AP - 007

STAGE 1 & 2 REPORTS

DATE: June 2001



SITE INVESTIGATION REPORT (Pursuant to Stage 1 Abatement Plan)

Prepared for:

Darr Angell Denton #2 EOTT Energy Corporation 5850 East Highway 80 Midland, Texas 79701

Prepared by:

Environmental Technology Group, Inc. 2540 East Marland Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Environmental Technology Group, Inc. Project No. EOT2020R

Kenneth A. Dutton Sr. Project Manager

Jerry D. Nickell

Managing Principal

Revised June 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION Executive Summary			PAGE	
			1	
1.0	Introd	roduction		
	1.1.	Project Purpose and Scope	3	
	1.2.	Project Organization and Responsibility	4	
		1.2.1. Subcontractors		
2.0	Site Description			
	2.1.	Site History	6	
		2.1.1. Operational History		
		2.1.2. Nature of the Current Release (19NMAC15.A.19.E(3)(a))		
		2.1.3. Summary of Previous Investigations (19NMAC15.A.19.E(3)(a))		
	2.2.	Environmental Setting	6	
		2.2.1. Physical Location, Topography, and Site Layout		
		2.2.2. Receptor Identification		
	2.3.	Geology and Hydrogeology (19NMAC15.A.19.E(3)(b)(i))	8	
		2.3.1. Soils		
		2.3.2. Regional Geology		
		2.3.3. Site Geology		
		2.3.4. Regional Hydrogeology		
		2.3.5. Local Hydrogeology		
		2.3.6. Water Well Inventory	10	
	2.4.	Surface Hydrology (19NMAC15.A.19.E(3)(b)(ii))	10	
		2.4.1. Distance to Nearest Surface Water Body		
		2.4.2. Seasonal Stream Flow Characteristics		
		2.4.3. Groundwater/Surface Water Relationships		
3.0	Field	Operations	11	
	3.1.	Geologic Standards	11	
	3.2.	Site Reconnaissance, Preparation, and Restoration Procedures	11	
	3.3.	Borehole Drilling, Lithologic Sampling, Logging, and Abandonment	11	
		3.3.1. General Drilling Procedures		
		3.3.2. Sampling and Logging		
		3.3.3. Abandonment		
	3.4.	Monitoring Well Construction	13	
	3.5.	Monitoring Well Development (NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of	13	
		Leaks, Spills and Releases)		

SECT	SECTION		PAGE
	3.6.	Surveying	14
	3.7.	Equipment Decontamination	14
	3.8.	Investigation Derived Waste Handling	14
		3.8.1. General Waste Handling Procedures	
4.0	Envir	onmental Sampling	15
	4.1.	Sampling Procedures	15
		4.1.1. Groundwater Sampling	
		4.1.1.1. Water Level Measurement	
		4.1.1.2. Purging Prior to Sampling	
		4.1.1.3. Sample Collection	
		4.1.2. Subsurface Soil Sampling	
		4.1.2.1. Split Spoon Sampling	
	<i>4.2</i> .	Sample Handling	18
		4.2.1. Sample Containers	
		4.2.2. Sample Volumes, Container Types, and Preservation	
		Requirements	
	4.2	4.2.3. Sample Identification	20
	4.3.	Field Measurements	
		4.5.1. Furumeters	
		4.5.2. Equipment Calibration and Quality Control	
		4.3.5. Equipment Maintenance and Decontamination 4.3.4 Field Monitoring Measurements	
		4.3.4.1 Groundwater Level Measurements	
		4 3 4 7 Groundwater Discharge Measurements	
	4.4.	Sample Custody	21
5.0	Samp	ole Analysis Summary	22
6.0	Data	Evaluation and Usability	23
	6.1.	Data OA/OC Review	23
	6.2.	Data Evaluation	23
7.0	Sum	nary of Results	24
	7.1.	Delineation of Nature, Extent, and Magnitude of Contamination	24
ļ		(19NMAC15.A.19.E(3)(b)(i), (ii))	
		7.1.1. Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils	
		7.1.2. Unsaturated Contaminated Soils	
		7.1.3. Groundwater Contaminatio	

SECT	ION		PAGE
		7.1.4. Background (Upgradient) Sample Results	
	7.2.	Identification of Remedial Action Levels	26
		7.2.1. Highly Contaminated/Saturated and Unsaturated Contaminated	
		Soils	
		7.2.1.1. Site Ranking	
		7.2.1.2. Remedial Action Levels	
		7.2.2. Groundwater	
		7.2.3. Other Relevant Media	
	7.3.	Comparison to Remedial Action Levels	27
		7.3.1. Highly Contaminated/Saturated and Unsaturated Contaminated	
		Soils	
		7.3.2. Groundwater	
8.0	Concl	lusions	28
	81	Delineation of Contaminant Impacts/Plume(s)	28
	0.1.	8 1 1 Onsite Impacts from Release	
		8.1.2. Offsite Impacts from Release	
		8.1.2. Offsite Impacts from Unaradient/Offsite Releases	
		8.1.4 Evaluation of Appropriate Plume(s) Boundaries	
	82	Migration of Contaminant Plume(s) (19NMAC15 A 19 E(3)(b)(i) (ii))	28
	0.2.	8.2.1. Future Extent of Contamination	ĺ
		8.2.7. Evaluation of Future Offsite Impacts	
	83	Frinsure Assessment	29
	0.2.	8.3.1. Current Exposures	
		8 3 1 1 Onsite Recentors	
		8.3.1.2 Offsite Receptors	
		8.3.2. Future Potential Exposures	
		8 3 2 1 Onsite Recentors	
		8.3.2.2. Offsite Receptors	
		8.3.3. Site Conceptual Exposure Model	
9.0	Recor	nmendations	37
	0 1	Monitoring Program (10NMAC15 A 10 E(3)(a))	37
	<i>9</i> .2.	Future Activities (19NMAC15. A. 19. E(3)(c))	37
10.0	Refer	ences	30
		Tables	
Tahle	1 - Co	ncentration of TPH and RTFX in Soil	
- une	1 00	$\frac{1}{1} \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt = \frac{1}{1} \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial $	

SECTION	PAGE		
Table 3 - Concentrations of Semi-Volatiles in Groundwater			
Table 4 - Concentrations of Metals in Groundwater			
Table 5 - Groundwater Elevation Table			
Figures			
Figure 1 - Site Location Map			
Figure 2 - Site Map			
Figure 3 - Groundwater Gradient Map			
Figure 4 - Benzene Isoconcentration Map			
Figure 5 - BTEX Concentration Map			
Figure 6 - PSH Thickness Map			
Figure 7 - Site Conceptual Exposure Model			
Appendices			
Appendix A - Water Well Inventory			
Appendix B- Boring Logs			
Appendix C - Well Construction Diagrams			
Appendix D - Analytical Results			

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
bgs	below ground surface
°C	degrees Celsius
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cm/sec	centimeters per second
COC	chain of custody
DOT	Department of Transportation
DQO	data quality objective
EC	electrical conductivity
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FID	flame ionization detector
FSP	Field Sampling Plan
ft	foot or feet
g/cm³	grams per cubic centimeter
G	glass
gal/ft³	gallons per cubic foot
H₂SO₄	sulfuric acid
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HNO₃	nitric acid
HSP	Health and Safety Plan
lbs/gal	pounds per gallon
mL	milliliter
mL/L	milliliters per liter
MS/MSD	matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate
Na2S2O3	sodium thiosulfate
NCP	National Contingency Plan
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
OD	outside diameter
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OVA	organic vapor analyzer

P	polyethylene
PID	photoionization detector
PO4 ⁻³	phosphate
PPE	personal protective equipment
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QA	quality assurance
QAPP	quality assurance project plan
QC	quality control
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study
SAP	Sampling and Analysis Plan
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SO ^{,-2}	sulfate
SOW	statement of work
SP	spontaneous potential
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
T	California brass
TCLP	toxicity characteristic leaching procedure
TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbon
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VOC	volatile organic compound
WP	work plan
μm	micrometer
3-D	three-dimensional

.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes the activities involved in the delineation of the hydrocarbon impact for the pipeline release at the Darr Angell Denton #2 site by Environmental Technology Group, Inc. (ETGI) for EOTT Energy Corporation (EOTT). The pipeline release was reported to the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) on July 29, 1999. This investigation was conducted in accordance with NMOCD rules and guidelines.

The time period covered by this report begins in May 2000 with the sampling and subsequent analysis of soils in the immediate release area. In June 2000, ten soil borings were advanced to further delineate hydrocarbon impact. The installation of three monitoring and two recovery wells was also completed to monitor groundwater impact. The period covered in this report ended in July 2000 with the installation of an additional monitoring well to further delineate and monitor groundwater impact.

The surface area surrounding the EOTT pipeline was excavated to approximately four and one-half feet on both sides of the pipeline for a length of 384 feet. An Amoco pipeline that intersects the EOTT pipeline was also excavated to approximately four and one-half feet on both sides of the pipeline for a distance of 47 feet. The area of surface excavation is 5,454 square feet. This area was excavated to an average depth of five feet. The volume of excavated area at this site is estimated to be 27,270 cubic feet. The amount of soil excavated at the site is 1,010 cubic yard. The majority of this soil was hauled offsite prior to ETGI's involvement on this site. Two small stockpiles remain onsite at this time.

Based on initial surface soil sampling in the excavation area, further excavation is needed on the sidewalls of the excavation areas to remove impacted soils to below the NMOCD remediation action levels for this site. The soils in the spoils piles are also above the NMOCD action levels and will need to be addressed.

Investigation of the site has determined that the groundwater has been impacted at the site as a function of the pipeline release. Two recovery wells were installed immediately adjacent to the leak site. Phase separated hydrocarbon (PSH) has been measured in both wells, with the greatest thickness observed on recovery well RW #1. This well is located approximately 63 feet southeast of the leak site. The PSH plume appears to have migrated past monitoring well MW #2, which is located 234 feet down gradient from recovery well RW #1. Data collected from monitoring well MW #4, located 150 feet down gradient from MW #2, indicates that there is no PSH or dissolved phase petroleum constituents at this time in the groundwater.

Automated recovery systems will be installed on both the recovery wells, RW #1 and RW #2 and MW #2 to facilitate removal of PSH from the water table. These systems will be in place by fall of 2001.

On completion of PSH removal at this site, the soils at the site will be evaluated. A site-specific plan will be developed and submitted to NMOCD to address soil remediation. On completion of the soil remediation, a No Further Action (NFA) closure request will be submitted to the NMOCD.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 PROJECT PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this site investigation report is to describe the extent of impacted soil and groundwater as a result of the hydrocarbon release from the EOTT pipeline at the Darr Angell Denton #2 site, located in rural Lea County, New Mexico. This report covers the investigation of the soils at the site and any groundwater impact in compliance with 19 NMAC 15.A19.E(3) and NMOCD <u>Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks</u>, Spills and Releases, 1993.

1.1.1 Objectives

The objectives for this site investigation were to 1) delineate the extent of hydrocarbon impact in the soils in the immediate release area via soil borings, sampling and laboratory analysis, 2) investigate any impact to the groundwater from the release via monitoring well installation, sampling and laboratory analysis, 3) continue monitoring the groundwater for further impact via sampling and laboratory analysis and 4) provide for recovery of any PSH observed in the groundwater via recovery wells.

1.1.2 Field Activities

Table 1-2

Location	Activity	Number
Excavated Areas	Surface sampling of side walls in area immediately adjacent to release site; sampling in spoils piles adjacent to site	14
Adjacent to EOTT line	Soil borings to depths of 15' to 60' with sampling at five foot intervals	10
Adjacent to EOTT line	Product recovery wells to facilitate removal of PSH from the water table	2
Surrounding the site	Monitoring wells to delineate and monitor any movement of PSH within the site's groundwater	4
Monitoring wells	Quarterly sampling and laboratory analysis of monitoring wells to identify and monitor any movement of PSH within the site's groundwater	4

Field Activities Summary

This investigation was initiated by surface sampling of the vertical walls of the excavated areas adjacent to the release site surrounding the pipeline. In addition, samples were taken at a one-foot below ground surface (bgs) level from the stockpiles adjacent to the excavated areas.

Further investigation consisted of the advancement of soil borings surrounding the release site. Samples were collected at five-foot intervals from each boring. Laboratory analysis of the samples was performed to give an accurate delineation of any impacted areas. The soil samples were analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH), Method SW 846-8015M. Any sample producing a field reading over 100ppm for Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) with a Photoionization Detector (PID) was also tested in the laboratory for Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl Benzene and Xylenes (BTEX), Method SW 846-8021B.

Monitoring wells (MW) were installed to investigate any movement of PSH within the groundwater. During the installation on these wells the soils were also sampled at five-foot intervals and the samples were submitted for TPH analysis as well as BTEX analysis if the field PID reading was over 100ppm for VOC. When conducting the borings or installation of monitoring wells, if observable PSH was encountered in the groundwater, a recovery well (RW) was installed facilitate removal of any product.

1.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITY

Beth Aldrich, Geologist, conducted overall project management for this site with assistance from Ken Dutton, Operations Manager. Beth Aldrich also performed the collation and assessment of data obtained from fieldwork as well as from laboratory analysis.

Beth Aldrich, Simon Casas and Ken Dutton conducted field activities, i.e. sampling of soils and water and drilling supervision. Simon Casas and Danny Stevens performed monitoring well sampling and gauging and gauging of recovery wells.

1.2.1 Subcontractors

Subcontractors involved in this project included Eades Drilling (Eades) of Hobbs, New Mexico, who drilled and/or installed the soil borings, monitoring wells and recovery wells. Eades was also responsible for the decontamination the drilling equipment, installation of the monitoring well and recovery well hardware and collection and containment of cuttings from the above-mentioned activities.

The soil and groundwater samples that were collected were processed and analyzed by Environmental Laboratory of Texas (ELOT), based out of Midland, Texas, who conducted all required testing of both the soils and groundwater and submitted reports to ETGI.

John West Surveying Company of Hobbs, New Mexico, a certified land surveyor, surveyed the site, including all soil borings, monitoring wells and recovery wells. A survey plat was provided upon completion of the survey.

2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

2.1 SITE HISTORY

2.1.1 Operational History

The release point emanated from a buried 8" steel pipeline trending north – south. The pipeline is currently operated by EOTT. The release was reported to the NMOCD on July 29, 1999.

2.1.2 Nature of Current Release

Structural failure due to external corrosion on the 8" pipeline appears to have caused the release of hydrocarbons from this pipeline. This information was reported to the NMOCD on July 29, 1999.

2.1.3 Summary of Previous Investigations

There have been no previous investigations at the site.

2.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.2.1 Physical Location, Topography, and Site Layout

As shown in the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle map in Figure 1, the site is located south of US Highway 82 at Latitude 033° 01' 47.0" N and Longitude 103° 10' 10.5" W, in the SE ¼, SE ¼, Section 11 and NE ¼, NE ¼, Section 14, Township 15 South, Range 37 East in rural Lea County, New Mexico. Generally, the surface of the site consists of unconsolidated sand covered by moderate to sparse grasses. Oil and gas production facilities are located in the surrounding area.

The site is located at an elevation of approximately 3,784 feet above mean sea level (msl). The topography is relatively flat terrain, sloping to the southeast. Storm water runoff from the site is minimal, trending to the southeast. The surface runoff that does occur is localized into marginally depressed areas on the site. Known utilities on the site consist of electricity in overhead lines as well as crude oil gathering and transportation lines.

A layout of the site is presented in Figure 2, the Site Plan. The site is currently a rural area with no development. Located in the central portion of the site is an east-west trending 12" Amoco crude oil transportation pipeline.

2.2.2 Receptor Identification

As previously discussed, the site is located in a rural area. The site is not fenced on all sides but the portion of the site containing monitoring wells MW #2, MW #3 and MW #4 is fenced and locked. In the vicinity of the site, access is unrestricted via the adjacent lease roads.

Based on the aforementioned site conditions, the following onsite and offsite potential receptor populations were identified for this risk assessment.

- Onsite:
 - Environmental/Sampling Technician
 - Remedial/Construction worker
- Offsite:
 - Adult Trespasser
 - Adolescent Trespasser (7 to 15 years of age)

The onsite environmental/sampling technician was considered a potential receptor due to the possibility of exposures from periodic, non-intrusive, maintenance-related operations performed by that receptor at the site. Currently, activities that are conducted by the environmental/sampling technician include site inspections, monitoring and maintenance of the PSH recovery systems, sampling onsite monitoring wells and loading recovered groundwater into a tank mounted on a trailer. Site inspections occur once a week and are done in two hours or less unless maintenance is required on the PSH recovery systems. Groundwater sampling is conducted on a quarterly basis, and requires approximately twelve manhours per sampling event, with recovered groundwater loading occurring at this time. All current site activities are conducted in accordance with a site Health and Safety Plan that is designed to minimize the potential for exposure to contaminants at the site.

There are no construction plans for the site at this time. However, further soil contamination has been identified at the site. Future activities will include excavation and remediation of the impacted soils. Therefore, a construction worker or remedial worker (intrusive scenario) will be considered in this risk assessment. All site activities will be conducted in accordance with the site Health and Safety Plan that is designed to minimize the potential for exposure to contaminants at the site.

Sampling data indicate that contaminants are present in soils and groundwater at the site. Therefore, in addition to the aforementioned onsite receptor, offsite receptors could potentially be exposed to contamination.

Due to the fact that the site has access via adjacent lease roads and oil and gas activities surround the site, adult, and less likely, adolescent trespassers were included as potential receptors in this risk assessment. These receptors were considered to be potentially exposed to petroleum-based constituents that were primarily detected in near surface soils and spoils piles of the excavation area at the site. Due to the fencing around the three southeastern monitoring wells (MW #2, MW #3, MW #4) and security (locked gate) as well as the locks placed on each monitoring well and recovery well at the site, it is extremely difficult for potential offsite receptors to encounter any groundwater at the

site. Site controls (well locks) will be maintained at the site as a part of the ongoing assessment that will further limit unauthorized access.

2.3 GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

The site is located in rural Lea County, New Mexico, east of the town of Lovington, New Mexico. The surface of the site consists of unconsolidated, wind blown sands and finer materials with elevations between 3,784 and 3,785 msl. The topography is predominantly a flat terrain, sloping slightly to the southeast. There is no surface water, not including manmade excavations, within 1,000 feet of the site. The nearest water well is in excess of one half mile away, to the southeast.

2.3.1 Soils

According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service soil survey, the soils at the site consist of the Kimbrough-Lea association, with a 0 to 3 percent slope. The soils of the Kimbrough-Lea association are nearly level and gently sloping, gravelly and loamy soils that are very shallow to moderately deep to indurated caliche. The soils are located mainly in the northern half of Lea County.

The surface layer ranges from 6 to 20 inches thick. Color ranges from dark grayish-brown to brown and the soil is mildly alkaline. The texture of the surface layer is loam or loamy sand.

The subsurface layer is from 6 to 40 inches thick. Color ranges from grayish-brown to brown. The texture is gravelly loam or loamy sand, which can be as much as 60 percent by volume. The underlying material is inducated caliche, a very pale tan calcareous sand or unconsolidated red sand. The caliche layer is discontinuous.

Kimbrough-Lea association soils have slow to rapid surface drainage, with permeability that is moderate to moderately rapid. Soil blowing is a slight to severe hazard. Runoff is slow to rapid.

Kimbrough gravelly loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes, soil occurs on prairie uplands and is locally known as "scabland" and is locally interbedded with fragmented caliche. Stegall loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes, soil occurs on uplands in northern Lea County, mixed with Kimbrough-Lea series soils and has a sub angular, blocky structure. Included in the area near the site are patches of Portales loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes and Portales fine sandy loam, 1 to 3 percent slopes.

