

March 27, 2017

Ms. Olivia Yu NMOCD District I 1625 N. French Drive Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

SUBJECT: SOIL REMEDIATION WORK PLAN FOR INCIDENT 1RP-4547 ATHA SWD (J H DAY #002 30-025-08816), LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Dear Ms. Yu:

On behalf of Key Energy Services (Key) Souder Miller & Associates (SMA) is pleased to submit the attached Work Plan summarizing the planned soil remediation of the release site located near on the Atha SWD (J H Day #002 30-025-08816) in Lea County, New Mexico. The purpose of the work plan is to obtain approval from the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for remediation of the release that occurred on December 26, 2016.

At the request of Key, SMA responded to assess and delineate the production fluids release associated with the Atha SWD (J H Day #002 30-025-08816) location. The release was initially reported to NMOCD by Key on December 26, 2016 and was a result of a human error. The table below summarizes information regarding the release. Results of the assessment and delineation follow in the attached report.

Table 1: Rele	ase inform	ation and	Site Rankir	ng						
Name	Atha	a SWD (J H	l Day #002	30-025-08	816)					
	Incident Number	API Number	Section	, Township	, Range					
Location	1RP- 4547	30-025- 08816	NE/NW (Unit C)	Section 06	T22S, R36E NMPM					
Estimated Date of Release	December 26, 2016									
Date Reported to NMOCD	December 26, 2016									
Reported by	Maren Coligan									
Land Owner	Wilberta	R. Tivis								
Reported To	NM Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD)									
Source of Release	Flowline to the injection wells									
Released Material	Produced	Water								
Released Volume	50-60 bbls									
Recovered Volume	0 bbls									
Nearest Waterway	Pecos River is greater than 50 miles west of location									
Depth to Groundwater	Estimated	l to be 195	' bgs							
Nearest Domestic Water Source	Greater t	han 1,000	feet							

NMOCD Ranking	0
SMA Response Dates	Initial: 1/4/2017, 2/16/2017
Estimated Yd <sup>3</sup> Contaminated Soil Excavated and Disposed	1,352

A copy of the C-141 Initial is located in Appendix B. For questions or comments pertaining to the release or the attached Work Plan, please feel free to contact either of us.

Submitted by:

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES

Austr Wegant

Austin Weyant Project Scientist

Reviewed by:

Cynthia Gray, CHMM Senior Scientist

## SOIL REMEDIATION WORK PLAN FOR INCIDENT 1RP-4547

## **KEY ENERGY SERVICES, LLC**

ATHA SWD (J H DAY #002) API# 30-025-08816 SECTION 06, T22S R36E, NMPM LEA COUNTY, NM

Prepared for: Key Energy Services LLC 6 Desta Dr. Suite 4300 Midland, TX 79705 Prepared by: Souder, Miller & Associates 201 S. Halagueno Carlsbad, NM 88221 575-689-7040

March 27, 2017 SMA Reference 5E25783 BG1

Souder, Miller & Associates Engineering • Environmental • Surveying

> 201 S. Halagueno ◆ Carlsbad, NM 88221 (575) 689-7040 ◆ www.soudermiller.com



## **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduction	L
2.0	Site Ranking and Land Jurisdiction1	L
3.0	Assessment and Initial Results	L
4.0	Soil Remediation Work Plan	L
5.0	Conclusions and Recommendations	2

### Figures:

Figure 1: Vicinity Map Figure 2: Site Details and Sample Location Map Figure 3: In-situ Cap Design

#### Tables:

Table 1: Release Information and Site Ranking Table 2: Summary of Chloride Field Screening Results Table 3: Summary of Laboratory Analyses

### Appendices:

Appendix A: Laboratory Analytical Reports Appendix B: Form C141 Initial Appendix C: Calculations

#### 1.0 Introduction

On behalf of Key Energy Services (Key) Souder Miller & Associates (SMA) has prepared this report that describes the assessment and initial delineation of the release associated with the Atha SWD (J H Day #002 30-025-08816) in Lea County, New Mexico on Wilberta R. Tivis land. Figure 1 illustrates the vicinity and location of the site. This document includes the proposed Work Plan to address the release which occurred December 26, 2016.

#### 2.0 Site Ranking and Land Jurisdiction

The release location is situated in an area owned by Wilberta R. Tivis, with an elevation of approximately 3,590 feet above sea level. The Pecos River is greater than 50 miles west of the release location. After evaluation of the site using aerial photography and topographic maps as well as review of the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer's online Water Rights Reporting System, depth to groundwater is estimated to be approximately 195 feet below ground surface (bgs). Figure 1 depicts the site vicinity and Figure 2 depicts the site details and sample locations.

