# AP - 011

# ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT

YEAR(S):



Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery

Lea County, New Mexico

. Prepared for:
Marathon Oil Company

Prepared by:
ARCADIS Geraghty & Miller Inc
1030 Andrews Hwy., Suite 120
Suite 120
Midland
Texas 79701
Tel 915 699 1381
Fax 915 699 1978

Our Ref.: MT000689.0001

Date: 9 May 2001

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

#### Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery Lea County, New Mexico

May 9, 2001

Prepared by ARCADIS G&M, INC.

Alan J. Reed, Jr., P.E.
Project Manager

Hugh B. Robotham, P.E. Principal Scientist/Hydrologist

Allan T. Schmidt Vice President/Area Manager

#### Table of Contents

Introdu	ction
Geolog	y/Hydrogeology
Field A	ctivities
Gaugin	g Results and Groundwater Flow
Sampli	ng Results
Conclu	sions / Recommendations
Tables	
1	Historical Fluid Level Data
2	Historical BTEX Analytical Data
3	Historical Analytical Data for Selected Dissolved Metals, Chlorides and TDS
4	Historical PAH Analytical Data
Figures	
1	Site Location Map
2	Groundwater Elevation Contours
3	Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, March 2000
4	Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, June 2000
5	Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, September 2000
6	Benzene Concentrations in Groundwater, December 2000
7	Apparent Net Change in Benzene Concentration From March to December 2000

Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery

Lea County, New Mexico

#### Introduction

ARCADIS Geraghty & Miller, Inc. (AG&M) prepared this Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report on behalf of Marathon Oil Company (Marathon) to present the results of quarterly groundwater monitoring conducted at the former Bertha Barber Tank Battery (site) in Lea County, New Mexico. Figure 1 shows the location of the site situated in Section 5, Township 20 South, Range 37 East. Quarterly groundwater monitoring was conducted in March, June, September and December as recommended in the Site Investigation Report, and approved by the New Mexico Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources Department, Oil Conservation Division (OCD).

#### Geology/Hydrogeology

Thirteen monitor wells are located at the former Bertha Barber Tank Battery facility. The monitor wells were installed during the course of a site-wide assessment performed in 1999 by AG&M. Soil boring logs from the wells indicate that the site is underlain by sand of varying colors, grain sizes, and sorting. At most locations, the sand is mixed with some gravel, the presence of which tends to increase with depth. In the northern and eastern portions of the site, the surficial sands and gravel are underlain by caliche at depths ranging from 4 to 9 feet below land surface. Groundwater at the site is generally found between 35 and 40 feet below ground level.

#### Field Activities

Quarterly groundwater monitoring events were conducted in March, June, September and December 2000. During each monitoring event, a site-wide gauging event was completed prior to sampling. All thirteen monitor wells located at the site were included in the gauging events. After gauging was completed, all wells found to be free of measurable amounts of phase separate hydrocarbons were sampled.

Three wetted casing volumes of water were removed from each well prior to sample collection. The fluid was removed from each well using a submersible pump and dedicated tubing or a dedicated disposable bailer. In some cases, the wells were pumped (or bailed) dry, and were allowed to recover prior to sampling. When a submersible pump was utilized, it was decontaminated by washing with water and laboratory grade detergent followed by a clean water rinse.

During each quarterly monitoring event, groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylene) using EPA

Method 8021B. During the September and December events, groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for chloride using EPA Method 325.2. Besides BTEX and chloride, groundwater samples were also collected and analyzed for total dissolved solids (TDS) using EPA Method 160.1, three dissolved metals (iron, manganese and barium) using EPA Method 6010B, and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH's) using EPA Method 8310 during the December event. In addition to the above samples, one rinsate sample (field blank) and one replicate sample were submitted during each sampling event, and a trip blank sample was included in each cooler utilized to transport samples for BTEX analysis to the laboratory. All samples were preserved with ice immediately after collection, and shipped within 24 hours to Severn Trent Laboratories in Valparaiso, Indiana for analysis.

