AP - <u>011</u>

ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT

YEAR(S): 2003

March 29, 2004

Bertha Barber Tank Battery 2003 Annual Report

Prepared for:

Marathon Oil Company Southern Business Unit PO Box 3487 Houston, Texas 77253-3487

R.T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 RIO GRANDE BLVD. NW, SUTTE F-142, ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87104

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Localized ground water quality impairment was caused by past releases to disposal pits associated with the former Bertha Barber Tank Battery. Periodic releases saturated the sediments above the water table and facilitated transport of produced water (brine) and entrained hydrocarbons to the underlying alluvial aquifer. Under current conditions, measurable transport of constituents from the soil and unsaturated zone into ground water is highly unlikely.

Phase separate hydrocarbons (PSH) are entrained in pore spaces of the capillary fringe and in the saturated zone at the Bertha Barber site. As the water table rises and falls, these entrained hydrocarbons can cause a sheen on ground water or a relatively thin layer of PSH in several monitoring wells. The PSH within the matrix of the saturated zone and capillary fringe have not caused a significant area of the aquifer to display dissolved hydrocarbons above Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) Standards. The zone of the aquifer affected by dissolved phase hydrocarbons (currently about 500 feet by 400 feet) is decreasing in extent and magnitude over time. Natural restoration will cause ground water to meet WQCC Standards for hydrocarbons in 2-5 years. The sheen or thin layer of PSH, which might be observed in ground water monitoring wells for decades, represents no material threat to human health or the environment.

A zone of saline ground water exists below the Bertha Barber site. Beneath the former evaporation pit, a layer of dense brine might exist on the clay-rich red beds (Dockum Group) which form the base of the alluvial aquifer. Natural restoration is mitigating the effect of the saline water and ground water should be at background concentrations for Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) and chloride within ten years. The zone of high TDS and chloride is about 1200 feet by 200 feet and is not expanding.

A Dynergy pipeline release has caused localized impairment of ground water quality up gradient from the Bertha Barber site.

Removal of surface soils and near-surface material that exhibits hydrocarbons or salt will cause no acceleration of the natural restoration of ground water that is on-going at the site. Simulation modeling using HYDRUS-1D would show that the flux of these constituents from the unsaturated zone to ground water is not large enough to cause a measurable difference in ground water quality, especially where natural surface restoration has re-established vegetation. In fact, removal of

MARATHON BERTHA DANBER VANK BATTERY- Annual Report March 2004

surface soil may cause more environmental damage without creating a commensurate environmental benefit.

We recommend continued monitoring of natural restoration of ground water at the site because a mechanical ground water remedy is not warranted. We recommend the plugging and abandonment of five monitoring wells that provide no material benefit to the monitoring of the natural restoration of ground water. We recommend release of three up-gradient monitoring wells to Dynergy to assist them with their own environmental program associated with their past pipeline release.

Because HYDRUS-1D simulations will show that the constituents released to the vadose zone pose no threat to ground water, inclusion of a soil restoration plan in the Abatement Plan is outside the scope of Rule 19. Restoration of the ground surface is clearly an issue that involves the surface owner and Marathon Oil Company.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of Marathon Oil Company, R.T. Hicks Consultants, Ltd. (Hicks Consultants) prepared this annual report that summarizes the results of ground water monitoring, as required by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD), conducted during 2003. Plate 1 shows the location of the site and nearby monitoring wells, which are currently being placed into a GIS mapping system at the Petroleum Research and Recovery Center in Socorro. The Bertha Barber site is situated in Section 5, Township 20 South, Range 37 East (latitude 32° 36′ 37.32″ longitude 103° 17′ 34.47″). Quarterly groundwater monitoring was conducted on March 5th, June 16th, September 24th, and December 22nd, 2003.

3.0 HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

Sixteen monitor wells are currently located at the former Bertha Barber Tank Battery facility (Plate 2). Three monitoring wells (MW-14, MW-15 and MW-16) were installed in December 2002 and thirteen monitoring wells were installed during the course of a site-wide assessment performed in 1998-1999 by ARCADIS (formerly AG&M). Boring logs from the wells indicate that the site is underlain by sand of varying colors, grain sizes and sorting to a depth of at least 50 feet. At most locations, the sand is mixed with some gravel, the presence of which tends to increase with depth. In the northern and eastern portions of the site, the surficial sands and gravel are underlain by caliche at depths ranging from 4 to 9 feet below land surface. The work of Nicholson and Clebsch (1961) and information from nearby water well logs show that the top of the Dockum Group is at an elevation of about 3,490 above sea level (about 70 feet below land surface) The red clay that characterizes the Dockum Group is an aquitard and establishes the base of the alluvial aquifer.

Ground water at the site is generally found between 35 and 40 feet below ground level. Plate 3 shows that the ground water in the alluvium and adjacent Ogallala aquifer flows southwest. In the area of Bertha Barber, the water table is nearly flat. Ground water flows southeast at a gradient of 0.003. The elevation of the water table at the Bertha Barber site suggests that the saturated thickness of the alluvial aquifer is about 35 feet.

The hydraulic conductivity of the underlying ground water zone is relatively low. Monitoring wells often "bail dry" during sampling. This low hydraulic conductivity may also explain why the nearby livestock well has been unused for the past several years.

4.0 FIELD METHODS

BBC International Inc. conducted quarterly ground water monitoring events on March 5th, June 16th, September 24th, and December 22nd, 2003. During each monitoring event, a site-wide gauging event was completed prior to sampling.

A standard sampling protocol was followed. BBC International bailed three wetted casing volumes of water were removed from each well prior to sample collection. The fluid was removed from each well using a submersible pump and dedicated tubing or a dedicated disposable bailer. In some cases, the wells were pumped (or bailed) dry and allowed to recover prior to sampling. When a submersible pump was utilized, it was decontaminated by washing and pumping with water and laboratory-grade detergent. The washing was followed by a clean water rinse. Only one sample was collected from any monitor well that contained measurable amounts of PSH, MW-1 showed a negligible amount of product during 2003. Because MW-10 measures the effects of the Dynergy pipeline release, BBC International did not sample this well (See OCD letter to MOC Dated March 6, 2003).

During each quarterly monitoring event, ground water samples were collected and analyzed for BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylene) using EPA Method 8021B. During the September 24th sampling event, ground water samples were collected and analyzed for chloride using EPA Method 325.2. During the September 24th event, ground water samples were also collected and analyzed for TDS using EPA Method 160.1, three dissolved metals (iron, manganese and barium) using EPA Method 6010B, and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) using EPA Method 8310. In addition to the above samples, one rinsate sample (field blank) and one replicate sample were submitted during each sampling event, and a trip blank sample was included in each cooler utilized to transport samples for BTEX analysis to the laboratory.

Fluid levels were gauged in all monitor wells during each quarterly ground water monitoring event.

5.0 RESULTS OF 2003 FIELD PROGRAMS

Table 1 contains historical fluid level data for all monitor wells at the site. Plate 4 is a water table elevation map for the Bertha Barber wells for June 16, 2003. Our interpretation is consistent with the regional data, showing a southeast gradient of 0.0004.

3526.00

3525.50

3525.00

3524.50

3524.00

3523.50

3523.00

3522.50

3522.00

7/24/1998

0 Apr-99 Aug-99

Dec-99 Apr-00 Aug-00 Dec-00

12/6/1999

In 2003, BBC International observed phase separate hydrocarbons in MW-1. As shown in Figure 1, PSH in MW-1 is not uncommon. No other wells exhibited PSH in 2003. As Table 1 shows, before 2003 PSH occurred more than once in wells MW-2, MW-4, MW-5, MW-7, MW-9 and MW-10. Generally, the PSH thickness in these other wells was less than 0.01 foot from 2001-2003.