SMA searched the New Mexico State Engineer's Office online water well database for water wells in the vicinity of the release. No well is located within a 1000 foot radius of the site. The physical location of this release is within the jurisdiction of NMOCD.

This release location has been assigned an NMOCD ranking of 0 which requires a soil remediation standard of 10 parts per million (ppm) benzene, 50 ppm combined benzene, toluene, ethyl-benzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and 5,000 ppm total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Table 1 illustrates the site ranking rationale.

#### 3.0 Assessment and Initial Results

On January 4, 2017 SMA personnel assessed the release area onsite with a gas powered auger, an Photo Ionization Detector (PID), and a mobile chlorides titration kit. The affected area was found to be 220 feet long and 43-84 feet wide. The release impact area was found to be in the pasture south of the pipeline. Soils were impacted to at least 3 feet bgs in the pasture. Sample locations are noted on Figure 2 Site Details and Sample Location Map. All samples were collected and processed per NMOCD soil sampling procedures. The laboratory samples were sent under chain-of-custody protocols to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory for analysis for Benzene and Total BTEX using EPA Method 8021B, DRO and GRO by EPA Method 8015D, and total Chlorides using EPA Method 300.0.

On February 16, 2017 SMA personnel assessed the release area with an air rotatory drill rig to further delineate the site. Delineation occurred to a depth of 20' bgs. Soils were impacted to at least 3 feet bgs in the pasture. Sample locations are noted on Figure 2 Site Details and Sample Location Map. All samples were collected and processed per NMOCD soil sampling procedures. The laboratory samples were sent under chain-of-custody protocols to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory for analysis for total Chlorides using EPA Method 300.0.

#### 4.0 Soil Remediation Work Plan

The delineation performed on January 4, 2017 and February 16, 2017 sufficiently mapped the plume of impacted soils in accordance with NMOCD COA guidance. Delineation results from 1/4/2017 and 2/16/2017 show that affected soil is limited to three feet bgs.

To facilitate remediation of the impacted soils on location, the impacted area will be excavated to a depth of three feet bgs, with excavated soils placed on a temporary liner onsite. The excavated area will be graded at a minimum of 2% slope to drain to a sump on the north of the excavation. Small berms will be constructed on the south sides of the affected area to channel water to the sump. A geosynthetic clay liner (GCL) will be installed in the excavation, including the sump, overlain by a 40-mil plastic liner. The GCL and plastic liner will act as a cap above any impacted soil left in-place, ensuring no infiltration into any remaining impacted soils. A drainage layer will be placed on the plastic liner to allow leachate to flow to the drainage sump. Excavated soils will be amended with citric acid, phosphoric acid-based fertilizers and hay or another bulking agent, and placed on the drainage layer above the 40-mil plastic liner and GCL.

Initially, 2.78 acre-inches of fresh water (TDS <1,200 mg/l) will be pumped from a tank on-site and dispersed by an irrigation sprinkler on the affected area. The fresh water will mix with citric acid to flush chloride from the soil and carry it to the lined sump. Effluent from the sump will be immediately pumped to an above ground tank for proper disposal at the New Atha SWD. Calculations (included in Appendix C) indicate the initial water application should flush the chloride sufficiently to reach an average concentration of 1,700 ppm in soil. Additionally, natural precipitation events through the year will allow flushing of chloride and decrease the average predicted chloride concentration to less than 632 ppm. Samples at the base and sidewalls of the soils will be collected periodically and tested to confirm soils have been remediated to required chloride concentrations. After completion of soil remediation, the sump will be backfilled.

#### 5.0 **Conclusions and Recommendations**

NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases have established the following action levels for contaminants of concern for a site with a ranking of 0: 10 ppm (mg/kg) Benzene, 50 ppm total BTEX, and 5,000 ppm TPH. The release consisted of produced water. No evidence of petroleum impact was found during the initial assessment and delineation, confirmed by laboratory analysis.

After the soil remediation work plan is approved by NMOCD, SMA will begin the planned soil remediation activities on site.