Gauging Results and Groundwater Flow

Fluid levels were gauged in all monitor wells during each quarterly groundwater monitoring event. Table 1 contains historical fluid level data for all monitor wells at the site. Figure 2 is a map showing groundwater elevation contours based on gauging data collected during the March 2000 event. After the March 2000 event, passive skimmers (absorbent booms) were placed in monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-7 and MW-10 to recover phase separate hydrocarbons. Phase separate hydrocarbons ranged between 0.01 to 0.07 feet in MW-1, between 0.01 and 0.02 feet in MW-2 and between 0.01 and 0.18 feet in MW-7 during the four quarterly events. Phase separate hydrocarbons found in MW-10 were approximately 0.01 feet thick during each of the four quarterly events.

Based on the groundwater elevation contours shown on Figure 2, the local groundwater gradient is generally to the east and northeast. This is somewhat contradictory to earlier documentation at the site that suggested that the gradient was to the east and southeast. The earlier site documentation was based on a limited history of groundwater measurements, and with a very flat gradient, it was difficult to determine whether questionable data points were valid.

With more available data, and based on the Figure 2 contouring, it appears that there may be a zone of poor or impaired permeability in the vicinity of the old pits (monitor wells MW-1 and MW-2). The poor or impaired permeability causes water entering the site from the west and/or southwest to stagnate in the vicinity of the old pits. This, in turn, causes the groundwater to be diverted around the pit areas to the northeast and to the southeast causing a slight trough in the water table north of the pits, and a more pronounced trough in the water table south of the pits. The off-site livestock water well may also affect the local gradient by pulling groundwater toward it.

Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery

Lea County, New Mexico

Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery

Lea County, New Mexico

#### Sampling Results

BTEX samples were collected from each well (except for MW-1, MW-2, MW-7 and MW-10 which contained measurable phase separate hydrocarbons) during each quarterly event. The results are summarized in Table 2 along with historical results from each well. Based on the historical BTEX database, the following observations were made:

- Monitor wells MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-9 and MW-10 have at least one measurement of benzene that exceeds the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Ground Water Standards (WQCC) of 10 micrograms per liter (ug/L). However, the concentrations appear to be stable, and in some cases declining.
- MW-10 is the only monitor well that contains toluene above the WQCC standard of 750 ug/L based on sampling in 1999.
- No wells contain ethylbenzene or total xylenes above the WQCC standards of 750 ug/L and 620 ug/L, respectively.
- MW-6 did not have a history of containing benzene until the September and
  December 2000 monitoring events when benzene was reported at 11 ug/L and
  14 ug/L, respectively. Condensate released from a pipeline break northwest of
  the site is most likely the source of benzene in MW-6, since MW-10, located
  up-gradient from MW-6, currently contains condensate.

The concentration of benzene in the groundwater was mapped for each quarterly event conducted in 2000 (Figures 3 through 6). Figure 7 shows the apparent net change in benzene concentration from March to December 2000. It should be noted that monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-7 and MW-10 were not sampled during any of the quarterly events, because they contained phase separate hydrocarbons. However, historical data from MW-1, MW-2 and MW-7 do not show benzene above WQCC standards indicating a weathered hydrocarbon source. The quarterly benzene data, as summarized on the quarterly benzene maps showed the following:

• In March 2000, only MW-4 and MW-5 contained benzene in levels exceeding WQCC standards. The concentration of benzene in MW-4 was 54 ug/L and 50 ug/L in MW-5. It is important to note that a replicate sample was collected from MW-3 during the event. However, the results did not duplicate the

original sample from MW-3, and instead appeared to be more consistent with results from MW-4. Therefore, this analysis was flagged as questionable.