Examination of the geologist's logs of MW-1 and other nearby wells show hydrocarbon stained material at depths exceeding 25 feet and some wells (e.g. MW-3) show hydrocarbon stained material within the saturated

zone. We hypothesize that these hydrocarbons in the capillary fringe and in the saturated zone periodically release hydrocarbons to ground water in the form of PSH in monitoring wells.

The chemical analyses are summarized in Tables 2, 3, and 4 along with historical results from each well. In 2003, only MW-5 exhibited benzene above laboratory detection limits. Figure 2 shows the chemical trend in this well over time. Figure 3 compares the benzene concentrations in MW-5 with those observed in MW-4. Benzene has not been detected in samples from MW-4 since March 5, 2003. Forecasting the benzene concentration decline suggests that MW-5 will meet WQCC standards by 2008. We hypothesize that benzene concentrations in MW-5 will now decline at a faster rate because recent benzene concentra-



Apr-01 Aug-01 Dec-01

9/1/2002

4/19/2001

----- Water Elevation --------- PSH Thickness

Water and PSH in MW-1

Apr-02

Aug-02 Dec-02 Apr-03 Aug-03 Dec-03

1/14/2004

MW-1.

0.70

0.60

0.50

0.40

0.30

0.20

0.10

0.00

5/28/2005

Figure 1. Water and PSH in



tions are relatively low. If we observe a decline similar to that observed in MW-4, we may witness MW-5 at or below 10 ppb benzene by 2006.

MARATNON BERTHA DARBER TANK BATTERY- Annual Report



Figure 3. Benzene in MW-4 and MW-5 over time.

In 2003, all other organic constituents, such as toluene and PAHs, were below laboratory detection limits.

TDS and chloride are above WQCC standards in several wells, including the up gradient livestock well. The regional background TDS concentration in the area of the Bertha Barber site appears to be about 1600 ppm (see livestock well analyses in Table 3) and background chloride is about 650 ppm. Several monitoring wells exhibit chloride concentrations materially higher than background (see Plate 5). Figure 4 shows chloride concentration over time for MW-5. Like several other wells, chloride is decreasing with time due to natural dilution and dispersion. The most recent analysis (2003) suggests that MW-5 is very close to background conditions. Some wells show chloride and TDS concentrations increasing over time.



Plate 6 presents chloride versus time graphs for eight wells. Wells on the northern portion of the site are probably affected by the release from the Dynergy pipeline, as discussed in earlier reports. For example, MW-10 and MW-6 show chloride concentrations generally above 2000 ppm.

On the south side of the site, MW-12 showed the highest chloride concentration (4400 ppm on 9/22/99). MW-11, which is essentially directly down gradient from MW-12 also shows relatively high chloride concen-

MARATHON BERTHA BABBER TANN BATTEBY- Annual Report March 2004 Figure 4. Chloride in MW-5 over time.

trations, now exceeding 1500 ppm. The recently installed MW-16 shows TDS and chloride concentrations similar to MW-12 and MW-11. These wells, which are down gradient from the former evaporation pit, show decreasing TDS and chloride concentrations over time at MW-12 and relatively stable concentrations over time at MW-11 (Plate 7). More data are required to accurately predict the concentration trend at MW-11.

We hypothesize that past discharges to the former evaporation pit caused localized impairment of ground water quality. Dilution and dispersion are mitigating the effect of these past releases and causing the TDS and chloride concentrations at MW-12 to decrease over time. Simple forecasting of the concentration decline at MW-12 suggests that this area will be at background concentrations in less than 10 years. We hypothesize that the zone of ground water impairment is not expanding but has reached a "dynamic equilibrium" and the relatively stable TDS and chloride concentrations at MW-11 support this hypothesis. Examination of the chloride and TDS data from MW-12, MW-16 and MW-11 suggest that background water quality exists about 1200 feet down gradient from MW-12 (Figure 5). Continued monitoring of MW-11 should show a decline of TDS and choride over the next 10 years. Aerial photographic evidence shows that discharges to this pit have not occurred since 1975 or earlier. Therefore, an equilibrium condition as hypothesized above appears valid.

In the area of the former tank bottoms pit, chloride concentrations are at background levels (MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4). Down gradient from the former release sites, TDS and chloride concentrations are rising slightly after an initial decline in MW-8 and are steadily declining to background levels at MW-7. We hypothesize that MW-8, like other wells on the northern portion of the Bertha Barber site, might be affected by the Dynergy pipeline release. We cannot explain why MW-4, which is closer to the pipeline than MW-8 exhibits background TDS and chloride concentrations. More likely, however, MW-8 and MW-15 may be relict impairment from past discharges to the tank bottoms pits. With the cessation of discharges to the tank bottoms pits, wells MW-2, MW-4, MW-3 and others have been naturally restored. Over time, we hypothesize that the relict impairment will disperse and dilute to background conditions.

We anticipate that ground water beneath the tank bottoms pits, which are now at background levels, will soon become impaired from the Dynergy pipeline release.

MARATHON BERTHA BABBER TANK BATTERY- Annual Report March 2004



Figure 5. Chloride in MW-12, MW-16, MW-11.

6.0 CONCULSIONS

- 1. The Bertha Barber Tank Battery, which released produced water and entrained hydrocarbons in the past, created a highly localized area of ground water quality impairment.
 - 2. Natural processes have effectively mitigated the impact caused by the Bertha Barber site's release of hydrocarbons in all wells except MW-5, which remains above WQCC Standards.
 - 3. Natural processes will reduce benzene concentrations in MW-5 to acceptable levels within 2-5 years.
 - 4. PSH will continue to appear in certain monitor wells for a few years in the form of a sheen or very thin layer. Fluctuating water levels cause hydrocarbons that are entrained within the saturated zone matrix to appear in monitoring wells.
 - 5. Natural processes have reduced TDS and chloride concentrations in the area where past actions released produced water to the former tank bottom pits.
 - 6. Down gradient from Bertha Barber tank bottom pits, MW-7 also exhibits TDS and chloride concentrations approaching background. However, high TDS and chloride in MW-8 and MW-15 might represent relict impairment from past discharges. If the source of high TDS and chloride in MW-8 and MW-15 is the former tank bottoms pits, natural processes will mitigate this impairment over time.
 - 7. MW-12, MW-16, and MW-11 are within a zone of ground water impairment caused by past discharges to an evaporation pit (closed before 1975). The extent of this localized zone is about 1200 feet by 500 feet.
 - 8. Natural processes have limited the extent of the zone of impairment identified by MW-12, MW-16 and MW-11 and these processes will cause this zone to reach background water quality in about 10 years.

- 9. Residual hydrocarbons and brine in the unsaturated zone do not represent a threat to human health or the environment. HYDRUS 1D simulation modeling will show that the flux of these constituents to ground water is so slow that water quality would not be materially affected.
- 10. If modeling shows that the unsaturated zone does not pose a threat to ground water, then a soil restoration plan is not within the scope of a Rule 19 Abatement Plan. Marathon should then withdraw the soil restoration plan from NMOCD oversight.
- 11. A release associated with the Dynergy pipeline caused elevated TDS, chloride and benzene concentrations in ground water (see MW-6 and MW-10 analyses). The effects of this release will soon impact down gradient monitoring wells.
- 12. Defining the magnitude, extent and effects of the Dynergy pipeline release is also beyond the scope of this report and is not the responsibility of Marathon Oil Company.

