District I
1625 N French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 SECT 4/22/09 NMOCS

Form C-144 July 21, 2008

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits and exceptions submit to

the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

	Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or
Propo	sed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
faction:	Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative n

Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method X Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

\*Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operate environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility		
I. Operator:MEWBOURNE OIL COMPANY	OGRID #: 14744	
Address: PO BOX 5270 HOBBS NEW MEXICO 88241		
Facility or well name: Quick Draw 15 G #1		
API Number: 30-015-36752		
U/L or Qtr/Qtr G Section 15 Township 20S		
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 32° 34' 33" N		NAD: X 1927 ∐ 1983
Surface Owner: Federal State X Private Tribal Trust or India	n Allotment	
2.		
X Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC		•
Temporary: X Drilling Workover		
Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A		
X Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness20mil X	LLDPE  HDPE PVC Other	
X String-Reinforced		
Liner Seams: X Welded X Factory  Other	Volume: 3000 bbl Dimensions: L 7	0x W_30_x D_10
3. Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC		
Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover intent)	or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior a	approval of a permit or notice of
☐ Drying Pad ☐ Above Ground Steel Tanks ☐ Haul-off Bins	Other	
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil	LLDPE HDPE PVC Other	
Liner Seams:  Welded  Factory  Other	····	
4.		
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC		
Volume:bbl Type of fluid:		
Tank Construction material:	<del> </del>	
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewal	lls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off	
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other	er	
Liner type: Thicknessmil		
5,		
Alternative Method:		,
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be s	submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office	e for consideration of approval.

From C/44 Closave dake : 126/09

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school,	hospital,
institution or church)  Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify	
7.	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	*****
8. Single Subsection Coef 10 15 17 11 NIMAC	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.3.103 NMAC	
Signed in compliance with 17.13.3.103 No.114.	
9. Administrative Approvals and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau of the Santa Fe En	office for
consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
10. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appro-	
office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a	pproval.
Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dryi above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	ing pads or
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	☐ Yes ☐ No
lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks)	□ NA
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits)	□ NA
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock	Yes No
watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	☐ Yes ☐ No
adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
Within 500 feet of a wetland.	
- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area.	Yes No
<ul> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	_ <b></b>
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:  or Permit Number:  or Permit Number:
12.
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC   Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.   Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC   Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC   Climatological Factors Assessment   Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan   Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC   Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H <sub>2</sub> S, Prevention Plan   Emergency Response Plan   Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization   Monitoring and Inspection Plan   Erosion Control Plan   Erosion Control Plan   Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System Alternative  Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC    Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground S Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, dr facilities are required.		
Disposal Facility Name:	Pisposal Facility Permit Number:	
	Pisposal Facility Permit Number:	
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occ ☐ Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) ☐ No	ir on or in areas that will not be used for future serv	vice and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations  Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate r Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection	equirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMA(of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	C
17. Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the cl provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental I demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for	administrative approval from the appropriate dista Sureau office for consideration of approval. Justi	rict office or may be
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data of	obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data of	obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data of	obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other signilake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	icant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite i		☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less t watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spr - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (co	ing, in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval	·	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual	inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining a	nd Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Society; Topographic map	t Mineral Resources: USGS, NM Geological	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 1'00-year floodplain FEMA map		Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17 13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the Joby a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of S Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of S Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.1 Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I Cost Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I Cost Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I Cost Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Plan - based upon the appropriate Plan - based upon the appr	rements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC absection F of 19.15.17 13 NMAC appriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC appriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC appropriate requirements of 19.17.13 NMAC appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC absection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC action F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate closure standards cannot of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate closure standards cannot of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate closure standards cannot of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.11 NMAC

Operator Application Certification:  I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accur	rate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Name (Print):	Title:
Signature:	Date:
e-mail address:	Telephone:
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure P	lan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)
OCD Representative Signature:	Approval Date:
Title:	OCD Permit Number:
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior of the closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of a section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure plan prior of the plan has been obtained and the closure plan prior of the plan has been obtained and the closure plan prior of the plan has been obtained and the closure plan prior of the plan has been obtained and the closure plan prior of the plan has been obtained and the closure plan prior of the plan has been obtained and the closure plan prior of the plan has been obtained and the closure plan has been plan has been plan has	to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this
	X Closure Completion Date:2/26/09
Closure Method:  X Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method  Alternative   X If different from approved plan, please explain. Due to breach in liner the dr	e Closure Method
23. Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drift two facilities were utilized.	
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No	in areas that will not be used for future service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operated.  Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)  Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation  Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	ions:
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following it mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) X Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) X Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number X Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	
On-site Closure Location: Latitude Longitude	NAD: 1927 🗌 1983
<ul> <li>Operator Closure Certification:</li> <li>I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure rebelief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requiren</li> </ul>	nents and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print): Charles Martin	Title: Engineer
	Date: <u>4-20-09</u>
e-mail address: CMartin @ mewbourne. Com	Telephone: (575) 393 - 5905

Accepted for record NMOCD

APR 27 2009

AAZARILLU 12: Abuta Bivina Amanila Texas 75,07 Picina 800,467,0607 Fax 806,467,0622 Mike Bratcher New Mexico Oil Conservation Division District 2 office 1301 W. Grand Avenue Artesia, New Mexico 88210

RE: Request for closure of the QUICK DRAW 15 G #1.