- During the June 2000 sampling event, benzene was detected in MW-12 as well as MW-4 and MW-5. The concentration of benzene in MW-12 (7.3 ug/L) was less than the WQCC standard. Monitor well MW-4 contained benzene of 19 ug/L and MW-5 contained benzene of 140 ug/L.
- In September 2000, benzene was detected in MW-4 (66 ug/L) and MW-5 (110 ug/L), but was once again below detection limits in MW-12. During the event, benzene was detected in MW-6 at 11 ug/L. As stated previously, it appears that the source of benzene in MW-6 may be condensate that is present in MW-10.
- During the December 2000 sampling event, benzene was again detected in MW-4 (46 ug/L), MW-5 (169 ug/L) and MW-6 (14 ug/L). The continued presence (and apparent increase in benzene concentration) in MW-6 provides evidence that condensate from the up-gradient pipeline break may be migrating toward the site, and has the possibility of eventually contaminating the off-site livestock water well located north of the site.
- Figure 7 shows three monitor wells sampled during the year where benzene concentrations had a net change between March and December 2000. MW-4 showed a decrease in benzene concentration of 8 ug/L during the year. MW-5 and MW-6 showed increases in benzene concentration of 119 ug/L and 9 ug/L, respectively, during the year. The other monitor wells at the site were either not sampled because they contained PSH throughout the year, or had no net change in benzene concentration during the year.

During the December 2000 sampling event, samples were collected and analyzed for chloride, TDS, the dissolved metals – iron, manganese and barium, and PAH's. Chlorides were also analyzed during the September event. Chloride, TDS and dissolved metal data are summarized in Table 3. PAH data is presented in Table 4.

Chloride and TDS data collected during Year 2000 monitoring confirmed the poor quality of the groundwater in and around the site. Although some wells had lower reported chloride and TDS values as compared to data collected in 1999, the values were all above WQCC standards of 250 milligrams per liter (mg/L) chlorides and 1,000 mg/L TDS.

Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery

Lea County, New Mexico

Dissolved metals data collected during the Year 2000 contained only one sample (MW-6) with manganese detected above the WQCC standard of 0.2 mg/L, and only two samples (MW-4 and MW-5) with barium reported above the WQCC standard of 1.0 mg/L. The manganese level in MW-6 during the December sampling event was 0.4 mg/L. The barium levels found in MW-4 and MW-5 were 2.07 mg/L and 2.84 mg/L, respectively.

PAH's were detected only in monitor well MW-4 during the December sampling event. The PAH's detected included fluorene (1.5 ug/L), phenanthrene (1.6 ug/L) and fluoranthene (4.2 ug/L). All values were consistent with previous detections of PAH's in MW-4 in April 1999.

#### Conclusions / Recommendations

Several conclusions can be made from the sampling data collected through the Year 2000. The conclusions are summarized as follows:

- The local groundwater gradient apparently is to the east and northeast, which is contradictory to earlier documentation at the site.
- Monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-7 and MW-10 contained phase separate hydrocarbons during each quarterly monitoring event in 2000.
- MW-6 may be impacted by benzene resulting from condensate that is present in MW-10. This is a concern, because of the apparent groundwater gradient and location of the off-site livestock water well.
- With the local gradient to the east and northeast, and with the off-site livestock water well pulling groundwater from the site toward it, there is some concern that on-site contamination may migrate toward the well.
- Chloride and TDS data in the area shows levels well above WQCC standards, however, some wells have shown a decrease in concentration over the last year.
- Dissolved metal data for iron, manganese and barium, and PAH data is limited to only two events. However, recent data indicates that these constituents exceed WQCC standards only in monitor wells MW-4, MW-5 and MW-6.