If there are any questions regarding this report, please contact either Austin Weyant at 575-689-7040 or Cindy Gray at 505-325-7535. Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES

Histor Mana

Austin Weyant **Project Scientist** 

Cynthia Gray, CHMM Senior Scientist

# FIGURE 1 VICINITY MAP



# FIGURE 2 SITE MAP



# FIGURE 3 IN-SITU CAP DESIGN



# TABLE 1 RELEASE INFORMATION AND SITE RANKING

Table 1: Rel	ease inform	ation and S	Site Rankin	g						
Name	Ath	a SWD (J F	l Day #002	30-025-088	316)					
	Incident Number	API Number	Section	ı, Township	, Range					
Location	1RP-4547	30-025- 08816	NE/NW (Unit C)	Section 06	p, Range T22S, R36E NMPM					
Estimated Date of Release	December	26, 2016								
Date Reported to NMOCD	December	26, 2016								
Reported by	Maren Coli	igan								
Land Owner	Wilberta R. Tivis									
Reported To	NM Oil Cor	nservation I	Division (NN	NOCD)						
Source of Release	Flowline to	the injection	on wells							
Released Material	Produced \	Nater								
Released Volume	50-60 bbls									
Recovered Volume	0 bbls									
Nearest Waterway	Pecos Rive	r is greater	than 50 mi	les west of	location					
Depth to Groundwater	Estimated	to be 195' k	ogs							
Nearest Domestic Water Source	Greater th	an 1,000 fe	et							
NMOCD Ranking	0									
SMA Response Dates	Initial: 1/4,	/2017, 2/16	/2017							
Estimated Yd <sup>3</sup> Contaminated Soil Excavated and Disposed	1,352									



# TABLE 2 SUMMARY OF CHLORIDE FIELD SCREENING RESULTS

	Та	ble 2: Summary of Chlori	ide Field Screen	Results	
Date	Time	Field Screening Reference	Sample Depth (Feet BGS)	Chlorides Results	Lab Sample Collected Y/N
1/4/2017	13:00	D1-2	2'	781	Y
1/4/2017	13:00	D1-4	4'	4765	Y
1/4/2017	13:00	D1-5	5'	5336	Y
1/4/2017	13:00	D1-6	6'	3019	Y
1/4/2017	13:00	D1-9	9'	461	Y
2/16/2017	11:00	D1-10	10'	>135	Y
2/16/2017	11:30	D1-12	12'	>135	Y
2/16/2017	12:00	D1- 15,16	15'-16'	>135	Y
2/16/2017	12:30	D1-20	20'	>135	Y
2/21/2017	11:30	D2-20	20'	1400	Y
2/21/2017	12:00	D2-25	25'	>135	Y
2/21/2017	12:30	D2-30	30'	>135	Y



# TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF LABORATORY ANALYSES

Analytical Report- TC97218, 1702A43	Sample Number on Figure 2 Map	Sample Date	Depth	BTEX ppm	Benzene mg/Kg	GRO mg/Kg	DRO mg/Kg	Cl- mg/Kg
TC97218- 001	D1	1/4/2017	6'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4710
TC97218- 002	D1	1/4/2017	9'	<12	<7.0	<6.3	<6.3	433
TC97218- 003	L3	1/4/2017	1'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.3
TC97218- 004	L5	1/4/2017	1'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2050
TC97218- 005	L7	1/4/2017	1'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	161
TC97218- 006	L8	1/4/2017	1'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	502
TC97218- 007	L9	1/4/2017	1'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	115
TC97218- 008	L10	1/4/2017	1'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<2.6
TC97218- 009	D2-2	1/4/2017	2'	N/A	N/A	<6.0	<1.4	4900
TC97218- 010	D2-3	1/4/2017	3'	<12	<7.1	3.66	3.66	1770
1702A43- 001	D1-10	2/16/2017	10'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	72
1702A43- 002	D1-15-16	2/16/2017	15'-16'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	44
1702A43- 003	D1-20	2/16/2017	20'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<30
1702A46- 001	D1-20	2/21/2017	20'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1300
1702A46- 002	D1-25	2/21/2017	25'	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	32
1702A46- 003	D1-30	2/21/2017	30'	<0.093	<0.023	<4.7	<9.7	36

## **Table 3: Summary of Laboratory Analyses**

N/A-Not Analyzed



## APPENDIX A LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

March 01, 2017

Austin Weyant Souder, Miller & Associates 201 S Halagueno Carlsbad, NM 88221 TEL: (575) 689-7040 FAX

OrderNo.: 1702A43

RE: Atha New 45 47

Dear Austin Weyant:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 3 sample(s) on 2/23/2017 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

