APPROVED

By Olivia Yu at 2:17 pm, May 03, 2017

CLOSURE REPORT

NMOCD approves 1RP-1434 for closure.

STATE C-20 #1 FLOW LINE

EPI REF: #150022

1**RP-1434**

UL-D (NW¹/4 OF THE NW¹/4) OF SECTION 20, T 21 S, R 36 E ~6.5 MILES WEST OF EUNICE, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO LATITUDE: N 32° 28' 08.93" LONGITUDE: W 103° 17' 32.88"

MAY 2008

PREPARED BY:

ENVIRONMENTAL PLUS, INC. 2100 AVENUE O EUNICE, NEW MEXICO 88231

PREPARED FOR:





Mr. Larry Johnson Environmental Engineer Specialist New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1625 North French Drive Hobbs, NM 88240

RE: Closure Report – State C-20 #1 Flow Line NMOCD 1RP #1434; EPI Ref. #150022 UL-D (NW¹/₄ of the NW¹/₄) of Section 20, Township 21 South, Range 36 East Latitude N 32° 28' 08.93" and Longitude W 103° 17' 32.88"

Dear Mr. Johnson:

On 23 December 2005, a release from a 2-inch steel flowline occurred. The release consisted of approximately 23 barrels of crude oil and produced water of which none were recovered. ConocoPhillips retained Environmental Plus, Inc. (EPI) in June 2006 to delineate the extent of impacted soil at the site. This letter report documents site assessment, vertical delineation and remediation activities.

Site Background

The site is located in the UL-D (NW¹/4 of the NW¹/4) of Section 20, Township 21 South, Range 36 East at an elevation of approximately 3,626 feet above mean sea level (reference *Figures 1 and 2*). The property is owned by the State of New Mexico and administered by the New Mexico State Land Office. A search for area water wells was completed utilizing the <u>New Mexico Office of the State</u> <u>Engineers</u> website and a database maintained by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). A total of thirteen (13) wells were recorded in the databases searched. Monitor well identified as USGS #5 was located within a 1,000-foot radius of the release area (reference *Table 1* and *Figure 2*). Based on available information, it was determined distance between surface contamination and groundwater was over 100 feet. Utilizing this information, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Remedial Goals for this site are:

Parameter	Remedial Goal
Benzene	10 mg/Kg
BTEX	50 mg/Kg
TPH	100 mg/Kg

**BTEX is the sum of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes. Chloride residuals may not be capable of impacting groundwater above NMWQCC Ground Water Standard of 250 mg/L



Field Work

EPI field personnel conducted an initial site assessment on 9 June 2006 to photograph and document extent of the release. Based on initial site assessment, the release area consisted of approximately 1,400-square feet of pastureland impacted to an unknown depth. On 31 July 2007 two (2) soil borings (i.e., SB-1 and SB-2) were advanced within the release area to delineate vertical extent of impacted soil. Soil borings were advanced until field analyses indicated two (2) consecutive soil samples were below hydrocarbon and chloride remedial thresholds.

Soil boring SB-1 was advanced near the point of release and soil boring SB-2 within the release area approximately 55-feet south of the point of release in an apparent pooling area. Soil samples were collected at 0.5-, 2- and 5-feet bgs initially and then at 5-foot intervals thereafter to total depth of each respective soil boring (reference *Figure 4*).

A portion of each sample collected on July 31, 2007 was immediately placed in a laboratory provided container and set on ice for transport to an independent laboratory. The remaining portion of each sample was analyzed in the field for the presence of organic vapors utilizing a photo-ionization detector (equipped with a 10.2 electron volt lamp and calibrated for benzene response. Chloride concentrations were determined in the field utilizing a La Motte Chloride Test Kit (titration method).

Excavation activities began on October 1, 2007 at the point of release (i.e., adjacent to flowline) to an approximate depth of 7-feet bgs. Excavation activities in the southern portions of the release area progressed to a maximum depth of 9-feet bgs (reference *Figure 5*). Soil samples were collected from the excavation on October 3, 8 and 23, 2007 and set on ice for transport to an independent laboratory. The remaining portion was analyzed in the field for chloride concentrations.

