

## Guye, Gerry, EMNRD

---

**From:** Guye, Gerry, EMNRD  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 20, 2008 11:09 AM  
**To:** 'Wesley\_Ingram@blm.gov'  
**Subject:** RE: SWD Well

Wesley

Notwithstanding the fact that the well is so close to the potash area, we **do not** agree with installation of just 2 strings of pipe. In an injection well we would require three strings of pipe to cover the salt zone and water zones as well as the injection zone. All strings must be cemented to surface.

(">  
/)  
/"---Gerry  
-----Original Message-----

**From:** Wesley\_Ingram@blm.gov [mailto:Wesley\_Ingram@blm.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 20, 2008 9:19 AM  
**To:** Guye, Gerry, EMNRD  
**Subject:** RE: SWD Well

Gerry,

I want your opinion on a proposal by Yates on their Jester SWD #4 well (30-015-35217).

This well is in section 12, 24S, 29E less than a quarter mile from the Secretary's Potash boundary.

Yates wants to make this a two string well rather than a three string well. This would place one casing/cement barrier between the salt zone and the injected produced water.

Since NMOCD has primacy over injection wells, I wanted to consult on this one to see what concerns NMOCD might have.

Thanks for your help.

Wesley

---

This inbound email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.

---