2.3.2 Regional Geology

The Lea County surface topography consists of unconsolidated, wind blown sands and finer materials associated with the Tertiary Ogalalla Formation, which serves as a major aquifer for southeastern New Mexico and several high plains states. The Triassic Dockum Group, commonly referred to as the "red beds", underlies the Ogalalla. While there are sand lenses within the Dockum Group, it is

more typically characterized by red silts and red shales in which detectable groundwater is often absent or of limited extent.

2.3.3 Site Geology

Based on the results of the site investigation, as well as a review of geologic maps, the site appears to lie within the Ogalalla Formation. The uppermost unit was a tan-brown to brown, very fine grained loamy sand with a few calcareous fragment and deposits and was from 2 to 10 feet thick. This unit is underlain by a red to red-brown very fine grained, well-sorted sand with none to abundant caliche nodules and was from 8 to 20 feet thick. That unit was underlain by a tan to white, very hard, calcareous sandstone, which was from 3 to 5 feet thick. The next underlying unit was very fine grained, well sorted, red to red-brown sand with slight moisture at the water table and none to few sandstone fragments with a thickness of between 12 and 32 feet. At depths of 8 to 10 feet and 28 to 33 feet bgs lies a discontinuous layer of well-indurated sandstone with calcareous cement, which varies in thickness from one to five feet.

2.3.4 Regional Hydrogeology

The primary water-bearing formation in Lea County is the Tertiary Ogalalla Formation, which serves as a major aquifer for southeastern New Mexico. Alluvial, unconfined groundwater is typically present in these sands at varying depths and generally flows from north to south. These aquifers are typically characterized by relatively high hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity.

2.3.5 Local Hydrogeology

Shallow groundwater at the site occurs near the unconformity between the underlying red clay of the Dockum Formation and the unconsolidated sands associated with the overlying Ogallala Formation. At the site, this unconformity is present at depths hat range between 53 to 57 feet bgs. The movement of fluids, including groundwater and PSH, is enhanced where the groundwater occurs in the sand. However, the movement of fluids is significantly retarded in areas where the groundwater occurs within the red clay (C.W. Fetter, *Applied Hydrogeology*, 1988). The groundwater observed at this depth is considered to be of beneficial use based on the site-specific concentration of total dissolved solids (TDS) and criteria included in the NMOCD regulations.

2.3.6 Water Well Inventory

For the site investigation report a water well search was performed of the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer's water well database (See Appendix B). The search was conducted on a one-mile radius surrounding the site. The nearest water well is over one-half mile away, to the southeast. This information was verified during the field investigation.

2.4 SURFACE HYDROLOGY

2.4.1 Distance to Nearest Surface Water Body

Based on site reconnaissance and a review of the USGS topographic maps in this area, there are no natural surface bodies of water, either standing (ponds, lakes) or free flowing (rivers or streams) within a one-mile radius of the site.

2.4.2 Seasonal Stream Flow Characteristics

There are no streams within a half-mile radius of the site area; therefore impact from any seasonal flow would be negligible. Seasonal rainfall is negligible, as the area is classified as dry upland.

2.4.3 Groundwater/Surface Water Relationships

As there are no surface water impoundments in the site area, a relationship between surface water and groundwater does not exist. Pooling of rainfall may occur on an intermittent basis, but the arid climate and rapid evaporation associated with it precludes any percolation to the groundwater table.

3.0 FIELD OPERATIONS

3.1 GEOLOGIC STANDARDS

The lithologic descriptions for unconsolidated materials (soils [engineering usage] or deposits) used the name of the predominant particle size (e.g., silt, fine sand, etc.). The dimensions of the predominant and secondary sizes were recorded using the metric system. The grain size and name of the deposit were accompanied by the predominant mineral content, accessory minerals, color, particle angularity, and any other characteristics. The clastic deposit descriptions included, as a supplement, symbols of the Unified Soil Classification System. The color descriptions were designated by the Munsell Color System.

The scales for maps, cross sections, or 3-D diagrams were selected in accordance with the geologic and hydrologic complexity of the area and the purposes of the illustrations. Maps are oriented with North toward the top, unless the shape of the area dictates otherwise. Orientation is indicated with a North arrow.

3.2 SITE RECONNAISSANCE, PREPARATION, AND RESTORATION PROCEDURES

Site investigation and field sampling was conducted by ETGI personnel utilizing mobile units (pickup trucks). Each unit is equipped with a first aid kit and a portable fire extinguisher. Onsite personnel were equipped with hardhat, safety glasses, personal H_2S monitor and safety boots. In addition, portable cellular telephones were onsite to facilitate emergency access in the event of fire or accident.

3.3 BOREHOLE DRILLING, LITHOLOGIC SAMPLING, LOGGING, AND ABANDONMENT

3.3.1 General Drilling Procedures

All drilling activities conformed to state and local regulations, were performed by licensed well driller, and were supervised by a geologist. All permits, applications, and other documents required by state and local authorities were obtained.

The location of all borings was coordinated, in writing, with the EOTT Project Manager before drilling commenced. When boreholes were drilled through more than one water bearing zone or aquifer, measures were taken to prevent cross-connection or cross-contamination of the zones or aquifers.

The drill rig was cleaned and decontaminated in accordance with the procedure in Section 3.7. The drill rig did not leak any fluids that might have entered the borehole or contaminated equipment placed in the hole.

A log of drilling activities was kept in a bound field notebook. Information in the log book included location, time onsite, personnel and equipment present, downtime, materials used, samples collected,

measurements taken, and any other observations or information necessary to reconstruct field activities at a later date. At the end of each day of drilling, the drilling supervisor completed a Daily Drilling Log.

The drilling contractor disposed of all trash, waste grout, cuttings, and drilling fluids as coordinated with the EOTT Project Manager or designated representative.

3.3.2 Sampling and Logging

The lithology in all boreholes was logged. The boring log was used for recording the lithologic logging information. Information on the boring log sheet includes the borehole location; drilling information; sampling information such as sample intervals, and recovery; and sample description information. Copies of the boring logs are included the Appendices.

Unconsolidated samples for lithologic description were obtained continuously. Lithologic descriptions of unconsolidated materials encountered in the boreholes was described in accordance with both the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division <u>Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks</u>, Spills and <u>Releases</u> and American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D-2488-90 Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure) (ASTM, 1990). Descriptive information recorded in the field included: (1) identification of the predominant particles size and range of particle sizes, (2) percent of gravel, sand, silts, or all three, (3) description of grading and sorting of coarse particles, (4) particle angularity and shape, and (5) maximum particle size or dimension. In addition, the unconsolidated materials were ranked as either highly contaminated or saturated soils (based on observable free phase hydrocarbons or immiscible phases and gross staining) or unsaturated contaminated soils (based on PID readings), as applicable.

Identification of the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) group symbol was used for clastic material. Additional information recorded included the depth to the water table, caving or sloughing of the borehole, changes in drilling rate, depths of samples collected, presence of organic materials, presence of fractures or voids in consolidated materials, and other noteworthy observations or conditions, such as the locations of geologic boundaries.

All samples were monitored with an organic vapor monitor (e.g., PID, organic vapor analyzer [OVA]). The samples were handled in such a way as to minimize the loss of volatiles, and these procedures shall be described in Section 4.0. Cuttings were examined for their hazardous characteristics. Materials suspected to be hazardous because of abnormal color, odor, or organic vapor monitor readings were containerized in conformance with the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the state and local requirements.

3.3.3 Abandonment

Boreholes that were not converted to monitoring wells were abandoned in accordance with applicable federal, state and local requirements. Appropriate paperwork was filed with the correct New Mexico department. If slurry was used, a mud balance and/or Marsh Funnel was used to ensure the density (lbs/gal) of the abandonment mud mixture conforms to the manufacturer's specifications. The slurry was emplaced from the bottom to the top of the hole using a tremie pipe.

All abandoned boreholes were checked 24 to 48 hours after mud/solid bentonite emplacement to determine whether curing was occurring properly. If more specific curing specifications were recommended by the manufacturer, these were followed. If settling occurred, a sufficient amount of mud/solid bentonite was added to fill the hole to the ground surface. These curing checks and any addition of mud/solid bentonite were recorded in the field log.

3.4 MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION

All monitoring wells were constructed in accordance with criteria set forth in <u>Guidelines For</u> <u>Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases</u>, 1993 by the NMOCD. The well construction materials were selected according to industry standards, are chemically resistant to the monitored contaminants and were installed without the use of glues/adhesives. The monitoring wells were constructed according to NMOCD approved industry standards to prevent migration of contaminant along the well casing. The monitoring wells were constructed with a minimum of fifteen feet of well screen, at least five feet of which was above the water table to accommodate seasonal fluctuations in the water table.

3.5 MONITORING WELL DEVELOPMENT

The objective of monitoring well development is to repair damage done to the formation by the drilling operation so that the natural hydraulic properties of the formation are restored and to remove any fluids introduced into the formation that could jeopardize the integrity of the sample.

Monitoring well development is accomplished by purging the well a minimum of nine well volumes of groundwater. The pH and specific conductivity of the fluid in the well should be stabilized and the turbidity should be reduced to the greatest extent possible before sampling is begun.

3.6 SURVEYING

All surveying locations of field activities were measured by a certified land surveyor as the distance in feet from a reference location that was tied to the state plane system. The surveys were third order (cf. Urquhart, L.C., *1962 Civil Engineering Handbook*, 4th Edition, p. 96 and 97). A XY-coordinate system was used to identify locations. The X-coordinate was the East-West axis; the Y-coordinate was the North-South axis. The reference location was the origin. All surveyed

locations were reported using the state plane coordinate system. The survey plat gives the X and Y coordinates in state plane coordinate values and the elevation of the ground surface.

3.7 EQUIPMENT DECONTAMINATION

Cleaning of drilling equipment was the responsibility of the drilling company. In general, the cleaning procedures consisted of using high-pressure steam to wash the drilling and sampling equipment prior to drilling and prior to starting each hole.

Prior to use, the sampling equipment was cleaned with Liqui-Nox detergent and rinsed with distilled water. The following procedure was used to decontaminate sampling and drilling devices, such as split spoons, bailers and augers that can be hand-manipulated. For sampling and smaller drilling devices, the equipment was scrubbed with a solution of potable water and Alconox. Then the equipment was rinsed with copious quantities of potable water followed by an ASTM Type II Reagent Water. The equipment was air dried on a clean surface or rack, such as Teflon[®], stainless steel, or oil-free aluminum elevated at least two feet above ground. If the sampling device was not used immediately after being decontaminated, it was wrapped in oil-free aluminum foil, or placed it in a closed stainless steel, glass, or Teflon[®] container.

3.8 INVESTIGATION DERIVED WASTE HANDLING

3.8.1 General Waste Handling Procedures

Non-investigative waste, such as litter and household garbage, was collected on an as-needed basis to maintain each site in a clean and orderly manner. This waste was containerized and transported to the designated sanitary landfill or collection bin. Acceptable containers were sealed boxes or plastic garbage bags.

Investigation derived waste was properly containerized and temporarily stored at each site, prior to transportation. Depending on the constituents of concern, fencing or other special marking was used as required. The number of containers was estimated on an as-needed basis. Acceptable containers were sealed, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT)-approved steel 55-gallon drums. The containers were transported in such a manner to prevent spillage or particulate loss to the atmosphere.

The investigative derived waste was segregated at the site according to matrix (solid or liquid) and as to how it was derived (drill cuttings, drilling fluid, decontamination fluids, and purged groundwater). Each container was properly labeled with a tracking number, and with site and source identification, sampling point, depth, matrix, constituents of concern, and other pertinent information for handling.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLING

4.1 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

All purging and sampling equipment was decontaminated according to the specifications in Section 3.7 prior to any sampling activities and was protected from contamination until ready for use.

4.1.1 Groundwater Sampling

When numerous monitoring wells were sampled in succession, those wells expected to have low levels of contamination or no contamination were sampled prior to those wells expected to have higher levels of contamination. This practice helped reduce the potential for cross contamination between wells. All sampling activities were recorded in the field logbook. Additionally, all sampling data were recorded on a well sampling form.

The following information was recorded each time a well was purged and sampled: (1) depth to water before and after purging, (2) well bore volume calculation, (3) sounded total depth of the monitoring well, (4) the condition of each well, (5) the thickness of any nonaqueous layer, and (6) field parameters, such as turbidity.

4.1.1.1 Water Level Measurement

The groundwater level was then measured to the nearest 0.0l foot using an electric water level indicator. Water levels were measured from the top of the well casing. Following water level measurement, the total depth of the well from the top of the casing was determined and recorded on the well sampling form. The length of well casing above the ground surface was then measured and subtracted from the total depth to obtain a depth of water and total well depth from the ground surface. All water level and total depth measuring devices were routinely checked with a tape measure to ensure measurements were accurate.

4.1.1.2 Purging Prior to Sampling

V =

one well volume

Purging of monitoring wells was performed to evacuate water that has been stagnant in the well and may not be representative of the aquifer. Purging was accomplished using a Teflon[®] bailer.

At least three well volumes were removed from the well before it is sampled. The well bore volume is defined as the volume of submerged casing and screen. One well volume can be calculated using the following equation (reference: Ohio EPA Technical Guidance Manual for Hydrogeologic Investigations and Groundwater Monitoring Programs, June 1993):

$V = H \times F$

where

H = the difference between the depth of well and depth to water (ft)

F = factor for volume of one foot section of casing (gallons) from Table 4.1

Diameter of Casing (inches)	F Factor (gallons)
1.5	0.09
2	0.16
3	0.37
4	0.65
6	1.47

Table 4.1 Volume of Water in One-Foot Section of Well Casing

F can also be calculated from the formula:

$$F = \Pi (D/2)^2 \times 7.48 \text{ gal/ft}^3$$

where D = the inside diameter of the well casing (feet).

Wells with yields too low to produce three well volumes before the well goes dry were purged to dryness. Water removed from the well during purging was containerized. Detailed information concerning investigative derived wastes is presented in Section 3.8.

4.1.1.3 Sample Collection

Samples were taken within 24 hours of monitoring well development. Except as noted, at least three well volumes were removed from the well before it was sampled.

The sample was collected after three well volumes were removed. Field equipment was calibrated in accordance with the QAPP of this site investigation report. VOCs samples were collected as soon as possible after purging, and not more than two hours after purging was completed. If a monitor well was bailed or pumped dry before three well volumes were obtained, the sample was collected when a sufficient volume of water had accumulated in the well.

Before collecting groundwater samples, the sampler put on clean, phthalate-free protective gloves. Samples were collected first using a Teflon[®] bailer. Disposable nylon rope was used to lower and retrieve the bailers. A new length of nylon rope was used for each well, and the rope was disposed of following the sampling activities. Each bailer was equipped with a dedicated stainless steel or Teflon[®] coated leader so that the nylon rope did not contact the water in the well.

Groundwater sample containers were filled in the order of decreasing volatilization sensitivity (i.e., BTEX containers will be filled first and PAH containers second). Groundwater samples, collected for BTEX analysis, were placed in 40 ml glass VOA vials equipped with Teflon-lined caps. The sample

containers were provided by the analytical laboratory. The vials were filled to a positive meniscus, sealed, and visually checked to ensure the absence of air bubbles.

Groundwater samples, collected for Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) analysis, were filled to capacity in sterile, 1-liter glass containers equipped with Teflon lined caps. Groundwater samples, collected for metals analysis, were filled to capacity in sterile, 1-liter plastic containers equipped with Teflon lined caps. The sample containers were provided by the analytical laboratory.

The filled containers were labeled and placed on ice in an insulated cooler. The cooler was sealed for transportation to the analytical laboratory. Proper chain-of-custody documentation was maintained throughout the sampling process.

Required sample containers, preservation methods, volumes and holding times are given in Section 4.2.2 and Table 4.2.2-1. Sampling equipment shall be decontaminated in accordance with Section 3.7 upon completion of sampling activities.

4.1.2 Subsurface Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected at five-foot intervals from the surface to the total depth of the boring. Split spoon sampling was the preferred method of sample collection, however, due to local lithology, grab sampling from the cuttings at the measured depth was utilized as a backup method.

4.1.2.1 Split-Spoon Samples

When soil samples were to be submitted for laboratory analysis, they were collected using stainless steel, continuous drive, California modified split-spoon samplers. These samplers are 24 inches in length and have an outside diameter (OD) of 3 inches.

As soon as the split-spoon was opened, samples for field VOC analysis were collected and placed in a resealable plastic bag to facilitate field headspace analysis utilizing a Photoionization Detector (PID). The field monitoring results were recorded on the boring log and in the field logbook. If the field PID reading was over 100ppm, the sample was additionally tested for BTEX at the laboratory.

Samples to be tested were collected by extruding the soil out of the split spoon sampler into a 4 ounce, laboratory cleaned glass containers with Teflon[®] lined lids. This was done using clean stainless steel sampling tools. The sample was then sealed, labeled, and place in an iced cooler held at a temperature below 4°C.

4.1.3 Surface Soil Sampling

Surface soil samples were collected from the land surface to 6 inches below the surface. The sample was homogenized and quartered before being containerized. Stainless steel scoops or trowels, glass

jars with Teflon[®] lids or equivalent equipment, compatible with the chemical analyses proposed, were used to collect and store samples.

Unusual surface conditions that may have affected the chemical analyses were recorded in the logbook, such as the following: (1) evidence of dumping or spillage of chemicals, (2) soil discoloration, and/or (3) unusual condition of growing plants, etc.

4.2 SAMPLE HANDLING

4.2.1 Sample Containers

Sample containers were purchased precleaned and treated according to EPA specifications for the methods. Containers were stored in clean areas to prevent exposure to fuels, solvents, and other contaminants. Amber glass bottles were used for SVOCs and other constituents that may be sensitive to exposure to light.

4.2.2 Sample Volumes, Container Types, and Preservation Requirements

Sample volumes, container types, and preservation requirements for the analytical methods performed on the samples were listed in Table 4.2.2-1.

Sample holding time tracking began with the collection of samples and continued until the analysis is complete. Holding times for methods are specified in Table 4.2.2-1.

	111105				······································
Name	- Analytical Methods	Container ^a	Preservation ^{b,c}	Minimum Sample Volume or Weight	Maximum Holding Time
Conductance	SW 846 Method 9050	P, G	None required	N/A	Analyze immediately
Temperature	E170.1	P, G	None required	N/A	Analyze immediately
Dissolved oxygen	E360.1	G	None required	500 mL	Analyze immediately
Turbidity	E180.1	P, G	4°C	N/A	48 hours
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	E160.1	P, G	4°C	N/A	7 days
Metals (except chromium (VI) and mercury)	SW 846 Method 6010B	P, G, T	HNO3 to pH < 2, 4°C	500 mL or 8 ounces	180 days (water)
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons	SW 846 Method 8270C	G, Teflon [®] - lined cap, T	4°C, 0.008% Na2 S2O3	1 liter or 8 ounces	7 days until extraction and 40 days after extraction (water);
ТРН	SW 846 Method 8015M	P, Teflon ^{®_} lined cap, T	Cool, 4°C	4 or 8 ounces	14 days until extraction and 40 days after extraction (water);
BTEX	SW 846 Method 8021B	G, Teflon [®] - lined septum, T	4°C, 0.008% Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ (HCl to pH < 2 for volatile aromatics by SW8240 and SW8260) ^b	2 x 40 mL or 4 ounces	14 days (water and soil); 7 days if unpreserved by acid
TCLP/SPLP	SW 846 Method 1311/ 1312	G, Teflon [®] - lined cap, T	Cool, 4°C	1 liter or 8 ounces	14 days to TCLP/SPLP extraction and 14 days after extraction (volatiles); 14 days to TCLP extraction and 40 days after extraction (semivolatiles); 28 days to TCLP/SPLP extraction and 28 days after extraction (mercury); 180 days to TCLP/SPLS extraction and 180 days after extraction (metals)

Table 4.2.2-1 Requirements for Containers, Preservation Techniques, Sample Volumes, and Holding

Polyethylene (P); glass (G); brass sleeves in the sample barrel, sometimes called California brass (T). No pH adjustment for soil. a.

a.