**Analytical Report** 

Lab Order: 1702A43

Hall Environ	mental Analysis	Laborat	ory, Inc.		Date Reported: 3/1/2017
	Souder, Miller & Associa Atha New 45 47	ates			<b>Lab Order:</b> 1702A43
Lab ID:	1702A43-001			Collectior	<b>Date:</b> 2/16/2017 10:00:00 AM
Client Sample ID:	D1-10			Ν	latrix: SOIL
Analyses		Result	PQL Qual	Units	DF Date Analyzed Batch ID
EPA METHOD 300 Chloride	D.0: ANIONS	72	30	mg/Kg	Analyst: <b>MRA</b> 20 2/28/2017 3:48:06 PM 30447
Lab ID:	1702A43-002			Collectior	<b>Date:</b> 2/16/2017 11:00:00 AM
Client Sample ID:	D1-15-16			Ν	fatrix: SOIL
Analyses		Result	PQL Qual	Units	DF Date Analyzed Batch ID
EPA METHOD 300	0.0: ANIONS				Analyst: MRA
Chloride		44	30	mg/Kg	20 2/28/2017 4:00:30 PM 30447
Lab ID:	1702A43-003			Collectior	Date: 2/16/2017 12:00:00 PM
Client Sample ID:	D1-20			Ν	fatrix: SOIL
Analyses		Result	PQL Qual	Units	DF Date Analyzed Batch ID
EPA METHOD 300	0.0: ANIONS				Analyst: MRA
Chloride		ND	30	mg/Kg	20 2/28/2017 4:12:54 PM 30447

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

\*

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Value above quantitation range Е
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 2
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Client: Project:		ler, Miller & Assoc New 45 47	iates						
Sample ID	MB-30447	SampType:	mblk	Tes	tCode: EPA Meth	nod 300.0: Anior	ıs		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	30447	F	RunNo: <b>41047</b>				
Prep Date:	2/28/2017	Analysis Date:	2/28/2017	S	SeqNo: 1286758	Units: <b>mg/l</b>	٨g		
Analyte		Result PC	L SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLin	nit HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND <sup>2</sup>	1.5						
Sample ID	LCS-30447	SampType:	lcs	Tes	tCode: EPA Meth	nod 300.0: Anior	าร		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	30447	F	RunNo: <b>41047</b>				
Prep Date:	2/28/2017	Analysis Date:	2/28/2017	5	GeqNo: 1286759	Units: <b>mg/l</b>	٨g		
Analyte		Result PC	L SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC LowLin	nit HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14 <i>*</i>	1.5 15.00	0	93.0	90 110			

#### **Qualifiers:**

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified



HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental . Albu TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.hau	4901 querqu FAX: 5	Hawkins I 1e, NM 871 505-345-41	ve 09 <b>Sam</b> 07	ple Log-In Check List
Client Name: SMA-CARLSBAD	Work Order Number:	1702	A43		RcptNo: 1
Received by/date:	02/23/17				
Logged By: Andy Jansson	2/23/2017 9:20:00 AM			man	
Completed By: And Janeson Reviewed By: Chain of Custody	02/23/17 02/24/17			.,,,	
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?		Yes		No	Not Present 🗸
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes	<b>v</b>	No	Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?		<u>Cour</u>	ier		
<u>Log In</u>					
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples	?	Yes		No	NA
5. Were all samples received at a temperature	e of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes	V	No	NA
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes	<b>V</b>	No	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(	s)?	Yes	<b>v</b>	No	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prope	rly preserved?	Yes	~	No	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes		No 🗸	NA
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes	1. <sub>1</sub> .	No	No VOA Vials 🔽
11. Were any sample containers received brok	en?	Yes		No 🔽	
12.Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes		No	# of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless note
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of	f Custody?	Yes		No	Adjusted?
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes	<b>V</b>	No	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes	✓	No	Checked by:

### Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes	No	NA 🗸
Person Notified:	Date:		
By Whom:	Via: eMail	Phone Fax	In Person
Regarding:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	a la capacita de la c
Client Instructions:	an a	<u></u>	
17. Additional remarks:			

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	2.8	Good	Yes			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• • • • •	.'	·				1

