sample, preservation, etc.)
1	7/24	15:00		~	Existin	y Dol	nestic WEll		<i>C</i> .			19			(Liquid
2	7/24	15'00		\checkmark	<u> </u>		<u> </u>					· ·				for results to allen trologe
3	7/24	15:15			MONIT	br U	JE/1 # 1		<u>`</u>		<u>~</u>	1.				397.5085
4	7/24	15:15		~	,1		· //		_				4	· ·		
5	7/24	15:45		~	MONIT	ORW	12/1 #5		<u> </u>	L						· • · ·
6	7/24	15:45	1		1	11			_							
<u>۲</u>	7/24			\checkmark	MONIT	or 1	UE1/ #8		. ~	+ V						
8	7/24				11	10	il						ł	T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
										1	·	· ·		· · · -		
								·								
									-							
											1	·				
	sed by:			•		Time Time 4345	Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) With Child inte		shall includ waived after liable busine its su	be limit be limit ing those complet for ind ss inter bsidiar mance of	edy for ted to se for Made 1 lon of cidenta rruption les, af	the amounegligen negligen n writin the appi 1 or con ns, loss filietes	im ari nt paid ce and g and i fcable sequent of use	sing, w d by cl any ot: receive servic tial da b, or le	nether lent for ner caus i by Car b. In r leges, i has of p	liability and client's based in contract or tort, r the analyses. All claims, se whatsoever shall be deemed rdinel within thirty (30) days no event shall Cardinal be including, without limitation, brofits incurred by client, isg out of or related to the spardless of whether such

i



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

	BTI	EX ANA	LYSI	S RE	PORT		
Address: P.O.	ronmental Box 5890 s, NM 8842	-	ntrol, Ind	c. Date: Lab # :	7/27/95 H2105		
	Rice Engin mile S of id	Marland Date: Date:	and Loop 1 7/24/95 7/27/95 Sample Coi	Time: Time:	1500 various Intact	Units:	ppm
**************************************	********** Benzene	********* TOLUENE	********* ETHYL BENZENE	********* PARA- XYLENE	********* META- XYLENE	********* ORTHO- XYLENE	**************************************
1 Existing Dom. Well 2 Monitor Well	0.777	<0.020	<0.020	0.030	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020
#1	2.871	<0.020	0.121	0.245	<0.020	0.026	<0.020
3 Monitor Well #5	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020
4 Monitor Well #8	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020
QC Recovery QC Spike Accuracy	0.112 0.107 104.7%	0.105 0.107 98.1%	0.108 0.115 93.9%	0.099 0.104 95.2%	0.106 0.111 95.5%	0.097 0.111 87.4%	0.093 0.098 94.9%
Air Blank	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Methods - GAS CHROMOTOGRAPHY - EPA SW-846; 8020

W.l

<u>7/27/95</u> Date

Manuel Garbalena



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Company: Address: City, State:	Environmental Spill Control P.O. Box 5890 Hobbs, NM	Date: Lab ∦:	8/1/95 H2105-4
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type:	H-6 Rice Engineering 1/2 mile S of Marland and Loop Bypass DA Liquid Sample Cou	Date: ndition:	7/24/95 intact
Sample ID:	Monitor Well #8		
	POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDRO	CARBONS	
PARAMETER	<u>RESULT</u>		<u>units</u>

Acenapthene	<0.004	mg/L
Acenaphthylene	<0.004	mg/L
Anthracene	<0.004	mg/L
Benzo(a)anthracene	<0.004	mg/L
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.004	mg/L
Benzo(b)flouranthene	<0.004	mg/L
Benzo(k)flouranthene	<0.004	mg/L
Benzo(ghi)perylene	<0.004	mg/L
Chrysene	<0.004	mg/L
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	<0.004	mg/L
Flouranthene	<0.004	mg/L
Fluorene	<0.004	mg/L mg/L
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	<0.004	mg/L
Nanhthalana	<0.004	mg/L
Naphthalene		mg/L
Phenanthrene	<0.004	mg/L
Pyrene	<0.004	mg/L

METHODS- EPA SW 846-8270

TAL CH-

8-7-95

Manuel Garbalena

Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

- -

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Company: Address: City, State:	P.O. Box 589	l Spill Control 0	Date: Lab # :	8/1/95 H2105 -3
Location: Sampled by: Sample Type:	DA Liquid	of Marland and Loop Sa	Bypass Date: ample Condition:	7/24/95 intact
Sample ID:	Monitor Well	-		
		POLYNUCLEAR AROMAI	IC HYDROCARBONS	
<u>PARAMETER</u>		RESULT		<u>units</u>
Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthra Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)floura Benzo(k)floura Benzo(ghi)pery Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)ant Flouranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-c Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	cene nthene nthene lene hracene	$< 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.$		mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L