4.2.3 Sample Identification

Field soil samples were assigned numbers based upon their origin, i.e. Soil Boring SB #1, Monitor well MW #1, the chronology of the event, i.e., #1, #2, #3, and the depth from which they were obtained. Water samples were assigned numbers based upon their originating monitor well, for example MW #1.

4.3 FIELD MEASUREMENTS

4.3.1 PARAMETERS

Field measurements to be conducted include VOC readings of field soil samples, water level measurements in monitor wells and PSH measurements in recovery wells and tanks. Field VOC readings were measured with a Photoionization Detector (PID). Field water and PSH levels were measured with an Interface Probe/Water Monitor.

4.3.2 EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND QUALITY CONTROL

The Photoionization Detector (PID) that was used or field VOC measurements is a MultiRAE Plus manufactured by RAE Systems Inc. of Sunnyvale, CA. This monitor is calibrated using Portagas Specialty gas mixtures and in accordance with the MultiRAE's Operations and Maintenance Manual.

The Interface Probe/Water Monitor used for water and PSH level measurements is a Solinst Interface Probe and Water Monitor manufactured by Solinst Canada Corp. of Georgetown, Ontario, Canada. The fluid level alarm on the interface probe is verified by pressing the test button and confirming its operation prior to each use.

4.3.3 EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND DECONTAMINATION

All field measurement equipment was decontaminated according to the specifications in Section 3.7 prior to any measurement activities and was protected from contamination until ready for use.

4.3.4 FIELD MONITORING MEASUREMENTS

4.3.4.1 Groundwater Level Measurements

Water-level measurements were taken in all wells to determine the elevation of the groundwater level at least once within a single 24-hour period. These measurements were taken after all wells have been installed and developed and their water levels recovered completely. Any conditions (e.g., barometric pressure) that would have affected water levels were recorded in the field log. The field log also included the previous water level measurement for each well (to determine if current water level was reasonable).

Water-level measurements were taken with electric water level monitors. All measuring equipment was decontaminated according to the specifications in Section 4.3.3 and 3.7. Groundwater level was measured to the nearest 0.01 foot. (Two or more sequential measurements were taken at each location until two measurements agree to within + or - 0.01 foot.)

Static water levels were measured each time a well was sampled, and before any equipment entered the well.

4.4 SAMPLE CUSTODY

Procedures to ensure the custody and integrity of the samples were begun at the time of sampling and continued through transport, sample receipt, preparation, analysis and storage, data generation and reporting, and sample disposal. Records concerning the custody and condition of the samples were maintained in field and laboratory records.

ETGI maintained chain-of-custody records for all field and field QC samples. A sample is defined as being under a person's custody if any of the following conditions exist: (1) it is in their possession, (2) it is in their view, after being in their possession, (3) it was in their possession and they locked it up, or (4) it is in a designated secure area. All sample containers were sealed in a manner that prevented or detected tampering.

The following minimum information concerning the sample was documented on the chain of custody (COC) form:

- Unique sample identification
- Date and time of sample collection
- Source of sample (including name, location, and sample type)
- Preservative used
- Analyses required
- Name of collector(s)
- Pertinent field data
- Custody transfer signatures and dates and times of sample transfer from the field to transporters and to the laboratory or laboratories

All samples were uniquely identified, labeled, and documented in the field at the time of collection in accordance with Section 4.2.3 of the site investigation report.

Samples collected in the field were transported to the laboratory. When a 4°C requirement for preserving the sample was indicated, the samples were packed in ice to keep them cool during collection and transportation.

5.0 SAMPLE ANALYSIS SUMMARY

Method (prep/analytical)	Matrix	Total # of Samples
TPH - 8015M	Soil	142
BTEX – 8021B	Soil	26
BTEX - 8021B	Water	4
Metals – 6010B	Water	4
PAH – 8270C	Water	4
Cations/Anions/ TDS- 375.4,325.3,310,160.1	Water	4
Fingerprint- GS/MS 8270	PSH	1

Table 1-1 Sample Analysis Summary

6.0 DATA QA/QC REVIEW AND EVALUATION

6.1 DATA QA/QC REVIEW

The laboratory was responsible for proper QA/QC procedures after signing the chain-of-custody form. These procedures were either transmitted with the laboratory reports or are on file at the laboratory. A review of the QA/QC data, transmitted with the laboratory reports, was performed by ETGI personnel. All instrumentation and extraction accuracy ranges were within acceptable limits.

6.2 DATA EVALUATION

As constituents were detected in the various media sampled at the site (soil and groundwater), ongoing evaluations for determining contaminants was performed. For the purposes of this risk assessment, contamination levels will be based upon criteria set forth in the NMOCD guidelines for soils. The contamination levels for groundwater will follow criteria set forth in the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) guidelines.

Due to the nature of ongoing pipeline operations, it is assumed that the constituents, detected in the soil, originated from the pipeline release. A total of 14 soil samples, obtained from the excavation and adjacent to the pipeline release, were analyzed for TPH using SW 846 Method 8015M and BTEX by SW 846 Method 8021B if the field PID reading exceeded 100ppm.

Ten soil borings were advanced at the site, with a total of 55 soil samples taken. The samples were analyzed for TPH using SW 846 Method 8015M and BTEX by SW 846 Method 8021B if the field PID reading exceeded 100ppm. Four monitor wells were advanced at the site, with a total of 48 soil samples taken. The samples were analyzed for TPH using SW 846 Method 8015M and BTEX by SW 846 Method 8021B if the field PID reading exceeded 100ppm TPH using SW 846. Two product recovery wells were advanced at the site, with a total of 25 soil samples taken. The samples were analyzed for TPH using SW 846 Method 8021B if the field PID reading exceeded 100ppm TPH using SW 846. Two product recovery wells were advanced at the site, with a total of 25 soil samples taken. The samples were analyzed for TPH using SW 846 Method 8021B if the field PID reading exceeded 100ppm TPH using SW 846 Method 8021B if the field PID reading exceeded 100ppm.

Once the monitor wells were installed, four groundwater samples were taken from the first permeable zone (53 to 57 feet bgs) to determine if the contamination had migrated to the groundwater. The samples were analyzed for BTEX by SW 846 Method 8021B, Metals by SW 846 Method 6010B, Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) by SW 846 Method 8270 and Cations, Anions and Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) by Methods 375.4, 325.3, 310 and 160.1. Additionally, a PSH sample was obtained from recovery well RW #1 and fingerprinted by GC/MS SW 846 Method 8270 to identify the hydrocarbon constituent.

7.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

7.1 DELINEATION OF NATURE, EXTENT, AND MAGNITUDE OF CONTAMINATION

The presence of petroleum-impacted soil was detected in the unsaturated and capillary fringe zones in both recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2 as well as the sidewalls of the excavation. The greatest impact in the unsaturated zone was detected at the depth of two feet bgs on the west wall of the Amoco pipeline excavation (SS04) where a TPH concentration of 25,199 mg/kg was measured in the soil sample (See Table 1). Visual observations of the soil samples indicated that this soil would not qualify as Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils as per NMOCD guidelines. Elevated levels of TPH were observed in the surface to 15 feet bgs in samples from the boring later completed as recovery well RW #1. Additionally, elevated levels of TPH were observed in the surface to 25 feet bgs in samples from the boring later completed as recovery well RW #2. None of these samples appear to qualify as Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils as described above.

At the recovery well RW #1 location, a TPH concentration of 17,244 mg/kg was measured in the soil sample collected at 55 feet bgs (See Table 1). At the recovery well RW #2 location, a TPH concentration of 4,632 mg/kg was measured in the soil sample collected at 55 feet bgs (See Table 1). These soil samples showed evidence of petroleum saturation based on the NMOCD guidelines for Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils.

With the exception of the two recovery wells and the adjacent release excavation area, Highly Contaminated/Saturated and Unsaturated/Contaminated Soils were not observed in any of the other soil samples. Therefore, it is assumed that the Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils and Unsaturated Contaminated Soils are limited to the area immediately adjacent to the release site.

The greatest soil impact within the capillary fringe zone was detected at the recovery well RW #1 location where the TPH concentration in the sample collected from 55 feet bgs was 17,244 mg/kg (See Table 1).

7.1.1 Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils

During the site investigation, soils that may be characterized by NMOCD guidelines as Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils were observed in recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2, adjacent to the pipeline at the leak site. These soils occurred in the capillary fringe zone, at a depth of 55 feet bgs.

7.1.2 Unsaturated Contaminated Soils

Surface soil samples that were taken from the walls of the excavation surrounding the pipeline on May 17, 2000 exhibited contamination that could be classified as Unsaturated/Contaminated Soils at a depth of two feet bgs. Soil samples that were also taken from a depth of two feet bgs from each

stockpile on May 17, 2000 exhibited contamination that could be classified as Unsaturated/Contaminated.

Soil samples taken from 0 to 15 feet bgs in recovery well RW #1 and from 0 to 25 feet bgs in recovery well RW #2 showed evidence of hydrocarbon contamination. These samples could be classified as Uncontaminated Saturated Soils under NMOCD guidelines.

7.1.3 Groundwater Contamination

The groundwater gradient, as depicted on Figure 3, slopes to the southeast at approximately 0.0017 feet per foot. The variations in gradient, as depicted on the map, are most likely a function of variations in lithology at the water table, and the presence of PSH within portions of the mapped area.

A plume of PSH is distributed in the subsurface from the point of release and down gradient of monitor well MW #2, southeast of the release site (See Figures 4,5,6). Monitor well MW #2 is located approximately 327 feet southeast and down gradient from the release site. The thickness of PSH is greatest in recovery well RW #1, where measured PSH thickness is 10.39 feet. Recovery well #2 has shown a consistent thickness of between 8.73 and 9.51 on four different measuring occasions. A thickness of 4.11 feet of PSH was detected in monitor well MW #2 when measured on November 15, 2000.

Dissolved phase petroleum constituents were detected in the sample from one of the monitor wells, MW #2. The sample was above the NMOCD standard for benzene. This well is located down gradient of recovery well RW #1. None of the other groundwater samples were in excess of NMWQCC standards for other petroleum constituents including naphthalene (see Tables 2, 3, 4).

Samples collected from monitor wells MW #1, MW #2, and MW #3 were in excess of NMWQCC standards for aluminum and iron. Groundwater samples from monitor wells MW #1 and MW #3 had levels of mercury slightly above the NMWQCC standard. The groundwater sample from monitor well MW #2 also had a level of manganese slightly above the NMWQCC standard.

All of the groundwater samples were non-detect for benzo-a-pyrene; however, the laboratory detection limit was 0.005 mg/L while the regulatory limit is 0.0007 mg/L. The analytical method used for this analysis is acceptable to the OCD and this detection limit is a function of this method. Therefore, it cannot be concluded that groundwater at the site does not exceed the regulatory limit for benzo-a-pyrene.

In the site monitor well groundwater samples, TDS concentrations range from 389 mg/L to 654 mg/L. New Mexico WQCC statute 20.6.2 Subpart III.3101 and OCD Rule 19 NMAC 15.A.19.A state that groundwater with a TDS concentration of less than 10,000 mg/L is considered to be of beneficial use and subject to abatement. Since all of the TDS sample concentrations from the site are below this value, the site groundwater qualifies for beneficial use and is subject to abatement.

7.1.4 Background (Up gradient) Sample Results

The groundwater sample from monitor well MW #1, the up gradient well, was in excess of NMWQCC standards for aluminum, iron and mercury (See Table 4).

7.2 IDENTIFICATION OF REMEDIAL ACTION LEVELS

7.2.1 Highly Contaminated/Saturated and Unsaturated Contaminated Soils

During the site investigation, soils that may be characterized by NMOCD guidelines as Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils were observed in recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2, adjacent to the pipeline at the leak site. These soils were identified in the capillary fringe zone of the recovery wells at 55 feet bgs.

Soils that may be characterized by NMOCD guidelines as Unsaturated Contaminated Soils were observed in recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2, adjacent to the pipeline at the leak site. These soils were identified at the surface to 15 feet bgs and 25 feet bgs, respectively.

Soils that may be characterized by NMOCD guidelines as Unsaturated Contaminated Soils were observed at the surface of the sidewalls in the excavation area at two feet bgs. The excavation area is adjacent to the pipelines at the leak site.

7.2.1.1 Site Ranking

The groundwater table occurs at a depth of approximately 53 to 57 feet bgs, however the presence of PSH on the groundwater in recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2 has been observed. Following NMOCD ranking guidelines, the site will have a ranking greater than 19 points.

The nearest water well is to the southeast, in excess of one-half mile away. The distance to the nearest surface water, not including man made excavations, is greater than 1,000 feet from the site. Therefore, these parameters have no bearing on determining the NMOCD ranking.

7.2.1.2 Remedial Action Levels

As per the NMOCD Guidelines (1993), the soil remediation action levels for a site with a Ranking Score of greater than 19 are as follows:

- Benzene 10 ppm
- BTEX 50 ppm
- TPH 100 ppm

7.2.2 Groundwater

The presence of PSH on the water table in recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2 indicates the need for groundwater remediation. The NMWQCC groundwater remediation levels are as follows:

- Benzene 0.01 mg/L
- Toluene 0.75 mg/L
- Ethyl Benzene 0.75 mg/L
- Total Xylenes 0.62 mg/L
- PAHs (total naphthalene) 0.03 mg/L
- Benzo-a-pyrene 0.0007 mg/L

7.3 COMPARISON TO REMEDIAL ACTION LEVELS

7.3.1 Highly Contaminated/Saturated and Unsaturated Contaminated Soils

The soil sample classified as Highly Contaminated/Saturated Soils, collected from recovery well RW #2, had a TPH level of 17,244 mg/kg, a BTEX concentration of 504.8 mg/kg and a Benzene concentration of 29.9 mg/kg. These levels far exceed the NMOCD regulatory action levels for this site of 100 ppm (mg/kg), 50 ppm and 10 ppm respectively.

The soil samples classified as Unsaturated Contaminated Soils, collected from the sidewalls of the excavation, had exhibited TPH levels of less than 122 mg/kg to 25,199 mg/kg, BTEX concentrations of less than 0.50 mg/l to 5.734 mg/kg and Benzene concentrations of less than 0.10 mg/kg to 0.123 mg/kg. The NMOCD regulatory action levels for this site are 100 ppm for TPH, 50 ppm for BTEX and 10 ppm for Benzene.

7.3.2 Groundwater

The groundwater is impacted at recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2, where PSH levels of 9.43 feet and 10.38 feet were measured on the water table (See Table 5). The dissolved phase concentration of Benzene is 0.711 mg/L, and the BTEX concentration is 1.87 mg/L. The NMWQCC regulatory limit in groundwater for Benzene is 0.01 mg/l and for BTEX is 2.13 mg/L. The occurrence of PSH and dissolved phase hydrocarbons in monitor well MW #2 far exceeds the NMWQCC regulatory limits.

8.0 CONCLUSIONS

8.1 DELINEATION OF CONTAMINANT IMPACTS/PLUME (S)

PSH has been encountered in recovery wells, RW #1 and RW #2, and the down gradient monitor well MW #2. The greatest level of impact is on recovery well RW #1, the down gradient recovery well, approximately 63 feet southeast of the leak site. PSH thickness is measured at 10.36 feet in recovery well RW #2, trending to 0.59 feet in measured thickness at monitor well MW #2.

Monitor well MW #4, which is located 150 feet southeast (down gradient) from monitor well MW #2, has exhibited no levels of PSH or dissolved phase hydrocarbons in the groundwater.

8.1.1 Onsite Impacts from Release

Localized groundwater contamination and PSH impact have been observed in the recovery wells, RW #1 and RW #2. The up gradient monitor well MW #1, is devoid of any hydrocarbon impact.

8.1.2 Offsite Impacts from Release

Both PSH and dissolved phase hydrocarbon constituents have been observed in monitor well MW #2. Monitor well MW #2 is located on property immediately adjacent to the property on which the release point is located. No other offsite impacts have been identified in monitor wells MW 3# and MW #4 at this time.

8.1.3 Impacts from Up Gradient/Offsite Releases

The lack of identified petroleum-based contaminants in the up gradient monitor well, MW #1, indicates that there is no up gradient source causing impact to the referenced site.

8.1.4 Evaluation of Appropriate Plume(s) Boundaries

Based upon the analytical data obtained from both the recovery wells RW #1 and RW #2 and the monitor wells, MW #1, MW #2, MW #3 and MW #4, the down gradient extent of the PSH plume has been inferred. The up gradient extent has also been inferred. An accurate estimation of the width of the plume cannot be made with the existing data. The inferred plume at the site is depicted on Figure 4, the PSH Thickness Map.

8.2 MIGRATION OF CONTAMINANT PLUME (S)

8.2.1 Future Extent of Contamination

The installation of an automated recovery system for the PSH observed in recovery wells RW #1, RW #2 and Monitor well #2 would remove the PSH that is present on the water table at the site. This reduction in source contamination will slow or halt the extension of the PSH plume further down
gradient.

Further monitoring of the groundwater in the down gradient monitor wells, MW #2, MW #3 and MW #4, will identify any change in the contaminant plume size.

8.2.2 Evaluation of Future Offsite Impacts

The down gradient monitor wells, MW #2, MW #3 and MW #4, occur offsite. Monitor well MW #2 has observable PSH at this time. Ongoing monitoring of the down gradient monitor wells will identify any spread of the PSH and/or dissolved phase hydrocarbons. The installation of up gradient recovery systems for the PSH observed in the recovery wells will limit the source of contamination. This action will limit or halt the spread of the contaminate plume.

8.3 EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

8.3.1 Current Exposures

8.3.1.1 Onsite Receptors

Potential pathways for onsite receptors include direct contact with surface soils, direct contact with exposed subsurface soils, volatilization from surface soils, volatilization from subsurface soils, uptake/assimilation from surface soils and direct contact with groundwater. The exposure routes are as follows:

• Direct Contact:

Soil was classified as Highly Saturated/Contaminated and Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing. Analysis of site soils has determined that not all contaminated soil was removed.

• With Surface Soil:

Surface soil is assumed to be that interval which is from 0 to 2 feet bgs. Based on the definition of their duties, it is assumed that remedial workers, construction workers, and sampling/environmental technicians may be exposed to surface soil. Therefore, this pathway is potentially complete for these receptors.

Based on site maps, no residents live in the immediate area of contamination. Therefore, this pathway is considered incomplete for residents.

• With Subsurface Soil:

Subsurface soil is assumed to be that interval which is deeper than 2 feet bgs.

Based on the definition of their duties, it is assumed that remedial workers, construction workers and environmental technicians may come into contact with subsurface soil. Therefore, this pathway is potentially complete for these receptors.

It is assumed that maintenance work will not occur at a depth greater than 2 feet bgs. This pathway is therefore assumed to be incomplete for environmental technicians performing maintenance duties.

Based on site maps, no residents live in the immediate area of contamination. Therefore, this pathway is considered incomplete for residents.

