

Sheldon Hitchcock

From: Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD <mike.bratcher@state.nm.us>
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:52 AM
To: Sheldon Hitchcock; lawayned@dakotares.com
Subject: Dakota Resources Trigg Fed 1

RE: Dakota Resources * Trigg Fed 001 * 30-015-25006 * L-7-21s-28e * Eddy County, New Mexico
NMOCD Tracking Number: 2RP-1814 * Date of release: 8/16/2013

Sheldon,

As discussed, OCD will require additional delineation for chloride concentrations in the battery area (S-1, S-2 and S-3). It is OCD's understanding that it may not be practicable to get equipment into the areas of S-1 and S-2. After further review of the remediation proposal, in the area identified as S-4, the hydrocarbon levels are well above regulatory limits for this site ranking. OCD will require further delineation in this area with a high probability of having to perform a deeper excavation in this area. OCD will consider a less shallow excavation than proposed when moving into the area identified as S-5, based more on the lateral extent of hydrocarbon impact.

Please advise when you have scheduled equipment to mobilize to the site.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me.

Mike Bratcher
NMOCD District 2
811 S. First Street
Artesia, NM 88210
O: 575-748-1283 X108
C: 575-626-0857
F: 575-748-9720

This email was processed through Xeams to filter junk messages.
If you feel this message has been tagged incorrectly, you can
change its category by clicking the link below.
Click [here to mark email as junk](#).

OR
[here to mark email as good](#).

Sheldon Hitchcock

From: Robertson, Jeffery <jlrobertson@blm.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 6, 2014 9:36 AM
To: Sheldon Hitchcock
Subject: Re: Dakota Resources-Trigg Fed #1 SWD

Good to go forward with this location.

Jeffery L. Robertson

BLM-CFO
Natural Resource Specialist
Office: 575-234-2230
Cell: 575-361-3568
jlrobertson@blm.gov

On Fri, Aug 1, 2014 at 11:52 AM, Sheldon Hitchcock <shitchcock@talonlpe.com> wrote:

Jeffery,

I don't know If you recall the Trigg Fed #1... you approved the work plan April 14, 2014. Mike Bratcher also approved the work plan but he would rather not install a liner inside the battery as we originally proposed. His reasons being, since the liner can't be installed under the tanks and other equipment should there be a future leak it would be harder to detect and the liner will give the operator a false sense security regarding future releases. Everything else will remain the same as the original work plan (attached). Do I have your permission to move forward without the liner?

Thank you,

Sheldon L. Hitchcock

Project Manager
Talon/LPE
408 West Texas Avenue

Artesia, New Mexico 88210
Phone: (575) 746-8768

Cell: (575) 689-5198
Fax: (575) 746-8905

Email: shitchcock@talonlpe.com

Web: www.talonlpe.com