

NASH, WINDFOHR & BROWN
OIL PRODUCERS
FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

RECEIVED
JUN 7 1961
O. C. C.
ARTESIA OFFICE

June 5, 1961

Mr. M. L. Armstrong
Supervisor, District No. 2
Oil Conservation Commission
Artesia, New Mexico

RE: Form C-104 Request for Oil Allowable on Jackson "B" Well No. 23-B,
Section 24-17S-30E, Eddy County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Armstrong:

Thank you for your letter of June 1. I regret that I failed to sign Forms C-104 and C-110 on the above well. They are returned herewith properly executed.

When the test shown on the attached Form C-104 was taken showing 66 barrels on 1/2" choke in 12 hours, the well was filling the tubing almost entirely full and then blowing it out, with the result that bottom hole pressure was building up to say 2,200 pounds when the tubing was full and being quickly reduced to close to zero when the well unloaded.

Considering the proximity of the well to water we decided this was bad practice and attempted to level the flow out by reducing the size of the choke. At that point the well bled mostly gas and produced almost no oil. Accordingly we re-acidized on June 3 with 300 gallons of 15% acid; the well kicked off, and produced 116 barrels in 24 hours on 1/4" choke against 850 pounds tubing pressure.

Since this is in effect a supplement to the enclosed Form C-104, we are filing this letter in quadruplicate.

Yours truly,

NASH, WINDFOHR AND BROWN



R. F. Windfohr

RFW:ard

RECEIVED
JUN 7 1951
OIL PRODUCERS
FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

NASH, WINDFORD & BROWN
OIL PRODUCERS
FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING
FORT WORTH, TEXAS

June 5, 1951

Mr. M. M. Armstrong
Supervisor, District No. 3
Oil Conservation Commission
Artesia, New Mexico

RE: Form C-104 Request for Oil Allowable on Jackson B. Well No. 23-B,
Section 24-17S-30E, Brady County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Armstrong:

Thank you for your letter of June 1. I regret that I failed to sign Form
C-104 and C-110 on the above well. They are returned herewith properly executed.

When the test shown on the attached Form C-104 was taken showing 66
barrels on 1 1/2" choke in 15 hours, the well was filling the tubing almost entirely
full and then blowing out, with the result that bottom hole pressure was being
ing up to say 5,500 pounds when the tubing was full and being quickly reduced
to close to zero when the well unloaded.

Considering the proximity of the well to water we decided this was bad
practice and attempted to level the flow out by reducing the size of the choke.
At that point the well blew mostly gas and produced almost no oil. Accordingly
we re-acidized on June 3 with 800 gallons of 15% acid; the well picked off and
produced 116 barrels in 24 hours on 1 1/2" choke against 850 pounds tubing pres-
sure.

Since this is in effect a supplement to the enclosed Form C-104, we
are filing this letter in quadruplicate.

Yours truly,

NASH, WINDFORD AND BROWN

E. F. Windford

REW:ard