TAI Elle

Manuel Garbalena

8-7-95 Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

	Environmental Spill P.O. Box 5890 Hobbs, NM	Control	Date: Lab # :	8/1/95 H2105-2
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type:	H-6 Rice Engineering 1/2 mile S of Marlan DA Liquid	d and Loop Bypass	Date: Condition:	7/24/95 intact
Sample ID:	Monitor Well #1			
	POLYNUC	LEAR AROMATIC HYD	ROCARBONS	
<u>PARAMETER</u>		<u>RESULT</u>		<u>UNITS</u>
Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthra Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)floura Benzo(k)floura Benzo(ghi)pery Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)ant Flouranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-c Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	cene nthene nthene lene hracene	<0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004		mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L

METHODS- EPA SW 846-8270

TA LUL

Manuel Garbalena

8-7-95



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

<0.004

	Environmental S P.O. Box 5890 Hobbs, NM	pill Control	Date: Lab # :	8/1/95 H2105-1
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type: Sample ID:	H-6 Rice Engine 1/2 mile S of M DA Liquid Existing Monito	arland and Loop Bypa Sample	Date: Date: Condition:	7/24/95 intact
	PO	LYNUCLEAR AROMATIC H	YDROCARBONS	
<u>PARAMETER</u>		RESULT		<u>UNITS</u>
Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthra Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)floura Benzo(k)floura Benzo(ghi)pery Chrysene Dibenz(a,h)ant Flouranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-co Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	cene nthene nthene lene hracene	$< 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \\ < 0.004 \end{aligned}$		mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L

METHODS- EPA SW 846-8270

W/ alt

Pyrene

Manuel Garbalena

8-7-95

mg/L

Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

I.

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER

Company : City, St.: Proj.Name: Location :	Environmental Spill Hobbs, NM H-6 Rice Engineering 1/2 mile S of Marlan	Date Date	Lab #: Received: Analyzed: pass	H2105 7/24/95
Sample 1 : Sample 2 : Sample 3 : Sample 4 :	Existing Domestic We Monitor Well #1 Monitor Well #5 Monitor Well #8	511		Units: mg/l
PARAMETER	<u>RESULT 1</u>	RESULT 2	RESULT 3	RESULT 4
Chloride	7,178.6	14,091.4	106.35	382.9
Total Dissolved	Solids 13,889	25,106	1,481	3,218

Manuel Garbalena

8/7/95 Date



PHONE (505) 393-2	326 • 101	I E. MARLAND	HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER

Company :	Environmental	Spill	Control,	Inc.	Lab #:	
City, St.:	P.O. Box 5890	•	•	Date	Received:	7/17/95
Proj.Name:	NW #3 RICE			Date	Analyzed:	7/18/95
Location :	not given					

Sample 1 : MW #3

Units: mg/L

<u>PARAMETER</u>

د -1 <u>RESULT 1</u>

рН	7.01
Chloride (Cl ⁻)	182
Conductivity (umhos/Cm)	1,317

Water well on Elevation? Inactive water well Invactive water well Austrile (503) 370- 1

7/17/95 Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

ppm

BTEX ANALYSIS REPORT

Date: 7/24/95 Lab **#:** H2097 Company: Environmental Spill Control, Inc. Address: P.O. Box 5890 City, State: Hobbs, NM 88241

Project Name:	H-6, Rice Engi	neering
[•] Location:	1/2 mile S. of	Intersection of Marland and Loop Bypass
Sampled by:		Date: 7/21/95 Time: not given
Analyzed by:	LB	Date: 7/21/95 Time: p.m.
Sample Type:	Soil/Water	Sample Condition: Intact Units:

Samp #	Field Code	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL BENZENE	PARA- XYLENE	META- XYLENE	ORTHO- XYLENE	MTBE
1 MW- 2 MW- 3 MW- 4 MW- 6 MW-	6 (H2O) 6 (39')Soil 2 (H2O)	<0.020 <0.001 <0.020 0.047 0.044	<0.020 <0.001 <0.020 0.012 0.061	<0.020	<0.020 <0.001 <0.020 <0.001 0.011	<0.020 <0.001 <0.020 <0.001 0.011	<0.020 <0.001 <0.020 <0.001 0.020	<0.020 <0.001 <0.020 <0.001 <0.001