Year 2000 Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery

Lea County, New Mexico

Groundwater Monitoring Report Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery

Year 2000 Annual

Lea County, New Mexico

Based on the above conclusions the following recommendations are made:

- Passive skimmers should be maintained in monitor wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-7 and MW-10 as long as they contain phase separate hydrocarbons. The skimmers should be removed several days prior to each monitoring event to allow fluid levels to stabilize prior to gauging.
- It is recommended that the off-site livestock water well be sampled during future events for BTEX in order to evaluate if it is impacted by hydrocarbons from the site or from the off-site condensate. If possible, the well should also be surveyed so that it can be incorporated in future gauging events.
- It is recommended to continue the groundwater monitoring plan as originally proposed in the Site Investigation Report for at least one more year. After another year of data is collected, the monitoring plan will be evaluated to determine if it is still necessary to sample for chloride, TDS, dissolved metals and PAH's.

Table 1. Historical Fluid Level Data

Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

Well ID	Date	Measuring Point Elevation	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	Product Thickness	Product Thickness	Corrected Wat
		(feet-amsl)	(feet)	(feet-bmp)	(feet-condensate)	(equiv. feet-water)	(feet-amsl)
MW-1	12/21/00	3561.20	37.13	37.14	0.01	0.01	3524.07
	09/27/00	3561.20	37.65	37.70	0.05	0.04	3523.54
	06/20/00	3561.20	37.70	37.77	0.07	0.05	3523.48
	03/30/00	3561.20	36.19	36.20	0.01	0.01	3525.01
	12/14/99	3561.20	36.00	36.03	0.03	0.02	3525.19
	09/22/99	3561.20		35.79	sheen	sheen	3525.41
	08/27/99	3561.20	35.64	35.66	0.02	0.01	3525.56
	07/16/99	3561.20		35.48	sheen	sheen	3525.72
	03/31/99	3561.20	35.77	35.82	0.05	0.04	3525.42
	12/30/98	3561.20	00	35.83	0.00	0	3525.37
MW-2	12/21/00	3561.69	37.59	37.60	0.01	0.01	3524.10
	09/27/00	3561.69	38.11	38.12	0.01	0.01	3523.58
	06/20/00	3561.69	38.10	38.12	0.02	0.01	3523.59
	03/30/00	3561.69	36.59	36.60	0.01	0.01	3525.10
	12/14/99	3561.69		36.62		0	3525.07
	09/22/99	3561.69		36.27		0	3525.42
	08/27/99	3561.69	36.12	36.13	0.01	0.01	3525.57
	07/16/99	3561.69		35.95		0	3525.74
	03/31/99	3561.69		36.33		0	3525.36
	12/30/98	3561.69		36.34		0	3525.35
MW-3	12/21/00	3563.00		38.11		0	3524.89
	09/27/00	3563.00		37.88		0	3525.12
	06/20/00	3563.00		38.56		0	3524.44
	03/30/00	3563.00		38.10		0	3524.90
	12/14/99	3563.00		38.10		0	3524.90
	09/22/99	3563.00		37.59		0	3525.41
	08/27/99	3563.00		37.48		0	3525.52
	07/16/99	3563.00		37.31		0	3525.69
	03/31/99	3563.00		37.67		0	3525.33
	12/30/98	3563.00		37.65		0	3525.35
MW-4	12/21/00	3563.01		38.10		0	3524.91
	09/27/00	3563.01		37.86		0	3525.15
	06/20/00	3563.01		38.26		0	3524.75
	03/30/00	3563.01		38.10		0	3524.91
	12/14/99	3563.01		37.85		0	3525.16
	09/22/99	3563.01		37.57		0	3525.44
	08/27/99	3563.01		37.46		0 ′	3525.55
	07/16/99	3563.01		37.28		0	3525.73
	03/31/99	3563.01		37.66		0	3525.35
	12/30/98	3563.01		37.66		0	3525.35

Table 1. Historical Fluid Level Data Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