From October 2 though 25, 2007 approximately 1,152 yds³ of contaminated soil were excavated and transported to J & L Landfarm with an additional 182 yds³ to Sundance Services, Inc. for disposal. Upon laboratory confirmation hydrocarbon impacts were below NMOCD remedial threshold goals, the excavation was backfilled with caliche (~392 yds³) and clean topsoil (~840 yds³). Following completion of backfill operations, the entire remedial area was graded to allow natural drainage and will be seeded with a grass blend preferred by the BLM.

Analytical Results

Laboratory analytical results of soil samples collected from SB-1 indicated TPH concentrations in the near surface sample [i.e., SB-1 (0.5')] exceed the 100 mg/Kg remedial threshold goal. Analyses of remaining soil sample intervals indicate TPH, BTEX constituent and chloride concentrations did not exceed remedial goals.

Laboratory analytical results of soil samples collected from SB-2 indicated TPH concentrations exceeded the 100 mg/Kg remedial threshold goal to approximately 7-feet bgs. BTEX concentrations at 5-feet bgs were in excess of the 50 mg/Kg remedial threshold goal. BTEX constituent concentrations in remaining sample intervals were less than remedial threshold goals. Chloride concentrations in all sample intervals were less than remedial threshold goals (reference *Table 2* and *Figure 4*).

Laboratory analytical results of soil samples collected on October 3, 2007 indicated BTEX constituent concentrations were non-detectable at or above laboratory method detection limits (MDL). TPH concentrations were below NMOCD remedial threshold with the exception of sample CBH (7'), which was subsequently excavated and re-sampled on October 8, 2007. Reported chloride concentrations ranged from 45.8 mg/Kg to 1,070 mg/Kg.



Laboratory analytical results of soil samples collected on October 23, 2007 indicated soil chloride concentrations in NSW-2 (5'), WSW-1 (5') and WSW-2 (4') ranged from <16 mg/Kg to 16 mg/Kg (reference *Table 3* and *Figure 5*).

Conclusion

Based on soil sample laboratory analytical results, hydrocarbon impacts have been successfully remediated to a level below NMOCD remedial threshold goals. Chloride concentrations remaining in situ were less than 500 mg/Kg and should be considered manageable (reference *Table 3*). Groundwater should not be impacted based on low residual chloride concentrations, depth to groundwater (i.e., >100-ft bgs) and limited area of contamination. Natural attenuation should deplete chloride concentrations strength during lateral and vertical migration.

Environmental Plus, Inc., on behalf of ConocoPhillips Company, request the NMOCD require no further action at this site and issue a *Site Closure Letter*.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me at (575) 394-3481 or via email at <u>ddominguez@envplus.com</u>. Official correspondence should be submitted to:

> Mr. Jesse Sosa ConocoPhillips Corporation 1410 West County Road Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 391-3126 jesse.a.sosa@conocophillips.com

Sincerely,

ENVIRONMENTAL PLUS, INC.

Daniel Dominguez Environmental Consultant



cc: John Abney, ConocoPhillips – Hobbs, NM Jesse Sosa, ConocoPhillips – Hobbs, NM Thaddeus Kostrubala, NMSLO – Sante Fe, NM Myra Meyers, NMSLO – Hobbs, NM Dasco Cattle Company, LLC, Agricultural Lessee – Tatum, NM File

Encl. Figure 1 – Area Map
Figure 2 – Site Location Map
Figure 3 – Site Map
Figure 4 – Soil Boring Location Map
Figure 5 – Excavation and Sample Map
Table 1 – Well Information Report
Table 2 – Summary of Soil Boring Analytical Results
Table 3 – Summary of Excavation Analytical Results
Attachment I – Site Photographs
Attachment II –Laboratory Analytical Report and Chain of Custody Forms
Attachment III – Copy of Initial NMOCD Form C-141

FIGURES











TABLES

LE 1	Well Data	
TABLE	Well	

Conoco Phillips - State C-20 Flow Line (Ref. # 150022)

