NM OIL CONSERVATION

ARTESIA DISTRICT

NOV 2 1 2017

District I NOV ~ - - - 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 8844 ECEIVED District III RECE 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410

District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources**

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr.

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

		,	-	Si	anta Fe	e, NM 875	005						_
0	10-		Rele	ease Notific	catio	n and Co	orrective A	ction	l				
NAB1733255518						OPERATOR			[7] Initia	al Report		Final Repo	rt
Name of Company OXY USA, Inc. LDL996						Contact Wade Dittrich							Ĵ
		94, Houston		10		Telephone No. (575)390-2828							
Facility Nai	me Steriir	ng Silver 3 00	005			Facility Typ	e P&E						_
Surface Ow	mer Fede	Federal			API No. 30-015-27637								
				LOC	ATIO	N OF RE	LEASE						
						South Line	Feet from the	East/	West Line	County		<u></u>	٦
С						N	2,310	W Eddy					
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L	l	I	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
			Latitud	le <u>32.25174</u>	0T	ongitude	-103.766190	NAD	83				
				NAT	TURE	OF REL	EASE						
Type of Release Produced water & crude oil							Volume of Release			Volume Recovered 0 bbls			
							11 bbis Produced Water & 1 bbl Crude Oil Date and Hour of Occurrence						
Source of Release 3" inch steel production line						8/17/2017, Time unknown				Date and Hour of Discovery 8/17/2017			
Was Immediate Notice Given?						If YES, To Whom?							1
☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required						Mike Bratcher & Crystai Weaver - NMOCD; Shirley Tucker - BLM							
By Whom? Wade Dittrich						Date and Hour 8/17/2017, 8:22 PM							
Was a Watercourse Reached?						If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.							
			Yes 🗹] No						1	V\\	2 12	M
If a Waterco	urse was Im	pacted, Desci	ribe Fully.	•	·	···•		***************************************	***************************************	10.	ACT	7 211	d
Describe Car	use of Probl	cm and Reme	dial Actio	n Taken •	***************************************		***************************************	***************************************			ast		Ψ
1					he affe	cted sectio	n of the pipe h	as he	en renaire	od (~	- 111	1 / 1.	V.,
Opin dado	od by idiii	2,00,00	noo, pro	donon into. T		0100 30000	ii oi iio pipe ii	us bu	on repaire	,C	14	1 Ini	171
										to	1/1	\wedge	
				······································				••••••		ιo	γ ι ι	<i>r</i> 1	
I		and Cleanup			•			r)	_1.	-171-1		C N	
ne relea	se anecie diacent to	the ned m	or the we	ıı pac measur Lapprovimatel	ing app	proximately) en ft Pei	2,800 sq. ft. T mediation of th	ne rei	ease also acted are:) anected a will be c	an an when	ea or the	
		MOCD and			y 1,700	, sq. it. ive	neglation of th	e mb	acted are	a will be c		AGU III	
regulations a	ity that the	g <i>godsa</i> motal beniveer ens	iven abovi Lo report a	t is true and comp nd/or file certain	piete to t release r	the best of my notifications of	knowledge and und perform correct	andersia ctive ac	nd that pur tions for re	suant to NN leases which	MOCD 1 h may c	niles and ndanger	
public health	or the envi	ronment. The	e acceptan	ce of a C-141 rep	ort by th	e NMOCD n	narked as "Final R	Report"	does not rel	ieve the ope	erator o	f liability	
should their	operations l	nave failed to	adequately	y investigate and	remedia	te contaminal	ion that pose a three vertical of	reat to g	round wate	r, surface w	rater, hu	ıman health	
		ws and/or reg		plance of a C+141	report	ioes not relie	ve the operator of	respons	nointy for t	omphance	with an	y ouicr	
	,	115			T		OIL CON	SER	ATION	DIVISI	ON		
Signature:	11 cm	delle	(-)		1					Λ	$\overline{\cap}$		1
Signature.			***************************************			Ammoniad hi	y Environmental S	Zmaniali		1/1	11	1 \ .	ı
Printed Nam	e: Wade [Dittrich	***************************************			whhrosen p	A THANDINIKHMI 5	- probably		MAL	Υ.	NM	4
Title: Envin	onmental	Coordinato	<u> </u>			Approval De	ne: 11[28]]	7	Expiration	Date: N	1A		_
E-mail Addr	ess: wade	_dittrich@o	xy.com	Marketti salah untungkan din salam untungka		Conditions	of Approval:	1	1	Attache	d∩⊅(400 - 111	ما
Date: 9/5/	/2017		Phone	: (575) 390-2	828	\mathcal{L}	atta	(M)	10		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	**********	411
Attach Add	****	ets If Neces		1			ii	da	<u> </u>				1

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 11/21/17 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number 4497 has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District II office in Artesia on or before 12/21/17. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us