Volatilization from Surface Soil:

Surface soil is assumed to be that interval which is from 0 to 2 feet (bgs). Soil was classified as Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing, indicating that some of the contaminants are volatile organic compounds (VOCs). This site investigation has confirmed that not all contaminated soil was removed.

• <u>To Ambient Air:</u>

Based on this information, this pathway is considered potentially complete for the following receptors: remediation workers, construction workers and sampling/environmental technicians.

Based on site maps, no residents live in the immediate area of contamination. Therefore, this pathway is considered incomplete for residents.

Volatilization from Subsurface Soil:

Subsurface soil is assumed to be that interval which is deeper than 2 feet bgs. Soil was classified as Highly Saturated/Contaminated and Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing, indicating that some of the contaminants are volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Further sampling and testing has confirmed that not all contaminated soil was removed.

• <u>To Ambient Air:</u>

Based on this information, this pathway is considered complete for the following receptors: remediation workers, construction workers, and sampling/environmental technicians.

Based on site maps, no residents live in the immediate area of contamination. Therefore, this pathway is considered incomplete for residents.

• Uptake/Assimilation via Surface Soil:

Surface soil is assumed to be that interval which is from 0 to 2 feet bgs. Soil was classified as Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing. Until further remediation occurs, contaminated surface soil is present.

• From Livestock:

If contaminated surface soil is present, those compounds which bioaccumulate will be found in any livestock that may be grazed on the land. At this time, no livestock are grazed on this land. Therefore, the potential pathway is incomplete.

• Direct Contact with Groundwater:

It has been determined that groundwater at the site is contaminated. Sampling/environmental technicians have the potential to come in direct contact with the groundwater when sampling an/or gauging occurs. Therefore, the pathway is considered potentially complete for sampling/environmental technicians.

8.3.1.2 Offsite Receptors

Offsite impact to the groundwater has occurred at the site. Therefore, potential pathways for offsite exposure exist and are as follows:

• Infiltration/Migration to Shallow Groundwater:

Contamination of shallow groundwater does exist offsite. The documented plume of contamination has not spread down gradient to any domestic use water wells. Therefore, the pathway is considered incomplete.

• Infiltration/Migration to a Potable Aquifer:

Based upon NMWQCC guidelines the groundwater at the site is considered of beneficial use and therefore a potable aquifer. Contamination of the shallow groundwater does exist offsite. The documented plume of contamination has not spread down gradient to any domestic use water wells. Therefore, the pathway is considered incomplete.

If future unrestricted use residents draw irrigation water from this shallow aquifer, they could be exposed to contamination. Therefore, this pathway must be considered potentially complete. Because residents do not live in the site area, exposure to humans via this pathway is not currently viable.

8.3.2 Future Potential Exposures

8.3.2.1 Onsite Receptors

<u>Direct Contact:</u>

Soil was classified as Highly Saturated/Contaminated and Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing. Analysis of site soils has determined that not all contaminated soil was removed.

With Surface Soil:

Surface soil is assumed to be that interval which is from 0 to 2 feet bgs. Based on the definition of their duties, it is assumed that remedial workers, construction workers, and sampling/environmental technicians may be exposed to surface soil. Therefore, this pathway is potentially complete for these receptors.

Future unrestricted use residents could build houses or other structures on the site. If the future unrestricted use residents do any type of landscaping or yard work, they could be exposed to surface soil. This pathway is considered potentially complete for future residents.

• With Subsurface Soil:

Subsurface soil is assumed to be that interval which is deeper than 2 feet bgs.

Based on the definition of their duties, it is assumed that remedial workers, construction workers and environmental technicians may come into contact with subsurface soil. Therefore, this pathway is potentially complete for these receptors.

It is assumed that maintenance work will not occur at a depth greater than 2 feet bgs. This pathway is therefore assumed to be incomplete for environmental technicians performing maintenance duties.

Future unrestricted use residents could build houses or other structures on the site. If the future unrestricted use residents do any extensive home improvement or plant certain types of vegetation, such as large trees or bushes, they could be exposed to subsurface soil. This pathway is considered potentially complete.

<u>Volatilization from Surface Soil:</u>

Surface soil is assumed to be that interval which is from 0 to 2 feet (bgs). Soil was classified as Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing, indicating that some of the contaminants are volatile organic compounds (VOCs). This site investigation has confirmed that not all contaminated soil was removed.

• <u>To Ambient Air:</u>

Based on this information, this pathway is considered potentially complete for the following receptors: remediation workers, construction workers and sampling/environmental technicians.

Future unrestricted use residents could build houses or other structures on the site. If the future unrestricted use residents do any type of landscaping or yard work, they could be exposed to surface soil. This pathway is considered potentially complete for future residents.

Volatilization from Subsurface Soil:

Subsurface soil is assumed to be that interval which is deeper than 2 feet bgs. Soil was classified as Highly Saturated/Contaminated and Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing, indicating that some of the contaminants are volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Further sampling and testing has confirmed that not all contaminated soil was removed.

• <u>To Ambient Air:</u>

Based on this information, this pathway is considered complete for the following receptors: remediation workers, construction workers, and sampling/environmental technicians.

Future unrestricted use residents could build houses or other structures on the site. If the future unrestricted use residents do any extensive home improvement or plant certain types of vegetation, such as large trees or bushes, they could be exposed to subsurface soil. This pathway is considered potentially complete for future residents.

Uptake/Assimilation via Surface Soil:

Surface soil is assumed to be that interval which is from 0 to 2 feet bgs. Soil was classified as Unsaturated Contaminated as per NMOCD guidelines based on analytical testing. Until further remediation occurs, contaminated surface soil is present. If contaminated surface soil is present, those compounds which bioaccumulate will be found in any livestock that may be grazed on the land.

• From Livestock:

If contaminated surface soil is present, those compounds which bioaccumulate will be found in any livestock that may be grazed on the land.

It is possible that future unrestricted use residents will raise livestock on the contaminated soil. Therefore, this pathway is potentially complete for unrestricted use residents.

• Direct Contact with Groundwater:

It has been determined that groundwater at the site is contaminated. Until remediation removes the identified contaminates from the groundwater, sampling/environmental technicians have the potential to come in direct contact with the groundwater when sampling an/or gauging occurs. Therefore, the pathway is considered potentially complete for sampling/environmental technicians.

8.3.2.2 Offsite Receptors

Offsite impact to the groundwater has occurred at the site. Therefore, potential pathways for offsite exposure exist and are as follows:

Infiltration/Migration to Shallow Groundwater:

Contamination of shallow groundwater does exist offsite. The documented plume of contamination has not spread down gradient to any domestic use water wells. Therefore, the pathway is considered incomplete.

• Infiltration/Migration to a Potable Aquifer:

Based upon NMWQCC guidelines the groundwater at the site is considered of beneficial use and therefore a potable aquifer. Contamination of the shallow groundwater does exist offsite. The documented plume of contamination has not spread down gradient to any domestic use water wells. Therefore, the pathway is considered incomplete.

If future unrestricted use residents draw irrigation water from this shallow aquifer, they could be exposed to contamination. Therefore, this pathway must be considered potentially complete.

These pathways were thoroughly evaluated for completeness and applicability based on known and potential receptor behavior patterns. However, significant data gaps are present. Once information is supplied to fill the data gaps, modification of the exposure pathways may occur.

CONCLUSIONS

This exposure assessment is intended to evaluate the potential for site-specific receptors to be exposed to the contaminants at the site. Based on the analytical data, the assumed contaminants are TPH and BTEX. Several different receptor populations were addressed based on the likely activities that are currently conducted or may be conducted in the future at the site or in areas impacted by contamination generated at the site. Based on the assumptions in the text, the following list highlights the exposure pathways by which each receptor could be exposed.

• A remedial/construction worker could only be exposed to the contaminants via volatilization from surface soil to ambient air; volatilization from subsurface soil to ambient air; and direct contact with both surface and subsurface soil.

- A sampling/environmental technician could only be exposed to the contaminants via volatilization from surface soil to ambient air; volatilization from subsurface soil to ambient air; direct contact with surface soil; and exposure to groundwater when sampling and/or gauging occurs.
- No complete pathways are present for recreational users.
- A future unrestricted use resident could only be exposed to the contaminants via infiltration/migration to shallow groundwater; volatilization from surface soil to ambient air; volatilization from subsurface soil to ambient air; direct contact with both surface and subsurface soil; uptake/assimilation via surface soil by livestock; and uptake/assimilation via shallow groundwater from onsite irrigation of plants/crops.

Only the complete pathways listed above need to be considered in the quantitative risk assessment that follows this exposure assessment. These pathways are predicated on the accuracy of the assumptions listed in the text. Once the accuracy has been determined, these exposure pathways will be finalized.

8.3.3 Site Conceptual Exposure Model

Based on the field activities, the contamination delineation, soil and groundwater classification, receptor and migration pathway identification, past history, and land use information documented in this report, a site conceptual exposure model (SCEM) was developed and is presented as Figure 7, current exposure. All potentially complete exposure pathways are addressed qualitatively, based on current and realistic future exposure scenarios.

An exposure pathway describes a specific environmental transport pathway by which receptor populations can be potentially exposed to the contaminates present at or originating from the site. An exposure pathway consists of four necessary elements:

- A source and mechanism of chemical release to the environment
- An environmental retention or transport medium for the released chemical
- A point of potential human contact with the medium and the receptors located at these points
- A human uptake route (intake of media containing site-related chemicals) at the point of exposure

All four elements must be present for an exposure pathway to be complete and for exposure to occur. If any one of the four elements is absent, the pathway is incomplete and no exposure can occur. A quantitative assessment of exposure will occur at a later date based on this exposure assessment and the results of subsequent field activities.

The results of the qualitative and quantitative exposure assessments will be used to make health riskbased decisions at the site.

9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 MONITORING PROGRAM

All site monitoring wells will be gauged and sampled on a quarterly basis. Each well will be measured for the depth to PSH and/or groundwater. All of the groundwater monitoring wells, with the exception of those with measurable PSH on the water table, will be purged and sampled for BTEX and TPH.

After purging the wells, groundwater samples will be collected with a disposable Teflon sampler and polyethylene line by personnel wearing clean, disposable gloves. Groundwater sample containers will be filled in the order of decreasing volatilization sensitivity (i.e., BTEX containers will be filled first and PAH containers second).

Groundwater samples collected for BTEX analysis will be placed in 40 ml glass VOA vials equipped with Teflon lined caps. The analytical laboratory will provide the containers. The vials will be filled to a positive meniscus, sealed, and visually checked to ensure the absence of air bubbles. The analytical laboratory will provide the containers.

The filled containers will be labeled and placed on ice in an insulated cooler. The cooler will be sealed for transportation to the analytical laboratory. Proper chain-of-custody documentation will be maintained throughout the sampling process.

The groundwater samples will be analyzed as follows:

- BTEX concentrations in accordance with EPA Method 8021B, 5030
- TPH concentrations in accordance with modified EPA Method 8015-GRO/DRO

The quarterly data will be compiled and summarized in an annual report. The annual report will be submitted prior to April 1 of the following year.

9.2 FUTURE ACTIVITIES

At the present time, installation of automated skimmer systems is planned for recovery wells, RW #1 and RW #2 and monitor well MW #2. These recovery systems will allow the removal of PSH from these wells on a 24-hour basis once power is provided to the site. This system will be operational by Fall 2001 and will continue until measurable PSH has been removed from the site's recovery wells.

The first sampling event of the monitor wells occurred in May 2000. Subsequent quarterly sampling event will be conducted in November of the year 2000. The annual report will be provided to the NMOCD prior to April 1, 2001.

A Stage 2 abatement report, which will address the impacted soil and groundwater, will be provided in the near future. Based on site conditions, future activities will include the abatement and groundwater and the remediation of soil as appropriate. Details of these remedial activities will be provided under separate cover.

Upon completion of remedial activities at the site, a NFA closure request will be submitted to the NMOCD for approval.

10. REFERENCES

- NMOCD <u>Guidelines For Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases</u>, August 1993 (NMOCD, 1993)
- 2. Title 19 NMAC 15.A.19
- 3. Title 20 NMAC 6.2.III.3103

DISTRIBUTION

Copies 1&2:	Mr. William C. Olson/Randy Bayliss New Mexico Oil Conservation Division-District 4 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
Copy 3:	Chris Williams New Mexico Oil Conservation Division-District 1 1625 French Drive Hobbs, New Mexico 88240
Copy 4:	Cutty Cunningham Enron Transportation and Services Company P. O. Box 1188 (3AC3143) Houston, Texas 77251-1188
Copy 5:	Mike Kelly EOTT Energy Corp. P.O. Box 4666 Houston, Texas 77210-4666
Сору 6:	Wayne Brunette Enron Transportation and Services Company P.O. Box 1660 Midland, Texas 79701-1660
Copy 7:	Environmental Technology Group, Inc. 4600 West Wall Street Midland, Texas 79703
Copy 8:	Environmental Technology Group, Inc. 2540 West Marland Hobbs, New Mexico 88240
Copy Numbe	ат: <u>Д</u>

1

٧ tenalds amille

Quality Control Review

TABLES

CONCENTRATIONS OF TPH & BTEX IN SOIL

EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

All concentrations are in mg/kg

		I Method	s: EPA SW 846-802	21B, 5030			SW 846-802	1B, 5030		
SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE LOCATION	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀	DRO >C ₁₀ -C ₂₈	TPH C6-C28	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	M,P- XYLENES	0- XYLENES	втех
05/17/2000	SS01 North Wall Pipeline 2'	<10	<10	<20	<0.100	0.122	<0.100	0.121	<0.100	0.243
05/17/2000	SS02 North Wall West 2'	594	13720	14314	<0.100	0.136	<0.100	0.147	0.112	0.395
05/17/2000	SS03 North Wall East 2'	12	5284	5296	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100
05/17/2000	SS04 West Wall A. Pipeline 2'	661	24538	25199	<0.100	0.173	0.171	0.203	0.341	0.888
05/17/2000	SS05 East Wall A. Pipeline 2'	626	19579	20205	<0.100	0.282	0.188	0.335	0.272	1.077
05/17/2000	SS06 South Wall West 2'	561	8977	9538	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	0.279	0.162	0.441
05/17/2000	SS07 South Wall East 2'	902	3656	4558	<0.100	0.254	0.184	1.830	1.420	3.688
05/17/2000	SS08 South Wall Pipeline 2'	<10	197	197	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100
05/17/2000	SS09 Stock Pile B NW	381	8749	9130	0.123	2.210	1.080	1.640	0.681	5.734
05/17/2000	SS10 Stock Pile B NE 1'	451	7743	8194	<0.100	0.199	0.339	0.361	0.303	1.202
05/17/2000	SS11 Stock Pile B SW 1'	199	6863	7062	<0.100	0.142	0.259	0.243	0.250	0.894
05/17/2000	SS12 Stock Pile B SE 1'	243	7697	7940	<0.100	<0.100	0.467	0.371	0.353	1.191
05/17/2000	SS13 Stock Pile A South 1'	<10	933	933	<0.100	0.250	<0.100	0.164	<0.100	0.414
05/17/2000	SS14 Stock Pile A North 1'	<10	112	112	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	0.172	<0.100	0.172
06/01/2000	RW1 5' C	60	823	883	<0.100	1.770	0.836	5.340	0.965	8.91
	RW1 10' SS	781	2257	3038	<0.100	16.30	9.34	41.90	13.40	80.94
	RW1 15' C	81	965	1046	<0.100	3.40	1.59	6.64	2.47	14.10
	RW1 20' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW1 25' SS	<10	<10	<20						

EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

All concentrations are in mg/kg

		Method	s: EPA SW 846-802	1 B , 5030		57	SW 846-8021	IB, 5030		
SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE LOCATION	GRO Ce-C10	DRO >C ₁₀ -C ₂₈	TPH C ₆ -C ₂₈	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	M,P- XYLENES	0- O	втех
	RW1 30' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW1 35' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW1 40' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW1 45' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW1 50' SS	454	1264	1718	<0.100	3.34	3.28	12.10	4.45	23.17
	RW1 55' SS	7092	10152	17244	29.90	169.00	63.80	183.00	59.10	504.80
	RW1 60' SS	388	1227	1615	<0.100	4.37	3.02	9.97	3.66	21.02
							0	000	0	00 01
06/01/2000	RW2 5' C	910	2850	3760	<0.100	11.10	4.76	19.30	8.12	43.28
	RW2 10' C	515	2041	2556	<0.100	5.54	2.99	11.90	4.43	24.86
	RW2 15' C	1456	4764	6220	0.666	14.30	6.05	24.70	9.15	54.87
	RW2 20' C	563	2857	3420	<0.100	5.00	2.95	12.20	4.65	24.80
	RW2 25' SS	<10	102	<20						
	RW2 30' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW2 35' SS	<10	32	32						
	RW2 40' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW2 45' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	RW2 50' SS	53	648	701						01 00
	RW2 55' SS	916	3716	4632	<0.100	4.90	3.60	8.06	4.20	20./6
	RW2 60' SS	12	285	297						
	RW2 65' SS	<10	221	221						
06/02/2000	SB1 5' C	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 10' C	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 15' C	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 20' C	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 25' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 30' C	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 35' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 40' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 45' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 50' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 55' SS	<10	<10	<20						
	SB1 57' SS	<10	<10	<20						
06/05/2000	SB2C 5'	<10	<10	<20						

EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

All concentrations are in mg/kg

		Method	s: EPA SW 846-802	1 B, 5 030			SW 846-8021	IB, 5030		
SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE LOCATION	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀	DRO >C ₁₀ -C ₂₈	TPH C ₆ -C ₂₈	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	M,P- XYLENES	0- XYLENES	BTEX
	SB2C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB2SS 15'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB2SS 20'	<10	<10	<20		_				
	SB2SS 25'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB2SS 30'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB2SS 35'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB2SS 40'	<10	<10	<20		-				
	SB2SS 45'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB2SS 50'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB2SS 57'	<10	<10	<20						
06/05/2000	SB3C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 20'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 25'	<10	<10	<20				_		
	SB3C 30'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 35'	<10	<10	<20			-			
	SB3C 40'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 45'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 50'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB3C 60'	<10	<10	<20						
06/06/2000	SB4C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB4C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB4C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
06/06/2000	SB5C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB5C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB5C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
06/06/2000	SB6C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB6C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB6C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
06/06/2000	SB7C 5'	<10	<10	<20		-				

EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

All concentrations are in mg/kg

		T Method	s: EPA SW 846-802	11B, 5030			SW 846-802	1B, 5030		
SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE LOCATION	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀	DRO >C ₁₀ -C ₂₈	TPH C ₆ -C ₂₈	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	M,P- XYLENES	O- O-	втех
	SB7C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB7C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
			CF,	001						
06/06/2000	SBBC 5'	012		07						
	SB8C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB8C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
06/06/2000	SB9C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB9C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB9C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
06/06/2000	SB10C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB10C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	SB10C 15'									T
		<10	<10	<20						
06/06/2000	MW1C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1C 20'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1SS 25'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1SS 30'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1SS 35'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1SS 40'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1SS 45'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1SS 52'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW1C 65'	<10	<10	<20						
06/12/2000	MW2C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW2C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW2C 15'	<10	<10	<20					_	
	MW2C 20'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW2C 25'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW2C 30'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW2C 35'	<10	<10	<20	_					
	MW2C 40'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW2C 45'	<10	<10	<20						

EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

All concentrations are in mg/kg

		Methods	s: EPA SW 846-802	21B, 5030			SW 846-802	1B, 5030		
SAMPLE DATE	SAMPLE LOCATION	GRO C ₆ -C ₁₀	DRO >C ₁₀ -C ₂₈	трн с ₆ -с ₂₈	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL- BENZENE	M,P-	0- XYLENES	втех
	MW2C 55'	15	2251	2266	<0.100	0.724	<0.100	1.27	0.318	2.312
	MW2C 65'	<10	229	229						
				Q						
06/12/2000	MW3C 5'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 10'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 15'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 20'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 25	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 30'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 35	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 40'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 45'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 50'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW3C 55'	<10	<10	<20					_	
	MW3C 65'	<10	<10	<20						
07/11/2000	MW4 0-2'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 3-5'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 6-10'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 13-15'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 18-20'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 23-25'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 28-30'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 33-35'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 38-40'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 43-45'	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 48-50'	<10	<10	<20					-	
	MW4 53-55	<10	<10	<20						
	MW4 58-60'	<10	<10	<20						

TPH, BTEX Soil

Page 5

CHEMICAL CONCENTRATIONS IN GROUNDWATER

EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

All concentrations are in mg/L

	TDS	431				559	395				654	389				399	
25.3, 310. 160.	Bicarbonate	144		-		220	187				350	166				227	
PA 375.4, 32	Carbonate	0				0	0				<5	0				<5	
Aethods: E	Chloride	48				87	35				71	40				27	
	Sulfate	122.3				100.9	80.2				154	137				69.4	
	втех	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1.87	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
	0- XYLENES	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.100	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
1B, 5030	M,P- XYI ENES	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.441	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
SW 846-802	ETHYL- BENZENF	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.143	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.475	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
	RENZENE	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.711	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
	SAMPLE	MW-1	MW-1	MW-1	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	MW-3	MW-3	MW-3	MW-4	MW-4	MW-4	MW-4	MW-4	MW-7	
	SAMPLE	06/16/2000	09/13/2000	11/15/2000	02/14/2001	06/16/2000	06/16/2000	09/13/2000	11/15/2000	02/14/2001	05/05/2000	07/14/2000	09/13/2000	11/15/2000	02/14/2001	05/05/2000	

CONCENTRATIONS OF SEMI-VOLATILES IN GROUNDWATER

ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM

All soil concentrations are in mg/kg All water concentrations are in mg/L

	9n9lYı9q[i,h,g]ozn9B	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	Dibenz(a,h]anthracene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	ənəזyq(bɔ-ɛ,ᡗ,෦]onəbnl	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	Benzo(a]pyrene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	Benzo[k]fluoranthene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	Benzo[b]fluoranthene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
3510	Сһгузепе	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
8270C, 3	9n9วธาก่ากธ[ธ]ozn98	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
SW846-	Ругеле	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
EPA	Fluoranthene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	ənəɔsırtinA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	Phenanthrene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	Fluorene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	ənəririqsnəcA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	Acenaphthylene	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	ənəlsritiqsV	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005			
	SAMPLE TYPE	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water			
	SAMPLE LOCATION	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4	MW-4			
	SAMPLE DATE	06/16/2000	06/16/2000	06/16/2000	05/05/2000	07/14/2000			

Semi-Volatiles

CONCENTRATIONS OF METALS IN GROUNDWATER

EOTT Energy Corp. DARR ANGELL DENTON #2 LEA COUNTY, NM ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

All soil concentrations are in mg/kg All water concentrations are in mg/L

2
бш
Е.
are
ons
trati
сел
сo
/ater

T	T	Toil	liol	m		T.	-T	1	-		Ē	
	Strontium	0.482	0.855	0.693	0.828		0.42					
	Вогол	0.158	0.168	0.145	0.204		0.1.00					
	Sinc	0.045	0.144	0.088	<0.02		20.02					
	muibensV	0.063	0.088	0.109	0.03							
	niT	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<u>6</u>						
	muibo2	55.4	73.4	56	71.3	5	54.7					
	Silver	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	CON-D	<u>€00.0</u> >					
	muinələ2	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	CON DY	<0.005			-		
	muizestog	5.52	7.63	9.31	3.68	7.1.1	3.12					
	Nickel	0.026	0.034	0.037	<0.01	0.043	€0.01 10			T	ſ	
	Molybdenum	<0.05	<0.05	<0.50	<0.05	n <u>q</u> 0.0>	€0.05					
B. 7470	Мегсигу	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.0002	<0.002	0.0002					1
46-6010	əsənspnsM	0.114	0.194	0.207	0.015 <	0.287	0.039 <		t	t		
PA SW8	นกเรอบอัย _่ M	20.6	28.3	31.5	23.5	48.1	12.1					
<u>u</u>	рвэд	<0.003	0.003	0.007	¢0.003	0.008	<0.003	T	T		+	
	Iron	5.84	6.46	10.6	0.057	18.45	0.342		T			
	Copper	<0.01	0.01	0.011	<0.01	0.052	<u>≤0.01</u>		T	T		
	Cobalt	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	<0.02			T		
	Chromium	0.026	0.034	0.041	<0.005	0.045	<0.005		T			
	muioleO	242	405	681	135	702	78.2	T				
	muimbsD	0.002	0.02	0.002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001					-
	muiitynaa	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004					
	Barium	0.203	0.355	0.382	0.155	1.15	0.086	T				
	SinezvA	0.008	0.008	0.011	<0.005	0.013	0.006				Ī	
	munimulA	8.5	10.3	17	0.074	27.4	0.647					
	SAMPLE TYPE	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water					
	SAMPLE	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	A1W-4	MW-4	MW-7					
	SAMPLE DATE L	00/16/2000	96/16/2000	06/16/2000	05/05/2000	07/14/2000	05/05/2000					

Metals

Page 1

TABLE 5

GROUND WATER ELEVATION ANNUAL REPORT

EOTT ENERGY CORPORATION DARR ANGELL 2 LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO ETGI PROJECT # EOT2020R

		CASING				CORRECTED
WELL	DATE	WELL	DEPTH TO	DEPTH TO	PSH	GROUND WATER
NUMBER	MEASURED	ELEVATION	PRODUCT	WATER	THICKNESS	ELEVATION
MW - 1	06/16/2000	3,788.04	-	57.35	0.00	3,730.69
	07/31/2000	3,790.14	-	57.39	0.00	3,732.75
	09/13/2000	3,788.04	-	57.46	0.00	3,730.58
	11/15/2000	3,788.04	-	57.61	0.00	3,730.43
	02/14/2001	3,788.04	-	57.44	0.00	3,730.60
MW - 2	06/16/2000	3,788.41	-	58.62	0.00	3,729.79
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	07/31/2000	3,790.51	58.57	59.16	0.59	3,731.85
	09/13/2000	3,788.41	58.44	60.13	1.20	3,729.30
	11/15/2000	3,788.41	58.15	62.26	4.11	3,729.64
	02/14/2001	3,788.41	57.94	64.26	6.32	3,729.52
MW - 3	06/16/2000	3,787.94	-	58.27	0.00	3,729.67
	07/31/2000	3,790.04	-	58.31	0.00	3,731.73
	09/13/2000	3,787.94	-	58.38	0.00	3,729.56
	11/15/2000	3,787.94	-	58.48	0.00	3,729.46
	02/14/2001	3,787.94	-	58.48	0.00	3,729.46
MW-4	07/31/2000	3,789.86	-	58.26	0.00	3,731.60
	09/13/2000	3,787.76	-	58.32	0.00	3,729.44
·····	11/15/2000	3,787.76	-	58.41	0.00	3,729.35
-	02/14/2001	3,787.76		58.40	0.00	3,732.09
RW - 1	06/16/2000	3,789.45	55.49	65.63	10.14	3,732.44
	07/31/2000	3,789.93	55.5	65.88	10.38	3,732.49
	09/13/2000	3,789.45	55.53	65.92	10.39	3,732.36
	11/15/2000	3,789.45	55.68	66.08	10.4	3,732.21
	02/14/2001	3,789.45	55.8	66.19	10.39	3,732.09
RW - 2	06/16/2000	3,787.83	55.98	64.71	8.73	3,730.54
	07/31/2000	3,789.93	55.92	65.35	9.43	3,732.60
	09/13/2000	3,787.83	55.93	65.42	9.49	3,730.48
	11/15/2000	3,787.83	56.06	65.57	9.51	3,730.34
	02/14/2001	3,787.83	56.18	65.43	9.25	3,730.26

FIGURES



NW 1/4 of NE 1/4 of Sec 14 T15S R37E

SW 1/4 of SE1/4 of Sec 11 T15S R37E

EOTT Energy Corp. Darr Angeil # 2 Lea County, NM

Prep By JDJ Checked By HBE

August 20, 2000 ETGI Propert # EDT 2020C

flcale: 1"+2000"











Receptor/Exposure Route Offsite Resident Worker Visitor	Indestion Indestion * Indestion Inhalation Inhalation * Inhalation Dermal * Dermal	Indestion Indestion * Indestion Inhalation Inhalation Dermal * Dermal	Ingestion Indestion Indestion Inhalation Dermal Dermal X Dermal	Indestion Indestion Indestion Inhalation Dermal Dermal		Environmental Technology Environmental Technology Group, Inc. State: NTS Prep By: RS Checked By: BA August 24, 2000 ETGI Project # EOT 2020R
Release Mechanism	Direct	Direct	Direct Contact	Direct Contact		litions
Secondary Source	Subsurface Soils	Groundwater	Surface Soils	Air	ete pathways	ure 7 • Model for Present Conc arr Angell # 2 Lovington, NM
Release Mechanism	Infiltration Mixing	Leaching	Surface Runoff	Volatilization	otes potentially comple	Fig te Conceptual Exposure E.O.T.T. Energy Corp. D
Primary Source		Pipeline	Leak		Note: "*" denc	Ø

j

Į

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

· -

. ---

·· ·----

-- -- -----

WATER WELL INVENTORY

	Ne	w Mexic Well	<i>o Offic</i> Report
Township:	15S R	ange: 37	E St
NAD27 X		Y:	
County: LE	Bas	in:	
Owner Name: (Firs	st)		(Last)
			WATE:
Well Number L 02317 APPRO	(quarters Tws 15S	are bi Rng Se 37E 11	ggest t c q q q 1 1

Ŀ

01182 APPRO

State Engineer Iownloads	
11,14	
Search Radius:	
Number: Suffix:	
O Non-Domestic O Domestic	

_

MN REPORT	08/13/20	00		
lest)		Depth	Depth	Wat
e X	Y	Well	Water	Colu
		110	65	
		110	. 35	
		120		
		120	33	.*
•		120	32	
		100		
		120	40.	
		120	32	
		120	32	
		120	50	
		80	37	
		136	40	
		121	37	
		120	32	
		120	70	

11	UIIUZ AFERO	100	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	-	-	÷-
Г	01322 APPRO	15S	37E 11	1	1	2
L	01430 APPRO	15S	37E 11	1	2	
L	01324 APPRO	155	37E 11	2	1	
L	07610	. 15S.	37E 11	2	3	
L	01283 APPRO	155	37E 11	2	3	
L	01321 APPRO	15S	37E 11	2	4	
L	01323 APPRO	15s	37E 11	2	4	
L	01117 APPRO	1.5.5	37E 11	2	4	3
L	02391 APPRO	15S	37E 11	3	3	3
L	07665	155	37E 11	4	4	4
L	01199 APPRO	15S	37E 14	l	4	2
L	01080 APPRO	155	37E 14	2	2	1
L	01045 APPRO	15S	37E 14	2	3	1
Red	cord Count: 15					

37E 11

15S

111

.../awd.html?email_address=etgi@leaco.net&tws=1: =37E&sec=11%2C14&X=&Y=&Z=&8/13/00

APPENDIX B BORING LOGS

1














e Grained, Well Sorted with Calcihe Nodules, Very Fine Grained, Well Sorted with Caliche		ppm obtained with a photo-ionization detector.	Soil Boring Details Date Defect 06/06/00 Plugged - Surface to TD with Bentinetie and hydrated with descripted valuer	Environmental Technology Group, Inc. Av. N. 200 [Claimped a for 2020]
I Boring SB - 8 BS Legend Sand-(SP) - Tan, Very Fin Sand-(SP) - Red to Brown Nodules		PID Head-space reading in Indicates samples select Iaboratory analysis.		Lea County, NM
PID Reading Not	0	٢	00	Soil Boring Log Detai Soil Boring SB - 8 Corp. Darr Angell #2
oil			P	Energy C





APPENDIX C

WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAMS













APPENDIX D

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

May 17 00 08:18a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/Iced/HCI/47 deg. F Project #: EOT 1020R Project Name: Darr Angel Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 05/05/00 Receiving Date: 05/05/00 Analysis Date: 05/05/00

ELT#	FIELD CODE	BENZENE mg/L	TOLUENE mg/L	ETHYLBENZENE mg/L	m,p-XYLENE mg/L	o-XYLENE mg/L
25565	MW 4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
25566	MW 7	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

% IA	105	100	103	113	102
%EA	101	96	98	105	97
BLANK	<0.001	<0.001	<0,001	<0.001	<0.001

METHODS: SW 846-8021 B,5030

ريائعكم 和即

Umesh Rao, Ph. D.

5/17/00 Date

May 17 00 08:18a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP. INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 505-392-3760 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 47 deg. F Project #: EOT 1020R Project Name: Darr Angel Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 05/05/00 Receiving Date: 05/05/00 Analysis Date: See Below

ELT#	FIELD CODE	Sulfate mg/L	Chloride mg/L	Carbonate mg/L	Bicarbonale mg/L	TDS mg/L	
25565	MW-4	154	71	<5	350	654	
25556	MW-7	69.4	27	<5	227	399	
							•

QUALITY CONTROL	56.4	5140	•	×	*
TRUE VALUE	50.0	5000		•	×
% PRECISION	113	103	×	×	*
ANALYSIS DATE	05/10/0	05/09/00	05/10/00	05/10/00	05/09/00

METHODS: EPA 375.4, 325.3, 310, 160.1

Umesh Rao, Ph. D

DÛ

May 17 00 08:18a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB O , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 505-392-3760 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/Iced/HNO3/ 47 deg. F Project #: EOT 1020R Project Name: Darr Angel Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sample Date: 05/05/00 Receiving Date: 05/05/00 Analysis Date: 05/16/00 Analysis Date: Hg 05/12/00

Apalyte (mg/l)	MW 4 25565	MW 7 25566	Reporting	9/.14	% E A		תסם
	23300		Linn	7014			
Aluminum	0.0740	0.6470	0 0500	98	102	<0.0500	5 29
Arsenic	ND	0.0060	0.0050	102	106	<0.0050	3 70 -
Barium	0.1550	0.0860	0.0100	96	95	<0.0100	2.52
Beryllium	ND	ND	0.0040	102	100	<0.0040	3.92
Cadmium	, ND	ND	0.0010	94	92	<0.0010	1.98
Calcium	135.0	78.20	1.000	95	*	<1.000	0.00
Chromium	ND	ND	0.0050	98	98	<0.0050	2.52
Cobalt	ND	ND	0.0200	96	94	<0.0200	2.32
Copper	ND	ND	0.0100	96	98	<0.0100	2.82
iron	0.0570	0.3420	0.0500	96	111	<0.0500	7.04
Lead	ND	ND	0.0030	90	96	<0.0030	4.08
Magnesium	23.50	12 10	1.000	97	*	<1.000	0.00
Manganese	ND	0.0390	0.0150	97	95	<0.0150	2.35
Mercury	ND	ND	0.00020	96	99	<0.00020	5.18
Molybdenum	ND	ND	0.050	98	96	<0.050	3.27
Nickel	ND	ND	0.0100	96	93	<0.0100	2.33
Potassium	3.680	3.120	1.000	86	*	<1.000	4.23
Selenium	ND	ND	0.0050	96	.94	<0.0050	4.17
Silver	ND	ND	0.00500	98	98	<0.0050	4.00
Sodium	71.30	54.70	1,000	115	*	<1.000	0.18
Tin	ND	ND	0.0500	100	95	<0.0500	3.82
Vanadium	0.0300	0.0510	0.0200	97	97	<0.0200	2.25
Zinc	ND	ND	0.0200	96	96	<0.0200	2.06
Boron	0.204	0.158	0.050	104	106	<0.050	0.94
Strontium	0.828	0 424	0.050	102	93	<0.050	2.47

ND = Below Reporting Limit METHOD: EPA SW846-6010B, 7470

5517100

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713

May 17 00 08:19a

ENVIRONMENTAL , Inc. LAB OF

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 505-392-3760 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/HCI/ 47 deg. F Project #: EOT 1020R Project Name: Darr Angel Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Field Code: MW 4 Sampling Date: 05/05/00 Receiving Date: 05/05/00 Analysis Date: 05/12/00 p.4

	REPORT	ELT#				
EPA SW846 8270 (mg/L)	LIMIT	25565	RPD	%EA	%DEV	
Naphthalene	0.005	ND			0.4	
Acenaphthylene	0.005	ND			3.9	
Acenaphthene	0.005	ND	20	84	-9.8	
Fluorene	0.005	ND			-9.6	
Phenanthrene	0.005	ND .			-2.4	
Anthracene	0.005	ND			-3.6	
Fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-4.9	
Pyrene	0.005	ND	16	96	-9.6	
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.005	ND			-6.1	
Chrysene	0.005	ND			-6.8	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			16.1	
Benzo(k]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-11.0	
Benzo (a]pyrene	0.005	ND			0.4	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.005	ND			2.8	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.005	ND			2.4	
Benzo[g.h.i]perylene	0.005	ND			8.9	
		% RECOVERY				
Nitrobenzene-d5 SURR		185*				
2-Fluorobiphenyl SURR		245*				
Terphenyl-d14 SURR		306*				

ND= not detected at reporting limit Method: EPA SW 846 8270C, 3510 *NOTE: Matrix Interference

Umesh Rao, Ph. D.

17/00

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. EOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 505-392-3760 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/HCI/ 47 deg. F Project #: EOT 1020R Project Name: Darr Angel Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Field Code: MW 7 Sampling Date: 05/05/00 Receiving Date: 05/05/00 Analysis Date: 05/12/00

	REPORT	ELT#				
EPA SW846 8270 (mg/L)	LIMIT	25566	RPD	%EA	%DEV	
Naphthalene	0.005	ND			0.4	
Acenaphthylene	0.005	ND			3.9	
Acenaphthene	0.005	ND	20	84	-9.8	
Fluorene	0.005	ND			-9.6	
Phenanthrene	0.005	ND			-2.4	
Anthracene	0.005	ND			-3.6	
Fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-4.9	
Pyrene	0.005	ND	16	96	-9.6	
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.005	ND			-6.1	
Chrysene	0.005	ND			-6.8	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.005	ND			16.1	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-11.0	
Benzo (a)pyrene	0.005	ND			0.4	
Indeno[1.2.3-cd]pyrene	0.005	ND			2.8	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.005	ND			2.4	
Benzo[g.h.i]perylene	0.005	ND			8.9	
		% RECOVERY				
Nitrobenzene-d5 SURR		198*				
2-Fluorobiphenyl SURR		135*				

141*

ND= not detected at reporting limit Method: EPA SW 846 8270C, 3510 *NOTE: Matrix Interference

Terphenyl-d14 SURR

Umesh Rac, Ph. D.