QC Recovery	0.757	0.820	0.878	0.855	0.854	0.828	0.708
QC Spike	0.878	0.873	.0.867	0.862	0.853	0.867	0.830
Accuracy	86.2%	93.9%	101.3%	99.2%	100.1%	95.5%	85.3%
Air Blank	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
						1	1

Methods - GAS CHROMOTOGRAPHY - EPA SW-846; 8020

ng ell

Manuel Garbalena

7-24-*95*



PHONE (915) 673-7001 • 2111 BEECHWOOD • ABILENE, TX 79603

PHONE (505) 393-2326	•	101 E. MARLAND	٠	HOBBS, NM 88240	

30.3

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER

* * *

Company : City, St.: Proj.Name: Location :	Environm P.O. Box H-6, Rice 1/2 mile	ental Spill (5890, Hobbs e Engineering S. of Interi	Control, Inc , NM g section of M	Lab #: Date Received: Date Analyzed: arland and Loop	H2097 7/21/95 7/21/95 7/21/95 Bypass
Sample 5 : Sample 7 : Sample 8 : Sample 9 :	MW-2 (H20 MW-6 (H20 MW-1 (H20 MW-3 (H20	0) 0) 0)		Units:	mg/L
<u>PARAMETER</u>		<u>RESULT 5</u>	<u>RESULT 7</u>	<u>RESULT 8</u>	<u>RESULT 9</u>
Chloride (Cl ⁻)	132	96	***	256

=

MICH

API Gravity

Manuel Garbalena

7- 24-95

Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Address:	Environmental Spill Control, Inc. P.O. Box 5890 Hobbs, NM 88241	Date: Lab # :	7/26/95 H2097-2
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type:	H-6 Rice Engineering 1/2 mile S. of Intersection of Marland JK Water Sample Co	Date:	Bypass 7/21/95

Units: ppm

Sample ID: MW-6

- -

POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS

<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>RESULT</u>
PARAMETER Acenapthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(ghi)perylene Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene	$\begin{array}{c} \underline{RESOLT} \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \\ <0.004 \end{array}$
Pyrene	<0.004

W_ CM

Manuel Garbalena

7/26/95

Date



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Address:	Environmental Spill Control, Inc. P.O. Box 5890 Hobbs, NM 88241	_Date: Lab #:	7/26/95 H2097-6	
Project Name:	H-6 Rice Engineering			

Location: 1/2 mile S. of Intersection of Marland and Loop Bypass Sampled by: JK Sample Type: Water Sample Condition:

Sample ID: MW-3

Units: ppm

POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS

PARAMETER	<u>RESULT</u>
Acenapthene Acenapthylene Anthracene Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(ghi)perylene Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Fluorene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Naphthalene Phenanthrene Pyrene	<0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004 <0.004
	NO.001

NILL

Manuel Garbalena

7/21/95



PHONE (505) 393-2326 • 101 E. MARLAND • HOBBS, NM 88240

PHONE (505) 326-4669 • 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. • FARMINGTON, NM 87401

FINAL ANALYSIS REPORT

Address:	Environmental Spill Control, Inc. P.O. Box 5890 Hobbs, NM 88241	Date: Lab # :	7/26/95 H2097-4	
Project Name.	H-6 Dice Engineering			

roject Name: Location: Sampled by: Sample Type: H-6 Rice Engineering 1/2 mile S. of Intersection of Marland and Loop Bypass JK Date: 7/21/95 Water Sample Condition:

Sample ID:

POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS

Units: ppm

PAI	RAM	ET	ER

<u>RESULT</u>

Acenapthene	<0.004
Acenaphthylene	<0.004
Anthracene	<0.004
Benzo(a)anthracene	<0.004
Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.004
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	<0.004
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	<0.004
Benzo(ghi)perylene	<0.004
Chrysene	<0.004
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	<0.004
Fluoranthené	<0.004
Fluorene	<0.004
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	<0.004
Naphthàlene	<0.004
Phenanthrene	<0.004
Pyrene	<0.004
-	

MW-2

W

Manuel Garbalena

7/26/95

ESCI

P.02



PHONE (915) 673-7001 . 2111 BEECHWOOD . ABILENE, TX 79603

	PHONE (505) 393-2326 * 101 E. MARLAND * HOBBS. NM 88240
LABORATORIES	PHONE (505) 326-4669 * 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. * FARMINGTON, NM 87401
CHE	ICAL ANALYSIS OF WATER
Company : Environmental S City, St.: P.O. Box 5890, Proj.Name: Rice Engineerin Location : Hobbs, NM	lobbs, NA
Sample 1 : WW Existing Wa Sample 2 : Monitor Well 2 Sample 3 : Monitor Well 3 Sample 4 : Monitor Well 4 Sample 5 : Monitor Well 6	ter Well Units: mg/L

PARANETER	<u>reșult 1</u>	RESULT 2	RESULT 3	RESULT 4	RESULT 5
Chloride	2,518	***	***	***	***
TDS	5,030	638	630	803	493

Methods: EPA 600/4-79-020, 325.3, 160.1

Gayle A. Potter

09/14/95 Date

ESCI

5053975085

....