Well Number Diversion ^A	Diversion ^A	Оwner	Use	Twsp	Rng	Twsp Rng Sec q q q	Latitude	Longitude	Date Measured	Surface Elevation ^B	Depth to Water
											(ft bgs)
CP 00505	3	SNYDER RANCHES LTD.	STK	21S	36E 16 2	16 2	N32° 28' 43.53"	W103° 16' 11.43"	10-Jul-72	3,605	195
CP 00676	0	JOE E. SIMS	DOM	21S	36E	36E 18 441	N32° 28' 17.46"	W103° 17' 59.37"	30-Apr-93	3,630	106
CP 00490 EXP	0	U. R. CATTLE CO.	STK	21S	36E	36E 19 23	N32° 27' 51.41"	W103° 18' 14.75"		3,650	
USGS #1				21S	36E	36E 16 224			31-Jan-91	3,575	174.98
USGS #2				21S	36E	36E 17 433			07-Mar-96	3,649	242.65
USGS #3				21S	36E	18 241			07-Feb-96	3,646	233.83
USGS #4				21S	36E	19 221			18-Mar-86	3,626	217.34
USGS #5				21S	36E	36E 20 113			07-Jan-54	3,626	215.96
USGS #6				21S	36E	36E 28 421			15-Feb-96	3,580	186.14
USGS #7				21S	36E	36E 29 231			06-Apr-91	3,645	246.87
USGS #8				21S	36E	36E 29 234			08-Sep-70	3,639	240.89
USGS #9				21S	36E	36E 30 422			13-Feb-96	3,635	230.69
CP 00475 EXP	0	ROSS ROBINSON	STK	21S	36E 3	30 422	36E 30 4 2 2 N32° 26' 46.01"	N32° 26' 46.01"		3,620	

 A = in acre feet per annum B = Elevation interpolated from USGS topographical map based on referenced location DOM = 72-12-1 Domestic one household STK = 72-12-1 Livestock watering quarters are 1=NW, 2=NE, 3=SW, 4=SE; quarters are biggest to smallest Shaded areas indicate wells not shown on Figure 2

TABLE 2

Summary of Soil Boring Analytical Results

ConocoPhillips -State C-20 Well #1 Flowline (EPI Ref. # 150022)

Sample		Sample		DID	Field	Benzene	Tolnene	Tolnene Ethylhenzene	Total	Total	Carbon	Carbon	Total TPH	Chloride
L ocation	Sample I.D.	Depth	Sample I.D. Depth Sample Date Reading Ch	Reading	Chloride				Xylenes	BTEX	(C6-C10)	C10-C28)		
		(feet)		(mdd)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)
	SB-1 (0.5')	0.5	30-Jul-07	26.3	320	<0.004	<0.004	<0.004	<0.012	<0.024	<25.0	502	502	16
	SB-1 (2')	2	30-Jul-07	18.8	240	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<25.0	25.9	25.9	<16
Soil Boring SB-1 (5')	SB-1 (5')	5	30-Jul-07	14.2	240	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	$<\!10.0$	<10.0	<20.0	<16
SB-1	SB-1 (10')	10	30-Jul-07	0.8	480	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	$<\!10.0$	<10.0	<20.0	208.0
	SB-1 (15')	15	30-Jul-07	0.8	240	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0	<20.0	96
	SB-1 (20')	20	30-Jul-07	0.8	240	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	$<\!10.0$	<10.0	<20.0	160
	SB-2 (0.5')	0.5	30-Jul-07	251.0	240	<0.010	0.021	< 0.010	0.858	0.879	<250	3,690	3,690	<16
Coll Domino	SB-2 (2')	2	30-Jul-07	358.0	240	0.016	0.139	<0.010	1.383	1.538	144	594	738	<16
	SB-2 (5')	5	30-Jul-07 1600.0	1600.0	240	0.304	6.575	1.839	45.241	53.959	4,510	9,680	14,190	48
7- 0 0	SB-2 (10')	10	30-Jul-07	24.3	240	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	0.010	0.010	<25.0	<25.0	<50.0	32
	SB-2 (15')	15	30-Jul-07	7.8	240	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<25.0	<25.0	<50.0	80
NN	NMOCD Remedial Thresholds	Threshol	ds	100^{A}		10				50			100	250 ^B
Polded values as	Polded mating and in accord of the MMOCD Poundiation Thunsholds	VMOCD B	amadiation Thra	shalds										

Bolded values are in excess of the NMOCD Remediation Thresholds

 $^{\mathrm{A}}$ In lieu of laboratory analyes of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes.

^B Chloride residuals may not be capable of impacting local groundwaterabove the NMWQCCstandard of 250 mg/L

 $^{\rm C}$ Estimated concentration; analyte detected below laboratory method detection limits.