511900

Environment	il Lab of Tera	IS, INC. 12600 West i (915) Se	-20 Eart Odessa, 53-1900 FAX (9	. 1 cm 79763 15) 563-1713	CILAIN-OF-CUST	ody record	AND ANALYSIS II		
Project Manager: J 6556	Thylore	Phane #: FAN #: (5057392	4-9161 2-3760		DAN SISYJANA	UEST		
Company Name & Address:	773 I CON 4PYS	01 11 CV 1 V	27 PS	406	99 95		0,	·	
Project I: EOr JO	202	Project Nam	": RNGEE		9 84 93 84 93 7		109 72 20		
Project Location:	W/W A	Sampler/SI	nature:		B# C9 CL B# C9 C	1.0	5112 2000 0002		
		e e NIATRIN	PRESERVATIVE METHOD	SAMPLING	10 12 12 10 12 12 10 12 12 10 12 12 10 12 12 10 12 12 10 12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ali1510'	9U 13 13	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
LAB# (LAB USE) (LAB USE)	0 CODE	ערוופו גרטספב גרטספב אאדבת אחדות גרטספר גרטספר גרטספר גרטספר גרטספר גרטספר גרטספר	лие 101 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	A BTAC	115X 8(120) 1212 418.1 1212 418.1 1212 418.1 1212 401818 1212 401818 1212 401818 1212 401818 1212 401818 1212 4018 1212 40	105 201 201 201	ANYIA BHH SMONNY		
JSSles MW 4		- 18 × 1/	XXXX	15-5 1095		X X I	X X X		
35566 mu 7			1181	V 104			14111		
				·					
				-		-			
tellinguisided by:	Date:	Times: 1200	Received hy:	REAL	RKS PALL RE	o suers .	1 N. Dir	Mon	
:2d bəheləpalləs	Date:	That:	Received by			170	Y		
tellnqubbled by:	Date: S/S/DN	Tha: 15,00	Received by Laborad	in the	Ver cer E	NO	10/0/0		

·. • •

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF \checkmark , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soll Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 32 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 05/17/00 Receiving Date: 05/17/00 Analysis Date: 05/22/00

ELT#	FIELD CODE		TOLUENE mg/kg		m,p-XYLENE mg/kg	o-XYLENE mg/kg
25839	SS01 North Wall Pipeline 2'	<0.100	0.122	<0.100	0.121	<0.100
25840	SS02 North Wall West 2	<0.100	0.136	<0.100	0.147	0.112
25841	SS03 North Wall East 2'	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100
25842	SS04 West Wall A. Pipeline 2'	<0.100	0.173	0.171	0.203	0.341
25843	SS05 East Wall A. Pipeline 2	<0.100	0.282	0.188	0.335	0.272
25844	SS06 South Wall West 2'	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	0.279	0.162
25845	SS07 South Wall East 2'	<0.100	0.254	0.184	1.83	1.42
25846	SS08 South Wall Pipeline 2	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100
25847	SS09 Stock Pile B NW	0.123	2.210	1.080	1.640	0.681
25848	SS10 Stock Pile B NE 1'	<0.100	0.199	0. 33 9	0.361	0.303
25849	SS11 Stock File B SW 1'	<0.100	0.142	0.259	0.243	0.250
25850	SS12 Stock Pile B SE 1'	<0.100	<0.100	0.467	0.371	0.353
25851	SS13 Stock Pile A South 1'	<0.100	0.250	<0,100	0.164	<0.100
25852	SS14 Stock Pile A North 1'	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	0.172	<0.100
	94 10	107	102	102	112	102
	% FA	99	97	96	107	92
	BLANK	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100

METHODS: SW 846-8021B,5030

Umesh Rao, Ph. D.

 \mathcal{O}

May 24 00 09:47a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF \checkmark , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soir Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760 Sampling Date: 05/17/00

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ 32 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M.

F1.7#		GRO 66-C10	DRO >C10-C28	
25839	SS01 North Wall Pipeline 2'	<10	<10	
25840	SS02 North Wall West 2"	594	13720	
25841	SS03 North Wall East 2	12	5284	
25842	SS04 West Well A. Pipeline 2'	661	24538	
25843	3305 East Wall A. Pipeline 2'	626	19579	,
25844	9806 South Wall West 2	561	8977	
25845	6807 South Wall East 2	902	3656	
25846	SS08 South Wall Pipeline 2	<10	197	
25847	SS09 Stock File B NW 1	381	8749	
25848	SS10 Stock File B NG 1'	451	7743	
25849	SS11 Stock Pile B SW 1'	199	6863	
25850	SS12 Stock File B SE 1'	243	7697	
25851	SS13 Stock Pile A South 1'	<10	933	
25852	SS14 Stock Pile A North 1'	<10	112	
	% IA	97	95	
	% EA	115	97	
	BLANK	<10	<10	

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

lucele Rat

Umesh Rao. Ph. D.

5/22/00 Date

Receiving Date: 05/17/00

Analysis Date: 05/19/00

p.4

Environmental Lab of Texa	IS, Inc. 12600 West I- (915) 56	20 Earl Odesta, Teras 7 3-1800 FAX (915) 563	9763 1713	FNIVE	DF-CUS	rody r	ECOND	ISYLANA ANA THE 15	s request	
Project Manager J ESSE J A. C. O.R.	Phone N: (S FAX #: (S	ar) 392-873, ar) 392-3760				VIANA	lýzn ziz	JEST		
Company Naixe & Address: C. T. T. T. Company Naixe & Address: C. T. T. T. C. S. C. S	14 122 AVIS	X 25104		00	9					, ,
Francis: EOT 2020 P	Project Num DA	as ANGEL #	N	9/00	5 6 H 9 d -					
Project Location: LEA POSIULT. NIN	Sampler SI2	apres Con		<u>T 51</u>	Ba Cd Ci	\$				
	C C MATRIX	PRESERVATIVE SAM	PLINC	28	SA CA	ejileto/	<u></u>			
LAB # FIELD CODE (LAB USE)	II CONTAINE Voiune;/Annou SOIL AIR AIR OTHER	HCL HNO3 ICE OTHER OTHER	TIME	Hell	Total Metals	TCLP Semi V	101			
25034) 550 / NOLTH ONLY PIPELINE 26	/ 1964 X 1	$\frac{1}{2}$	11500	2181						
258 40 550 2 NORTH ANAL NESZ 2 425			1304			- -				
258 H 8383 ABOTH WALL 6457 L' 125			1181							
250 42 550 4 WEST WALL A. PRUNE 2653			13/8							
25,243 1505 FAT NOLL A. P. R. L. W. 5 495			1822						[;	1
289 44 BY AL SULTH HIME NEET 21 695			0221					·		
250 45 5503 Sattin Ware CAST 2 45			1258							
256 47 5509 start war British 2 42			20h/							
258 4B SSID STOUR PUE & NG)'			11.51							
250 49 KUI STOCK PILE & SW 1'			12111	N V						
Relingation Card Street	Time: 1530	Received by:	REMAR	S II	X	Sel Ul	5.5	M. L	UN NTO	R
Relinquished by: Dute:	1000 5.45	Received by: Prominus	× .	Red Y	32.5	1 LL				
Representation of the second o	The:	Received by Laboratory:	R	e le re		J.	K	1015	Val	

5	61	• •	60	00	45	Rel	~
---	----	-----	----	----	----	-----	---

Jun 09 00 03:53p

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 30 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name⁻ Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 06/01/00 Receiving Date: 06/03/00 Analysis Date: 06/03 & 06/08/00

ELT#	FIELD CODE	BENZENE mg/kg	TOLUENE mg/kg	ETHYLBENZENE	m.p-XYLENE mg/kg	o-XYLENE mg/kg
26259	RW 1C (5')	<0.100	1.77	0.836	5.34	0.965
26260	RW 155 (10')	<0.100	16.3	9.34	41.9	13.4
26261	RW 1C (15')	<0.100	3.40	1.59	6.64	2.47
26268	RW 155 (50')	<0.100	3.34	3.28	12.1	4.45
26269	RW 155 (55')	29.9	169	63.8	183	59.1
26270	RW 155 (60')	<0.100	4.37	3.02	9.97	3.66
26271	RW 2C (5')	<0.100	11.1	4.76	19.3	8.12
26272	RW 2C (10)	<0.100	5.54	2.99	11.9	4.43
26273	RW 2C (15)	0.666	14.3	6.05	24.7	9,15
26274	RW 2C (20)	<0.100	5.00	2.95	12.2	4.65
26281	RW 255 (55')	<0.100	4.90	3.60	8.06	4.20
¢	% IA	95	93	94	104	95
4	% EA	92	91	94	103	94
ł	BLANK	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100	<0.100

METHODS: SW 846-80218,5030

Raland K. Tuttle

9-00 Date

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713

p.1

Jun 09 00 03:53p

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ loed/ 30 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 06/01/00 Receiving Date: 06/03/00 Analysis Date: 06/07/00

ELT#	FIELD CODE	GRO C6-C10 mg/kg	DRO >C10-C28 mg/kg	
	·			
26259	RW 1C (5')	60	823	
26260	RW 1SS (10')	781	2257	
26261	RW1C (15')	81	965	
26262	RW 1SS (20')	<10	<10	
26263	RW 155 (25')	· <10	<10	
26264	RW 1SS (30')	<10	<10	
26265	RW 1SS (35')	<10	<10	
26266	RW 1SS (40')	<10	<10	
26267	RW 1SS (45')	<10	<10	
26268	RW 1SS (50')	454	1264	
26269	RW 1SS (55')	7092	10152	
26270	RW 155 (60')	388	1227	
26271	RW 2C (5')	910	2850	
26272	RW 2C (10')	515	2041	
26273	RW 2C (15')	1456	4764	
26274	RW 2C (20')	563	2857	
26275	RW 255 (25')	<10	102	
26276	RW 255 (30')	<10	<10	
26277	RW 255 (35)	<10	32	
26278	RW 255 (40')	<10	<10	
26279	RW 2SS (45')	<10	<10	
	% IA	80	92	
	% EA	99	116	
	BLANK	<10	<10	

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

la de juad

Raland K. Tuttle

6-9-00 Date

Jun 09 00 03:54p

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF 7, INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 30 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: See Below Receiving Date: 06/03/00 Analysis Date: 06/07/00

		GRO C6-C10	DRO >C10-C28	SAMPLE	
ELT#	FIELD CODE	mg/kg	mg/kg	DATE	
26280	RW 2SS (50')	53	648	06/01 /0 0	
26281	RW 2SS (55')	916	3716	06/01/00	· · ·
26282	RW 255 (60')	12	285	06/01/00	
26283	RW 255 (65')	<10	221	06/01/00	
26284	SB 1C (5')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26285	SB1C (10')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26286	SB 1C (15')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26287	SB 1C (20)	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26288	SB 1SS (25')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26289	SB 1C (30')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26290	SB 1SS (35')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26291	SB1SS (40')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26292	SB 1SS (45')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26293	SB 1SS (50')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26294	SB 155 (55')	<10	<10	06/02/00	
26295	SB 1SS (57')	<10	<10	06/02/00	

% IA	88	96
% EA	90	106
BLANK	<10	<10

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

Kalanck Jusel

Raland K. Tuttle

6-9-00 Date

Production Provision <	Environmental Lab of]	FeXaS, Inc. 12600 Wert 1-20 Eart Oderta, Terrar 79763 (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-1713	CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST COC , 147
Matrix Easter THYLOF RAL (564) 3392-3768 Promotion ETC.1 District Project Project <td>Project Mamace:</td> <td>Phane H: (915) 664-9166</td> <td>/ / / Isalioad Siskitwa</td>	Project Mamace:	Phane H: (915) 664-9166	/ / / Isalioad Siskitwa
Deprint Matrix F. 7 (2) T. 7 (2)	(JESSE TAYLO	R FAX#: (Spl) 392-3960	
Prior 20 30 X HYY H2 ML and A Mark All and A Prior FO BOX HYY H2 ML and A Mark All and A Mark All and A Prior FO BOX HYY Mark All and A	Company Name & Address: ETGI		02
Project Project <t< td=""><td>PO BOX 484</td><td>5 HIDLAND TX 49704</td><td>• • • • • • •</td></t<>	PO BOX 484	5 HIDLAND TX 49704	• • • • • • •
For Call Marker Anticipation Marker Anticipation Print Labor LEA Column 1 Anticipation LEA Column 1 Anticipation Anticipation Labor Labor Column 1 Anticipation Labor Rabor Rabor Rabor Labor Rabor Rabor Labor Rabor Rab	Project #:	Project Name :	Вн Он с
Protocolone Annuar Strunger LEB Country M. Los M. M. Lus M. M. Lus M. M. M. Lus M. M. M. M. Lus M. M. M. M. M. Lus M. M. M. M. M. M. Lus M. M. M. M. M. M. M. Lus M. M. M. M. M. M. M. Lus Remotion M. M. M. M. M. M. Lus Rule J.S. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. Lus Rule J.S. M. M. M. M. M. M. Lus Rule J.S. M. M. M. M. M. M. M. <thm.< th=""> Lus</thm.<>	EOTZOZOR	JARA ANGEZL # 2	19 10 19 10
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Project Location:	Sampler Signatury	
Luss Перевидития килтих Перевидити килтих Luss Полот Оли то полот Оли то полот Оли то полот Curve Полот Оли то полот Оли то полот Оли то полот Curve Полот Полот Оли то полот Оли то полот Curve Полот Полот Полот	KER COUNTY NH	Jan Huter	
Lues Падосов Падосов Падосов (1,48 UGS) (1,48 UGS) (1,48 UGS) (1,48 UGS) (1,48 UGS) (0,01 V) (0,01 V) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) 202 SST RUU LS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) 202 LL RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) 202 LL RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 USS) (1,01 USS) 202 LS RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 USS) 202 LG RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) 202 LG RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) 202 LG RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) 202 LG RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) 202 LG RUU LSS (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS) (1,01 LSS)		2 ANTRIN PRESERVATIVE SAMPLING	ekiala
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			3115.1 elet A elet V in V in
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	LAB# FIELD CODE	11/V	18 x
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(LAB USE)	II СО) Volum Volum Volum Volum HUC VOTHE HUC VOTHE HUC VOTHE HUC VOTHE HUC VOTHE HUC VOTHE HUC VOTHE HUC VOC VOC VOC VOC VOC VOC VOC VO	816: 1PH TCLF TCLF 105 105 RCI
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26259 RM1 1 1 (5)	1 4/2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Zuz Lu, Ru 155 Zui Ru 1	Zet to Pr. 1 SS (1)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7121, 21.4 0 (.0.)		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40-42 1/(W) 255 (20)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	24243 RW 155 (251)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	21244 Rev 2 85 (500)	692	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	262 65 PW 255 (35')		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Z6Z446 R(2) 1 55 (40')		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	24267 RW 255 (45)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	24260 PW 153 (50')		
Rellignighted br.Date:Third:Received br.REMARKSPer Unitary $6/3/00$ 0.900 415 5072020 Per Unitary 0.8 475 0.900 415 Per Unitary 0.8 475 0.900 Per Unitary 0.8 772 20720 Per Unitary 0.8 772 20° Interv 0.8 772 20° Relinquished br. 0.8 576 20° Relinquished br. 0.8 576 392 Relinquished br. 0.8 505 392 Received by Laboratory: 0.8 592 376	2021A PW 255 (55)		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Rellinguiched br. Date:	Times: Recepted by: A REM	ukcs
Relinquished by C_{1} bat: That: Received by C_{2} C_{1} C_{2}	Fen () Mar 16/3/0	20 0900 Jenin lease 8	08#IS EOTZØ20R-NOTZOSS
Annew land by Date (1870 Received by Laboratory: (505) 392-3769 Relinquished by Date Date	Retinquisided by: Date:	Time: Receiped by:	V JAR LIUS 30°F
Relinquished by: Dite: Received by Laboratory:	Linen lever 6 8 9	16 1630 Reclark head F.	R. (505) 372-3769
	Rellinquished by.	Three: Received by Laboratory:	,

· · · ·

. .

≁ d

-

nvironmental L	ab of Tex:	as, Inc. 12600 Wet 1.' (915) 56'	20 Eart Odessa, Texas 79 3-1800 FAX (915) 563-1	763 713 CI	IO-NIV	-CUST	и Дао	ECORD 2	ND ANALY:	SIS REI	QUEST	•
		Phone #	2716-21160				3		12	\vdash		
4238 TA	20140	EAX #: 5	(1) 842-3960	<u></u>	· .		ATA	IDAR SIS	IST	. 9	2 C	*
	ET LAT		שת		03-5							
FO Day	Til (101	DLAND IN TIPICA Name	7 <i>\mu</i> / «:			es D						·
E07 2020	¢ ¢	DARR ANG	セキバー		2 (1 1 99 1	На						
ation:		El andre Significar Signi	nundi		C9 C1	נו כו אר כו					· ·	
LEN CORN	79 XM	Per R	the second		-8 7Ø	а _{ге.} Э #8	5			: ::		
		niatrin Matrin	PRESERVATIVE SAMPI METHOD	, iNC	8 I	2 gA 25	əlileloV					
FIELD CO		е З уушог 1 VIN8	2 60 3	1 2 118	1819W	elais Ilisiov	lməč					
			нос иоие иоие отнея иоие	BTEX X318	тен •	Total M	TDS TCLP 5	เวช				
RWISS ((00)	1 1×1 1×1	1/7 ×	V & 7 X	×		 		 			
RW2 C	(5)	A		Y ØØ X								
RW 2 C	(10')			14/ Ø X						 		
RW2 C	(15)			42¢ X								
iRw2C	(20)			43¢ X								
RW2 SS	(25)			1440								
RW2 SS	(a E			1454								
RWA SS	(35)			1240								
RW2 SS	(10)			515		-						
RW2 SS	(45)			530	_							
RWA SS ((as			600								
	bate: 2/ 1973	Time: DOA O	Receipted by	U.L	The second secon	S	220	8	\$ 205	L		}
the of the test	le/ e/ P.D.	Пас	Receiped by.		1	, ,			, ,	ъ Зо	μ.	
o laces	6/3/00	1630	Pullede way	FR:	ţ,	بكي	5	392-	3760			
 	bite	Thue:	Received by Laboratory:									
		•										

.

.

٦.q

.

.

9.q

161 1-20 East Odesta, Tezar 79763 (5) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-1713 CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST	": (915) 664-9166 лицтяя периел 484	The second secon	отиси иссе	x 1000			Received by: Received by: Recei
13 CRAIN		229/00/21/20/05/	8 X318	1500 ×	520 N		A 4 6 6
KAS, Inc. 12600 West I-20 East Odesta, Texas 797. (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-17	2016-2012 (915) 664-9166 2015 (505) 392-3760	MIDUNUD TX 997099 Project Nizme: 2002 BNG ETC #2 AMPLETC #2 AMPLET	 // СОИТ/ // СОИТ/	1 402 × 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Thma: Received by River Received by Laboratory: River Received by Allon River Received by Laboratory: Three Received by Laboratory:
Environmental Lab of Tex	Project Manager	Company Nume & Address & F.G. I. Project 18: E.D. T. B. D. R. AB4S Project Location: & L.N. DUNTY NM	(LAB USE) (LAB USE) ZLO2 G2 A CC (CT)	242 93 SB155 (50)	24295 SB155 (55)		Redinquished by Redinquished by Although b

:

ļ

1

7.9

Jun 15 00 11:17a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 32 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020C Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: None Given Sampling Date: 06/05/00 Receiving Date: 06/10/00 Analysis Date: 06/13/00

Figlect roc	alion. None Given			
		GRO	DRO	
		C6-C10	>C10-C28	
ELT#	FIELD CODE	mg/kg	mg/kg	
26494	SB2C (5')	<10	<10	
26495	SB2C (10')	<10	<10	
26496	SB2SS (15')	<10	<10	
26497	SB2SS (20')	<10	<10	
26498	SB2SS (25)	<10	<10	
26499	SB2SS (30')	<10	<10	
26500	SB2SS (35')	<10	<10	
26501	SB2SS (40')	<10	<10	
26502	SB2SS (45')	<10	<10	
26503	SB2SS (50')	<10	<10	
26504	SB2SS (57')	<10	<10	
26505	SB3C (5')	<10	<10	
26506	SB3C (10')	<10	<10	
26507	SB3C (15')	<10	<10	
26508	SB3C (20')	<10	<10	
26509	SB3C (25')	<10	<10	
26510	SB3C (30')	<10	<10	
26511	SB3C (35')	<10	<10	
26512	5B3C (40')	<10	<10	
26513	SB3C (45')	<10	<10	
26514	SB3C (50')	<10	<10	
26515	SB3C (60')	<10	<10	

% IA	82	100
% EA	92	111
BLANK	<10	<10

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

Ilmesh Rai Umesh Rao, Ph. D.