Well ID	Date	Measuring Point Elevation	Depth to Product	Depth to Water	Product Thickness	Product Thickness	Corrected Water
	Date	(feet-amsl)	(feet)	(feet-bmp)		(equiv. feet-water)	(feet-amsl)
MW-5	12/21/00	3561.10		36.15		0	3524.95
	09/27/00	3561.10		35.98		0	3525.12
	06/20/00	3561.10		36.34		0	3524.76
	03/30/00	3561.10		36.10		0	3525.00
	12/14/99	3561.10		35.95		0	3525.15
	09/22/99	3561.10		35.68		0	3525.42
	08/27/99	3561.10		35.56		0	3525.54
	07/16/99	3561.10		35.38		0	3525.72
	03/31/99	3561.10		35.75		0	3525.35
	12/30/98	3561.10		35.73		0	3525.37
MW-6	12/21/00	3561.25		36.13		0	3525.12
	09/27/00	3561.25		36.06		0	3525.19
	06/20/00	3561.25		36.39		0	3524.86
	03/30/00	3561.25		. 36.29		0	3524.96
	12/14/99	3561.25		36.10		0	3525.15
	09/22/99	3561.25		35.75		0	3525.50
	08/27/99	3561.25		35.69		0	3525.56
MW-7	12/21/00	3562.44	37.65	37.70	0.05	0.04	3524.78
	09/27/00	3562.44	37.75	37.76	0.01	0.01	3524.69
	06/20/00	3562.44	37.73	37.91	0.18	0.14	3524.67
	03/30/00	3562.44	37.55	37.60	0.05	0.04	3524.88
	12/14/99	3562.44		37.51		0	3524.93
	09/22/99	3562.44		38.20		0	3524.24
	08/27/99	3562.44		38.15		0	3524.29
MW-8	12/21/00	3561.39		36.50		0	3524.89
	09/27/00	3561.39		36.61		0	3524.78
	06/20/00	3561.39		36.88		0	3524.51
	03/30/00	3561.39		36.65		0	3524.74
	12/14/99	3561.39		36.44		0	3524.95
	09/22/99	3561.39		37.26		0	3524.13
	08/27/99	3561.39		37.21		0	3524.18
MW-9	12/21/00	3563.59		38.60		0 .	3524.99
	09/27/00	3563.59		38.60		0	3524.99
	06/20/00	3563.59		38.89		0	3524.70
	03/30/00	3563.59		38.70		0	3524.89
	12/14/99	3563.59		38.48		0	3525.11
	09/22/99	3563.59		36.23		0	3527.36
	08/27/99	3563.59		36.14		0	3527.45

Table 1. Historical Fluid Level Data

Marathon Oil Company, Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

		Measuring Point	Depth to	Depth to	Product	Product	Corrected Water
Well ID	Date	Elevation	Product	Water	Thickness	Thickness	Level Elevation
		(feet-amsl)	(feet)	(feet-bmp)	(feet-condensate)	(equiv. feet-water)	(feet-amsl)
MW-10	12/21/00	3560.51	35.52	35.53	0.01	0.01	3524.99
	09/27/00	3560.51	35.55	35.56	0.01	0.01	3524.96
	06/20/00	3560.51	35.54	35.55	0.01	0.01	3524.97
	03/30/00	3560.51	35.49	35.50	0.01	0.01	3525.02
	12/14/99	3560.51		35.33		0	3525.18
	09/22/99	3560.51		34.96		0	3525.55
	08/27/99	3560.51		34.87		0	3525.64
MW-11	12/21/00	3565.44		40.01		0	3525.43
	09/27/00	3565.44		39.82		0	3525.62
	06/20/00	3565.44		40.10		0	3525.34
	03/30/00	3565.44		39.80		0	3525.64
	12/14/99	3565.44		40.61		0	3524.83
	09/22/99	3565.44		40.37		0	3525.07
	08/27/99	3565.44		40.34		0	3525.10
WW-12 (PZ-2)	12/21/00	3562.11		37.23		0	3524.88
	09/27/00	3562.11		37.09		0	3525.02
	06/20/00	3562.11		37.34		0	3524.77
	03/30/00	3562.11		37.23		0	3524.88
	12/14/99	3562.11		36.95		0	3525.16
	09/22/99	3562.11		36.69		0	3525.42
	08/27/99	3562.11		36.65		0	3525.46
MW-13 (PZ-1)	12/21/00	3559.67		34.75		0	3524.92
	09/27/00	3559.67		34.49		0	3525.18
	06/20/00	3559.67		34.90		0	3524.77
	03/30/00	3559.67		34.80		0	3524.87
	12/14/99	3559.67		34.96		0	3524.71
	09/22/99	3559.67		34.20		0	3525.47
	08/27/99	3559.67		34.09		0	3525.58