- - : Not Analyzed

e	
Ξ	
H	

Summary of Excavation Analytical Results

ConocoPhillips -State C-20 Well #1 Flowline (EPI Ref. # 150022)

	Sample	Soil		PID	Field	Renzene	Toluene	Toluene Ethylhenzene	Total	Total	(CK-C12)	Carbon (C12. C38)	Carbon	Total TPH	Chlorida
Sample I.D.	Depth	Status	Sample Date Reading Chloride	Reading	Chloride	DUIDAILO			Xylenes	BTEX	GRO	DRO	(C28-C35)	11 11 1010 1	
	(feet)	_		(mqq)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)	(mg/Kg)
ESW-1 (4')	4	In Situ	03-Oct-07	-	480	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	<0.0032	<0.0065	<10.6	<10.6	<10.6	<10.6	314
SSW-1 (4')	4	In Situ	03-Oct-07		560	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	<0.0032	<0.0065	<10.8	<10.8	<10.8	<10.8	138
SSW-2 (4')	4	In Situ	03-Oct-07	1	480	< 0.0011	<0.0011	< 0.0011	<0.0032	<0.0065	<10.4	$<\!10.4$	<10.4	<10.4	419
EBH (7')	L	In Situ	03-Oct-07	1	320	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	<0.0032	<0.0065	<10.8	47.8	<10.8	47.8	45.8
CBH (7')	7	Excavated	03-Oct-07	-	400	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	<0.0032	<0.0065	<11.2	149	25.4	174.4	214
SS C BH (9')	6	In Situ	08-Oct-07		560	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	$<\!10.0$:	38.2	432
WBH (7')	7	In Situ	03-Oct-07		720	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	< 0.0011	<0.0032	<0.0065	<11.1	<11.1	<11.1	<11.1	426
NSW-1 (5')	5	In Situ	08-Oct-07	1	1,320	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0	1	<10.0	304
NSW-2 (5')	5	Excavated	08-Oct-07	-	1,040	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0		$<\!10.0$	1,070
NSW-2 (5')-A	5	In Situ	23-Oct-07	-	240	-	-	-	-	-		1	-		16
WSW-1 (4')	4	Excavated	08-Oct-07	1	1,080	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0	-	<10.0	736
WSW-1 (5')-A	5	In Situ	23-Oct-07	-	240	-	-	-		-		-	-		<16
WSW-2 (4')	4	Excavated	08-Oct-07	1	1,040	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0	-	<10.0	1,040
WSW-2 (4')-A	4	In Situ	23-Oct-07	-	-	-	-	-				1	-		<16
SSW-3 (3')	3	In Situ	08-Oct-07	ł	600	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0	:	<10.0	256
SSW-4 (5')	5	In Situ	08-Oct-07	1	380	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0	-	<10.0	16
WSW-3 (5')	5	In Situ	08-Oct-07	ł	380	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	$<\!10.0$	1	<10.0	<16
WS BH (7')	7	In Situ	08-Oct-07	1	320	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	$<\!10.0$	ł	<10.0	<16
SS BH (7')	7	In Situ	08-Oct-07	ł	560	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.006	<0.012	<10.0	<10.0	:	94.0	288
NMOCD) Remedia	NMOCD Remedial Thresholds	S	100^{A}		10				50				100	250 ^B

Bolded values are in excess of the NMOCD Remediation Thresholds

 A In lieu of laboratory analyes of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes.

 $^{\rm B}$ Chloride residuals may not be capable of impacting local groundwaterabove the NMWQCCstandard of 250 mg/L

 $^{\rm C}$ Estimated concentration; analyte detected below laboratory method detection limits.

- - : Not Analyzed

ATTACHMENT I

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo #1 – Looking northeasterly across point of release and overspray area



Photo #2 – Looking northeasterly across release area



Photo #3 – Soil boring #1



Photo #4 – Soil boring #2



Photo #5 – Excavating contaminated soil



Photo #6 – Looking northerly across excavation



Photo #7 – Looking southerly across excavation



Photo #8 – Remediated site

ATTACHMENT II

LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORT AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORM

ANALYTICAL DATA INCLUDED ON ATTACHED CD

ATTACHMENT III

INFORMATIONAL COPY OF INITIAL NMOCD FORM C-141 FINAL NMOCD FORM C-141

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

Release Notification and Corrective Action

	OPERATOR	X Initial Report	Final Report
Name of Company ConocoPhillips Company	Contact John Abney		
Address 4001 Penbrook Street Odessa, TX 79762	Telephone No. (505)391-3128		
Facility Name State C-20 Well #1	Facility Type Oil Well		

Surface Owner State of New Mexico

Lease No.