6/15/00

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713

lot J.	HD ANALYSIS REQUEST	EST .																				Ą.					
	DC. 152	ANALYSIS REQU					.	es Plitslo	/ Ims2	всі 102 1СГЬ : 1СГЬ :			•									:)392 . 37				E U T	
	MIN-OF-CI			95 95	на 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	199.75 21.95 22.95	B∎ C9 C 1 Bª C9 C 2 (559 1	105 1-20- H9 A 84 21819M 910 A 84 21819M 1810		TPH TCLP	X											(203	4			÷. E	
	1713 CI					-		LING	0708	∋MIT X∃T8	001	1/07	1) 08	III S	1120	01-11	1224	141	1303	1318	13#4	REMARUS F.R.	N N			UNN	
t	a, leras / (915) 563-	166 760						E SAMP		DATE • •	le/5-										-> 	a) ales		Just	- Croler		
(DO FAX	669-9 392-3				(p))	le se la se	ESERVATIV METROD		иоие ICE HИ03	X										\rightarrow	redur.	hid br:	ala. de	cived by Labo	•	
	Lnc. 12600 Wet1-20 E (915) 563-18	PLADE H: (9/5) FAX H: (5/05)		HOLL	Project Name :	DARR ANGC	Sumpler Signature	ATRIN PR	3	HCL OTHEII SLUDG AIR											·	Rece	Reco	X DO	Rece		
F				12, T.K			DAR	DAR		jui M	,γшолι	Voluinei WATEI	462 N										1.61	Thina: 1570	Tunci	5//	Tlma:
E	l exas,			NIDCAN				รย	LVINE	н сои												P.J.	+ .	Ø			
-	al Lab of	TA 2012	E762	5762	X 41845		7205			.D CODE		(< ,)	(2)	(' </td <td>s (Jo)</td> <td>(2 2)</td> <td>(30,)</td> <td>(35,)</td> <td>(10,)</td> <td>(1245)</td> <td>(50')</td> <td>z (57[,])</td> <td>Date Date</td> <td>Date:</td> <td>lefter</td> <td>Dafe</td> <td></td>	s (Jo)	(2 2)	(30,)	(35,)	(10,)	(1245)	(50')	z (57 [,])	Date Date	Date:	lefter	Dafe	
	onment.	7+354	& Address &	P.O. Be	1	- CTJC	2		Ĩ		5640	1526	55255	5523	51525	SR 2 35	592.35	58255	SBL SS	SA 275	SK2 5.	aller	C.	and -			
- 5 	Envii	Project Manage	Company Name		Project #:	·	Project Location		LAB #	(LAB USE)	26494	Spherz	2496	43472	2498	26499	2(200)2	26501	26502	265 03	26504	Relingedshed by	Relling alabed by	Strow a	Rellingulated by		

p.2

ł

İ

.

Oct 2.	THEATAL LAD OF LEXAS, INC. 12600 West J-20 East Odered, Jezar 77.05 GIAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST		deren E762	0. Box 4845 MIDLAND, TX M704	CJ ZOZOC DARR MARE:	Sampler Dignature:	MATRIX PRESERVATIVE SAMPLING 30 2 2 10 100	ПОПОВИТИИ	и сои и сои и сои и сои и сои и сои и сои	563c (s) 4,e, X	SB 3 C (bc) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	83 c (r/) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	δ3. (20) (20)	JB 3= (25)	Z 3c (3c)	8 3c (35 ²)	B3C (40')	33c (45')	β3c (Sc [·])	58.3c (bc ⁻¹)	Ulited OTTopedd 1510 merer by REMARKS	() Date Thur () () () () Received by Received by Received by Received by Received by	Date: Tunct: Received by Laboratory.	
•	Environmental L	hajed Manager:	Company Rank & Address E 763	P.O. Box 4	10101 ECT 202	hujert Location:			LAB USE)	26565 563C (S)	2005 C/2 (N) 3 C (N)	24507 83c (15)	26508 532 (20)	2105 05 SP 30 25 C25	26510 JA 36 (30)	212/11/200 (35	Zes 12 52.30 (40'	26513 5330 (45'	20514 \$3c (SU	ZUSIS 583, (60)	tephymina by Deliver	relinguisted by.	cellinquished by.	-

Jun 15 00 11:17a

• • •
"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 32 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020C Project Name: Darr Angel Project Location: Lovington, N.M. Sampling Date: 06/06/00 Receiving Date: 06/10/00 Analysis Date: 06/13/00

		GRO	DRO	
		C6-C10	>C10-C28	
ELT#	FIELD CODE	mg/kg	mg/lig	
26462	SB4 C (5')	<10	<10	
26463	SB4 C (10')	<10	<10	
26464	SB4 C (15')	<10	<10	
26465	SB5 C (5')	<10	<10	
26466	SB5 C (10')	<10	<10	
26467	SB5 C (15')	<10	<10	
26468	SB6 C (5')	<10	<10	
26469	SB6 C (10')	<10	<10	
26470	SB6 C (15')	<10	<10	
26471	SB7 C (5')	<10	<10	
26472	SB7 C (10')	<10	<10	
26473	SB7 C (15')	<10	<10	
26474	SB8 C (5')	<10	<10	
26475	SB8 C (10')	<10	<10	
26476	SB8 C (15')	<10	<10	
26477	SB9 C (5')	<10	<10	
26478	SB9 C (10')	<10	<10	
26479	SB9 C (15')	<10	<10	
26480	SB10 C (5')	<10	<10	
26481	SB10 C (10')	<10	<10	
26482	SB10 C (15')	<10	<10	
26483	MW #1C (5')	<10	<10	
26484	MW #1C (10')	<10	<10	
26485	MW1 C (15')	<10	<10	
	% IA	97	112	
	% EA	109	121	
	BLANK	<10	<10	

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

Unesh Rad

6/14/00 Date

Umesh Rao, Ph. D.

Jun 14 00 08:03a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-392-3760

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ lced/ 32 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020C Project Name: Darr Angel Project Location: Lovington, N.M. Sampling Date: 06/06/00 Receiving Date: 06/10/00 Analysis Date: 06/13/00

,	and a manufacture that				
ELT#	FIELD CODE	GRO C6-C10 mg/kg	DRO >C10-C28 mg/kg		
26486	MW #1C (20)	<10	<10		
26487	MW #1 S/S (25')	<10	<10	•	
26488	MW #155 (30')	<10	<10		
26489	MW #1 55 (35')	<10	<10		
26490	MW #1 SS (40')	<10	<10		
26491	MW #1 SS (45')	<10	<10		
26492	MW #1 SS (52')	<10	<10		
26493	MW #1C (65')	<10	<10	·	

% IA	90	96
% EA	89	106
BLANK	<10	<10

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

Unesh

Umesh Rao, Ph. D.

100

79763 $ bf \leq 1713$ Chaim-of-cuistody record and analysis request $\rho_{0,C} = 151$	ANALY SIS REQUEST			рн «	4d J J D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D			PLINC Ag As Ag As	(1) 2(1) (1)	ис: 102 102 103 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	0251 X X 1 1 1 1 1 1	0X55		09ix-1	04W	[Gf_2]	07Yvo	0943	CHAKE CHARTER	10.2 2	1009 V P001	REMARKS	FR (545) 392 376b	.32"5		INVACE: EOTT
ZXAS, Inc. 12600 Wert1-20 East Oderta, Tera (915) 563-1800 EAX (915) 56	From H: (915) 664-9166 FASH: (500) 292-2760	1	FOLAND, TX 79704	Project Name :	DARS ANGELL #2	Sampler Slønature:	Dux Meiner	2 ANATRIN PRESERVATIVE SA		 М. СОИТ /ul>	¹ ¹ ¹ X V											Tunta: Recety de by	VA 1455 Standard	Time: Received by:	201 1140 Kulo de 11	Times: / Received by Laboratory:
Environmental Lab of Te	Project Muniger.	Company Name & Address: ETGT	P.O. Box 4845 112	Project #:	ECT20200	Project Location:	Lounaton NW			LAB # FIELD CODE (LAB USE)	ZC4462 534 @ (5')	Zeales 584 C (1N')	26464 5B4 C 1151	26465 535 ((S')	Zervee 535 c (10)	26467 535 C (15')	zurvee SR(e C (S)	Zerica 5Bio C UD)	26470 5B6 c (15')	Zue 471 597 C (5')	Z.6472 5137 C (10)	Relinquished by: - Date:	Hout aline 129 hue	Relinquiched by:	Sund lives 10 km	Retinquished by: Daty

Jun 14 00 08:04a

ľ

p.5

. . .

				1 170						\mathcal{O}	t.	\widetilde{m}
Environmental 1	Lab of 1 exa.	5, INC. 12600 Weat I- (915) 56	20 E21 U06554, 1 CL21 3-1800 FAX (915) 563	1713	LAIN-OF-	POC	v neco	SED AND AN	SISATVY	REQUE	15	
ofect Manifert		Phone N: (9	15)664-9166			Ŕ	SISATI	REOUEST				
()E35E TR	1,40,2	FAT #: 5	ps) 392-376p							F		
ourpany Name & Address ET6	I											
P. O. BOX 5	4845 MIDEN	WD. TX 7970	4		۹S		<u> </u>				,	
-v]ect #:		Project Nam			<mark>вн с</mark>	54						
EUTJO	200	A 212K(1	NEELLIZ		1210	0						
roject Locrition:		Sampler Sign	ature;) PC	· ···						
La instan	W/W	YJek	all	(13 _s	н ₁						
		O _ MATREY	PRESERVATIVE SAM	PLING PLING	108	en gr		-				
				/020	EB1	9)]}6 9)]}6 V (m						
LAB # FIELD CI	ODE	19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	Е ЕВ 11 13	а 18 X:	errid Filt I	oV 9.						
UAB USE			DV1 ИОУ ИОУ НИО	IMIT 918	101 101	101	102					
() y y y (1:	۲.)	Hozl XII	$ X b _{c}$	77/01	$ \ge $							
) 18 US HLAND	S.)			1101			 	 				
26475 5128 (1	()			1.047		 						
2476 5BBC (/×··)			1051			 					
264-70 589C (ς') Σ'			11 pz								
WUTB SAGE ((/c)			1114							-	
1 7 JCS 52 HORZ	(12,)			1118							_	
24480 SB/CC	(5')			142								
ZUN BI BLOC ((,0,)			12/9								
26482 5510C ((15)			-5221								
ZUHBA MUSHIC (5)			1245-	->					_		
:rd badelipping	Date	That	Received by:	REMARKS	`	,				-		
Kry Weter	1-49 Aur do	1455	from laces	Ľ.	(56	The second secon	, . L	3762				
clingulation by a	Date: 1 10 Acr OO	Тлас:	Receiped by Leelade Jed	:	4	,		•				
.rd bydriupulla	Ateq	That:	Received by Laboratory.									
		•		17 FJ	DICE.	E C E	ł					
]

Jun 14 00 08:04a

.

p.5

l I

ļ

Партик	E (C ² 2) C (C ² 2) C (C ² 2) C (C ¹ 2) C (C	BTEX \$(I)2(0)10 BTEX \$(I)2(0)50010 TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa TOLE Metals Ag As Ba Cd Ct Pb Hg Sa
UL 55 (45) UL 25 (52, 1) UL 25 (52, 1) UL 21 (12, 1) UL 21	19091	
	vesi /	
Line Date Date A Date Three Received Received Date Date Three A Date Date Date Three Received Received Date Date A Date Received Received Received Date Date Date Date Received Receive	br: men lines REM	ars 32 0,5

Jun 14 00 08:04a

- -- -

.

p.7

a service a service servic

ENVIRONMENTAL Lab of \checkmark , Inc.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-397-4701

Sample Type: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020C Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lovington, N.M.

Sampling Date: 06/12/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/22/00

ELT#	FIELD CODE	BENZENE mg/kg		ETHYLBENZENE mg/kg	m.p-XYLENE mg/kg	o-XYLENE mg/kg	TOTAL BTEX mg/kg
26975	MW 2 (C) 55'	<0.100	0.724	<0.100	1.27	0.318	2.31

% IA	99	99	100	113	101
% EA	94	86	93	107	9ô
BLANK	< 0.100	<0.100	<0,100	<0.100	<0.100

METHODS: SW 846-8021B.5030

Ralin ck Time Raland K. Tuttle

ENVIRONMENTAL Lab of \checkmark , Inc.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP. INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS,N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ loed/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020C Project Name: Darr Angel #2

Sampling Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/20/00

Project	Location: Lovington, N.M.				
	-	GRO	DRO		
		C6-C10	>C10-C28		
ELT#	FIELD CODE	mg/kg	mg/kg		
26965	MW 2 (C) 5'	<10	<10		
26966	MW 2 (C) 10'	<10	<10		
26967	MW 2 (C) 15'	<10	<10		
26968	MW 2 (C) 20'	<10	<10		
26969	MW 2 (C) 25'	<10	<10		
26970	MW 2 (C) 30'	<10	<10		
26971	MW 2 (C) 35'	<10	<10	•	
26972	MW 2 (C) 40'	<10	<10		
26973	MW 2 (C) 45'	<10	<10		
26974	MW 2 (C) 50'	<10	<10		

% IA	98	122
% EA	76	97
BLANK	<10	<10

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

Kcl_ at Jurel

6-26-00 Date

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS,N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020C Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lovington, N.M. Sampling Date: 06/12/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/20/00

	· · · ·	GRO	DRO	
		C6-C10	>C10-C28	
ELT#	FIELD CODE	mg/kg	mg/kg	
26975	MW 2 (C) 55'	15	2251	
26976	MW 2 (C) 65'	<10	229	
26977	MW 3 (C) 5'	<10	<10	
26978	MW 3 (C) 10'	<10	<10	
26979	MW 3 (C) 15'	<10	<10	
2 6980	MW 3 (C) 20'	<10	<10	
26981	MW 3 (C) 25'	<10	<10	
26982	MW 3 (C) 30'	<10	<10	
26983	MW 3 (C) 35'	<10	<10	
26984	MW 3 (C) 40'	<10	<10	
26985	MW 3 (C) 45'	<10	<10	
26986	MW 3 (C) 50'	<10	<10	
26987	MW 3 (C) 55'	<10	<10	
26988	MW 3 (C) 65'	<10	<10	

% IA	78	124
% EA	87	127
BLANK	<10	<10

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

K 1

Raland K. Tuttle

<u>6-26-00</u> Date

ronmental Lab of Te Field of Te Seste Taylow, M ETGI 3020C Louington MM Field of To MW 2615' MW 261	XAS, Inc. 12600 Weft 1-20 East Odesta, Tetas 79763 (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-1713 CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST $C_0 \subset I \subset J \subset \mathcal{I}$	Procent (503) 397.422 LANNA ANALYSIS REQUEST) JUT 3	arland, Holls, NM 88240	Froject Name: Dan Angel (#2 0 PB B	Sampler Signature:		۲ ۲	и СОП и												D TIMA: Received by: REMARES Fay Reluts to ETGT	Thue: Received by: 1. 4/2 Unrelle ROD: 29°F	TImes: Received by Laboratory:	
ronmental Lab of Texas Tester Taylow Marken ETGI 2020C EOT 2020C MW EDUINATED ASYOW Marken MW 24,25 MW 24,35 MW 26,35 MW 26,35 MM 26,55 MM 26,55	, Inc. 12600 West 1 (915) 5	Phone #: (5 FAX #: (5	and, Hollo, N	Project Nan Da W	Sampler Sig	E MATREN														That: 3'45 pr	Thues:	Thes:	
	ronmental Lab of Texas	Jesse Taylor	ETGI 2540 W. Marle	Eot 2020C	La tan NM	50			-haber 2-ft)	MW. LGS'	M.W. 263/0'	MW. 261/5'	M W - 242)(D'	MN/N-16,25'	MNW-26,30,	m. U. Zhizsi	M. 26 401	אשרתי בניאלצי	M.W. 263,50'	r aller bate bolo	T Date Date	T Date	

and the second second

4.q

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST	ANALYSIS REQUEST 20F3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	S ይዝ 49 1 5 ይዝ 49 12 ይካ 49 12	B Cq C	0002/ 100/ 100	ВТЕХ Я1)2/1 ТРН 415 Тоіві Меівія ТСЦР Уоівіїі ТСЦР Уоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівіїі ТСЦР Хоівії ТСЦР ХОІВІІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІІІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х ТСЦР Х ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х ТСЦР Х ТСЦР ХОІВІ ТСЦР Х												Bus fax revults to ETGI	29°F	INDOIGE EDIT
XAS, Inc. 12600 West1.20 East Odessa, Teras 79763 (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-1713	FACH EVEN TO PARTY - 4872	m to all 120 by Mur	Project Name:	Sumpler Signature: 73002 allohuet	\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} NIATRIN PRESERVATIVE SAMPLING	IIME Aolume/Amou Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone	1 14°-1 1X 1 1X 1 14	01711, 11111, 1111,	z+e1 / 1 / 1 1 1 / 1 / 1 / 1	8461/ / // // // // // // // //) / Ace	140 2	2141			V / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	13 Time: Received by. REMAN	Time: Received by	Thuca: Received by Laboratory.
Environmental Lab of Te	Projoca Manager	Compary Name & Address ETCT 254 0 W. J	Fraind # 607 2020	Project Location:		LAB # FIELD CODE	ZLATS MW. 2 (2) 55'	ZLGTL MW. 21, 65'	21977 M (J.3(c) 5)	· U/ () E. C. M. Br PUS	21879 MUJ3 (2) 15'	26980 MUN 3 (2) 20'	269 BI M W3 (2) 251	reger muril 30'	746 B3 MW. 3(C) 35	249 BY MW 345) 40'	269 BS MW-36) 45'	Relignished by: Start Oldred 6 / 16 /	Relinquished by: Date: G-17-1	Relinquicked by Date

 $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$

586:70 00 35 nut

and the second second

i

G.q

L

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ HCI/ 29 deg, F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/21/00

ELT#	FIELD CODE	BENZENE mg/L	TOLUENE mg/L	ETHYLBENZENE mg/L	m.p-XYLENE mg/L	o-XYLENE mg/L	
27013	MW 1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
27014	MW 2	0.711	0.475	0.143	0.441	0.100	
27015	MW 3	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	

% IA	88	86	86	94	87
% EA	96	91	93	102	94
BLANK	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001

METHODS: SW 846-8021B,5030

ndt Judon

Raland K

7-3-00 Date

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/Iced/HNO3/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sample Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/28/00 Analysis Date: Hg 07/03/00

	MW 1	MW 2	MW 3	Report				
Analyte (mg/L)	27013	27014	27015	Limit	%IA	%EA	BLANK	RPD
A1								
Aluminum	8.50	10.3	17.0	0.0500	91	89	<0.0500	0.00
Arsenic	0.0080	0.0080	0.0110	0.0050	96	102	<0.0050	1.98
Barium	0.2030	0.3550	0.3820	0.0100	96	98	<0.0100	0.50
Beryllium	ND	ND	ND	0.0040	98	100	<0.0040	0.00
Cadmium	0.0020	0,0200	0.0020	0.0010	102	102	<0.0010	1,94
Calcium	242.0	405.0	681.0	1.000	99	N/A	<1.000	1.91
Chromium	0.0260	0.0340	0.0410	0.0050	96	98	<0.0050	0.51
Cobalt	ND	ND	ND	0.0200	94	95	<0.0200	0.42
Copper	ND	0.0100	0.0110	0.0100	97	100	<0.0100	0.00
Iron	5.840	6.460	10.60	0.0500	104	99	<0.0500	0.94
Lead	ND	0.0030	0.0070	0.0030	94	96	<0.00 3 0	2.06
Magnesium	20.60	28.30	31.50	1.000	95	N/A	<1.000	1.82
Manganese	0.1140	0.1940	0.2070	0.0150	93	94	<0.0150	0.41
Mercury	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.002	104	103	<0.002	3.12
Molybdenum	ND	ND	ND	0.050	N/A	95	<0.050	0.63
Nickel	0.0260	0.0340	0.0370	0.0100	99	100	<0.0100	0.40
Potassium	5.520	7.630	9.310	1.000	88	N/A	<1.000	3. 59
Selenium	ND	ND	ND	0.0050	104	104	<0.0050	0,00
Silver	ND	ND	ND	0.00500	92	94	<0.0050	0.00
Sadium	55.40	73.40	56.00	1.000	102	N/A	<1.000	2.41
Tin	ND	ND	ND	0.0500	90	93	<0.0500	1.40
Vanadium	0.0630	0.0880	0,1090	0.0200	92	93	<0.0200	0.43
Zinc	0.0450	0.1440	0.0880	0.0200	102	102	<0.0200	3.67
Boron	0.158	0.168	0.145	0.050	106	N/A	<0.050	N/A
Strontium	0.482	0.855	0.693	0.050	100	102	<0.050	0.87

ND = Below Reporting Limit METHOD: EPA SW846-6010B, 7470

alandk/

7-3-00 Date

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF \checkmark , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea. County, N.M.

