

From: [Hamlet, Robert, EMNRD](#)
To: adrian_baker@xtoenergy.com
Cc: [Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD](#); [Venegas, Victoria, EMNRD](#); [Eads, Cristina, EMNRD](#); spills@slo.state.nm.us
Subject: Closure Denied - XTO - Corral Canyon 212H Gas Lift - (Incident #NRM2012229165)
Date: Friday, September 25, 2020 3:02:00 PM
Attachments: [Closure Denied - XTO - Corral Canyon 212H Gas Lift.pdf](#)

Adrian,

We have received your closure report and final C-141 for **Incident #NRM2012229165 Corral Canyon 212H Gas Lift**, thank you. This closure is denied.

- Please continue to horizontally delineate sample points to 600 mg/kg for chlorides and TPH to 100 mg/kg on the outer edges/periphery and include sample points in your next report after closure criteria limits have been met. Surface sample points and sidewalls on the edge of the release need to be delineated to 600 mg/kg for chlorides and 100 mg/kg for TPH for the spill to be horizontally delineated. While vertical definition of contamination that may be acceptable is almost exclusively driven by depth to water, as determined, and as driven by Table I in rule, horizontal definition is different. The edges (horizontal definition) of a liquid release must be determined as well. The only value for determination of horizontal impact are derived by either “background” value as determined appropriate to Rule 29, or, for chloride, 600 mg/Kg in soils. This 600 mg/Kg value is discussed in detail in 19.15.29.13 D. (1). **Therefore, horizontal soils delineation for chloride should be 600 mg/KG (again, or background) for all liquid releases, either on or off production pad.** It is conceivable that a liquid release may occur with, for example, a surface soil chloride of 19,000 mg/Kg, and if it is reliably determined that groundwater is over 101 feet below ground surface, then that value may stand as a vertical definition, but nonetheless, the horizontal value(s) for lateral extent of liquid release would still, of Rule 29 necessity, be 600 mg/Kg chloride or less. **This would be inclusive of both “on-pad” of “off-pad” release area.** The above if laboratory data driven, not just reported visual extent of a liquid release or calculated and reported release volumes. As indicated in above portions, a scaled map with horizontal and vertical definition of actual laboratory values is required. Generally, the top one foot sample suffices for immediate horizontal evaluation and deeper contamination would likely be identified during actual remediation.
- When nearby wells are used to determine depth to groundwater, the wells should be no further than ½ mile away from the site, and data should be no more than 25 years old, and well construction information should be provided. If evidence of depth to ground water within a ½ mile radius of the site cannot be provided, impacted soils will need to meet Table 1 Closure Criteria for ground water at a depth of 50 feet or less.
- If you feel the depth to groundwater is >100’, a shallow borehole can be drilled to 101’ allowing for verification of the depth. If water is not visible after reaching bottom-hole and waiting 72 hours, the OCD will accept this as evidence. We would just need a copy of the driller’s log.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Regards,

Robert J Hamlet
State of New Mexico
Energy, Minerals, and Natural Resources
Oil Conservation Division
811 S. First St., Artesia NM 88210
(575) 748-1283

Robert.Hamlet@state.nm.us

OCD approval does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations fail to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that may pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD approval does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.