Table 2. Historical BTEX Analytical Data Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

WELL ID	Sample Date	Benzene (ug/L)	Ethylbenzene (ug/L)	Toluene (ug/L)	o-Xylene (ug/L)	m&p-Xylenes (ug/L)	Total Xylenes (ug/L)	Total BTEX (ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750		(-9/	620	(-3/
71400			700	700	<u> </u>			
MW-1	4/9/99	5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	5
10(00-1	7/15/99	<500	<500	<500	NS	NS	<1000	ND
	1710700		1000		110			
MW-2	4/9/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	7/15/99	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	9/23/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
MW-3	4/9/99	100	14	<5	NS	NS	<10	114
	7/15/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	9/23/99	<5	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	3/30/00	<5	<5	11	<5	<10	ND	11
(Duplicate)**	3/30/00	54	8.6	<5	<5	<10	ND	62.6
	6/20/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	9/28/00	<5	<5.0	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	12/21/00	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	10	10
				İ	i			
MW-4	4/9/99	121	77	43	NS	NS	60	301
	7/15/99	43	28	<5	NS	NS	<10	71
<del>.</del>	9/23/99	18	12	<5	NS	NS	<10	30
	3/30/00	54	7.5	8.7	<5	<10	ND	70.2
	6/20/00	19	<5.0	<5	<5	<10	<10	19
	9/28/00	66	13	<5	<5	<10	<10	79
(Duplicate)	9/28/00	51	<5.0	<5	<5	<10	11	62
	12/21/00	46	10	<5	NS	NS	20	76
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
MW-5	4/9/99	53	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	53
	7/15/99	470	43	<5	NS	NS	10	523
	9/22/99	156	6	<5	NS	NS	<10	162
	3/30/00	50	<5	9.7	<5	<10	ND	59.7
	6/20/00	140	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	140
	9/28/00	. 110	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	110
	12/21/00	169	5	<5	NS	NS	20	194
MW-6	8/17/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	9/22/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	3/30/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	ND	ND
	6/20/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	9/28/00	11	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	11
	12/21/00	14	<5	<5	NS	NS	10	24
MW-7	8/17/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	9/22/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
MW-8	8/17/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	9/23/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	3/30/00	<5	<5	11	<5	<10	ND	11
	6/20/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	9/28/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	12/21/00	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND

Table 2. Historical BTEX Analytical Data
Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