Sampling Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: See Below

ELT#	FIELD CODE	Sullate mg/L	Chloride mg/L	Carbonate mg/L	Bicarbonate mg/L	TDS mg/L	
27013	MW 1	122.3	48	0	144	431	
27014	MW 2	100.9	87	0	220	559	
27015	MW 3	80.2	35	0	187	395	
							v
	QUALITY CONTROL	56.8	5849		•	*	
	TRUE VALUE	50.0	5000	π	*	•	
	% PRECISION	114	117	•	*	*	

06/20/00 06/27/00 06/23/00 06/23/00 06/23/00 ANALYSIS DATE

METHODS: EPA 375.4, 325.3, 310, 160.1

Rei Lu CK Judit Raland K. Tuttle

7-3-00 Date

р.З

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Field Code: MW 1 Sampling Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/23/00

	REPORT	ELT#				
EPA SW846 8270 (mg/L)	LIMIT	27013	RPD	%EA	%DEV	
Naphthalene	0.005	ND			-0.8	
Acenaphthylene	0.005	ND			-1.7	
Acenaphthene	0.005	ND	8	71	-12.6	
Fluorene	0.005	ND			-3.5	
Phenanthrene	0.005	ND			-0.3	
Anthracene	0.005	ND			-1.9	
Fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-11.6	
Pyrene	0.005	ND	15	64	-5.8	
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.005	ND			-9.9	
Chrysene	0.005	ND			-8.2	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			2.4	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			9.3	
Benzo [a]pyrene	0.005	ND			-0.9	
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.005	ND			-26.7#	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.005	ND			-31.4#	
Benzo(g,h,i]perylene	0.005	ND			-52.6#	

% RECOVERYNitrobenzene-d5 SURR1472-Fluorobiphenyl SURR128p-Terphenyl-d14 SURR111

ND≈ not detected at report limit. Method: EPA SW 846 8270C , 3510

.ck port

7-3-00

p.4

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Field Code: MW 2 Sampling Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/23/00

	REPORT	ELT#				
EPA SW846 8270 (mg/L)	LIMIT	27014	RPD	%EA	%DEV	
Naphthalene	0.005	ND			-0.8	
Acenaphthylene	0.005	ND			-1.7	
Acenaphthene	0.005	ND	8	71	-12.6	
Fluorene	0.005	ND			-3.5	
Phenanthrene	0.005	ND			-0.3	
Anthracene	0.005	ND			-1.9	
Fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-11.6	
Pyrene	0.005	ND	15	64	-5.8	
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.005	ND			-9.9	
Chrysene	0.005	ND			-8.2	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			2.4	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			9.3	
Benzo (a]pyrene	0.005	ND			-0.9	
Indena[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.005	ND			-26.7#	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.005	ND			-31.4#	
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	0.005	ND			-52.6#	

% RECOVERY

Nitrobenzene-d5 SURR1242-Fluorobiphenyl SURR129p-Terphenyl-d14 SURR124

ND= not detected at report limit. Method: EPA SW 846 8270C, 3510

-dt

7-3-00

Jul 03 00 03:49p

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF 7, INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Field Code: MW 3 Sampling Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/23/00

	REPORT	ELT#				
EPA SW846 8270 (mg/L)	LIMIT	27015	RPD	%EA	%DEV	
Naphthalene	0.005	ND			-0.8	
Acenaphthylene	0.005	ND			-1.7	
Acenaphthene	0.005	ND	8	71	-12.6	
Fluorene	0.005	ND			-3.5	
Phenanthrene	0.005	ND			-0.3	
Anthracene	0.005	ND			-1.9	
Fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-11.6	
Pyrene	0.005	ND	15	64	-5.8	
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.005	ND			-9.9	
Chrysene	0.005	ND			-8.2	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			2.4	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			9.3	
Benzo [a]pyrene	0.005	ND			-0.9	
Indeno[1.2,3-cd]pyrene	0.005	ND			-26.7#	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.005	ND			-31,4#	
Benzo[g.h.i]perylene	0.005	ND			-52.6#	

% RECOVERY

Nitrobenzene-d5 SURR1292-Fluorobiphenyl SURR138p-Terphenyl-d14 SURR129

ND= not detected at report limit. Method: EPA SW 846 8270C , 3510

a ck Jua

7-3-0G

Date

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 29 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angel #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 06/16/00 Receiving Date: 06/17/00 Analysis Date: 06/25/00 Field Code: RW 1 ELT# 27012

RT	
1.80	
2.19	
2.37	
2.81	
3.38	X
3.87	
5.20	
5.76	
7.65	
9.39	
13.18	
13.68	
14.16	
	RT 1.80 2.19 2.37 2.81 3.38 3.87 5.20 5.76 7.65 9.39 13.18 13.68 14.16

METHODS: GC/MS SW 846-8270

2 ck7.

Raland K. Tuttle

3-00



-Onmental Lab of Texas, Inc. 12600 West 1-20 East Odesta, Texas 79763 (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-1713 CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST	The Andrew Phane H. (205) 37 \$ 4822 ANALYSIS REQUEST ANALYSIS REQUEST ANALYSIS REQUEST	Address ETERIAN HORSE Nec.	Project Name: # HO BO PP	En Court 7 - Mrd 22 - Court -	C C C MATRIX PRESERVATIVE SAMPLING C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		20 XW/ 1/1/1 1/1 1/1 1/1 1/1 1/1 1/1 1/1 1/1	mall S 122 X 1 1 X X X 1 6 76 1030 X 1 1 1 X X X X X	mu Z mu	MANNIIIIVANIIIIVANIVIIV				Date: Thme: Received by: REMARKS	are of 20 100 100 1. 10.00 F. Halle United	$\left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \end{array} \right) \left($
Environment	Project Manager:	Company Name & Address: Z	Project #:	Project Location: LEN Col		LAB# (LABUSE) ONLY	A Contraction	1 MM	ma Z	MW 3				Relinquister Ar	Bellander Lasse	- Contraction of the second se

..

Jul 18 00 10:47a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF $\prec \supset$, INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS,N.M. 88242 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

SampleType: Soil Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 30 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: DARR ANGELL #2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M.

Sampling Date: 07/11/00 Receiving Date: 07/12/00 Analysis Date: 07/14/00

•		GRO C6-C10	DRO >C10-C28	
ELT#	FIELD CODE	mg/kg	mg/kg	
28063	MW4 0-2'	<10	<10	
28064	MW4 3-5'	<10	<10	
28065	MW4 8-10'	<10	<10	
28066	MW4 13-15'	<10	<10	
28067	MW4 18-20'	<10	<10	
28068	MW4 23-25'	<10	<10	
28069	MW4 28-30'	<10	<10	
28070	MW4 33-35'	<10	<10	
28071	MW4 38-40'	<10	<10	
28072	MW4 43-45'	<10	<10	
28073	MW4 48-50'	<10	<10	
28074	MW4 53-55	<10	<10	
28075	MW4 58-60'	<10	<10	•

% IA	85	105
% EA	91	111
BLANK	<10	<10

METHODS: SW 846-8015M GRO/DRO

Kulu dt Juil Raland K. Tuttle

19-00 Date

- ·

1, 14

I

ł

nmental Lab of ESSE / MULUR Address: E.T. G.T. Address: E.T. G.T. 2020E FIELD CODE FIELD CODE FIELD CODE FIELD CODE FIELD SSESS MULY SSESS MULY SSESS MULY SSESS MULY SSESS MULY SSESS MULY SESS MULY SESS MULL SESS MULL SESS MULL SESS MULL POLICE MULL SESS MULL SESS MULL SESS MULL POLICE MULL SESS MULL POLICE MULL SESS MULL POLICE MULL POLI	Texas, Inc. 1260 Wert 1-20 Eart Oderer, Texas 79763 (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-1713 CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST	PROME H. (SUL) 399-4982 ANALYSIS REQUEST FIX H: (SUL) 399-4982	12. 2.40 HO 28 24/2 00 0 0 0 0	Project Name:	Samplof Signature:	12 MIATRIX PRESERVATIVE SAMIPLING OF AS 1		A 10 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1					- DD D SOB Jucard br REMARKS	Times: Received by.	1,2,00 Time: Received by Labophory	
Numeric Numeri	nvironmental Lab of Texas, Inc. 12600 Wer	Minurger Process: JESSE / MP/LUM FAX 4: (17 Nume & Address: E. T. G. T. 2. (40 MMP , AND HD BEL	Enjert LOZUK	Location: Sumply	NATRIN		75 mart 58-62					ghed by There Date: The Ball	abed by: Date: That:	Wind br. Daic Daic 7/12/00 10:30	h comments the internet

٤.q

Jul 27 00 10:46a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505-397-4701

SampleType: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ HCI/ 34 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angell 2 Project Location: Lovington Sampling Date: 07/14/00 Receiving Date: 07/14/00 Analysis Date: 07/20/00

ELT#	FIELD CODE	BENZENE mg/L	TOLUENE E	THYLBENZENE m mg/L	np-XYLENE mg/L	o-XYLENE mg/L
28201	MW-4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

% IA	95	94	94	105	9 5
% EA	93	90	93	102	94
BLANK	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

METHODS: SW 846-8021B,5030

Roland K / Soul **Baland K** Tuttle

7-27-00 Date

<u>7-2</u> Date

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ 34 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angell 2 Project Location: Lovington Sampling Date: 07/14/00 Receiving Date: 07/14/00 Analysis Date: See Below

ELT#	FIELD CODE	Sullate mg/L	Chioride mg/L	Carbonate mg/L	Bicarbonate mg/L	TDS mg/L	
28201	MW 4	137	40	0	166	389	

QUALITY CONTROL	47.7	5406	*	*	*
TRUE VALUE	50.0	5000	t	•	*
% PRECISION	95	108	*	*	*
ANALYSIS DATE	07/19/00	07/18/00	7/18/00	07/18/00	07/19/00

METHODS: EPA 375.4, 325.3, 310, 160.1

land KJund

Raland K. Tuttle

7-27-12 Date

p.2

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF \checkmark , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/Iced/HNO3/ 34 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angell 2 Project Location: Lovington Sample Date: 07/14/00 Receiving Date: 07/14/00 Analysis Date: 07/25/00 Analysis Date: Hg 07/26/00

	MW4	Report				
Analyte (mg/L)	28201	Limit	%IA	%EA	BLANK	RPD
Aluminum	27.4	0.0500	96	101	<0.0500	4.04
Arsenic	0.0130	0.0050	100	104	<0.0050	1.90
Barium	1.150	0.0100	100	109	<0.0100	2.54
Beryflium	ND	0.0040	96	102	<0.0040	1.98
Cadmium	ND	0.0010	100	108	<0.0010	1.87
Calcium	702.0	1.000	94	N/A	<1.000	3.12
Chromium	0.0450	0.0050	94	104	<0.0050	2.93
Cobalt	0.0300	0.0200	94	100	<0.0200	2.63
Copper	0.0520	0.0100	98	110	<0.0100	3.31
Iron	18.45	0.0500	104	108	<0.0500	4.65
Lead	0.0080	0.0030	98	106	<0.0030	1.90
Magnesium	48.10	1.000	97	N/A	<1.000	3.39
Manganese	0.2870	0.0150	94	9 9	<0.0150	2.44
Mercury	ND	0.002	99	107	<0.002	10.70
Molybdenum	ND	0.050	95	101	<0.050	2.19
Nickel	0.0430	0.0100	95	103	<0.0100	2.37
Potassium	11.20	1.000	84	N/A	<1.000	4.98
Selenium	ND	0.0050	106	112	<0.0050	5.50
Silver	ND	0.00500	82	98	<0.0050	10.75
Sodium	67.80	1.000	81	N/A	<1.000	7.00
Tin	ND	0.0500	97	104	<0.0500	2.44
Vanadium	0.0970	0.0200	91	98	<0.0200	2.90
Zinc	0.0550	0.0200	101	108	<0.0200	2.25
Boron	0.189	0.050	106	118	<0.050	2.13
Strontium	0.934	0.050	102	109	<0.050	2.08

ND = Below Reporting Limit METHOD: EPA SW846-6010B, 7470

alon d K Juili land K. Tuttle

7-27-00 Date

Jul 27 00 10:47a

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W. MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 505-397-4701 FAX: 915-520-4310

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ loed/ 34 deg. F Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angell 2 Project Location: Lovington Field Code: MW 4 Sampling Date: 07/14/00 Receiving Date: 07/14/00 Analysis Date: 07/14/00

	REPORT	ELT#				
EPA SW846 8270 (mg/L)	LIMIT	28201	RPD	%EA	%DEV	
						_
Naphthalene	0.005	ND			2.1	
Acenaphthylene	0.005	ND			1.8	
Acenaphthene	0.005	ND	19	106	-5.4	
Fluorene	0.005	ND			4.0	
Phenanthrene	0.005	ND			2.5	
Anthracene	0.005	ND			1.1	
Fluoranthene	0.005	ND			8.8	
Pyrene	0.005	ND	21	84	-4.4	
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.005	ND			-2.8	
Chrysene	0.005	ND			2.3	
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.005	ND			-5.2	
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.005	ND	•		9.2	
Benzo (a)pyrene	0.005	ND			0.8	
Indena[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	0.005	ND			15.4	
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	0.005	ND			12.9	
Benzo[g.h.i]perylene	0.005	ND			23.4#	

% RECOVERY

Nitrobenzene-d5 SURR202-Fluorobiphenyl SURR28p-Terphenyl-d14 SURR44

ND= not detected at report limit. Method: EPA SW 846 8270C , 3510

d K Jun **Baland K Tuttle**

7-27-00 Date



2 . q

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: BETH ALDRICH P.O. BOX 4845 MIDLAND, TEXAS 79704 FAX: 915-520-4310 FAX: 505:397-4701

SampleType: Water Sample Condition: Intact/ Iced/ HCI/ -1 deg. C Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angell 2 Project Location: Lea County, N.M. Sampling Date: 09/13/00 Receiving Date: 09/16/00 Analysis Date: 09/21/00 p.7

TOTAL

FIELD CODE	BENZENE mg/L	TOLUENE mg/L	ETHYLBENZENE	m,p-XYLENE mg/L	o-XYLENE mg/L	BTEX
MW 1	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
MW 3	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001
MW 4	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001
EB 1	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	FIELD CODE MW 1 MW 3 MW 4 EB 1	BENZENE FIELD CODE mg/L MW 1 <0.001	BENZENE FIELD CODE TOLUENE mg/L MW 1 <0.001	BENZENE TOLUENE ETHYLBENZENE FIELD CODE mg/L mg/L mg/L MW 1 <0.001	BENZENE FIELD CODE BENZENE mg/L TOLUENE mg/L ETHYLBENZENE mg/L m.p.XYLENE mg/L MW 1 <0.001	BENZENE FIELD CODE TOLUENE mg/L ETHYLBENZENE mg/L m,p-XYLENE mg/L o-XYLENE mg/L MW 1 <0.001

% IA	98	96	95	96	90
% EA	92	90	91	92	86
BLANK	< 0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

METHODS: SW 846-8021B,5030

Raland K. Tuttle

25-00 Date

HALN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ARALYSIS REQUEST	ANALYSIS REQUEST		он ад т			2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	тссь и тссь и тссь 2 тссь 2 тссь 2 тссь 4 тссь 2 тссь 4 тссь 2 тссь 4 тссь 4 тсс 4 тс 4 тсс 4 тс 4 тс 4 тс 4 тс 4 тс 4 т							Surverce, East -10C	X RESULTS , HORAS OFFICE	e Resurs EOT
CAS, Inc. 12600 West J-20 East Odessa, Texas 79763 (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-1713 C	Phone #: 5 - 5 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -	VELOND HOURS NM	Project Name: DARR ANGELL 2	Surphier Signature:	Amer ares	C T TRESERVATIVE SAMPLING		Noolumer Monuter Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone Mone	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9-13 15-36 X	/9/2	1/322	V 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			TIME: Received by: REMARK	That: Received by:	TIME: Received by Laboratorys MA, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14, 14
Environmental Lab of Tex	Froject Manuser. BETH ALDRICH	Company Name & Address: ETG Z 2540 W MA	Froject #: EUT 2020R	Project Location:	LEA COUNTY NM				31018 MW /	31019 MW 3	31020 pm 4	31021 EB1			Relinguined br. Date: Armon acao 9-15-04	Rellinguished by: Date:	Relinquished by: $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$

.

p.8

1

ļ

Jun 06 01 12:25p

Feb 23 01 05:36p

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. ATTN: MR. JESSE TAYLOR 2540 W MARLAND HOBBS, N.M. 88240 FAX: 915-397-4701

Sample Type: Water Sample Condition: Intact/lced/HCl/-3 deg. C Project #: EOT 2020R Project Name: Darr Angell 2 Project Location: Lea Co., N.M. Sampling Date: 02/14/01 Receiving Date: 02/21/01 Analysis Date: 02/22/01

.

ELT#	FIELD CODE	BENZENE	TOLUENE mg/L	ETHYLBENZENE mg/L	m,p-XYLENE mg/L	o-XYLENE mg/L	
37554	MW 1	<0.001		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
37555	MW 3	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
37556	MW 4	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
37557	EB 1	≺0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	

%IA	91	95	97	95	97
%ЕА	87	90	89	86	88
BLANK	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

METHODS: EPA SW 846-80218,5030

Raland K. Tutti

2-23-01

P.3