

COPIES RECEIVED	11
DISTRIBUTION	
SANTA FE	1
FILE	1
U.S.G.S.	2
LAND OFFICE	1
OPERATOR	6

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Form C-101
Revised 1-4-65

JAN 28 1966

O. C. C.
ARTERIA, OFFICE

5A. Indicate Type of Lease
STATE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FEE <input type="checkbox"/>
5. State Oil & Gas Lease No.
E-9798

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL, DEEPEN, OR PLUG BACK

1a. Type of Work		7. Unit Agreement Name	
b. Type of Well DRILL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Re-Entry DEEPEN <input type="checkbox"/> PLUG BACK <input type="checkbox"/> OIL WELL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GAS WELL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE ZONE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE ZONE <input type="checkbox"/>		8. Firm or Lease Name State "CS"	
2. Name of Operator Ernest A. Hanson		9. Well No. 1	
3. Address of Operator P. O. Box 1515, Roswell, New Mexico		10. Field and Pool, or Wildcat Wildcat	
4. Location of Well UNIT LETTER M LOCATED 660' FEET FROM THE South LINE AND 660 FEET FROM THE West LINE OF SEC. 12 TWP. 12-S RGE. 27-E NMPM		12. County Chaves	
19. Proposed Depth 2400'		19A. Formation San Andres	
20. Rotary or C.T. C.T.		21. Elevations (Show whether DF, RT, etc.)	
21A. Kind & Status Plug. Bond State-wide		21B. Drilling Contractor Cortez Drlg. Co.	
22. Approx. Date Work will start Jan. 21, 1966			

23.

PROPOSED CASING AND CEMENT PROGRAM

SIZE OF HOLE	SIZE OF CASING	WEIGHT PER FOOT	SETTING DEPTH	SACKS OF CEMENT	EST. TOP
	9-5/8"		1608'	1000	Circ. to surf.
8-3/4"	5-1/2"	15-1/2#	2400'	200	1474'

O.T.D. @ 8020'

Previous operator reported 9-5/8" @ 1608' w/1000 sx. circ. to surface.

It is proposed to re-enter the captioned well, formerly known as the George R. Gibson - #1 Humble State, and re-drill to a total depth of 2400' in order to log and test the San Andres Formation. Depending upon the quality of any commercial oil and/or gas indicated, the well will either be tested with the described casing program being perforated and treated, or the zone of interest treated open hole.

APPROVAL VALID
FOR 90 DAYS UNLESS
DRILLING COMMENCED,

EXPIRES 5-1-66

IN ABOVE SPACE DESCRIBE PROPOSED PROGRAM; IF PROPOSAL IS TO DEEPEN OR PLUG BACK, GIVE DATA ON PRESENT PRODUCTIVE ZONE AND PROPOSED NEW PRODUCTIVE ZONE, GIVE BLOWOUT PREVENTER PROGRAM, IF ANY.

I hereby certify that the information above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed Harry F. Schram Title Geologist Date Jan. 19, 1966

(This space for State Use)

APPROVED BY M. L. Armstrong TITLE Oil and Gas Inspector DATE FEB 1 1966

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ANY:

MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMM
WELL LOCATION AND ACREAGE DEDICATION PLAT

Form C-102
 Supersedes C-128
 Effective 1-1-65

All distances must be from the outer boundaries of the Section.


Operator Ernest A. Hanson ✓			Lease State "CS"		Well No. 1
Unit Letter M	Section 12	Township 12-South	Range 27-East	County Chaves	
Actual Footage Location of Well: 660 feet from the South line and 660 feet from the West line					
Ground Level Elev.	Producing Formation San Andres		Pool Wildcat	Dedicated Acreage: 40 Acres	

1. Outline the acreage dedicated to the subject well by colored pencil or hachure marks on the plat below.
2. If more than one lease is dedicated to the well, outline each and identify the ownership thereof (both as to working interest and royalty).
3. If more than one lease of different ownership is dedicated to the well, have the interests of all owners been consolidated by communitization, unitization, force-pooling, etc?

☐ Yes ☐ No If answer is "yes," type of consolidation **FEB 1 1966**

If answer is "no," list the owners and tract descriptions which have actually been consolidated. (Use reverse side of this form if necessary.) **ARTESIA, OFFICE**

No allowable will be assigned to the well until all interests have been consolidated (by communitization, unitization, forced-pooling, or otherwise) or until a non-standard unit, eliminating such interests, has been approved by the Commission.



CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the information contained herein is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name
Ernest A. Hanson
 Position

Geologist

Company
Ernest A. Hanson

Date
January 19, 1966

I hereby certify that the well location shown on this plat was plotted from field notes of actual surveys made by me or under my supervision, and that the same is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date Surveyed
January 19, 1966

Registered Professional Engineer and/or Land Surveyor

Ernest A. Hanson
 Certificate No.

751

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION		
ARTESIA DISTRICT OFFICE		
No. Copies Received		
DISTRIBUTION		
	NO. FURNISHED	
CHIEF ENGINEER		
SURVEYOR		
PROPERTY CLERK		
STATE ENGINEER		
U. S. G. S.		
TRANSPORTER		
FILE		
BUREAU OF MINES		

January 28, 1966

Ernest A. Hanson
P. O. Box 1515
Roswell, New Mexico

Re: State "CS" No. 1 M,
12-12S-27E, Wildcat,
Chaves County, N. M.

Dear Sir:

This will acknowledge receipt of your Form C-101, "Application For Permit to Drill, Deepen, or Plug Back" and Form C-102, " Well Location and Acreage Dedication Plat." However, as we did not receive but one (1) copy of Form C-102 for the above-mentioned well, it will be necessary for you to submit three (3) additional copies before approval can be made. Four copies of Form C-102 are required for State land.

Very truly yours,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

M. L. Armstrong
Supervisor, District No. II

MLA/bh

[illegible]

1. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 2. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 3. vs.
 4. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 5. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 6. vs.
 7. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 8. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 9. vs.
 10. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 11. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 12. vs.
 13. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 14. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 15. vs.
 16. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 17. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 18. vs.
 19. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 20. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 21. vs.
 22. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 23. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 24. vs.
 25. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 26. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 27. vs.
 28. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 29. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 30. vs.
 31. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 32. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 33. vs.
 34. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 35. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 36. vs.
 37. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 38. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 39. vs.
 40. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 41. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 42. vs.
 43. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 44. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 45. vs.
 46. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 47. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 48. vs.
 49. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 50. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 51. vs.
 52. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 53. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 54. vs.
 55. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 56. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 57. vs.
 58. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 59. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 60. vs.
 61. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 62. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 63. vs.
 64. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 65. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 66. vs.
 67. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 68. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 69. vs.
 70. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 71. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 72. vs.
 73. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 74. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 75. vs.
 76. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 77. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 78. vs.
 79. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 80. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 81. vs.
 82. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 83. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 84. vs.
 85. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 86. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 87. vs.
 88. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 89. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 90. vs.
 91. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 92. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 93. vs.
 94. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 95. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 96. vs.
 97. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 98. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 99. vs.
 100. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 101. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 102. vs.
 103. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 104. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 105. vs.
 106. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 107. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 108. vs.
 109. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 110. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 111. vs.
 112. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 113. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 114. vs.
 115. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 116. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 117. vs.
 118. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 119. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 120. vs.
 121. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 122. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 123. vs.
 124. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 125. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 126. vs.
 127. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 128. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 129. vs.
 130. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 131. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 132. vs.
 133. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 134. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 135. vs.
 136. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 137. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 138. vs.
 139. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 140. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 141. vs.
 142. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 143. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 144. vs.
 145. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 146. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 147. vs.
 148. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 149. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 150. vs.
 151. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 152. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 153. vs.
 154. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 155. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 156. vs.
 157. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 158. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 159. vs.
 160. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 161. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 162. vs.
 163. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 164. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 165. vs.
 166. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 167. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 168. vs.
 169. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 170. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 171. vs.
 172. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 173. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 174. vs.
 175. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 176. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 177. vs.
 178. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 179. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 180. vs.
 181. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 182. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 183. vs.
 184. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 185. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 186. vs.
 187. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 188. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 189. vs.
 190. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 191. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 192. vs.
 193. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 194. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 195. vs.
 196. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 197. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 198. vs.
 199. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 200. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 201. vs.
 202. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 203. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 204. vs.
 205. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 206. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 207. vs.
 208. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 209. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 210. vs.
 211. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 212. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 213. vs.
 214. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 215. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 216. vs.
 217. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 218. COUNTY OF DALLAS
 219. vs.
 220. THE STATE OF TEXAS
 221. COUNTY OF DALLAS

1. DATE 12/12/2011 TIME 11:00
 2. TO Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
 3. FROM Mr. J. Edgar Hoover

...and the other two are the same as in the previous case.

[illegible]

222 *Journal of Management Inquiry* 16(2)

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

[illegible]