

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

December 16, 1957

Mr. M. W. Casagrande
Lubar Oil Company
308 C. A. Johnson Bldg.
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Lubar Oil Company Gonzales No. 1,
SE/4 NE/4 Sec. 29-12N-14E

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to your letter of December 7, 1957, wherein you express surprise that certain necessary reports were not on file in this office enabling the Commission to cancel Lubar Oil Company's blanket plugging bond. On August 3, 1956, the following letter was sent to Lubar Oil Company, Attention Mr. M. W. Casagrande: "Enclosed herewith are four copies of the C-105, Well Record, which you recently submitted for the above-captioned well.

"Please fill in the spaces marked in red and resubmit the forms, together with three copies of C-103, Report on Plugging, and one copy of the electric log run on the well."

As you stated in your letter December 7th, Form C-105 had been furnished the Commission on July 25, 1956, and a Form C-102, Notice of Intention to Plug a Well, was approved by the Commission July 16, 1956; however, no complete C-105 nor any C-103, Report on Plugging, has ever been received by this office. We are enclosing herewith a supply of these forms for your convenience. Please make out the C-105 as you did before, but fill in the information that has been marked with a red pencil and file Form C-103, Report on Plugging, setting forth that the work as outlined on the notice of intention to plug the well was actually performed. Upon approval of these forms and a satisfactory inspection of the location, the Commission will be in a position to release the bond.

Very truly yours,

Daniel S. Nutter
District Engineer

DSN:bp
Encls.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

March 21, 1955

Mr. J. H. ...
Mr. ...
Mr. ...
Mr. ...

Dear Sirs:

...

Reference is made to your letter of March 15, 1955, regarding the proposed location of a new well. The Commission has reviewed the information submitted and has determined that the proposed location is acceptable. The Commission has no objection to the proposed location of the well.

The Commission has no objection to the proposed location of the well. The Commission has no objection to the proposed location of the well.

The Commission has no objection to the proposed location of the well. The Commission has no objection to the proposed location of the well. The Commission has no objection to the proposed location of the well.

Sincerely,

Richard A. ...
District Engineer

...