AUSTAN 3010 Toar Gary Cova Burkhik CC400 Scand Stort Texas 78664 Phone 5 2,988,3420 Fax 6 2,981,0437

In February 2009, Talon/LPE was contracted by the Mewbourne Oil Company to perform the pit closure activities at the QUICK DRAW 15 G #1, API# 30-015-36752, Unit G Sec 15-T20S-R25E, in Eddy county New Mexico. The C-144 for this pit closure was submitted to Mike Bratcher and approved on January 26, 2009.

On February 11, 2009 Mewbourne oil contacted Talon/LPE to close the reserve pit at the OUICK

DRAW 15 G #1. The pit was originally permitted to be closed in place, but due to a breach in the

liner the cuttings were excavated and transported to Lea Land Disposal Facility (WM-01-035).

Bratcher. The samples were delivered to Trace Laboratory and analyzed in compliance with

19.15.17.13 NMAC for official analytical results. After review of the analytical results it was determined that the reserve pit area could be backfilled. The area were the reserve pit had been constructed was on the production location so seeding will be conducted at the cessation of

1/ 14,4N0 #9 East industrial Loop Midland, Texics 78701 Phone 432,637 /133 TU 432,627,2180

Mike Bratcher with the New Mexico Oil Conservation was advised of the breach and meet Charles Martin with Mewbouren Oil Company and Eb Taylor with Talon/LPE on site. Talon/LPE was asked to collect three samples from the reserve pit area after the completion of excavation. One samples was to be collected from the wall of the reserve pit were the breach occurred, one sample from the reserve pit floor were the breach occurred and one five point composite sample from the remainder of the reserve pit floor. When the excavation of the drill cuttings was completed Mike Bratcher was notified of the planned sampling of the reserve pit on February 16, 2009. On February 16, 2009 three samples were collected from the reserve pit area as instructed by Mike

NEW BRAY, FEELS ALZ M. Walnut Avi J. Old o 2003 New Bras III old Roses 70 50 Physic 2 0.57 NEZSS Fak 210,548 2191

70LSA 99Po Cast 4331 Sires, Ste. 6 Tetta 10 C 74446 Phone 9 C 742.037 Tex 918 747 0876

After review of attached documents and analysis by the NMOCD, Talon/LPE, and Mewbourne Oil Company we are requesting that this pit be considered properly closed.

3id East Taylor Structonbbs, M. wiMosoc, 10524; Phonologicus, 426; White bbs,303,4658

40388

PATTLEROOL CONTINUES OF VOR VOR CONTINUES OF CONTINUES OF

A MERCY NOV PESPONSE

Toll Free: 866,742,0742 www.safonips.com

Eb Taylor

New Mexico Division Manager

Sincerely,

Talon/LPE

production during the reclamation process.



200 class Support sized, Selection FGC/ Basin Street Silne At ESTS mart's Parkway Suite 1 1

t (1950), Taxos 7937 / Micrand, Tailoc 79742 5 Sorth Texas 76136

√6•(8) •34€3. 437\*684\*6001

817 \* 201 \* 3730

F2X 015\*854\*40.2 ~4X 432\*3%6\*6x1

E-Pito in abiad program assissed in

# Certifications

**WBENC:** 237019

HUB:

1752439743100-86536

**DBE:** VN 20657

NCTRCA WFWB38444Y0909

# **NELAP Certifications**

Lubbock: T104704219-08-TX

LELAP-02003

Kansas E-10317

**El Paso:** T104704221-08-TX

LELAP-02002

Midland: T104704392-08-TX

# Analytical and Quality Control Report

Eb Taylor Talon LPE-Hobbs 318 E Taylor Hobbs, NM, 88240

Report Date: February 25, 2009

Work Order:

9022324 

Project Location: Eddy Co., NM Project Name:

Quick Draw ISG #1

Project Number:

MEWBOUO39PIT

Enclosed are the Analytical Report and Quality Control Report for the following sample(s) submitted to TraceAnalysis, Inc.

			Date	$_{ m 1}  { m me}$	Date
Sample	Description	Matrix	Taken	Taken	Received
188226	Side Wall	soil	2009-02-16	11:00	2009-02-23
188227	Floor Composite	soil	2009-02-16	11:10	2009-02-23
188228	Rip at Floor	soil	2009-02-16	11:30	2009-02-23

These results represent only the samples received in the laboratory. The Quality Control Report is generated on a batch basis. All information contained in this report is for the analytical batch(es) in which your sample(s) were analyzed.

This report consists of a total of 17 pages and shall not be reproduced except in its entirety, without written approval of TraceAnalysis, Inc.

Michael abel

# Standard Flags

 $\, {f B} \,$  - The sample contains less than ten times the concentration found in the method blank.

# Case Narrative

Samples for project Quick Draw ISG #1 were received by TraceAnalysis, Inc. on 2009-02-23 and assigned to work order 9022324. Samples for work order 9022324 were received intact at a temperature of 4.8 deg. C.

