District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

JUN 0 1 2018 State of New Mexico

Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Ea NIM 87505

DISTRICT II-APTESIA O.C.D. Depropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Santa Fe, NM 87303													
Release Notification and Corrective Action													
NAB1815842325						OPERATOR			☐ Initial Report ☐ Final Repor				
Name of Con	npany: Li	ime Rock Re											
Address: 111	1 Bagby	Street Suite	4600, Ho	ouston, TX, 770			No. 575-365-972	24					
Facility Name	e: Compt	on & G Fede	ral #6			Facility Typ	e: Battery						
Surface Owner: BLM Mineral Owner: 1						ederal		API No. 30-015-42344					
			N OF RELEASE										
	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the		South Line	Feet from the	East/We	st Line	County			
G	8	185	27E	1700'	1 1	Vorth	1700'	Ea	ıst	Eddy			
Latitude32.7648201Longitude104.2974472NAD83													
NATURE OF RELEASE													
Type of Release: Oil & Produced Water						- ₁			Volume R	olume Recovered: 16 bbls oil			
O. CD I CO I CO I CO						20 bbls PW			14 bbls PW				
Source of Release: Gasket on Heater Treater						Date and Hour of Occurrence 5/22/2018 @ 9:30 am			Date and Hour of Discovery: 5/22/2018 @ 9:30 am				
									372272018 (tg 7.30 min				
Was Immediate Notice Given? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required						If YES, To	Whom? her-NMOCD						
				, 140 🗀 140t Ke	quireu	Wilke Diate	ater-inviocit						
By Whom? Kimberly M. Wilson -Talon/LPE						Date and Hour: 5/22/2018 @ 2:00 pm							
Was a Watercourse Reached?						If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.							
☐ Yes ☒ No						N/A							
If a Watercours	e was Imp	acted, Descri	be Fully.*										
N/A													
Describe Cause	of Proble	m and Remed	ial Action	Taken.* A gaske	et malfun	ctioned on th	ne heater treater re	esulting in	a release	of 45 barre	ls of r	mixed fluids	
on location (Co	ndor/Com	pton Battery).	. The heat	ter treater was shu	ut in and	repaired. Oi	I sprayed from the	e gasket e	ausing th	e rip rap and	l surro	unding areas	
fluids into the ra	oc stained. avine. A s	Due to heavy vac truck was	y raintall t .immediat	he night before co	ombined overed	with the rele	ase, the berm in the	he southy	est corne	r was breacl	ied rel	easing the	
personnel to the	location	for initial site	assessmer	nt.	overed	oo oola iiike	a maios mom me i	iavilic, ili	riuunig ia	mwatet. 1	41011 111	lobinzea	
Describe Area	A ffeeted a	nd Cleenum A	ation Tak	on # The aver a			900 6 - 4 4 - 4						
caliche road. The	he fluid th	ien followed t	he road di	tch for approxim	easures a ately 600	ipproximately) feet to a cro	y 800 feet down the evasse allowing th	he side of ne fluid to	the hill to	rom locatior ravine wher	crossi	ing the ioled	
Roustabout crev	ws and bac	ckhoe were ut	ilized for i	initial remediation	n actions	. The flow p	ath is 2-10' wide.				-		
I hereby certify	that the in operators a	iformation giv	ven above	is true and compl	lete to the	e best of my l	knowledge and ur id perform correct	nderstand	that purs	uant to NM()CD rt	ules and	
public health or	the enviro	onment. The	acceptance	c of a C-141 repo	rt by the	NMOCD ma	arked as "Final Re	eport" doc	s not relie	eve the oper	ator of	fliability	
should their ope	erations ha	ive failed to ac	dequately	investigate and re	emediate	contamination	on that pose a thre	eat to erou	nd water.	surface wat	ter. hui	man health	
federal, state, or	r local lav	s and/or regul	LD accept lations.	ance of a C-141 f	cport ao	es not relieve	the operator of r	esponsibi	lity for co	impliance w	ith any	other /	
Signature: MM Br						OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION							
1			Approved by Environmental Specialist:										
Printed Name: N	Mike Barre	ett											
Title: Production	n Superint	endent			A	pproval Date	: 10/5/18	გ _{Ex}	piration L	Date: 🔥	IA		
								<u>~ 1 ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~</u>	randit L	1			
E-mail Address: mbarrett@limerockresources.com					—— C	Conditions of Approval: Soa) Office Lood Attached App. 4186							
Date: 5/29/18 Phone: 575-365-9724						See attached ARP-4785							

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on $\frac{6/01/2018}{185}$ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District 2 office in ARTESIA on or before 7/01/2018. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us