Our recommendations for disposition of monitoring wells at the Bertha Barber site follows this section.

7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Well Name	Proposed Action	Rationale
	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This well has not been sampled
		routinely and offers no unique data to
MW-1	Plug and abandon	assist in further site characterization
		or natural restoration monitoring
		These wells have not exhibited
		dissolved hydrocarbons for several
		years. TDS and chloride are at
MW-2, MW-3, MW-9	Plug and abandon	background concentrations. These
		wells offer no unique data to assist in
		further site characterization or natural
- monorm		restoration monitoring
		These wells exhibited constituents
		above standards in the past but recent
	Semple appuelly using person	samples have not detected
	mathods until water quality is at	hydrocarbons. TDS and chloride are
MW-4, MW-7, MW-8,	heatoround lough or until the	near background concentrations at
MW-11, MW-12, MW-	Dupperour release affects the	MW-4 MW-7, MW-8 and MW-15.
15, MW-16,	results Analyze only for	More data from these wells would
	BTEXN TOS Chlarida	assist in the monitoring of a natural
		attenuation remedy for this site.
		Continued analysis for SVOCs and
		metals is not warranted.
		Benzene concentrations in this well
	Sample semi annually using no	exceed WQCC Standards. Semi
MW-5	purge methods for BTEXN, TDS	annual sampling is necessary to
	and Chloride	accurately predict the benzene
		concentration natural decline.
		These wells are suitably located for on-
MW-6, MW-10, MW-13	Relinquish wells to Dynergy	going monitoring of the Dynergy
		pipeline release
		This well does not show
		concentrations above standards and is
		not down gradient from the former
MW-14	Plug and abandon	Bertha Barber Tank Battery. It offers
		no unique data to assist in further site
		characterization or remedy
Y TANA		monitoring



TABLES

BBC International, Inc. World-Wide Environmental Specialists

Page 1 of 6

The second
BBC INTERNATIONAL

Phone: (505) 397-6388 * Fax: (505) 397-0397 * 1324 W. Marland * PO Box 805 * Hobbs, NM 88241

Table 1.

Historical Fluid Level Data, December 1998 - December 2003

Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

	Dete	Measuring Point	Depth to	Depth to Product	Product	Corrected Water-
weii iD	Date	Elevation	vvater		Inickness	Level Elevation
		(feet amsi)	(reet bmp)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet amsl)
MW-1	12/22/03	3561.57	39.00	38 15	0.68	3523.25
14144-1	09/24/03	3561.57	38.08	38.03	0.00	3523.53
	06/16/03	3561.57	37 70	Sheen	0.04	3523.87
	03/05/03	3561.57	37.55	37.53	0 02	3524 04
	*12/18/2002	3561.57	37.62	37.60	0.02	3523.97
	09/25/02	3561.20	37.67	37.55	0.02	3523.63
	06/28/02	3561.20	37.38	37 37	0.01	3523.83
	03/22/02	3561.20	37.34	37.30	0.03	3523.89
	12/26/01	3561.20	37.33	37.19	0.11	3523.98
	09/27/01	3561.20	38.55	38.48	0.06	3522.71
	06/28/01	3561.20	38.15	38.14	0.01	3523.06
	03/19/01	3561.20	37.15	37.14	0.01	3524.06
	12/21/00	3561.20	37.14	37.13	0.01	3524.07
	09/27/00	3561.20	37.70	37.65	0.04	3523.54
	06/20/00	3561.20	37.77	37.70	0.06	3523.49
	03/30/00	3561.20	36.20	36.19	0.01	3525.01
	12/14/99	3561.20	36.03		0.03	3525.19
	09/22/99	3561.20	35.79		sheen	3525.41
	08/27/99	3561.20	35.66		0.02	3525.55
	07/16/99	3561.20	35.48		0.005	3525.72
	03/31/99	3561.20	35.82		0.05	3525.42
	12/30/98	3561.20	35.83		0	3525.37
MW-2	12/22/03	3562.10	38.58		0	3523.52
	09/24/03	3562.10	38.36		0	3523.74
	06/16/03	3562.10	38.19		0	3523.91
	03/05/03	3562.10	38.05		0	3524.05
	*12/18/2002	3562.10	38.15	38.14	0.01	3523.96
	09/25/02	3561.69	38.10	38.06	0.03	3523.62
	06/28/02	3561.69	37.85		0	3523.84
	03/22/02	3561.69	38.78		0	3522.91
	12/26/01	3561.69	37.70	37.69	0.01	3524.00
	09/27/01	3561.69	37.49	37.48	0.01	3524.21
	06/28/01	3561.69	37.16	37.15	0.01	3524.54
	03/19/01	3561.69	37.61	37.60	0.01	3524.09
	12/21/00	3561.69	37.60	37.59	0.01	3524.10
	09/27/00	3561.69	38.12	38.11	0.01	3523.58
	06/20/00	3561.69	38.12	38.10	0.02	3523.59
	03/30/00	3561.69	36.60	36.59	0.01	3525.10
	12/14/99	3561.69	36.62		0	3525.07
	09/22/99	3561.69	36.27		0	3525.42
	08/27/99	3561.69	36.13		0.01	3525.57
	07/16/99	3561.69	35.95		U	3525.74
	03/31/99	3561.69	36.33		U	3525.36
	12/30/98	3001.69	30.34		0	3525.35

*New survey data Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.80 **Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.75. feet amsI=Ft above mean sea level feet bmp=Ft below measuring point BBC International, Inc.

World-Wide Environmental Specialists

Page 2 of 6

BBC INTERNATIONAL

Phone: (505) 397-6388 * Fax: (505) 397-0397 * 1324 W. Marland * PO Box 805 * Hobbs, NM 88241

Table 1.

Historical Fluid Level Data, December 1998 - December 2003 Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

Measuring Point Depth to Product Corrected Water-Depth to Product Well ID Date Elevation Water Thickness Level Elevation (feet amsl) (feet bmp) (feet) (feet) (feet amsl) 3563.40 3523.33 MW-3 12/22/03 40.07 0 09/24/03 3563.40 39.88 0 3523.52 06/16/03 3563.40 39.53 3523.87 0 3563.40 03/05/03 39.39 0 3524.01 3563.40 39.49 0 3523.91 12/18/2002 3563.00 39.42 39.41 0.01 3523.59 09/25/02 06/28/02 3563.00 39.19 0 3523.81 03/22/02 3563.00 39.11 0 3523.89 3563.00 39.05 3523.95 12/26/01 0 09/27/01 3563.00 38.95 0 3524.05 06/28/01 3563.00 38.63 0 3524.37 03/19/01 3563.00 38.19 0 3524.81 3563.00 38.11 0 3524.89 12/21/00 0 3525.12 3563.00 37.88 09/27/00 06/20/00 3563.00 38.56 0 3524.44 03/30/00 3563.00 38.10 0 3524.90 0 12/14/99 3563.00 38.10 3524.90 09/22/99 3563.00 37.59 0 3525.41 08/27/99 3563.00 37.48 0 3525.52 3563.00 37.31 0 3525.69 07/16/99 3563.00 03/31/99 37.67 0 3525.33 12/30/98 3563.00 37.65 0 3525.35 0 MW-4 12/22/03 3563.43 39.95 3523.48 09/24/03 3563.43 39.73 0 3523.70 3563.43 3523.91 06/16/03 39.52 0 3563.43 3524.04 03/05/03 39.39 0 *12/18/2002 3563.43 39.45 0 3523.98 09/25/02 3563.01 38.65 38.61 0.03 3524.39 06/28/02 3563.01 38.66 38.63 0.02 3524.37 03/22/02 3563.01 39.11 39.10 0.01 3523.91 12/26/01 3563.01 39.05 39.03 0.02 3523.98 3563.01 38.92 09/27/01 38.82 0.08 3524.17 06/28/01 3563.01 38.60 0 3524.41 03/19/01 3563.01 38.16 0 3524.85 12/21/00 3563.01 38.10 0 3524.91 09/27/00 3563.01 37.86 0 3525.15 3563.01 38.26 0 3524.75 06/20/00 3563.01 03/30/00 38.10 0 3524.91 12/14/99 3563.01 37.85 3525.16 0 09/22/99 3563.01 37.57 0 3525.44 08/27/99 3563.01 37.46 0 3525.55 07/16/99 3563.01 37.28 0 3525.73 03/31/99 3563.01 37.66 0 3525.35 12/30/98 3563.01 37.66 0 3525.35