Chain-of-Custody Record Turn-Around Time:	Candard Rush	Project Name:	And Hawkins NE 4901 Hawkins NE Albumental 2011	Tel 505 345 3075	Tel. 202-545-5870 Fax 202-545-410/ Analysis Revinest	((	(†O)	Anerty labor (802 00, S)		10 / D 5008 510 ( 10 ( 10)	3 (G od ( ) 00 ) 10 ) 00 ) 00 ) 00 ) 00 ) 00 ) 00	Matrix Sample Request ID Container Preservative HEAL No. Type and # Type HEAL No. Type and # Type Text + M 20081 Pestic 20		01-15-16 -002	01-20 -003 X					Relinguisting by: Received by Pate Time Remarks:	Reinquished by: Received by: Date Time	
ustody Record	14- Carlsby	1.478						- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Level 4 (Full Validation)	er				01-15-16						No Pr	hed by:	
Ch	Client		Mailing Address:		Phone #	L and line	email or Fax#:	QA/QC Package:	Standard	Accreditation		Date	2-1617 10:00	1100	12:00					Date: Time:		



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

March 02, 2017

Austin Weyant Souder, Miller & Associates 201 S Halagueno Carlsbad, NM 88221 TEL: (575) 689-7040 FAX

OrderNo.: 1702A46

RE: Atha New

Dear Austin Weyant:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 3 sample(s) on 2/23/2017 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysi	s Laborat	tory, Inc.		Lab Order Date Repo	1702A46 rted: 3/2/201	7
CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates			Client Samp	<b>e ID:</b> D2-20		
Project: Atha New			Collection 2	Date: 2/21/2017 11	:30:00 AM	
Lab ID: 1702A46-001	Matrix: S	SOIL	<b>Received</b>	Date: 2/23/2017 9:2	20:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	PQL Qua	l Units	DF Date Ana	ılyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	LGT
Chloride	1300	75	mg/Kg	50 3/1/2017 <sup>2</sup>	11:25:05 PM	30454

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Value a
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sample
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reportin
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W	Sample

- te detected in the associated Method Blank
- above quantitation range
- te detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 7

**Analytical Report** 

- e pH Not In Range
- ting Detection Limit
- e container temperature is out of limit as specified

**Analytical Report** Lab Order 1702A46 Data Da stad. 2/2/2017

Hall Environmental Analysi	is Laborat	tory, Inc.	• Date Reported: 3/2/2017						
CLIENT: Souder, Miller & Associates			Client Samp	le ID: D2-25					
<b>Project:</b> Atha New			Collection	Date: 2/21/2017 12:00:00 PM					
<b>Lab ID:</b> 1702A46-002	Matrix: S	SOIL	Received Date: 2/23/2017 9:20:00 AM						
Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS				Analyst:	MRA				
Chloride	32	30	mg/Kg	20 2/28/2017 8:58:20 PM	30454				

on.

Refer to	the QC S	ummary r	eport and	sample	login	checklist	for f	lagged	QC	dat	ta and	preservat	ion ii	nformati	ioi
----------	----------	----------	-----------	--------	-------	-----------	-------	--------	----	-----	--------	-----------	--------	----------	-----

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
	_	

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 7 J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Analytical Report Lab Order 1702A46 Date Reported: 3/2/2017

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Souder, Miller & Associates

Project: Atha New

Client Sample ID: D2-30 Collection Date: 2/21/2017 12:40:00 PM

Lab ID: 1702A46-003	Matrix:	SOIL	<b>Received</b>	Received Date: 2/23/2017 9:20:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: MRA			
Chloride	36	30	mg/Kg	20	2/28/2017 9:10:44 PM	30454			
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	IGE ORGANICS	5			Analys	t: TOM			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.7	mg/Kg	1	2/28/2017 2:11:22 PM	30399			
Surr: DNOP	119	70-130	%Rec	1	2/28/2017 2:11:22 PM	30399			
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analys	t: NSB			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2017 8:33:12 PM	30385			
Surr: BFB	93.6	54-150	%Rec	1	2/27/2017 8:33:12 PM	30385			
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: NSB			
Benzene	ND	0.023	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2017 8:33:12 PM	30385			
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2017 8:33:12 PM	30385			
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2017 8:33:12 PM	30385			
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2017 8:33:12 PM	30385			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120	%Rec	1	2/27/2017 8:33:12 PM	30385			

