SITE INFORMATION

	Re	port Type:	Closure Re	port	1RP-4783		
General Site Info				•			
Site:		Lusk Deep Un	it A #22H				
Company:		COG Operatin					
Section, Townsh	ip and Range	Unit C	Sec. 17	T 19S	R 32E		
Lease Number:	, ,	API No. 30-025	-40705				
County:		Lea County					
GPS:			32.6668205° N		103.7912445º W		
Surface Owner:		Federal					
Mineral Owner:							
Directions:		From intersectior side of the road.	n of 126A & Dry Lak	e Rd travel	EAST on Dry Lake for 0.25 mi to location on south		
Release Data:							
Date Released:		11/29/2016					
Type Release:		Produced Wate	er				
Source of Contamination:		Water Line					
Fluid Released:		12 bbls					
Fluids Recovered:		6 bbls					
Official Commun	ication:						
Name:	Rebecca Haskell				Ike Tavarez		
Company:	COG Operating, LL	С			Tetra Tech		
Address:	One Concho Center				4000 N. Big Spring		
	600 W. Illinois Ave.				Ste 401		
City:	Midland Texas, 797	01			Midland, Texas		
Phone number:		01					
	(432) 686-3023				(432) 687-8110		
Fax:	(432) 684-7137						
Email:	rhaskell@conchor	esources.com			Ike.Tavarez@tetratech.com		
Ranking Criteria							
Depth to Groundwa <50 ft	aler:		Ranking Score 20		Site Data		
<50 n 50-99 ft			10				
>100 ft.			0		345'		
- 100 10			U				
WellHead Protection	on:		Ranking Score		Site Data		
	00 ft., Private <200 ft		20				
,	00 ft., Private >200 ft		0		0		
Surface Body of W	ater:		Ranking Score		Site Data		
<200 ft.			20				
200 ft - 1,000 ft. >1,000 ft.			10 0		0		
~1,000 II.			U		U		
Ta	tal Ranking Score		0				
10	a nanking score	•	U				
		Acceptat	ole Soil RRAL (m	g/kg)			

Acceptab	le Soil RRAL (m	g/kg)
Benzene	Total BTEX	TPH
10	50	5,000



APPROVED By Olivia Yu at 11:40 am, Sep 12, 2018

April 5, 2018

NMOCD approves 1RP-4783 for closure.

Ms. Olivia Yu Environmental Engineer Specialist Oil Conservation Division, District 1 1625 North French Drive Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Re: Closure Report for the COG Operating LLC., Lusk Deep Unit A #19, Unit N, Section 17, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico. 1RP-4783.

Ms. Yu:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) was contacted by COG Operating LLC., (COG) to assess a release that occurred at the Lusk Deep Unit A #22H, Unit C, Section 17, Township 19 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico (Site). The spill site coordinates are N 32.6668205°, W 103.7912445°. The site location is shown on Figures 1 and 2.

Background

According to the State of New Mexico C-141 Initial Report, the leak was discovered on November 29, 2016, and released approximately twelve (12) barrels of produced water due to a pinhole leak that developed in a poly water transfer line. Approximately six (6) barrels of produced water was recovered. The release occurred in the pasture and measured approximately 20' x 55'. The initial C-141 Form is included in Appendix A.

Groundwater

No water wells were listed within Section 17 on the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer's database, the USGS National Water Information System or the Geology and Groundwater Conditions in Southern Lea County (Report 6). The nearest well is located in Section 20 with a reported depth to water of approximately 345' below surface. According to the Chevron Texaco Groundwater Trend map, the average depth to groundwater in this area is greater than 300' below surface. The groundwater data is shown in Appendix B.

Regulatory

A risk-based evaluation was performed for the Site in accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases, dated August 13, 1993. The guidelines require a risk-based evaluation of the site to determine recommended remedial action levels (RRAL) for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylene



(collectively referred to as BTEX) and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) in soil. The proposed RRAL for benzene was determined to be 10 parts per million (ppm) or milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and 50 ppm for total BTEX (sum of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene). Based upon the depth to groundwater, the proposed RRAL for TPH is 5,000 mg/kg.

Soil Assessment and Analytical Results

On February 22, 2017, COG personnel were onsite to evaluate and sample the release area. Using a backhoe, one (1) trench (T-1) was installed in the release area. Selected samples were analyzed for TPH analysis by EPA method 8015 modified, BTEX by EPA Method 8021B and chloride by EPA method 300.0. Copies of laboratory analysis and chain-of-custody documentation are included in Appendix C. The results of the sampling are summarized in Table 1. The trench location is shown on Figure 3.