*New survey data Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.80 **Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.75. feet amsI=Ft above mean sea level feet bmp=Ft below measuring point BBCINIER RHATIONAL

BBC International, Inc. World-Wide Environmental Specialists

Phone: (505) 397-6388 * Fax: (505) 397-0397 * 1324 W. Marland * PO Box 805 * Hobbs, NM 88241

Table 1.

Historical Fluid Level Data, December 1998 - December 2003

Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

Well ID	Date	Measuring Point Elevation	Depth to Water	Depth to Product	Product Thickness	Corrected Water- Level Elevation
		(feet amsl)	(feet bmp)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet amsl)
NAVA/ 5	12/22/03	3561 49	38 12		٥	3523 37
C-PAIN	12/22/03	3501.49	30.12		0	3523.37
	09/24/03	2561.49	37.83	Shoop	0	3523.04
	00/10/03	3501.49	37.00	Sheen	0	3523.09
	*10/10/03	3501.49	37.40	27.54	0 02	3524.03
	12/10/2002	3501.49	37.50	37.34	0.02	3523.95
	09/25/02	3501.10	37.52	37.40	0.03	3523.01
	00/20/02	3001.10	37.31	37.29	0.02	3523.81
	03/22/02	3561.10	37.20	07.40	0	3523.90
	12/26/01	3561.10	37.21	37.10	0.09	3523.98
	09/27/01	3561.10	36.98	36.47	0.41	3524.53
	06/28/01	3561.10	36.69		0	3524.41
	03/19/01	3561.10	36.13		0	3524.97
	12/21/00	3561.10	36.15		0	3524.95
	09/27/00	3561.10	35.98		0	3525.12
	06/20/00	3561.10	36.34		0	3524.76
	03/30/00	3561.10	36.10		0	3525.00
	12/14/99	3561.10	35.95		0	3525.15
	09/22/99	3561.10	35.68		0	3525.42
	08/27/99	3561.10	35.56		0	3525.54
	07/16/99	3561.10	35.38		0	3525.72
	03/31/99	3561.10	35.75		0	3525.35
	12/30/98	3561.10	35.73		0	3525.37
MW-6	12/22/03	3561.65	38.29		0	3523.36
	09/24/03	3561.65	38.10		0	3523.55
	06/16/03	3561.65	37.76		0	3523.89
	03/05/03	3561.65	37.61		0	3524.04
	*12/18/2002	3561.65	37.70		0	3523.95
	09/25/02	3561.25	37.63		0	3523.62
	06/28/02	3561.25	37.40		0	3523.85
	03/22/02	3561.25	37.32		0	3523.93
	12/26/01	3561.25	37.25		0	3524.00
	09/27/01	3561.25	37.02		0	3524.23
	06/28/01	3561.25	36.54		0	3524.71
	03/19/01	3561.25	36.80		0	3524.45
	12/21/00	3561.25	36.13		0	3525.12
	09/27/00	3561.25	36.06		0	3525.19
	06/20/00	3561.25	36.39		0	3524.86
	03/30/00	3561.25	36.29		0	3524.96
	12/14/99	3561.25	36.10		Ō	3525.15
	09/22/99	3561.25	35.75		Ō	3525 50
	08/27/99	3561.25	35.69		ő	3525.56



*New survey data Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.80 **Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.75. feet amsI=Ft above mean sea level feet bmp=Ft below measuring point

BBC International, Inc.

Page 4 of 6

World-Wide Environmental Specialists

Phone: (505) 397-6388 * Fax: (505) 397-0397 * 1324 W. Marland * PO Box 805 * Hobbs, NM 88241

Table 1.

Historical Fluid Level Data, December 1998 - December 2003

Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico Measuring Point Depth to Product Corrected Water-Depth to Product Well ID Date Elevation Water Thickness Level Elevation (feet amsl) (feet bmp) (feet) (feet) (feet amsl) 3562.70 MW-7 12/22/03 39.75 0 3522.95 09/24/03 3562.70 39.60 0 3523.10 39.16 3523.54 06/16/03 3562.70 0 03/05/03 3562.70 38.97 0 3523.73 39.07 3523.63 12/18/2002 3562.70 0 39.01 38.99 0.02 3523.45 3562.44 09/25/02 06/28/02 3562.44 38.76 0 3523.68 03/22/02 3562.44 38.65 38.64 0.01 3523.80 3562.44 38.62 38.61 0.01 3523.83 12/26/01 09/27/01 3562.44 38.43 38.42 0.01 3524.02 06/28/01 3562.44 37.90 37.89 0.01 3524.55 37.57 03/19/01 3562.44 37.58 0.01 3524.87 3562.44 37.70 37.65 0.04 3524.79 12/21/00 37.76 37.75 3562.44 0.01 3524.69 09/27/00 06/20/00 3562.44 37.91 37.73 0.14 3524.67 03/30/00 3562.44 37.60 37.55 0.04 3524.88 3562.44 37.51 3524.93 12/14/99 0 09/22/99 3562.44 38.20 0 3524.24 08/27/99 3562.44 38.15 0 3524.29 38.92 0 **MW-8** 12/22/03 3561.82 3522.90 09/24/03 3561.82 38.71 0 3523.11 3561.82 06/16/03 38.30 3523.52 0 03/05/03 3561.82 38.10 0 3523.72 *12/18/2002 3561.82 38.20 0 3523.62 3561.39 38.15 0 09/25/02 3523.24 37.87 06/28/02 3561.39 0 3523.52 03/22/02 3561.39 37.80 0 3523.59 12/26/01 3561.39 37.74 0 3523.65 09/27/01 3561.39 37.51 0 3523.88 06/28/01 3561.39 36.98 0 3524.41 03/19/01 3561.39 36.51 0 3524.88 36.50 12/21/00 3561.39 0 3524.89 09/27/00 3561.39 36.61 0 3524.78 06/20/00 3561.39 36.88 0 3524.51 03/30/00 3561.39 36.65 0 3524.74 12/14/99 3561.39 36.44 0 3524.95 09/22/99 3561.39 37.26 0 3524.13 08/27/99 3561.39 37.21 0 3524.18 MW-9 12/22/03 3563.95 40.84 0 3523.11 3563.95 09/24/03 40.62 0 3523.33 06/16/03 3563.95 40.22 0 3523.73 3563.95 03/05/03 40.04 0 3523.91 12/18/2002 3563.95 40.15 0 3523.80 09/25/02 3561.59 41.09 41.11 0.02 3520.50 39.85 06/28/02 3561.59 39.87 0.02 3521.74 03/22/02 3563.59 39.39 39.37 0.02 3524.22 3563.59 39.82 39.65 3523.91 12/26/01 0.14 3563.59 39.62 39.40 0.18 3524.15 09/27/01 06/28/01 3563.59 38.99 0 3524.60 03/19/01 3563.59 38.65 0 3524.94 3563.59 38.60 12/21/00 0 3524.99 09/27/00 3563.59 38.60 0 3524.99 06/20/00 3563.59 38.89 0 3524.70 3563.59 38.70 0 03/30/00 3524.89 12/14/99 3563.59 38.48 0 3525.11 09/22/99 3563.59 36.23 0 3527.36 08/27/99 3563.59 36.14 0 3527.45



Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.80 **Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.75. feet amsl=Ft above mean sea level

feet bmp=Ft below measuring point



BBC International, Inc.

Page 5 of 6

BBC INTERNATIONAL

World-Wide Environmental Specialists Phone: (505) 397-6388 * Fax: (505) 397-0397 * 1324 W. Marland * PO Box 805 * Hobbs, NM 88241

Table 1.

Historical Fluid Level Data, December 1998 - December 2003 Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

Product Corrected Water-Depth to Measuring Point Depth to Product Well ID Date Elevation Water Thickness Level Elevation (feet) (feet amsl) (feet bmp) (feet) (feet amsl) 3560.88 **MW-10 12/22/03 09/24/03 3560.88 06/16/03 3560.88 3560.88 36.83 36.82 0.01 3524.06 03/05/03 *12/18/2002 3560.88 36.92 36.91 0.01 3523.97 09/25/02 3560.51 36.84 36.82 0.02 3523.69 3523.91 06/28/02 3560.51 36.61 36.60 0.01 3560.51 36.55 36.53 0.01 3523.98 03/22/02 36.98 36.34 0.48 3524.01 12/26/01 3560.51 09/27/01 3560.51 36.75 36.12 0.47 3524.23 06/28/01 3560.51 36.26 35.63 0.47 3524.72 35.48 0.03 3560.51 35.52 3525.02 03/19/01 12/21/00 3560.51 35.53 35.52 0.01 3524.99 09/27/00 3560.51 35.56 35.55 0.01 3524.96 35.55 35.54 3524.97 06/20/00 3560.51 0.01 03/30/00 3560.51 35.50 35.49 0.01 3525.02 12/14/99 3560.51 35.33 0 3525.18 34.96 3525.55 09/22/99 3560.51 0 08/27/99 3560.51 34.87 0 3525.64 0 12/22/03 3565.81 43.08 3522.73 MW-11 09/24/03 3565.81 42.90 0 3522.91 06/16/03 3565.81 42.47 0 3523.34 03/05/03 3565.81 42 26 3523.55 0 *12/18/2002 3565.81 42.34 0 3523.47 3565.44 42.32 3523.12 09/25/02 0 06/28/02 3565.44 42.04 3523.40 0 03/22/02 3565.44 41.95 0 3523.49 12/26/01 3565.44 41.91 0 3523.53 3565.44 41.71 3523.73 09/27/01 0 06/28/01 3565.44 41.16 0 3524.28 03/19/01 3565.44 39.76 0 3525.68 12/21/00 3565.44 40.01 0 3525.43 3565.44 09/27/00 39.82 0 3525.62 06/20/00 3565.44 40.10 0 3525.34 03/30/00 3565.44 39.80 0 3525.64 3565.44 12/14/99 40.61 0 3524.83 09/22/99 3565.44 40.37 0 3525.07 3565.44 40.34 0 08/27/99 3525.10 MW-12 (PZ-2) 12/22/03 3562.46 0 3523.24 39.22 09/24/03 3562.46 39.03 0 3523.43 06/16/03 3562.46 38.68 0 3523.78 03/05/03 3562.46 38.54 0 3523.92 *12/18/2002 3562.46 38.62 0 3523.84 09/25/02 3562.11 38.53 0 3523.58 06/28/02 3562.11 38.30 0 3523.81 03/22/02 3562.11 38.22 0 3523.89 12/26/01 3562.11 37.15 0 3524.96 09/27/01 3562.11 37.90 0 3524.21 37.45 06/28/01 3562.11 0 3524.66 03/19/01 3562.11 37.26 0 3524.85 12/21/00 3562.11 37.23 0 3524.88 09/27/00 3562.11 37.09 0 3525.02 3562.11 3524.77 06/20/00 37.34 0 03/30/00 3562.11 0 3524.88 37.23 12/14/99 3562.11 36.95 0 3525.16 09/22/99 3562.11 36.69 0 3525.42 08/27/99 3562.11 36.65 0 3525.46



Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.80 **Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.75. feet amsi=Ft above mean see level for burger that was described.

feet bmp=Ft below measuring point

*New survey data

BBC INTERNATIONAL Phone: (505)

BBC International, Inc.

World-Wide Environmental Specialists

Phone: (505) 397-6388 * Fax: (505) 397-0397 * 1324 W. Marland * PO Box 805 * Hobbs, NM 88241

Historical Fluid Level Data, December 1998 - December 2003

Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

Wellin	Date	Measuring Point	Depth to Water	Depth to Product	Product	Corrected Water-
Weirib	Date	(feet amsl)	(feet hmn)	(feet)	(feet)	(feet amsl)
		(icer amory		(1001)	(1001)	(loot amai)
MW-13 (PZ-1)	12/22/03	3560.05	36.72		0	3523.33
	09/24/03	3560.05	36.51		0	3523.54
	06/16/03	3560.05	36.17		0	3523.88
	03/05/03	3560.05	36.03		0	3524.02
	*12/18/2002	3560.05	36.12		0	3523.93
	09/25/02	3559.67	36.05		0	3523.62
	06/28/02	3559.67	35.82		0	3523.85
	03/22/02	3559.67	35.76		0	3523.91
	12/26/01	3559.67	35.67		0	3524.00
	09/27/01	3559.67	35.52		0	3524.15
	06/28/01	3559.67	34.95		0	3524.72
	03/19/01	3559.67	34.84		0	3524.83
	12/21/00	3559.67	34.75		0	3524.92
	09/27/00	3559.67	34.49		0	3525.18
	06/20/00	3559.67	34.90		0	3524.77
	03/30/00	3559.67	34.80		0	3524.87
	12/14/99	3559.67	34.96		0	3524.71
	09/22/99	3559.67	34.20		0	3525.47
	08/27/99	3559.67	34.09		0	3525.58
MW-14	12/22/03	3562.15	39.20		0	3522.95
	09/24/03	3562.15	39.00		0	3523.15
	06/16/03	3562.15	38.58		0	3523.57
	03/05/03	3562.15	38.38		0	3523.77
	*12/18/2002	3562.15	38.47		0	3523.68
MW-15	12/22/03	3562.19	39.39		0	3522.80
	09/24/03	3562.19	39.19		0	3523.00
	06/16/03	3562.19	38.78		0	3523.41
	03/05/03	3562.19	38.55		0	3523.64
	*12/18/2002	3562.19	38.65		0	3523.54
MW-16	12/22/03	3566.51	43.58		0	3522.93
	09/24/03	3566.51	43.38		0	3523.13
	06/16/03	3566.51	42.99		0	3523.52
	03/05/03	3566.51	42.80		0	3523.71
	*12/18/2002	3566.51	42.87		0	3523.64