Samples were analyzed for the following tests using their respective methods.

		$\operatorname{Prep}$	Prep	$_{ m QC}$	Analysis
Test	Method	Batch	Date	Batch	Date
BTEX	S 8021B	48771	2009-02-23 at 17:00	57089	2009-02-23 at 17:00
Chloride (Titration)	SM 4500-Cl B	48774	2009-02-23 at $16:00$	57094	2009-02-24 at 09:20
TPH 418.1	E 418.1	48787	2009-02-24 at 12:00	57110	2009-02-24 at 14:39
TPH DRO	Mod. 8015B	48752	2009-02-23 at 08:30	57095	2009-02-23 at $16:30$
TPH GRO	S 8015B	48771	2009-02-23 at 17:00	57090	2009-02-23 at $17:00$

Results for these samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless data package indicates otherwise.

A matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample is chosen at random from each preparation batch. The MS and MSD will indicate if a site specific matrix problem is occurring, however, it may not pertain to the samples for work order 9022324 since the sample was chosen at random. Therefore, the validity of the analytical data reported has been determined by the laboratory control sample (LCS) and the method blank (MB). These quality control measures are performed with each preparation batch to ensure data integrity.

All other exceptions associated with this report have been footnoted on the appropriate analytical page to assist in general data comprehension. Please contact the laboratory directly if there are any questions regarding this project.

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 4 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

# **Analytical Report**

Sample: 188226 - Side Wall

Laboratory: Midland

BTEX Analysis: QC Batch: 57089 Prep Batch: 48771

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

S 8021B 2009-02-23 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23 Prep Method: S 5035

Analyzed By: MEPrepared By: ME

RL

		1013			
Parameter	Flag	$\mathbf{Result}$	Units	Dilution	$\operatorname{RL}$
Benzene		< 0.0100	mg/Kg	1	0.0100
Toluene		< 0.0100	$\mathrm{mg}/\mathrm{Kg}$	1	0.0100
Ethylbenzene		< 0.0100	$\mathrm{mg}/\mathrm{Kg}$	1	0.0100
Xylene		< 0.0100	mg/Kg	1	0.0100

					$_{ m Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		0.977	mg/Kg	1	1.00	98	49 - 129.7
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		0.865	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	1.00	86	45.2 - 144.3

Sample: 188226 - Side Wall

Laboratory: Midland

Analysis: Chloride (Titration) QC Batch: 57094 Prep Batch: 48774

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

Sample Preparation:

SM 4500-Cl B 2009-02-24 2009-02-23

Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By: ARPrepared By: AR

RΙ

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Chloride		<200	mg/Kg	50	4.00

Sample: 188226 - Side Wall

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: TPH 418.1 QC Batch: 57110Prep Batch: 48787

Analytical Method: E 418.1 2009-02-24 Date Analyzed: Sample Preparation: 2009-02-24 Prep Method: N/AAnalyzed By: CMPrepared By: CM

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
TRPHC		<10.0	mg/Kg	1	10.0

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 5 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

Sample: 188226 - Side Wall

Laboratory: Midland

TPH DRO Analysis: OC Batch: 57095 Prep Batch: 48752

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

Mod. 8015B 2009-02-23

Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23 Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By: LD

Prepared By: LD

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
DRO		< 50.0	mg/Kg	1	50.0

					$\operatorname{Spike}$	Percent	$\operatorname{Recovery}$
Surrogate	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Triacontane		120	mg/Kg	1	100	120	10 - 250.4

#### Sample: 188226 - Side Wall

Laboratory:

Midland TPH GRO

Analysis: QC Batch: 57090 Prep Batch: 48771

Analytical Method: S 8015B

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23 Prep Method: S 5035

Analyzed By: MEPrepared By: ME

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
GRO		<1.00	mg/Kg	1	1.00

					$_{ m Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		0.870	mg/Kg	1	1.00	87	68.5 - 119.4
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.15	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	1.00	115	52 - 117

### Sample: 188227 - Floor Composite

Laboratory:

Midland

Analysis: BTEX QC Batch: 57089 Prep Batch: 48771

S 8021B Analytical Method: Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23

RL

Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: MEPrepared By: ME

ter	Flag	В

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Benzene		< 0.0100	mg/Kg	1	0.0100
Toluene		< 0.0100	m mg/Kg	1	0.0100
Ethylbenzene		< 0.0100	mg/Kg	1	0.0100
Xylene		< 0.0100	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	0.0100

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 6 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	$rac{ ext{Spike}}{ ext{Amount}}$	Percent Recovery	Recovery Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		0.964	mg/Kg	1	1.00	96	49 - 129.7
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		0.861	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	1.00	86	45.2 - 144.3

#### Sample: 188227 - Floor Composite

Laboratory: Midland

Analysis: Chloride (Titration) QC Batch:

57094 Prep Batch: 48774

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

SM 4500-Cl B 2009-02-24

Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By: ARPrepared By: AR

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Chloride	To the spine of th	<200	mg/Kg	50	4.00

Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23

#### Sample: 188227 - Floor Composite

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: TPH 418.1 QC Batch: 57110 Prep Batch: 48787

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

Sample Preparation:

E 418.1 2009-02-24 2009-02-24 Prep Method: N/A

Analyzed By: CMPrepared By: CM

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
TRPHC		<10.0	mg/Kg	1	10.0

#### Sample: 188227 - Floor Composite

Laboratory:

Midland

Analysis: TPH DRO QC Batch: 57095 Prep Batch: 48752

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed: Sample Preparation:

Mod. 8015B 2009-02-23 2009-02-23

Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By: LDPrepared By: LD

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution		RL
DRO		< 50.0	mg/Kg	1	,	50.0

					$\operatorname{Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Triacontane		105	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	100	105	10 - 250.4

Report Date: February 25, 2009 Work Order: 9022324 Page Number: 7 of 17 MEWBOUO39PIT Quick Draw ISG #1 Eddy Co., NM

### Sample: 188227 - Floor Composite

Laboratory: Midland

Analysis: TPH GRO QC Batch: 57090 Prep Batch: 48771 Analytical Method: S 8015B Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23

Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: ME Prepared By: ME

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
GRO		<1.00	mg/Kg	1	1.00

					Spike	Percent	$\operatorname{Recovery}$
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		0.871	mg/Kg	1	1.00	87	68.5 - 119.4
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.15	mg/Kg	1	1.00	115	52 - 117

### Sample: 188228 - Rip at Floor

Laboratory: Midland

Analysis: BTEX QC Batch: 57089 Prep Batch: 48771 Analytical Method: S 8021B Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23 Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: ME Prepared By: ME

		$\operatorname{RL}$			
Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Benzene		< 0.0100	mg/Kg	1	0.0100
Toluene		< 0.0100	$\mathrm{mg}/\mathrm{Kg}$	1	0.0100
Ethylbenzene		. < 0.0100	$\mathrm{mg}/\mathrm{Kg}$	1	0.0100
Xvlene		< 0.0100	mg/Kg	1	0.0100

					$\operatorname{Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		0.971	mg/Kg	1	1.00	97	49 - 129.7
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		0.885	${ m mg/Kg}$	11	1.00	88	45.2 - 144.3

#### Sample: 188228 - Rip at Floor

Laboratory: Midland

Analysis: Chloride (Titration) QC Batch: 57094 Prep Batch: 48774 Analytical Method: SM 4500-Cl B
Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24
Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23

Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By: AR Prepared By: AR

		RL			
Parameter	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Chloride		<200	mg/Kg	50	4.00

Report Date: February 25, 2009 Work Order: 9022324
MEWBOUO39PIT Quick Draw ISG #1

Sample: 188228 - Rip at Floor Laboratory: Lubbock TPH 418.1 Analysis: Analytical Method: E 418.1 Prep Method: N/A QC Batch: 57110 CMDate Analyzed: 2009-02-24 Analyzed By: Prep Batch: 48787 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-24 Prepared By: CMRLParameter Flag Result Units Dilution RL

Page Number: 8 of 17

Eddy Co., NM

TRPHC <10.0 mg/Kg 1 10.0

## Sample: 188228 - Rip at Floor

Laboratory: Midland

Analysis: TPH DRO Analytical Method: Mod. 8015B Prep Method: N/A QC Batch: 57095 Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: LDPrep Batch: 48752 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23 Prepared By: LD

					$\operatorname{Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	$\operatorname{Units}$	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Triacontane		117	mg/Kg	1	100	117	10 - 250.4

## Sample: 188228 - Rip at Floor

Laboratory: Midland

Analysis: TPH GRO Analytical Method: S 8015B Prep Method: S 5035 QC Batch: 57090 Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: MEPrep Batch: 48771 Sample Preparation: 2009-02-23 Prepared By: ME

					$_{ m Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		0.926	mg/Kg	1	1.00	93	68.5 - 119.4
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.16	mg/Kg	1	1.00	116	52 - 117

Report Date: February 25, 2009 Work Order: 9022324 Page Number: 9 of 17 MEWBOUO39PIT Quick Draw ISG #1 Eddy Co., NM Method Blank (1) QC Batch: 57089 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: ME Date Analyzed: QC Batch: 57089 2009-02-23 QC Preparation: Prepared By: MEPrep Batch: 48771 MDL Units Parameter Flag Result RL< 0.00100 mg/Kg 0.01Benzene mg/Kg 0.01Toluene < 0.00100Ethylbenzene < 0.00110 mg/Kg 0.01 Xylene < 0.00360 mg/Kg 0.01Spike Percent Recovery Surrogate Flag Result Units Dilution Amount Recovery Limits Trifluorotoluene (TFT) 0.963 mg/Kg 1 1.00 96 65.6 - 130.6 4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB) 0.802 mg/Kg 1 1.00 80 51.9 - 128.1 Method Blank (1) QC Batch: 57090 QC Batch: 57090 Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: Prep Batch: 48771 2009-02-23 Prepared By: QC Preparation: MEMDLResult Units RLParameter Flag < 0.482GRO mg/Kg 1 Spike Percent Recovery Units Dilution Recovery Limits Surrogate Flag Result Amount Trifluorotoluene (TFT) 0.883 1.00 88 75.8 - 98.5 mg/Kg 1 56.5 - 109.54-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB) 1.08 mg/Kg 1 1.00 108 QC Batch: 57094 Method Blank (1) QC Batch: 57094 Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24 Analyzed By: AR Prep Batch: 48774 QC Preparation: 2009-02-23 Prepared By: AR MDL Parameter Flag Result Units RLChloride < 2.01mg/Kg 4