Referring to Table 1, the benzene, total BTEX, and TPH concentrations were below the laboratory reporting limits. However, the area of trench (T-1) showed elevated chloride concentrations to the shallow soils with a chloride high of 7,060 mg/kg at 2.0' below surface. The chloride concentrations then declined with depth to 560 mg/kg at 4.0' below surface. A slight chloride increase was detected at 7.0' (704 mg/kg) and 8.0' (688 mg/kg), before declining to 368 mg/kg at 9.0' below surface. Deeper samples were not collected due to the backhoe limitations.

Remediation Activities

On February 20-22, 2018, Tetra Tech personnel were onsite to supervise the excavation and remediation activities. The excavated areas and depths are shown on Figure 4 and highlighted (green) in Table 1. The area of trench (T-1) was excavated to 4.0' to 5.0' below surface and measured approximately 25' x 41'. As requested by the NMOCD, bottom hole and sidewall samples (Bottom hole, North Sidewall, South Sidewall, West Sidewall, and East Sidewall) were collected to confirm the proper removal of the impacted soils. The confirmation samples were submitted to the laboratory for chloride analysis by EPA method 300.0. Copies of laboratory analysis and chain-of-custody documentation are included in Appendix C. The results of the sampling are summarized in Table 1. The sample locations are shown on Figure 4.

Referring to Table 1, the sidewall samples (North Sidewall, South Sidewall, West Sidewall, and East Sidewall) showed chloride concentrations of 70.6 mg/kg, 145 mg/kg, 151 mg/kg, and <4.99 mg/kg, respectively. However, the confirmation sample (Bottom hole) showed a chloride concentration of 8,120 mg/kg at 4.0'-5.0' below surface. As requested by the NMOCD, a 20 mil-liner was installed at 4.0'-5.0' below surface in order to prevent further vertical migration. Once completed, the excavated area was backfilled with clean material to surface grade. Approximately 200 cubic yards of material was hauled to proper disposal.



Conclusion

Based on the soil assessment and remediation work performed at the site, COG requests closure of this spill. The final C-141 is enclosed in Appendix A. If you have any questions or comments concerning the assessment or the remediation activities for this site, please call me at (432) 682-4559.

Respectfully submitted, TETRA TECH

Clair Clongalos

Clair Gonzales, Project Manager

My The

Ike Tavarez, Senior Project Manager, P.G.

cc: Robert McNeill – COG Dakota Neel – COG Rebecca Haskell – COG Shelly Tucker – BLM Henryetta Price - BLM

Figures





Mapped By: Isabel Marmolejo



Mapped By: Isabel Marmolejo



Tables

Table 1 COG Operating LLC. Lusk Deep Unit A #22H Lea County, New Mexico

I.	Sample	Sample	DED ((1)	Soil	Status	٦	ГРН (mg/k	g)	Benzene	Toluene	Ethlybenzene	Xylene	Total BTEX	Chloride (mg/kg)
Sample ID	Date	Depth (ft)	BEB (ft)	In-Situ	Removed	GRO	DRO	Total	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	
T-1	2/22/2017	Surface	-		Х	<10.0	<10.0	<10.0	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.150	<0.300	3,000
	"	1	-		Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,040
	"	2	-		Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,060
	"	3	-		Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,600
	"	4	-		Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	560
	"	5	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	384
	"	6	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	320
	"	7	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	704
	"	8	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	688
	"	9	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	368
Bottomhole	2/20/2018	-	4-5	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,120
North Sidewall	2/20/2018	-	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70.6
South Sidewall	2/20/2018	-	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	145
West Sidewall	2/20/2018	-	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	151
East Sidewall	2/20/2018	-	-	Х		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<4.99

(-)

BEB

Not Analyzed

Excavation Depths

Below Excavation Bottom

Liner Depth

Photos

COG Operating LLC Lusk Deep Unit A #22H Lea County, New Mexico



View East - Excavated Area



View East - Lined Excavation

COG Operating LLC Lusk Deep Unit A #22H Lea County, New Mexico



View Northeast - Backfilled Excavation



View North - Backfilled Excavation

Appendix A

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19,15,29 NMAC.