P.03

A	PHONE (915) 673-7001 2111 BEECHWOOD ABILENE, TX 78603 PHONE (505) 393-2326 1D1 E. MARLAND HOBBS, NM 88240
LAI	BORATORIES PHONE (505) 326-4669 * 118 S. COMMERCIAL AVE. * FARMINGTON, NM 87401
	BTEX ANALYSIS REPORT
Company: Address: Cíty, State:	Environmental Spill Control Date: 9/14/95 P.O. Box 5890 Lab f : H2165 Hobbs, NM 88240
Project Name: Location: Sampled by: Analyzed by: Sample Type:	Rice Engineering H-6 System Hobbs, NM WR Date: 8/31;9/1 Time: various MG,MR Date: various Time: various Water Sample Condition: Intact Units: ppb
************* Samp Field \$ Code	ETHYL TOTAL BENZENE TOLUENE BENZENE XYLENES
1 WW (Existi Water Well	ing 1) 181.0 73.5 89.9 68.2
QC Recovery QC Spike Accuracy Air Blank	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Methods - GAS CHROMOTOGRAPHY - EPA SW-846; 8020

Al -

Gayle A. Potter

09/14/95 Date

Į.

ESCI

FAX TRANSMISSION

ENVIRONMENTAL SPILL CONTROL, INC.

Phone (505) 392-6167 Fax (505) 397-5085 1203 West Dunnam P.O. Box 5890 Hobbs, New Mexico 88241

Date 9-19 1995
Fax Number 715-563 - 7526
To Sharon Hali
With Philip
From lues
Page / of 3 Pages
Message Fingl Results on Rice
H-6 System

TABLES

TABLE 1 PRELIMINARY LABORATORY RESULTS SOUTH HOBBS BY-PASS RICE ENGINEERING SUMMARY OF WATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical							<u></u>	Inactive Water
Analytical Parameter	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4	MW-5	MW-6	MW-7	Well
Chloride	14,091	2,518	256	332	106	96	382	7,178
TDS	25,106	5,030	638	630	1,481	493	3,218	13,889
				050	1,401			15,007
Volatile Organ		.s)						
Methyl								
Tertbutyl ether								
(MTBE)	<0.020	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.020	<0.001	<0.020	<0.020
Benzene	2.871	0.047	0.044	<0.001	<0.020	<0.001	<0.020	0.777
Toluene	<0.020	0.047	0.044	<0.001	<0.020	<0.001	<0.020	< 0.020
Ethylbenzene	0.121	0.012	0.001	<0.001	<0.020	<0.001	<0.020	<0.020
Total Xylenes	0.121	< 0.001	0.043	0.07	<0.020	<0.001	<0.020	0.030
	-				\0.020		<u><0.020</u>	0.050
Polynuclear A			· ·		-0.004	-0.004		0.017
Naphthalene	0.050	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	<0.004	0.017
Acenaphthylen	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
e		-0.004	-0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Acenaphthene	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	< 0.004		<0.004		<0.004
Fluorene	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Phenanthrene	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Anthracene	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Fluoranthene	< 0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	< 0.004	<0.004
Pyrene	<0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	<0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004
Benzo(a)anthra	-0.004	10.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004
cene	<0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	< 0.004	<0.004
Chrysene	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004
Benzo(b)fluora		10.004	10.004	10.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004	-0.004
nthene	<0.004	<0.004	< 0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	< 0.004	<0.004
Benzo(k)fluora	<0.004	-0.004	<0.004	-0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
nthene	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004		<0.004		<0.004
Benzo(a)pyren	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
e Indeno(1,2,3-								
cd)pyrene	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Dibenz(a,h)ant	~0.007			+0.07	-0.007		-0.007	-0.007
hracene	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Benzo(g,h,i)pe	-0.001		-0.001	-0.001				
rylene	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004
Chlorido TDS	L	1	1					

Chloride, TDS, VOC, and PAH results reported in mg/L (parts per million (ppm)). Analyses were conducted using EPA Method 8020 (VOCs) and EPA Method 8270 (PAH) by Cardinal Laboratories, Inc.