*New survey data

Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.80 **Water level elevations corrected for condensate using a SG of 0.75. feet amsi=Ft above mean sea level feet bmp=Ft below measuring point

	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620
MW-1	4/9/1999	5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	7/15/1999	<500	<500	<500	NS	NS	<1000
	4/9/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	7/15/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/23/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
(Dunlicate)	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
(Duplicato)	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
(Duplicate)	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
MW-3	4/9/1999	100	14	<5	NS	NS	<10
	7/15/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/23/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	<5	<5	11	<5	<10	ND
(Duplicate)**	3/30/2000	54	8.6	<5	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	<5	<5.0	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/2000	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	10
	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/27/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/26/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
-	3/22/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	9/25/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
					1		







	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620
MW-4	4/9/1999	121	77	43	NS	NS	60
	7/15/1999	43	28	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/23/1999	18	12	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	54	7.5	8.7	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	19	<5.0	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	66	13	<5	<5	<10	<10
(Duplicate)	9/28/2000	51	<5.0	<5	<5	<10	11
t	12/21/2000	46	10	<5	NS	NS	20
	3/19/2001	37	<5	5.2	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	14	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/5/2003		<5	<5			<5
	6/16/2003	5 m	<5	<5			<5
	9/24/2003	5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	1	<1	<1			<1
MW-5	4/9/1999	53	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	7/15/1999	470	43	<5	NS	NS	10
	9/22/1999	156	6	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	50	<5	9.7	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	140	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	110	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/2000	169	5	<5	NS	NS	20
	3/19/2001	32	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	96	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/24/2003	71	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	17.9	<5	<5			<5
(Duplicate)	12/22/2003	19.8	<5	<5			<5







	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620
MW-6	8/17/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/22/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	11	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/2000	14	<5	<5	NS	NS	10
	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
Duplicate	6/28/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/27/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/26/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/22/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
Duplicate	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	9/25/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1

	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620
MW-7	8/17/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/22/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
MW-8	8/17/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/23/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	<5	<5	11	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/2000	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
······	9/27/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/26/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
-	3/22/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	9/25/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	6/16/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5	[<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
MW-9	8/17/1999	20	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/23/1999	8	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	<5	<5	9.3	<5	<5	ND
	6/20/00*	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/00*	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/00*	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	<5	28	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5	1		<5
	6/16/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<5	<5	<5		1	<5







	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620
MW-10	8/17/1999	12100	160	1730	NS	NS	400
	9/22/1999	2900	520	800	NS	NS	600
	· · · · · ·						
MW-11	8/17/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/23/1999	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	3/30/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
(Duplicate)	6/20/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/2000	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	20
	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
(Duplicate)	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/27/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/26/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/22/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	9/25/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	6/16/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
MW-12 (PZ-2)	8/17/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/22/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	7.3	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/2000	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	20
	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001^	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	9/27/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/26/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/22/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	9/25/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12//22/03	<1	<1	<1			<1





	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620
MW-13 (PZ-1)	8/17/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/23/1999	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/30/2000	<5	5	<5	<5	<10	ND
	6/20/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	9/28/2000	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	12/21/2000	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/27/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/26/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/22/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<200	<200	<200	NS	NS	<200
	9/25/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
Duplicate	9/25/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
(Duplicate)	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12//22/03	<1	<1	<1			<1
MW-14	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
				1		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
MW-15	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1	1		<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
			T			1	
MW-16	12/18/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5



	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	j (ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620
Livestock WW	3/19/2001	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10
	6/28/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	9/27/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	12/26/2001	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	3/22/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10
	6/28/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	9/25/2002	<1	<1	<1	NS	NS	<1
	12/18/2002	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<5
	3/5/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
Rinsate	3/5/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	6/16/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1
	9/24/2003	<5	<5	<5			<5
	12/22/2003	<1	<1	<1			<1

Footnotes:

WQCC - New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Ground Water Standards.

BTEX - Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Total Xylenes.

ug/L - micrograms per liter.

NS - Constituent not speciated.

ND - Constituent was not detected during laboratory testing, and laboratory reporting limits are variable.

* - Data was originally labeled as MW-7, but is actually MW-9.

MW-7 was not sampled in 2000 due to the presence of phase separate hydrocarbon (PSH).

** - Question data because it appears to be more representative of the sample for MW-4 for the same event.

			Dissolved Metals	 3		
	Sample	Iron	Manganese	Barium	Chloride	TDS
WELL ID	Date	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
WQCC		1.0	0.2	1.0	250	1,000
MW-1	4/9/1999	3.86	0.48	2.74	3600	6,100
	1					
MW-2	4/9/1999	1.54	0.26	0.39	2700	4,400
	9/23/1999	NS	NS	NS	2500	NS
	9/24/2003	0.62	0.084	0.164	590	1,658
MW-3	4/9/1999	4.66	0.37	0.69	2000	3,500
	9/23/1999	NS	NS	NS	1300	NS
	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	400	NS
	12/21/2000	0.07	0.05	0.13	490	1,300
	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	530	NS
	9/27/2001	0.2	0.08	0.09	620	1,600
	9/25/2002	0.196	0.0865	<.100	506	1,518
	9/24/2003	0.228	0.067	0.099	563	1,616
MW-4	4/9/1999	1.46	0.32	1.63	800	1,900
	9/23/1999	NS	NS	NS	510	NS
	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	600	NS
(Duplicate)	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	760	NS
	12/21/2000	<0.05	0.06	2.07	350	1,100
	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	660	NS
	9/25/2002	NS	NS	NS		NS
	9/24/2003	<0.05	0.055	0.526	491	1,348
MW-5	4/9/1999	47.2	0.97	15.3	2400	4,000
	9/22/1999	<u>NS</u>	NS	NS	860	NS
	9/28/2000	<u>NS</u>	NS	NS	1200	NS
	12/21/2000	0.27	0.06	2.84	760	1,700
	3/19/2001	<u>NS</u>	NS	<u>NS</u>	1600	NS
	9/25/2002	<u>NS</u>	NS	<u>NS</u>		NS
	9/24/2003	0.102	0.041	0.255	581	1,532
(Duplicate)	9/24/2003	0.096	0.064	0.126	897	2,104
	2/17/1000				0.100	
MVV-6	8/1//1999	<0.05	0.21	0.14	2460	4,700
	9/22/1999	NS	NS	<u>NS</u>	2400	NS
L	9/28/2000		NS	NS	1200	NS
	12/21/2000	0.37	0.4	0.14	1300	2,400
	3/19/2001	<u>NS</u>	NS	NS	1400	NS - 400
	9/27/2001	0.16	0.08	0.13	2500	5,400
L	9/25/2002	0.118	0.0581	0.153	2500	5,080
L	9/24/2003	80.0	0.061	0.132	2440	4,645

Table 3. Historical Analytical Data for Selected Dissolved Metals, Chlorides and TDS Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