1220 S. St. Fran	cis Dr., Sana	1 FC, INIM 8 (303	·	Sa	nta Fe	e, NM 875	05				
			Rel	ease Notific	atior	n and Co	orrective A	ction	1		
						OPERA	FOR		🛛 Initia	Report	Final Report
Name of Co			5 Operat			Contact: Robert			bert McNe	ill	
Address:				dland TX 79701		Telephone N			2-683-7443	}	
Facility Nat	ne: Lusk I	Deep Unit A	#22H			Facility Typ	e: Flow Line	:			
Surface Ow	ner:	Federal		Mineral O	wner:				API No.	30-025	-40705
				LOCA	TIO	N OF RE	LEASE				
Unit Letter C	Section 17	Township 19S	Range 32E	Feet from the 330		/South Line North	Fect from the 1770		Vest Line Vest		County Lea
				Latitude 32.6	668205	Longitue	le 103.7912445	5			
				NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE				
Type of Release: Produced Water						Volume of			Volume R	ecovered: 6bb	10
Source of Re	lease:	11000000	matel			Date and F	lour of Occurrenc	C,	Date and H	lour of Dise	
Water Line						per 29, 2016 3:45	pm			2016 3:45 pm	
Was Immediate Notice Given?						If YES, To	Whom?				
		By Wh	om?		-	Date and Hour:					
Was a Watercourse Reached?							olume Impacting t	he Wate	ercourse.		
If a Watarnov		pacted, Descr									
	uise was in	ipacieu, Desci	loe Fully.								
Describe Cau	ise of Probl	em and Reme	dial Actio	n laken.*							
A pinhole de	veloped in	the transition	on a poly	water transfer line	. The tra	unsition was r	epaired.				
		and Cleanup /					-				
				ave the spill area s				ct from	the release a	and we will	present a
				oval prior to any si					- 1 -1		000
				e is true and comp nd/or file certain r							
public health	or the envi	ronment. The	acceptan	ce of a C-141 repo	ort by the	e NMOCD m	arked as "Final R	eport" d	loes not relie	eve the oper	rator of liability
should their	operations l	nave failed to	adequately	/ investigate and r	emediat	e contaminati	on that pose a thr	eat to g	round water,	surface wa	ter, human health
		iddition, NMC ws and/or regi		ptance of a C-141	report d	oes not reliev	e the operator of	respons	ibility for co	mpliance w	ith any other
Signature:	$n \wedge$		hell			OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION					
Printed Nam	e:	Rebec	ca Haskel	l		Approved by	Environmental S	pecialis I	t:		<u>e</u>
Title:	S	enior HSE Co	ordinator			Approval Da	te:		Expiration I	Date:	
E-mail Addr	ess:	rhaskell@	veoncho.c	om		Conditions o	f Approval:			Attached	
Date: 12/2	2/16	Phone	: 432-	683-7443						Attached	
Attach Addi	itional She	ets If Necess									

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

API No. 30-025-40705

Release Notification and Corrective Action

	OPERATOR	Initial Report	Final Report
Name of Company COG Operating LLC	Contact Rebecca Haskell		
Address 600 West Illinois Ave, Midland, TX 79701	Telephone No. (432) 818-2372		
Facility Name Lusk Deep Unit A #22H	Facility Type Flowline		

Surface Owner: Federal

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Mineral Owner

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
С	17	19S	32E	330	North	1770	West	Lea

Latitude N 32.6668205° Longitude W 103.7912445°

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release: Produced Water	Volume of Release 12 bbls	Volume Recovered 6 bbls			
Source of Release: Water line	Date and Hour of Occurrence Date and Hour of Discovery				
	11/29/16 3:45pm	11/29/16 3:45pm			
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?				
🗌 Yes 🛛 No 🖾 Not Required					
By Whom?	Date and Hour				
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Water	rcourse.			
🗌 Yes 🖾 No	N/A				
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*					
	APPROVED				
N/A					
	By Olivia Yu at 11:	:41 am, Sep 12, 2018			
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*	(-				
A pinhole leak developed in the transition on a poly water transfer line, wh	ich has been repaired. The release occ	curred in the pasture.			
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*					
Desende Area Antered and Creanup Action Taken.					
Tetra Tech inspected site and collected samples to define spills extent. The	area was excavated to 4'-5' to remove	elevated chloride concentrations and a			
liner was installed at 4'-5' below surface. Site was then brought up to surface.					
submitted to NMOCD for review.	6	r r r			
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the					
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release no					
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the					
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate					
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report do	bes not relieve the operator of responsib	bility for compliance with any other			
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.					
A.S.	OIL CONSERVA	ATION DIVISION			
Signature:					
8					
Printed Name: Ike Tavarez	Approved by District Supervisor:				
Title: Project Manager	Approval Date: 9/12/2018 E	xpiration Date: XX/XX/XXXX			
E-mail Address: Ike.Tavarez@TetraTech.com	Conditions of Approval:				
le l		Attached			
Date: 04/05/2018 Phone: (432) 682-4559	BLM approval				

