

SOIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

RECEIVED

JAN 6 1960

O. C.
ARTESIA, OFFICE

January 5, 1960

Mrs. Helen Smith
P.O. Box 763
Hobbs, New Mexico

Dear Mrs. Smith:

Reference is made to your letter of December 26, 1959, regarding the John H. Hill Eddy-State Well No. 1-J, located in Section 13, Township 19 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Said well is perforated in the Seven Rivers formation and in the Queen formation. It is our understanding that the operator wishes to isolate the upper (Seven Rivers) section by means of a retrievable packer and produce the lower (Queen) formation through tubing.

This type of completion is not permitted by the Commission inasmuch as communication between the pays could occur which would result in damage and/or loss to either or both of the pays.

It will be necessary, therefore, for the operator to squeeze-cement the perforations which he does not desire to produce or to secure approval by the Commission of his proposed method of completion after notice and hearing.

Please advise us of the course which the operator desires to pursue.

Very truly yours,

DANIEL S. NUTTER
Chief Engineer

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
P. O. BOX 871
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Mrs. Helen Smith
January 3, 1940

cc: Mr. John A. Hall
3108 Southland Center
Dallas, Texas

Oil Conservation Commission ✓
207 Carper Building
Artesia, New Mexico

Oil Conservation Commission
P.O. Box 2045
Hobbs, New Mexico

DSH:ven

C
O
P
Y

O
P
Y

Dear Mrs. Smith:

Reference is made to your letter of December 26, 1939, regarding the John H. Hill Eddy-State Well No. 1-J, located in Section 13, Township 19 South, Range 28 East, Eddy County, New Mexico.

Said well is perforated in the Seven Rivers formation and in the Queen formation. It is our understanding that the operator wishes to isolate the upper (Seven Rivers) section by means of a retrievable packer and produce the lower (Queen) formation through tubing.

This type of completion is not permitted by the Commission inasmuch as communication between the pays could occur which would result in damage and/or loss to either or both of the pays.

It will be necessary, therefore, for the operator to squeeze-cement the perforations which he does not desire to produce or to secure approval by the Commission of his proposed method of completion after notice and hearing.

Please advise us of the course which the operator desires to pursue.

Very truly yours,

DANIEL S. NUTTER
Chief Engineer