TABLE 2 PRELIMINARY LABORATORY RESULTS SOUTH HOBBS BY-PASS RICE ENGINEERING SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical Parameter	MW-2 (15' - 16')	MW-2 (30' - 31')	MW-2 (35' - 36')	MW-3 (103'-104')	MW-3 (162')	MW-5 (35')	MW-6 (39')
TPH (field)		(00 00)	(
(in ppm)	107	69	26	46	35		
Chlorides							
(field)							
(in ppm)	5,000	900	<500	<500	<500		
Benzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<0.020	<0.020
Toluene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<0.020	<0.020
Ethylbenzene	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<0.020	<0.020
Xylenes	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	< 0.020	<0.020
Total BTEX	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<0.020	<0.020
MTBE	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	<0.020	<0.020

Units are reported in miligrams per liter (mg/l).

Volatile organics anylsis were conducted using USEPA Method 8020.

9/95/14131(2242)1

TABLE 3HOBBS SWD SYSTEMSUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER MEASUREMENTS

Monitor Well	Date Gauged	Top of Casing Elevation (feet)*	Ground Surface Elevation (feet)*	Depth to Water (feet)**	Corrected Groundwater Elevation (feet)***	Free-floating LNAPL Thickness (feet)
MW-1	7/26/95	100.00	99.30	42.09	57.91	5.12
MW-2	7/26/95	97.94	98.49	37.45	60.49	0
MW-3	7/26/95	97.64	98.08	34.97	62.67	0
MW-4	7/26/95	100.39	100.80	37.40	62.99	0
MW-5	7/26/95	96.23	96.00	33.91	62.32	0
MW-6	7/26/95	98.59	98.81	35.69	62.90	0
MW-7	7/26/95	98.50	98.78	37.92	60.58	0
Inactive Water Well	7/26/95	98.10	97.76	35.75	62.35	0

* Calculated from a relative datum using the top of casing of monitor well MW-1 as a benchmark (100.00 feet).

** Monitor well casings were marked to provide consistemt reference points for gauging operations.

*** Equation for the correction of the groundwater elevation suppression effect caused by the presence of free-floating LNAPLs: Corrected Groundwater Elevation = Elevation - (Depth to Water - (SG) (LNAPL Thickness)) where Specific Gravity (SG) = 0.85 for gasoline, 0.87 for diesel, and 0.9 for crude oil.

FIGURES



111

ł









S PHONE (505) 392-6167 FAX (505) 397-5085













SALT WATER INJECTION WELL

EM-34 CONDUCTIVITY MEASURMENT STATION AND 20-METER INTERCOIL SPACING CONDUCTIVITY

400 EAST, IS LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF MONITOR WELL MW-1 PAD.

700 EAST IS ADJACENT TO A NATURAL

(4) DEPTH OF INVESTIGATION APPROXIMATELY 50 FEET BELOW GROUND SURFACE.

Client: PHILIP	ENVIRONMENTAL	SERVICES CORP.
Location:		
	DATE: 5/23/95	PREPARED BY: LLW CHECKED BY: BTS
S/SCHORNICK CIATES, INC. ntal Consultants		DRAFTED BY: JRA
	PHILIP	PHILIP ENVIRONMENTAL Location: RICE ENGINEERI HOBBS, NEW DATE: 5/23/95 SCALE: 1° = 120 PROJECT NO:



	ţ				
	4				
NORTH					
SCALE	20' 180).			
LEGE	ND				
	ER INJECTI	ON WELL			
GAS WELL					
WOINTOK					
CONTOUR	INTERVAL:	10 MMHOS/M			
IO-METEI		Y MEASURMENT L SPACING CO)
100 EAST	IS LOCATI	ION 600 NORTH ED ON THE EA VELL MW-1 PA	S 7	-	
	IS ADJACI	ON O NORTH- ENT TO A NATL	IR	AL	
ALUE OF	"I" DEN	IOTE INTERFER	EN	CE.	
	1	ation approxii Round surfac			
RCOIL SP	_	Client: PHILIP	El	WIRONMENTAL	SERVICE CORP.
NETIC (EL		Location:		CE ENGINEERI Hobbs, New	
	ORNICK		1	DATE: 5/23/95 SCALE:	PREPARED BY: LLW CHECKED BY: 835
nental Con et Robinson, S	isultants			1" = 120 PROJECT NO:	DRAFTED BY: JRA
406) 321-389				95089.01 F01	DOP

.

1