		C	issolved Metal	5		
	Sample	Iron	Manganese	Barium	Chloride	TDS
WELL ID	Date	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
WQCC		1.0	0.2	1.0	250	1,000
MW-7	8/17/1999	<0.05	0.06	0.44	1400	2.800
	9/22/1999	NS	NS	NS	1100	NS
	9/25/2002	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	9/24/2003	0.35	0.056	0.19	708	1,800
MW-8	8/17/1999	0.8	0.34	6.16	1860	3,300
	9/23/1999	NS	NS	NS	1900	NS
	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	1300	NS
	12/21/2000	0.32	0.12	0.14	1000	2,100
	3/19/2001	NŚ	NS	NS	970	NS
	9/27/2001	0.36	0.08	0.25	1000	2,500
	9/25/2002	0.138	0.0797	0.189	1090	2,392
	9/24/2003	0.28	0.078	0.259	1400	3,100
MW-9	8/17/1999	0.11	0.22	0.21	1100	2,300
	9/23/1999	NS	NS	NS	1100	NS
	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	820	NS
	12/21/2000	< 0.05	0.04	0.26	520	1,400
	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	640	NS
	9/25/2002	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
	9/24/2003	4.63	0.129	0.786	593	1,692
					1	
MW-10	8/17/1999	0.61	0.17	0.14	2370	4,400
	9/22/1999	NS	NS	NS	2200	NS
	9/25/2002	NS	NŠ	NS	NS	NS
						·····
MW-11	8/17/1999	<0.05	0.17	0.14	1020	2,300
	9/23/1999	NS	NS	NS	1100	NS
	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	1300	NS
	12/21/2000	< 0.05	0.09	0.14	1400	2,700
	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	1500	NS
Duplicate	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	1700	NS
	9/27/2001	0.26	0.12	0.24	1600	3,800
	9/25/2002	0.255	0.141	0.271	1620	3,605
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	9/24/2003	0.282	0.145	0.225	1600	3,225
MW-12 (PZ-2)	8/17/1999	0.11	0.13	0.16	4160	7,100
	9/22/1999	NS	NS	NS	4400	NS
	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	3800	NS
	12/21/2000	0.1	0.05	0.15	4000	6,100
	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	3700	NS
	9/27/2001	0.23	0.06	0.13	3200	6,500
····	9/25/2002	< 0.050	0.0297	0.111	3220	6,225
	9/24/2003	<0.05	<0.025	0.114	2550	5,210
						·····

Table 3. Historical Analytical Data for Selected Dissolved Metals, Chlorides and TDS Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

l l			Dissolved Metal	S		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Sample	Iron	Manganese	Barium	Chloride	TDS
WELL ID	Date	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)
WQCC		1.0	0.2	1.0	250	1,000
MW-13 (PZ-1)	8/17/1999	<0.05	0.09	0.16	1920	3,500
	9/23/1999	NS	NS	NS	1600	NS
	9/28/2000	NS	NS	NS	2200	NS
	12/21/2000	0.06	0.02	0.05	1700	2,900
	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	630	NS
	9/27/2001	0.79	0.17	0.14	3000	5,900
	9/25/2002	0.476	0.147	0.107	1670	3,660
	DUP-1	0.355	0.109	<.100	1130	2,625
	9/24/2003	0.221	0.05	0.11	711	1,688
MW-14	9/24/2003	<0.05	<0.025	0.124	777	1,734
MW-15	9/24/2003	0.271	0.089	0.122	1070	2,060
MW-16	9/24/2003	1.26	0.228	0.161	2350	4,740
Rinsate	9/24/2003	<0.05	<0.025	<0.01	<2.5	22
Livestock WW	3/19/2001	NS	NS	NS	660	NS
	9/27/2001	13.4	0.25	0.21	600	1,600
	9/25/2002	4.52	0.224	0.192	671	1,866
	9/24/2003	0.267	0.186	0.248	626	1,636

Table 3. Historical Analytical Data for Selected Dissolved Metals, Chlorides and TDS Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

<u>Footnotes:</u> WQCC - New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Ground Water Standards. TDS - Total Dissolved Solids.

mg/L - milligrams per liter.
 NS - Constituent not sampled during the sampling event.
 * - Data was originally labeled as MW-7, but is actually MW-9.

MW-7 was not sampled in 2000 due to the presence of phase separate hydrocarbon (PSH).