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

	,	<b>X</b> = 2	 - ) - 0	Port	 Juni	P ••	 ••	•	 	800	<b>~</b> ~	 	P1000	 	

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

**Oualifiers:** 

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 3 of 7
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Client: Project:	Soud Atha	er, Miller & As New	sociate	es							
Sample ID	MB-30454	SampTy	/pe: <b>m</b> t	olk	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: <b>30</b>	454	F	RunNo: 41	1047				
Prep Date:	2/28/2017	Analysis Da	ate: <b>2/</b>	28/2017	S	SeqNo: 12	286795	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5								
Sample ID	LCS-30454	SampTy	/pe: Ics	5	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 30	454	F	RunNo: 41	1047				
Prep Date:	2/28/2017	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	28/2017	5	SeqNo: 12	286796	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	92.4	90	110			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 7

WO#: 1702A46

WO#:	1702A46
	02-Mar-17

Client:	Souder, N	/liller & As	sociate	es							
Project:	Atha New	/									
Sample ID	LCS-30399	SampTy	vpe: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:			ID: 30			RunNo: 4			g	: ga	
Prep Date:	2/27/2017	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	/28/2017	S	SeqNo: 1	285372	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
,	Organics (DRO)	49	10	50.00	0	98.2	63.8	116	/		
Surr: DNOP		4.7		5.000		93.9	70	130			
Sample ID	MB-30399	SampTy	pe: MB	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	esel Range	e Organics	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 30	399	F	RunNo: 4	1033				
Prep Date:	2/27/2017	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	/28/2017	S	SeqNo: 1	285373	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
-	Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP		11		10.00		108	70	130			
Sample ID	LCS-30440	SampTy	pe: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Range	e Organics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 30	440	F	RunNo: 4	1070				
Prep Date:	2/28/2017	Analysis Da	ate: 3/	/1/2017	5	SeqNo: 1	286611	Units: %Re	c		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP		4.9		5.000		98.6	70	130			
Sample ID	MB-30440	SampTy	pe: MB	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Range	e Organics	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 30	440	F	RunNo: 4	1070				
Prep Date:	2/28/2017	Analysis Da	ate: 3/	/1/2017	S	SeqNo: 1	286612	Units: %Re	с		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP		11		10.00		106	70	130			
Sample ID	1702A46-003AMS	SampTy	/pe: <b>M</b> \$	S	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Range	e Organics	
Client ID:	D2-30	Batch	ID: 30	399	F	RunNo: 4	1070		-	-	
Prep Date:	2/27/2017	Analysis Da	ate: 3/	/1/2017	5	SeqNo: 1	286835	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
•	Organics (DRO)	53	9.9	49.46	3.705	98.8	51.6	130			
Surr: DNOP		4.5		4.946		91.7	70	130			
Sample ID	1702A46-003AMSI	D SampTy	/pe: <b>M</b> \$	SD	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Range	e Organics	
Client ID:	D2-30	Batch	ID: 30	399	F	RunNo: 4	1070				
Prep Date:	2/27/2017	Analysis Da	ate: 3/	/1/2017	S	SeqNo: 1	286836	Units: <b>mg/</b> #	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range ( Surr: DNOP	Organics (DRO)	50 4.3	9.8	49.07 4.907	3.705	94.8 87.9	51.6 70	130 130	4.65 0	20 0	

#### **Qualifiers:**

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 5 of 7

Client: Souder, Project: Atha Ne	Miller & A ew	ssociate	es							
Sample ID MB-30385	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 30	385	F	RunNo: 4	1013				
Prep Date: 2/24/2017	Analysis D	ate: 2/	27/2017	S	SeqNo: 1	284702	Units: <b>mg/k</b>	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	830		1000		82.5	54	150			
Sample ID LCS-30385	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: 30	385	F	RunNo: 4	1013				
Prep Date: 2/24/2017	Analysis D	ate: 2/	27/2017	5	SeqNo: 1	284703	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	28	5.0	25.00	0	112	76.4	125			
Surr: BFB	1000		1000		103	54	150			

#### **Qualifiers:**

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 6 of 7

Souder, Miller & Associates

Result

ND

ND

ND

ND

SampType: MBLK

Batch ID: 30385 Analysis Date: 2/27/2017

PQL

0.025

0.050

0.050

0.10

SPK valu

Atha New

at	ory, Inc.						02-Mar-17
	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Volat	tiles		
		RunNo: 4					
	S	SeqNo: 1	284760	Units: mg/K	(g		
ue	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
00		89.0	80	120			