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary



Appendix B

Water Well Data Average Depth to Groundwater (ft) COG - Lusk Deep Unit A #22H

	18 S	outh	31	East	:	
6	5	4	3	2	1	
7	8	9	10	11	12 400	
18	17	16	15 <mark>98</mark>	14 317	13	
19	20	21	22	23	24	
30	29	28	27	26	25	
31	32	33	34	35 261	36	
	19 S	outh	31	East		_
6	5 SITE	4	3	2	1	
7	8	9	10	11	12	
18	17	16	15	14	13	
19 190	20	21	22	23	24	1

	18 So	-	East		
6	5	4 65	3	2	1
7 460 82	8	9	10	11	12
18	17	16 <mark>84</mark>	15	14	13
19	20 1 64	21	22 429	23	24
30	29	28	27	26	25
31	32	33	34 117	35	36

32 East

19 South

	18 So	outh	33	East	
6	5	4	3	2	1
			60		
7	8 100	9	10	11	12 143
			62	46	140
18	17	16	15	14	13
	85			36	60
19	20	21	22	23	24
>140					195
30	29	28	27	26	25
35					
31	32	33	34	35	36
		177			

	19 Sc	outh	33	East	
6	5	4	3	2	1
7	8	9	10	11	12
18 340	17 116	16	15	14	13
19	20	21	22	23	24
30	29	28 130 dry	27	26 92 85	25
31	32 185	33	34	35	36

	20 Sc	outh	33	East			
6	5 325 278	4	3	2	1		
7	8	9	10	11	12		
18	17	16	15	14	13		
19	20	21	22	23	24 +300		
30	29	28	27	26	25		
31	32	33	34	35	36		

	19 S	outh	3	t	
6	5	4	3	2	1
	SITE				
7	8	9	10	11	12
18	17	16	15	14	13
19 180	20	21	22	23	24
30	29	28 1 80	27	26	25
31	32	33 101	34	35	36
		140			130

	20 So	outh	31	31 East				
6	5	4	3	2	1			
7	8	9	10 130	11	12			
18	17	16	15	14	13			
19	20	21	22	23	24			
30	29	28	27	26	25			
31	32	33	34	35	36 <mark>80</mark>			

	20 \$	South	3	t	
6	5	4	3	2	1
					21.8
7	8	9	10	11	12
18	17	16	15	14	13
	17	10	15	14	15
89					
19	20	21	22	23	24
30	29	28	27	26	25
9.9			12.3		
31	32	33	34	35	36
					46

88 New Mexico State Engineers Well Reports

105 USGS Well Reports

90 Geology and Groundwater Conditions in Southern Lea, County, NM (Report 6) Geology and Groundwater Resources of Eddy County, NM (Report 3)

34 NMOCD - Groundwater Data

121 Abandoned Waterwell (recently measured)

(A CLW##### in the POD suffix indicates the POD has been replaced & no longer serves a water right file.)	(R=POD replaced, O=orpha C=the fil closed)	ned,	(qı					E 3=SW argest)	,	3 UTM in meters)	(In feet)	
	,	POD											
POD Number	Code	Sub- basin	County	Q (Twe	Rno	х	Y	DepthWellDep		ater
<u>CP 00075</u>	0	CP	LE		4			32E	617502	3609301	575		Tunn
<u>CP 00563 POD1</u>		СР	LE	1 1	2	19	19S	32E	612118	3613376* 🌍	300		
CP 00639 POD1		СР	LE	3	1	20	19S	32E	613029	3612880* 🌍	350	345	
CP 00640 POD1		СР	LE	2	2	19	19S	32E	612621	3613280* 🌍	260	102	15
<u>CP 00812 POD1</u>		СР	LE	4	4	01	19S	32E	620623	3616973* 🌍	200		
<u>CP 01656 POD1</u>		СР	LE	3 4	3	17	19S	32E	613368	3613646 🌍	70		
										Average Depth to	Water:	223 fee	t
										Minimu	n Depth:	102 fee	t
										Maximur	n Depth:	345 fee	t
Record Count: 6													

The data is furnished by the NMOSE/ISC and is accepted by the recipient with the expressed understanding that the OSE/ISC make no warranties, expressed or implied, concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the data.