į		
in Alexandree		
1 4 4 4		
10		
and the second se		
1		

Bassed Minerarthana	(1/6/)		<1,5	4).1 4).2	41	9.9	42		4.4	40.2	40.1	40.2	<0.2	0.1	4	40.2	7.05	40.1		6.6	6 .1	<0.2	10	4	40.2	40.1	40.1	0-1-0-	40.2	<2	₽.4 • •	4.1	40.2		A .1	41	40.2	717	40.1 40.2	40.2	42	4.2	40.2		
	UIDenzo(a,ri)anurracere (ug/L)		<1.5	40,1 40,2	4.1	<u>8</u> .8	40.2	7.07	4.1 4.1	4.2	4.1	41	40.2	<0.1	44	40.2	40.2	40.1		9.9	4.1	40.2	• •	0.6	40.2	40.1	40.1	40.1	40.2	<2	¢.1	0	40.2		4.4	0.1 2	<0.2	7.02	6 .2	40.2	40.2	<0.2	40.2		777
	Acenaphthene (ug/L)		<75	\$ \$	\$	54	797	7	24	0 .2	8	\$	40.2	\$	& 4	40.2	40.2	2	7	vv	2	42		2 4	40.2	\$	2	44	4 <u>0</u> 2	<2	2	v v	42 42		8.8	A ĉ	40.2	707	402	\$ 2	<0.2	40.2	42		77
	Acenaphthylene (ug/L)		<75	<5 40.2	8	\$	62 62	202	\$ K	200	\$	5	<0.2	9	24	<02	<0.2	\$ \$	7'0-	۵ <i>4</i>	79	<0.2		۵ ۵	<0.2	\$	4	8	0 2 0	<2	\$	8 8	<0.2		0 0	\$\$ \$	<0.2	202	<5 40.7	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2		700
	Benzo(ghi)perylene (ug/L)		<1.5	40.1 40.2	4.1	<u>6</u> .1	8-7-	40.2	0.1	40.1	40.1	40.1 1.0	40.2	40.1	61	42	40.2	0 .1	7 IB	Φ.	79	4 2		6 6	40.2	40.1	4.1	<u>6</u> .1	41	<2 2	A.1	<u>8</u> 8	62 62		- -	<u>6.1</u>	402	42	6.9 1 0	40.2	40.2	4.2	42		2/12
	Benzo(a)pyrene f (ug/L)	0.7	<1.5	40.1 40.2	4	÷.	4.1 42	42	ē.	-0.2	41	41	40.2	10	19	42	42	19	42	<u>6</u> 1	9	6 22	-	ê.ê	<0.2	40.1	10	Ą	1.04 CA	-2	41	ê.ê	402 243		6 1 1	41	<0.2 <0.2	402	40.1 20.2	402	-0.2	<0.2	40.2		<0.2
t Data nty, New Mexico	Benzo(a)anthracene (ug/L)		6.5	40.10 40.2	ę	40.1	40.1 40.2	40.2	0.12	4.1	40.1	<0.1	40.2	41	1.0	42	4.2	40.1	40.2	40.1	ə ə	0 .2	4	40.1	-0.2	-0.1	41	40.1	1.0	-2	40.1	6.4 1.9	82	,	8.8 1.	÷.9	40.2	40.2	- 0 -	40.2	<0.2	-0.2	en 2		40.2
boical PAH Analytica ank Battery, Lea Cox	Chrysene (ug/L)		<15	<1.0 40.2	5	v	42	4.2	<1.0	42	÷	4	4.2	4	5	42	40.2	V	40.2	5 7	5 5	40.2	10	5	40.2	Ţ	5	b	÷	19	4	₩	42	*	⊽⊽	2	40.2	\$7	₽°	92	40.2	<0.2	6 (P		<0.2
Table 4. Hist ner Bertha Barber T	Fluoranthene (ug/L)		<41	<1.0 <0.2	12	7.0	₫2	40.2	1.4	€2 ≪0.2	0.12	4	<0.2	v	A.	40.2	<0.2	v	47	¢	v v	40.2	7/14	¥ 1	40.2	v	12	v	₽ \$	<2	Þ	7 T	42		v v		402	40.2	₽ ¢	40.2	<0.2	<0.2	507		42
For	Benzo(b)fluoranthene (ug/L)		1.6	40.1 40.2	100	1.0	4 1 421	40.2	41	40.1	1.05	1.05	6 2 6 2	â	1:05	40.1	42	40.1	<0.2	41	<u></u>	40.2	205	6	402	1.05	-01	91	0.1	44 42	10	ē	62		9 9	ē	42	<0.2	40.1	402	402	40.2	600	7.00	40.2
	Arthracene (uo/L)		415	4 2 2 2	1	70	41	40.2	<1.0	410	•	5	42	v	Þ	₽ 2	Q.2	1>	4.2	ş	- 	02	Ň	Ţ	40.2	4	Ţ	4	₽ş	27 27	4	Ţ,	42	N.P.	ττ	÷	42 40.2	<0.2	4	40.2	4.2	40.2	6	N.P.7	42
	Pyrene (uo/L)		<15	4.0		41	42	42	<1.0	5 6 6	c10	Ţ	42 42	<1.0	<1.0	012 012	8.2	4	40.2	4.0	v v	9 7 9	Ņ	÷.	42	2	,	7.5	₽₩	30	2	5,	0.0	7	v 5	v	88 8	₫2	÷	42	₫2	₫2	ę	200	40.2
	Phenanthrene (uo/L)		<15	41.0	4	1	42	40.2	+	1.6 ⊈2	¢1.0	41	0.26	v	4	₽ G	<0.2	4	<0.2	<1.0	50	40.2	202	V	42	4	Ţ	4	5	¥7	12	÷	40.2	716	₹.	÷	\$ \$ \$	<0.2	4	40.2	<0.2	40.2		707	42
	Naphthalane (uofi.)	92	<75	\$		\$	8 2 2	40.2	18.1	&50 82	940	\$0	0.79	v	8	<u>ه</u>	40.2	\$	<0.2	Ŷ	28	42	40.2	2	42	0.\$>		2 4	9	40.2 <.2	8	-81	96	Ž.	8 4	79	42	40.2	\$	40.2	<0.2	40.2	c ç	7.05	-02
	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (un1.)		<1.5	40.1 40.2		4.1	41	40.2	40.1	40.1 40.2	102	40.1	<0.2	41	41	- 0 1	40.2	40.1	40.2	40.1	9.9	4.2	42	4.1	40.1	40.1		÷.	40,1	4 7 7	6 .1	ê.	4.1	2.02	9	1.0	402	40.2	4.1	42 42	<0.2	40.2			402
	Fluorene huolt		<15	<1.0		P	41	40.2	1.2	1.5		7⊽	0.24	5		500	42	₽	40.2	<1.0	v v	4.2	4.2	2	42 42	⊽		-	v	297 297	Þ	v	<0.2	40.2		7 5	8 <u>0</u> 2	<0.2	Ŧ	\$ <u>8</u> 2	40.2	40.7		2.02	40.2
	Sample		19/1999	6661/6/1		121/2000	75/2001	124/2003	0611839	2/21/2000	001100	121/2000	724/2003	17/1000	721/2000	127/2001	24/2003	6661/24	24/2003	17/1999	221/2000	25/2002	24/2003	6661/21/	24/2003	6661///1,	-	121/2000	27/2001	24/2003	6661/24	121/2000	25/2001	24/2003	6661/21/	27/2001	75/2002	724/2003	27/2001	24/2003	24/2003	040000	H	FN07#2	24/2003
	WELL (D	WOCC Standard	I-MW	MW-2			5 6	5	MW-4	- 0	TW1	1	(Duplicate) 9.	a Awa	1	00	. 65	MW-7 8	4	MW-8 6	÷. a	6	5	MW-9 8.	6	MW-10 8.		21 []-WW	on in	a 6	W-17 (P.7-2) 8-	1	0.04	2	W-13 (PZ-1) 8	6	Duriento 9	6	vestock WW 8	5 G	14 B	9 41 101		MW-16 8	Rinsate 9

Exercise: Perior Annual Perior Control of the Annelia Perior Perior Perior 2014 - Constant Not Retropted during the ameling-avent No. Constant new not detected during the attrantion relation No. Constant and perior detected during the balance version No. The anal angle and a stury? And a addited of the perior of Perior Not and angle and angle of the balance and phase.

|

.



İ

i

PLATES









ļ

ŀ

ļ





MW-11 TDS & CI Over Time 4,000 1800 1600 3,500 1400 3,000 1200 1000 800 600 1200 2,500 (**1**/**b** 2,000 (**b**) 1,500 600 1,000 400 500 200 0 0 -- Chloride 7/24/1998 12/6/1999 4/19/2001 9/1/2002 1/14/2004 ■— TDS MW-12 TDS & CI Over Time 5000 8,000 4500 7,000 4000 6,000 3500 5,000 **(1/6u**) 4,000 3000 (**mg/l**) 2500 2000 3,000 1500 2,000 1000 1,0<u>00</u> 500 Chloride 0 0 - TDS 7/24/199 4/19/200 1/14/200 10/10/20 7/6/2009 4/1/2012 8 1 4 06 Linear Plate 7 **Bertha Barber** March 2004 MW-11 and M-12, TDS and Chloride



NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS and NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

BILL RICHARDSON Governor Joanna Prukop Cabinet Secretary

Lori Wrotenbery Director Oil Conservation Division

March 6, 2003

Mr. Aaron B. Wilson Marathon Oil Company P.O. Box 552 Midland, Texas 79702-0552

RE: ABATEMENT PLAN (AP-11) BERTHA BARBER TANK BATTERY MONUMENT, NEW MEXICO

Dear Mr. Wilson:

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) has reviewed Marathon Oil Company's (MOC) January 16, 2003 correspondence titled "FORMER BERTHA BARBER TANK BATTERY REMEDIATION PROJECT, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO. This document requests that OCD provide MOC with a written statement indicating that MOC is not responsible for the phase separated hydrocarbons (PSH) found in upgradient well MW-10.

Monitor well MW-10 contains PSH and is located adjacent to a prior Dynegy pipeline leak site. MOC has a monitor well (MW-6) with no observable PSH and no detectable benzene contamination in ground water between MW-10 and other MOC monitor wells with PSH. Therefore, at this time, the OCD does not require that MOC address ground water contamination in the vicinity of MW-10 under the previously approved abatement plan for the site. Please be aware that, if future investigations show that contamination in the vicinity of MW-10 is a result of activities at the Bertha Barber Tank Battery, OCD will require MOC to include remediation of this area in MOC's abatement plan.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (505) 476-3491.

Sincerely.

William C. Olson Hydrologist Environmental Bureau

xc: Chris Williams, OCD Hobbs District SupervisorJim CooperJ.D. Morris, Dynegy