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.89		1.000		89.0	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-30385	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	h ID: 30	385	F	RunNo: 4	1013				
Prep Date: 2/24/2017	Analysis D	Date: 2/	27/2017	S	SeqNo: 1	284761	Units: <b>mg/ł</b>	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.96	0.025	1.000	0	96.5	75.2	115			
Toluene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	99.4	80.7	112			
Ethylbenzene	0.98	0.050	1.000	0	98.3	78.9	117			
Xylenes, Total	3.0	0.10	3.000	0	100	79.2	115			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.84		1.000		84.4	80	120			
Sample ID 1702A46-003AMS	SampT	уре: МS	3	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID: D2-30	Batch	h ID: 30	385	F	RunNo: 4	1013				
Prep Date: 2/24/2017	Analysis D	Date: 2/	27/2017	5	SeqNo: 1	284763	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.78	0.023	0.9328	0	83.9	61.5	138			

Toluene	0.82	0.047	0.9328	0.005587	87.3	71.4	127			
Ethylbenzene	0.86	0.047	0.9328	0.009125	91.0	70.9	132			
Xylenes, Total	2.7	0.093	2.799	0	95.1	76.2	123			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.95		0.9328		102	80	120			
Sample ID 1702A46-003AM	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles					
Client ID: D2-30	Batc	h ID: 30	385	F	RunNo: 4	1013				
Prep Date: 2/24/2017	Analysis [	Date: 2/	27/2017	5	SeqNo: 1	284764	Units: <b>mg/</b>	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.85	0.024	0.9634	0	88.6	61.5	138	8.60	20	
Toluene	0.90	0.048	0.9634	0.005587	92.8	71.4	127	9.27	20	

Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPD
Benzene	0.85	0.024	0.9634	0	88.6	61.5	138	8.60	
Toluene	0.90	0.048	0.9634	0.005587	92.8	71.4	127	9.27	
Ethylbenzene	0.93	0.048	0.9634	0.009125	95.9	70.9	132	8.34	
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.096	2.890	0	99.8	76.2	123	8.06	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.95		0.9634		98.8	80	120	0	

#### **Qualifiers:**

**Client:** 

**Project:** 

Client ID:

Analyte

Benzene

Toluene

Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total

Sample ID MB-30385

Prep Date: 2/24/2017

PBS

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

20

20

0

Page 7 of 7

### HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

### Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: SMA-CARLSBAD Work Order Num		1702	A.46		112500	RoptNo: 1
Received by/date: LM	02123117	7				
Logged By: And Jansso Completed By: And Janss Reviewed By:						
Chain of Custody						
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottle	es?	Yes	11	No	1.1	Not Present 🗸
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes	~	No	1.11	Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?						
<u>Log In</u>						
4. Was an attempt made to cool the sa	mples?	Yes	~	No		NA
5. Were all samples received at a temp	erature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes	~	No	1	NA
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes	~	No		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated	d test(s)?	Yes	~	No		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG)	properly preserved?	Yes	~	No	1	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes	1.1	No	~	NA
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes	0	No		No VOA Vials 🗸
11. Were any sample containers received	d broken?	Yes		No	~	# of preserved
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custo	d-0	Yes	~	No	T	bottles checked for pH:
3. Are matrices correctly identified on Ch		Yes	~	Na		(<2 or >12 unless noted) Adjusted?
14. Is it clear what analyses were request		Yes	~	No		
<ol> <li>Were all holding times able to be met (If no, notify customer for authorization)</li> </ol>	?	Yes	~	No		Checked by:

### Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?		Yes	N	• •	NA
Person Notified: By Whom:	Date: Via:		Phone	Fax	In Person
Regarding: Client Instructions:					

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

## APPENDIX B FORM C141 INITIAL

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. S

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Santa Fe,	NM 87505
<b>Release Notification</b>	and Corrective Action

	OPERATOR	Initial Report	Final Repor
Name of Company Key Energy Services, LLC	Contact Maren Coligan		
Address 1301 McKinney Street, Suite 1800, Houston, TX 77010	Telephone No. 713-651-4825		
Facility Name Atha SWD	Facility Type SWD		

Surface Owner Dasco & McCasland Mineral Owner Dasco & McCasland

API No. 30-025-08816

### **LOCATION OF RELEASE**

Unit Letter C	Section 6	Township 22S	Range 36E	Feet from the 660'	North/South Line North Line	Feet from the 990'	East/West Line West Line	County Lea	

Latitude\_32<sup>0</sup>\_25'\_47.8" N\_\_\_Longitude\_130<sup>0</sup>\_18'\_36.9' W\_

#### NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release Produced Water	Volume of Release 50-60 bbl. Volume Recovered 0 bbl.
Source of Release: Flowline to the injection wells	Date and Hour of Occurrence         Date and Hour of Discovery
	12/26/2016 - 11:00am 12/26/2016 - 1:30pm
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?
🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🔲 Not Required	Maxey Brown
By Whom? Maren Coligan	Date and Hour: 12/26/2016 - 4:28pm
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.
Yes No	n/a
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*	
	RECEIVED
Not applicable.	
	By Olivia Yu at 11:29 am, Jan 09, 2017
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*	
A low discharge pressure alarm was received from the automation	system. Attendant was dispatched to check the wells and spotted the
flow line leak. The wells and pump were immediately shut in. Dist	posal supervisor estimated a loss of approximately 50 to 60 BBLS. A
crew and equipment was called out to expose the line and start rep	airs.
Describe Asso Affred 1 - 1 Cl Asia Talant	
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*	
the pasture. Equipment was dispatched to the location and was waiting on	d north of the tank battery. Produced water ran approximately 150ft south into a one call clearance to start removing contaminated soils and replace broken
flowline.	i one can creatance to start removing contaminated sons and replace broken
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the	he best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C 141 report by the	e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate	contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report do	bes not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	the operator of responsionity for compliance with any other
$\mathcal{N}$	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Signature: // /men// Coliger	$\frown$
Printed Name: Maren Coligan	Approved by Environmental Specialist:
Thited Palier Congain	
Title: Environmental Director	Approval Date: 01/09/2017 Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: mcoligan@keyenergy.com	Conditions of Approval:
1/3/17	Attached
Date: 12/29/2016 Phone: 713-651-4825	
	nOY1700941174 pOY1700941455 RP4547

**RP4547** 

#### Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on \_01/03/2017\_ regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number \_\_1R-\_4547\_ has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District \_1\_ office in \_\_Hobbs\_\_\_\_ on or before \_02/09/2017\_. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

• Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.

• Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO;  $C_6$  thru  $C_{36}$ ), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.

• Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.

• Composite sampling is not generally allowed.

• Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

•Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

• If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

• Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us

# APPENDIX C CALCULATIONS

PROJECT PAGE SUBJECT ATHA 4347 LEACHENG DATE 3/23/17 BY LCM CLIENT KEY ENERGY SOIL TYPE PHOTE FINE SAND 0.0-2.DEL Kaat 200 to 6.00 in/hr SNRCS WARE STONAGE 5.1 in PROPSIE A OTO 24" FORE SAND B+ 24+050" SAND CHARLOAN BKM B0+060" CENENTED  $LR = \frac{EC_{SW}}{EC_{SW}} = \frac{1.6}{4.01} = 0.39\%$ ELSW EL OF WARE SONSCHIJON I. (O'MS/CM (FROM FEBUD TEST) ELDIN SOIL EL AVE ON SITE (FROM FRID DATA) 2.00 a/n X 0.39% + 1 = 2.78 acre/m 2.78 acre/n 27,134 gal/acre/n = 75,488 gal 75,488gal/are X.29 marca never/aire =21,821 gal ( WATUR NEEDED TO TAKE SOIL DU ROOM EC DE 4 TO AN EC DE 1.6

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES Serving - New Mexico • Colorado • Arizona • Utah

SUBJECT ATHA 4347 RASN LEACHENE PROJECT , PAGE 2/2 DATE 3 23/17 BY LCA CLIENT RARN FALL DATA FROM NOAA GON OPEN FALL EVENTS OVER O. 5 Mchs per your ( 10 SNULL AVE 7 EVENTS = 1.4 mch per event LR = EKEN O.I (NOAD UDDER LIMIT) 1.6 SDIL AFTOR INFAL FUSA 10=0.0690 1.4- (0.4 aure/min × 0.0690) = 1.30 zere/min 5. MATOR STORIGOE SN AV SOJE Minches = 0.25% 1, 30 mch E. Linch 1.6 - (1.6 × 0.25% ELda = 1.6 And Friends # 1 ECdw = 1.2 #2 ECdw = 0,9 \$3 ELdw = 0.67 CONVERT EC. TO ADM CIT METHOD 300 SMA EC METHOD V=1411.7(x)-132.51 Y= 1411.7 (0.67) - 132.5 V = (02)632 ppmC

SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES Serving - New Mexico • Colorado • Arizona • Utah