Method Blank (1)

57095

48752

QC Batch:

Prep Batch:

QC Batch: 57095

Date Analyzed:

QC Preparation:

2009-02-23

2009-02-23

Analyzed By: LD Prepared By: LD

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324

100

Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 10 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

Parameter Flag				$rac{ ext{MDL}}{ ext{Result}}$		Units		
DRO				<12.0	1	50		
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Spike} \\ {\rm Amount} \end{array}$	Percent Recovery	Recovery Limits	

mg/Kg

Method Blank (1)

n-Triacontane

QC Batch: 57110

91.9

QC Batch: 57110 Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24 Analyzed By: CM

92

Prep Batch: 48787

QC Preparation: 2009-02-24

Prepared By: CM

30.9 - 146.4

		MDL
arameter	Flag	Result

Units RLParameter Result TRPHC < 5.28 mg/Kg 10

# Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

OC Batch: Prep Batch: 48771

57089

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: ME

QC Preparation: 2009-02-23

Prepared By: ME

	LCS			Spike	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$
Benzene	0.888	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00100	89	72.7 - 129.8
Toluene	0.894	$_{ m mg/Kg}$	1	1.00	< 0.00100	89	71.6 - 129.6
Ethylbenzene	0.900	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00110	90	70.8 - 129.7
Xylene	2.63	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	3.00	< 0.00360	88	70.9 - 129.4

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCSD			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
Benzenc	0.879	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00100	88	72.7 - 129.8	1	20
Toluene	0.884	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00100	88	71.6 - 129.6	1	20
Ethylbenzene	0.901	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00110	90	70.8 - 129.7	0	20
Xylene	2.64	mg/Kg	1	3.00	< 0.00360	88	70.9 - 129.4	0	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCS	LCSD			$_{ m Spike}$	LCS	LCSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	0.960	0.969	mg/Kg	1	1.00	96	97	65.9 - 132
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	0.824	0.834	mg/Kg	1	1.00	82	83	55.2 - 128.9

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 11 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

### Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

OC Batch: 57090 Prep Batch: 48771

Date Analyzed: QC Preparation:

2009-02-23 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: ME

Prepared By: ME

LCS Spike Matrix Rec. Dil. Limit Param Result Units Amount Result Rec. 10.0 60.5 - 100.1 GRO 7.26 mg/Kg < 0.482 73

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCSD			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	$\operatorname{Limit}$
GRO	6.80	mg/Kg	1	10.0	< 0.482	68	60.5 - 100.1	6	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCS	LCSD			$_{ m Spike}$	LCS	LCSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	$\mathrm{Rec}.$	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	0.882	0.842	mg/Kg	1	1.00	88	84	78.8 - 104.7
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	1.05	1.04	mg/Kg	1	1.00	105	104	66.1 - 107.3

### Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

QC Batch:

57094 Prep Batch: 48774 Date Analyzed: QC Preparation:

2009-02-24 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: AR

Prepared By: AR

	LCS			Spike	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	${ m Amount}$	Result	Rec.	Limit
Chloride	101	mg/Kg	1	100	< 2.01	101	85 - 115

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCSD			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
Chloride	99.4	mg/Kg	1	100	< 2.01	99	85 - 115	1	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

#### Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

QC Batch: Prep Batch:

57095 48752 Date Analyzed: QC Preparation:

2009-02-23 2009-02-23 Analyzed By: LD Prepared By: LD

LCS Spike Matrix Rec. Amount Param Result Units Dil. Result Rec. Limit 249 250 <12.0 100 27.8 - 152.1  $\overline{\mathrm{DRO}}$ mg/Kg

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 12 of 17

Eddy Co., NM

	LCSD			Spike	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
DRO	254	mg/Kg	1	250	<12.0	102	27.8 - 152.1	2	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCS	LCSD			Spike	LCS	LCSD	${ m Rec.}$
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	$_{ m Limit}$
n-Triacontane	120	120	mg/Kg	1	100	120	120	38 - 130.4

# Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

QC Batch:

57110 Prep Batch: 48787 Date Analyzed: QC Preparation:

2009-02-24 2009-02-24 Analyzed By: CM

Prepared By: CM

	LCS			Spike	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$_{ m Limit}$
TRPHC	260	mg/Kg	1	250	< 5.28	104	75.5 - 136

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCSD			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$	RPD	Limit
TRPHC	265	mg/Kg	1	250	< 5.28	106	75.5 - 136	2	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

Spiked Sample: 188234 Matrix Spike (MS-1)

QC Batch: 57089 Prep Batch: 48771

Date Analyzed: QC Preparation:

2009 - 02 - 232009-02-23

Analyzed By: ME Prepared By: ME

MS Spike Matrix Rec. Param Result Units Dil. Result Limit Amount Rec. Benzene 0.758mg/Kg 1.00 < 0.00100 76 58.6 - 165.2 1 mg/Kg Toluene 0.7311 1.00 < 0.00100 73 64.2 - 153.8 Ethylbenzene 0.719mg/Kg 1.00 < 0.00110 7261.6 - 159.4 1 Xylene 2.14mg/Kg3.00 < 0.00360 71 64.4 - 155.3 1

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

		MSD			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		$\mathrm{Rec.}$		RPD
Param		Result	Units	Dil.	${f Amount}$	Result	Rec.	$\mathbf{Limit}$	RPD	Limit
Benzene	1	0.961	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00100	96	58.6 - 165.2	24	20
Toluene	2	0.952	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00100	95	64.2 - 153.8	26	20

continued ...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>MS/MSD RPD out of RPD Limits. Use LCS/LCSD to demonstrate analysis is under control.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>MS/MSD RPD out of RPD Limits. Use LCS/LCSD to demonstrate analysis is under control.

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 13 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

matrix spikes	continued			
---------------	-----------	--	--	--

		MSD			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param		Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
Ethylbenzene	3	0.958	mg/Kg	1	1.00	< 0.00110	96	61.6 - 159.4	28	20
Xylene	4	2.86	mg/Kg	1	3.00	< 0.00360	95	64.4 - 155.3	29	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MS	MSD			$\mathbf{Spike}$	MS	MSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	Limit .
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	0.964	0.961	mg/Kg	1	1	96	96	76 - 127.9
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	1.00	1.01	mg/Kg	1	1	100	101	72 - 127.8

#### Matrix Spike (MS-1) Spiked Sample: 188228

QC Batch:

57090

Date Analyzed:

2009-02-23

Analyzed By: ME

Prep Batch: 48771

QC Preparation: 2009-02-23

Prepared By: ME

	MS			Spike	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$
GRO	17.3	mg/Kg	1	10.0	< 0.482	173	12.8 - 175.2

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MSD			Spike	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$	RPD	$\operatorname{Limit}$
GRO	17.3	mg/Kg	1	10.0	< 0.482	173	12.8 - 175.2	0	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MS	MSD			Spike	MS	MSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	$\mathbf{Limit}$
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	0.904	0.990	mg/Kg	1	1	90	99	60.8 - 132.1
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	1.26	$1.25$ $^{\prime}$	mg/Kg	1	1	126	125	31.3 - 161.7

#### Spiked Sample: 188228 Matrix Spike (MS-1)

QC Batch:

Date Analyzed:

2009-02-24

Analyzed By: AR

Prep Batch: 48774

QC Preparation: 2009-02-23

Prepared By. AR

	MS			Spike	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$_{ m Limit}$
Chloride	5100	mg/Kg	50	5000	<100	101	85 - 115

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>MS/MSD RPD out of RPD Limits. Use LCS/LCSD to demonstrate analysis is under control.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>MS/MSD RPD out of RPD Limits. Use LCS/LCSD to demonstrate analysis is under control.

**MEWBOUO39PIT** 

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 14 of 17

Eddy Co., NM

	MSD			Spike	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
Chloride	5150	mg/Kg	50	5000	<100	102	85 - 115	1	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

Matrix Spike (MS-1)

Spiked Sample: 188226

QC Batch:

57095

Date Analyzed:

2009-02-23

Analyzed By: LD

Prep Batch: 48752

QC Preparation: 2009-02-23

Prepared By: LD

	MS			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		Rec.
Param	· Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$
DRO	259	mg/Kg	1	250	<12.0	104	18 - 179.5

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MSD'			$_{ m Spike}$	Matrix		$\mathrm{Rec.}$		RPD
Param	Result	Units	$\operatorname{Dil}$ .	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	$\operatorname{Limit}$
DRO	310	mg/Kg	1	250	<12.0	124	18 - 179.5	18	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MS	MSD			$_{ m Spike}$	MS	MSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	$\operatorname{Units}$	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	Limit
n-Triacontane	122	123	mg/Kg	1	100	122	123	34.1 - 158

Matrix Spike (MS-1)

Spiked Sample: 187705

QC Batch:

57110

Date Analyzed:

2009-02-24

Analyzed By: CM

Prep Batch: 48787

QC Preparation: 2009-02-24

Prepared By: CM

	MS			Spike	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	$\operatorname{Limit}$
TRPHC	224	mg/Kg	1	250	< 5.28	90	10 - 354

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MSD			Spike	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
TRPHC	235	mg/Kg	1	250	< 5.28	94	10 - 354	5	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

Standard (ICV-1)

QC Batch: 57089

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23

Analyzed By: ME

Report Date: February 25, 2009 MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 15 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

Param	Flag	Units	ICVs True Conc.	ICVs Found Conc.	ICVs Percent Recovery	Percent Recovery Limits	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Date} \\ \text{Analyzed} \end{array}$
Benzene		mg/Kg	0.100	0.0988	99	85 - 115	2009-02-23
Toluene		mg/Kg	0.100	0.0999	100	85 - 115	2009-02-23
Ethylbenzene		$\mathrm{mg}/\mathrm{Kg}$	0.100	0.101	101	85 - 115	2009-02-23
Xylene		${ m mg/Kg}$	0.300	0.294	98	85 - 115	2009-02-23

# Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 57089

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23

Analyzed By: ME

			. CCVs	CCVs	CCVs	Percent	`
			True	Found	Percent	Recovery	$\operatorname{Date}$
Param	Flag	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
Benzene		mg/Kg	0.100	0.0931	93	85 - 115	2009-02-23
Toluene		${ m mg/Kg}$	0.100	0.0918	92	85 - 115	2009-02-23
Ethylbenzene		${ m mg/Kg}$	0.100	0.0899	90	85 - 115	2009-02-23
Xylene		mg/Kg	0.300	0.266	89	85 - 115	2009-02-23

# Standard (ICV-1)

QC Batch: 57090

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23

Analyzed By: ME

			ICVs	ICVs	ICVs	Percent	
			True	Found	Percent	Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
GRO		mg/Kg	1.00	0.909	91	85 - 115	2009-02-23

# Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 57090

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23

Analyzed By: ME

			CCVs	CCVs	CCVs	Percent	
			$\operatorname{True}$	Found	Percent	Recovery	Date
Param	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
GRO		mg/Kg	1.00	1.06	106 .	85 - 115	2009-02-23

### Standard (ICV-1)

QC Batch: 57094

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24

Analyzed By: AR

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324 Quick Draw ISG #1 Page Number: 16 of 17 Eddy Co., NM

			ICVs True	${ m ICVs} \ { m Found}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ICVs} \\ \text{Percent} \end{array}$	Percent Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
Chloride		mg/Kg	100	102	102	85 - 115	2009-02-24

# Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 57094

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24

Analyzed By: AR

			CCVs	$\mathrm{CCVs}$	CCVs	Percent	
			True	Found	Percent	Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
Chloride		mg/Kg	100	98.5	98	85 - 115	2009-02-24

# Standard (ICV-1)

QC Batch: 57095

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23

Analyzed By: LD

			$\overset{\text{ICVs}}{-}$	ICVs	ICVs	Percent	
			$\operatorname{True}$	$\operatorname{Found}$	$\operatorname{Percent}$	$\operatorname{Recovery}$	$\operatorname{Date}$
Param	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
DRO		mg/Kg	250	282	113	85 - 115	2009-02-23

### Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 57095

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-23

Analyzed By: LD

			CCVs True	${ m CCVs} \ { m Found}$	${ m CCVs} \ { m Percent}$	Percent Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
DRO		mg/Kg	250	270	108	85 - 115	2009-02-23

### Standard (ICV-1)

QC Batch: 57110

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24

Analyzed By: CM

			ICVs	ICVs	ICVs	Percent	
			$\operatorname{True}$	Found	Percent	Recovery	Date
Param	$\operatorname{Flag}$	Units	$\operatorname{Conc.}$	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
TRPHC		${ m mg/Kg}$	100	98.0	98	80 - 120	2009-02-24

# Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 57110

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24

Analyzed By: CM

MEWBOUO39PIT

Work Order: 9022324

Quick Draw ISG #1

Page Number: 17 of 17

Eddy Co., NM

			CCVs True	${ m CCVs} \ { m Found}$	$rac{ ext{CCVs}}{ ext{Percent}}$	Percent Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
TRPHC		mg/Kg	100	91.5	92	80 - 120	2009-02-24

Standard (CCV-2)

QC Batch: 57110

Date Analyzed: 2009-02-24

Analyzed By: CM

CCVs $\mathrm{CCVs}$  ${\rm CCVs}$ Percent  ${\bf True}$ Found Percent Recovery Date Param Flag Units  ${\rm Conc.}$ Conc. Recovery Limits Analyzed TRPHC 80 - 120 mg/Kg 100 87.5 88 2009-02-24