	Sample	Benzene	Ethylbenzene	Toluene	o-Xylene	m&p-Xylenes	Total Xylenes	Total BTEX
WELL ID	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
WQCC		10	750	750			620	****
1								
MW-9	8/17/99	20	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	20
	9/23/99	8	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	3/30/00	<5	<5	9.3	<5	<5	ND	9.3
	6/20/00*	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	9/28/00*	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	12/21/00*	<5	<5	<b>&lt;</b> 5	NS	NS	<10	ND
MW-10	8/17/99	12100	160	1730	NS	NS	400	14390
	9/22/99	2900	520	800	NS	NS	600	4820
MW-11	8/17/99	<5	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<u> </u>	NS	NS	<10	ND
10100-11	9/23/99	<5 <5	<5 <5	<5 <5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	3/30/00	<5 <5	<5	<5	<5	<10	ND ND	ND
	6/20/00	<5	<5 <5	<del></del>	<5	<10	<10	ND
(Duplicate)	6/20/00	<5	<5 <5	<del>\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \</del>	<5	<10	<10	ND
(Duplicate)	9/28/00	<5	<5 <5	<del>- &lt;5</del>	<del>&lt;</del> 5	<10	<10	ND
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	12/21/00	<del>\\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \</del>	<5	<del>- \( \)</del>	NS	NS	20	20
	12/21/00	, ,			110			20
MW-12 (PZ-2)	8/17/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	9/22/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	3/30/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	ND	ND
	6/20/00	7.3	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	7.3
	9/28/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	12/21/00	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	20	20
MW-13 (PZ-1)	8/17/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	9/23/99	<5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND
	3/30/00	<5	5	<5	<b>&lt;</b> 5	<10	ND	5
	6/20/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	<10	<10	ND
	9/28/00	<5	<5	<5	<5	. <10	<10	ND
	12/21/00	. <5	<5	<5	NS	NS	<10	ND

#### Footnotes:

- WQCC New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Ground Water Standards.
- BTEX Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Total Xylenes.
  - ug/L micrograms per liter.
  - NS Constituent not sampled during the sampling event.
  - ND Constituent was not detected during laboratory testing, and laboratory reporting limits are variable.
    - \* Data was originally labeled as MW-7, but is actually MW-9.
      - MW-7 was not sampled in 2000 due to the presence of phase separate hydrocarbon (PSH).
  - \*\* Question data because it appears to be more representative of the sample for MW-4 for the same event.

Table 3. Historical Analytical Data for Selected Dissolved Metals, Chlorides and TDS Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

	L.	<del></del>	Dissolved Metal	<u> </u>			
	Sample	Iron	Manganese	Barium	Chloride	TDS	
WELL ID	Date	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
WQCC		1.0	0.2	1.0	250	1,000	
MW-1	4/0/00	2.00	0.48	2.74	3600	6 100	
IVIVV-I	4/9/99	3.86	0.48	2.74	3600	6,100	
MW-2	4/9/99	1.54	0.26	0.39	2700	4,400	
	9/23/99	NS	NS	NS	2500	NS	
MW-3	4/9/99	4.66	1 0 27	0.60	2000	2 500	
MVV-3	9/23/99	4.66 NS	0.37 NS	0.69 NS	2000 1300	3,500 NS	
	9/28/00	NS	NS NS	NS	400	NS	
-	12/21/00	0.07	0.05	0.13	490	1,300	
MW-4	4/9/99	1.46	0.32	1.63	800	1,900	
	9/23/99	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	510	NS NS	
(Duplicate)	9/28/00 9/28/00	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	600 760	NS NS	
(Duplicate)	12/21/00	<0.05	0.06	2.07	350	1,100	
MW-5	4/9/99	47.2	0.97	15.3	2400	4,000	
	9/22/99	NS	NS	NS	860	NS	
	9/28/00	NS	NS	NS	1200	NS	
·	12/21/00	0.27	0.06	2.84	760	1,700	
MW-6	8/17/99	<0.05	0.21	0.14	2460	4,700	
	9/22/99	NS	NS	NS	2400	NS	
	9/28/00	NS	NS	NS	1200	NS	
	12/21/00	0.37	0.4	0.14	1300	2,400	
MW-7	8/17/99	<0.05	0.06	0.44	1400	2.800	
10100-7	9/22/99	NS NS	NS	NS NS	1100	2,000 NS	
						,,,,	
MW-8	8/17/99	0.8	0.34	6.16	1860	3,300	
	9/23/99	NS	NS	NS	1900	NS	
	9/28/00	NS	NS	NS	1300	NS	
-	12/21/00	0.32	0.12	0.14	1000	2,100	
MW-9	8/17/99	0.11	0.22	0.21	1100	2,300	
	9/23/99	NS	NS	NS	1100	NS	
	9/28/00*	NS	NS	NS	820	NS	
	12/21/00*	<0.05	0.04	0.26	520	1,400	
MW-10	8/17/99	0.61	0.17	0.44	2270	4 400	
10100-10	9/22/99	0.61 NS	0.17 NS	0.14 NS	2370	4,400 NS	
	0,22,00	110	110	110	2200	110	
MW-11	8/17/99	<0.05	0.17	0.14	1020	2,300	
	9/23/99	NS	NS NS	NS	1100	NS	
	9/28/00	NS	NS	NS	1300	NS	
	12/21/00	<0.05	0.09	0.14	1400	2,700	
MW-12 (PZ-2)	8/17/99	0.11	0.13	0.16	4160	7,100	
<del></del>	9/22/99	NS	NS	NS	4400	NS	
1	9/28/00	NS	NS	NS	3800	NS	
	12/21/00	0.1	0.05	0.15	4000	6,100	
MM 12 /57 41	9/47/00	-0.05	1 000	0.10	4000		
MW-13 (PZ-1)	8/17/99 9/23/99	<0.05 NS	0.09 NS	0.16 NS	1920	3,500	
	9/23/99	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS	1600 2200	NS NS	
	12/21/00	0.06	0.02	0.05	1700	2,900	
		-100	1	1	1		