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Mineral OwnerState of New Mexico

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
D	20	21S	36E					Lea
L		[

Latitude 22° 28.14/N Longitude 103° 17.5.20W

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release Oil and Water	Volume of Release23 BBLs		Recovered 0
Source of Release2" steel flowline	Date and Hour of Occurrence12/	23/0 5 a te2ani 1	Hour of Discovery 12/23/05 9an
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?		
Yes 🗌 No 🕅 Not Require	d NA		
By Whom?	Date and Hour NA		
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the W	atercourse.	
🗌 Yes 🕅 No	NA		
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*			
NA			
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A 2" steel flowline leaked and no fluid was recovered.			
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*			
At 9:30 am COPC MSO Jesse Sosa received a call from the Ans C-20 lease it was confirmed that the line went to the #1 well and the joint of pipe can be replaced. The affected area is 13' X 80' X determine the necessary cleanup procedures.	wering Service that a third party	had found a	flowline leak on the State
C-20 lease it was confirmed that the line went to the #1 well and	the well was shut in. A temporar	y clamp was	placed on the line until
determine the necessary cleanup procedures	24" and no nund was recovered.	The site will	t be definieated to
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to			
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release			
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the			
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remedia			
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report			
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.			-
$\neg \rho \Lambda l$	OIL CONSER	VATION	DIVISION
Similar Illow en			
Signature: Other Workey			
Printed Name: John Abney	Approved by District Supervisor:		
	·····		
Title: SHEaR Specialist	Approval Date:	Expiration I	Date:
E-mail Address: john.h.abney@conocophillips.com	Conditions of Approval:		Attached
Date: 12/24/2005 Phone: (505)391-3128			

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210	State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources	Form C-141 Revised October 10, 2003
District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505	Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505	Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR	R 🗌 Initial Report 🛛 Final Report
Name of Company: ConocoPhillips Company	Contact: Jesse Sosa
Address: 1410 N. West County Road Hobbs, NM	Telephone No.: (505) 391-3102
Facility Name: State C-20 #1 Flow Line	Facility Type: Oil Well

Surface Owner: State of New Mexico | Mineral Owner: State of New Mexico | Lease No.

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
D	20	21S	36E					Lea

Latitude: <u>N 32° 28' 8.93"</u> Longitude: <u>W 103° 17' 32.88"</u>

NATURE OF	F KELEASI	2			
Type of Release: Crude Oil and Produced Water	Volume of R	Volume of Release: ~23 bbls Volume Recovered: 0 bbls		covered: 0 bbls	
Source of Release: 2" Steel Flow Line			Date and H	our of Discovery:	
			12-23-05 @	9:00 am	
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?				
🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🖂 Not Required	NA				
By Whom?	Date and Hour: NA				
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse:				
🗌 Yes 🖂 No	Not Applicab				
Depth to water: ~208-ft bgs	APPROVED				
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Not Applicable		By Olivia Y	u at 2:25	pm, May 03, 20	
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A 2" steel f					
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* From October 2 though 25 2007 approximately 1,152 yds ³ of contaminated soil were					
excavated and hauled to J & L Landfarm for disposal. Approximately 182 yds ³ of contaminated soil were excavated and hauled to Sundance					
Services, Inc. for disposal. Upon laboratory confirmation of hydrocarbon impacts successfully remediated to a level below NMOCD remedial					
thresholds the excavation was backfilled with caliche (~392 yds ³) and clean topsoil (~840 yds ³). Upon completion of backfill operations, the entire					
remedial area was graded to allow natural drainage and will be seeded with a grass blend preferred by the BLM.					
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules					
and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may					
endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the					
operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water,					
surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility					
for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulation	ons.				
	0	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION			
Signature:		Ĩ	17		
	Approved by		D -		
Printed Name: Jesse Sosa					
Title: HSER Lead	Approval Date	5/3/2017	Expiration D	ate XX/XX/XXXX	
			phutton D		
E-mail Address: Jesse.A.Sosa@conocophillips.com	Conditions of Approval:				
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		FF STORE		Attached	
Date: Phone: (505) 391-3126					
* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary		4.40.4			

1RP-1434

ppac0717831473

NATURE OF RELEASE