Lubbock	een Avenue, Ste 9 k, Texas 79424 06) 794-1296	kas 79424 155 McCutcheon, Suite H							132			CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST										_YS	IS F	REQ	UES1	Γ					
Fax (8 1 (80	06) 794-1298 0) 378-1296 traceanalysis com	eA	na	lly	/Sis	, I	n(	- 0		Tel (9 Fax ( 1 (8	915) { (915) (88) 5	585-344 585-494 88-3443	3 14 3	<u> </u>			LA	3 Ord	der 1	D#_	(	7.0	)6	2 6	) }	) )	1.4	17. K.H. ()	e eggant. Signal		
Company N	lame:					Pho	ne #:						*****	<u>'</u>			<u>z \$650</u>	<u>4 . 4(1)</u>	<del>1</del>	AN			,	3 1	26.5 (2.5)	, ,					<del></del>
Address:	(Street, City, Zip)			···	433	2 Fax	<u></u>	5×-	6	<u> </u>							((	Circ									od l	No.	.)		
318	E TAYION HOBBS	Ns	n S	883	940								····	1			l.	1 1	1		I I	1	-			1				1	
ENS Invoice to:	Taylor					E-ma	ail:								160		5 Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Ho 6010B/200	운												dard	
Invoice to:													······	4	[3		9010	Se												stan	
(If different from above) MENICOLD OIL ATTN! CHARIES MARKING  Project #:  Project Name:										171	/ 624	EXT EXT		모	8					ام							, E				
(If different from above) MENROUTNE OIL ATTN! CHARIES MART,  Project #:  Project Name:  Project Location (including state):  Sampler Signature:											B :	8260B / 624 / TX1005 Ex	\ <del>\</del>	S	ত					70							n t				
Project Loc	ation (including state):			7	Eli	Sam	pler S	ignat	ure:				······································	, 826	2   X	7 T	2	S				24	82/0C							fere	
TO P	y co Nm	Ι	Τ	T		<u></u>			ERVA		<u>ر</u>			602 / 8260B /	(1005 / 2	B	20	s Ba	{	ß		3/6	87	809						ig ig	:
131		RS	Volume / Amount		MATRIX				ETHO			SAME	PLING	1 2		TPH 8015 GRO (DRO / TVHC	625 As B	TCLP Metals Ag As	S 3	TCLP Pesticides			<u>5</u>   5	Pesticides 8081A /		ent	E			Turn Around Time if different from standard	<u>:</u>
LAB#	FIELD CODE	CONTAINERS	Am											8021B		(g)	PAH 8270C / 625 Total Metals An As B	tals,	TCLP Volatiles	TCLP Pesticides		ا ا	GC/MS Semi Vol.	88	BOD, TSS, pH	Moisture Content	4			l d	<u> </u>
LAB # /LAB USE\	FIELD CODE	Į N	me /	띪				5 0	r	ш		ш		1 1		100	827( Jetal	₹	9 8	g g		S	2 2	ge	Ţ	nre (	1.			Prou	:
ONLY		55 #	lolo	WATER	SOIL AIR SLIDGE		E H	H <sub>2</sub> SQ <sub>4</sub>	NaOH	NONE		DATE	TIME	MTBE	BIEX 802 TPH(4181	표	AH F	딩		팅분	RCI	Š		estic	O,	loist	CHINTIP			Ę	용모
122 27	5.2 12011	,	<u> </u>						<del> </del>  -		十.			<b>├</b> ──├-		++	L.	-	-   -	- -	LE.		9 6		EQ.		$\dashv$	+			+
727	SIDE WALL FLOOR COMPOSITE RIP AT FLOOR			$\Box$	X			-	1 1	( (			11:00 11:10		X X X X			++		+	$\vdash$	+	$\dashv$		$\vdash$		<u>X</u> X	+		X	
278	FIDOI COMPOSITE					+	$\vdash$	-						+	-	1	+	++		-	-		+		$\vdash$	-	$\frac{\hat{\chi}}{\chi}$	$\dashv$	$\rightarrow$	<del></del>	_
990	KIP AT FLOOR	<u> </u>	ļ		X					<b>X</b>		2/16	11:30	-	χX	X		44	_				_				4	$\perp \perp$			
37 (6,7)		ļ				<b>-</b>				+								$\downarrow \downarrow$	_									$\perp \downarrow$	_		
A Comment																															
														П																	
17-28 (N. 1801)								1		++				ff	_	1-1		$\dagger \dagger$		-	-		+					-			+
				-					$\vdash$	+				╁┼	+			++			-		+	-	$\vdash$			+			
1. (1886) (1645) (1			-			-	$\vdash \vdash$	-	-	$\dashv$	-			$\vdash$		-		-	_	-	-		-	-				$\perp$			
			ļ	1				_		44						-	$\perp$	$\perp$	1	_			4	_				$\perp$			
540 6 X 1 1			l																	$\perp$											
Relinquist	red by: Date: Time:	Reg	eived \(\) (\)	by:					ite:		me:				L	AB	US	E		R	EM/	RK:	S: ∕( \		0		١				
147	2/23/09/4/32		1	人				d-	23-0	7	14	1,37	Ś	; '	11.	ON	1 250	4683 MAY 20			1	2 CT	et 1 15 v	Λ(. /	, ,	\ \ -	sh	<u>;</u>	,	!	,
Relinquish	ed by: Date: Time:	Rec	eived	by:				Da	ite:	Tit	me:			Inta	act _	Ý	) / N				<i>[</i>	ノ l	E /	× /	) <del> </del>	0,	<b>6</b> 54	0	cl-N	V:)[.	and
}	1						1 .	adsp		200	1986 A	), 7.7 	İ		Dry	Wei	ght 8	asis	Requ	uired	TP	1441	8-1	Labba.							
Relinquished by: Date: Time: Received at Laboratory by: Date: Time						Ter			4.	800	0					ерог															
				. ' .			vy († Gara						sedate inte	Log	j-in-F	Revie	W_	24	, <del></del>			Lim	its A	Spe re Ne	cial F edec	tepo:	rting				
Submittal o	f samples constitutes agreement to Te	rms an	nd Con	dition	ns listed o				f C O	C		1, 1 157 F.	ESCHELLAR		- 17	76.7 JA				<u> </u>					<del></del>						
	. comp commission agreement to re		0011	-1001										Car	rier#			510		<u>ر</u> در			<u>.                                    </u>								
.,	ORIGINAL COPY									., ,	e 2 4 4 4	21.	المحمد المدارة	•	Shirm	- 															