Eootnotes:

WQCC - New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Ground Water Standards.

TDS - Total Dissolved Solids.

mg/L - milligrams per liter.

NS - Constituent not sampled during the sampling event.

\* - Data was originally labeled as MW-7, but is actually MW-9.

MW-7 was not sampled in 2000 due to the presence of phase separate hydrocarbon (PSH).



#### Table 4. Historical PAH Analytical Data Former Bertha Barber Tank Battery, Lea County, New Mexico

WELL ID	Sample Date	Fluorene (ug/L)	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (ug/L)	Naphthalene (ug/L)	Phenanthrene (ug/L)	Pyrene (ug/L)	Anthracene (ug/L)	Benzo(b)fluoranthene (ug/L)	Fluoranthene (ug/L)	Chrysene (ug/L)	Benzo(a)anthracene (ug/L)	Benzo(a)pyrene (ug/L)	Benzo(ghi)perylene (ug/L)	Acenaphthylene (ug/L)	Acenaphthene (ug/L)	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (ug/L)	Benzo(k)fluoranthene (ug/L)
MW-1	4/9/99	<15	<1.5	<75	<15	<15	<15	1.6	<41	<15	6.5	<1.5	<1.5	<75	<75	<1.5	<1.5
MW-2	4/9/99	<1.0	<0.1	<5	<1.0	<1.0	<1	<0.1	<1.0	<1.0	<0.10	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0,1
MW-3	4/9/99	<1.0	<0.1	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-4	4/9/99	1.2	<0.1	18.1	1_	<1.0	<1.0	<0.1	1.4	<1.0	0.12	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	1.5	<0.1	<5.0	1.6	<1	<1.0	<0.1	4.2	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0,1
MW-5	4/9/99	<1.0	<0.1	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1	<0.1	<1.0	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	<1	<0.1	<5.0	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-6	8/17/99	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1.0	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1.0	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-7	8/17/99	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-8	8/17/99	<1.0	<0.1	<5	<1.0	<1.0	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-9	8/17/99	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00*	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-10	8/17/99	<1	<0.1	<5.0	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	- <5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-11	8/17/99	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1_	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-12 (PZ-2)		<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	. <1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
MW-13 (PZ-1)		<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1
	12/21/00	<1	<0.1	<5	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<5	<5	<0.1	<0.1

<u>Footnotes:</u>
PAH - Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons.

ug/L - micrograms per liter.
NS - Constituent not sampled during the sampling event.

ND - Constituent was not detected during laboratory testing, and laboratory reporting limits are variable.

- Data was originally labeled as MW-7, but is actually MW-9.