6/29/17 8:07 AM

WATER COLUMN/ AVERAGE DEPTH TO WATER

Appendix C



January 05, 2017

DAKOTA NEEL

COG OPERATING

P. O. BOX 1630

ARTESIA, NM 88210

RE: LUSK 22

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 12/29/16 12:05.

Cardinal Laboratories is accredited through Texas NELAP under certificate number T104704398-16-8. Accreditation applies to drinking water, non-potable water and solid and chemical materials. All accredited analytes are denoted by an asterisk (*). For a complete list of accredited analytes and matrices visit the TCEQ website at www.tceq.texas.gov/field/ga/lab_accred_certif.html.

Cardinal Laboratories is accreditated through the State of Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment for:

Method EPA 552.2	Haloacetic Acids (HAA-5)
Method EPA 524.2	Total Trihalomethanes (TTHM)
Method EPA 524.4	Regulated VOCs (V1, V2, V3)

Accreditation applies to public drinking water matrices.

This report meets NELAP requirements and is made up of a cover page, analytical results, and a copy of the original chain-of-custody. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Celey D. Keine

Celey D. Keene Lab Director/Quality Manager



Analytical Results For:

COG OPERATING DAKOTA NEEL P. O. BOX 1630 ARTESIA NM, 88210 Fax To: NONE

Received:	12/29/2016	Sampling Date:	12/19/2016
Reported:	01/05/2017	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	LUSK 22	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Angela Cabrera
Project Location:	NOT GIVEN		

Sample ID: T1 - SURFACE (H602891-01)

BTEX 8021B	mg/kg		Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifie
Benzene*	<0.050	0.050	12/30/2016	ND	1.82	91.1	2.00	2.19	
Toluene*	<0.050	0.050	12/30/2016	ND	1.85	92.3	2.00	2.27	
Ethylbenzene*	<0.050	0.050	12/30/2016	ND	1.90	95.0	2.00	2.67	
Total Xylenes*	<0.150	0.150	12/30/2016	ND	5.54	92.3	6.00	2.61	
Total BTEX	<0.300	0.300	12/30/2016	ND					
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene (PID	102 %	6 73.6-14	0						
Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/	kg	Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	3000	16.0	12/31/2016	ND	416	104	400	0.00	
TPH 8015M	mg/	kg	Analyze	d By: MS					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
GRO C6-C10	<10.0	10.0	12/30/2016	ND	184	92.0	200	3.90	
DRO >C10-C28	<10.0	10.0	12/30/2016	ND	190	95.0	200	1.29	
Surrogate: 1-Chlorooctane	101 %	6 35-147							
	112 %	6 28-171							

Sample ID: T1 - 1' (H602891-02)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/kg		Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	5040	16.0	12/31/2016	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatscever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including whoto limitation, business interruptors, loss of growths incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successor arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celez D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Analytical Results For:

		COG OPER	ATING		
		DAKOTA N	EEL		
		P. O. BOX	1630		
		ARTESIA N	IM, 88210		
		Fax To:	NONE		
Received:	12/29/2016			Sampling Date:	12/19/2016
Reported:	01/05/2017			Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	LUSK 22			Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN			Sample Received By:	Angela Cabrera
Project Location:	NOT GIVEN				

Sample ID: T1 - 2' (H602891-03)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg/kg		Analyzed By: AC						
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	7060	16.0	12/31/2016	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Sample ID: T1 - 3' (H602891-04)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	B mg/kg		Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	5600	16.0	12/31/2016	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Sample ID: T1 - 4' (H602891-05)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	560	16.0	12/31/2016	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Sample ID: T1 - 5' (H602891-06)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	384	16.0	12/31/2016	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Sample ID: T1 - 6' (H602891-07)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	320	16.0	01/03/2017	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatsoever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including, without limitation, business interruptions, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successors arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the sample identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Analytical Results For:

COG OPER	RATING
DAKOTA N	IEEL
P. O. BOX	1630
ARTESIA M	NM, 88210
Fax To:	NONE

Received:	12/29/2016	Sampling Date:	12/19/2016
Reported:	01/05/2017	Sampling Type:	Soil
Project Name:	LUSK 22	Sampling Condition:	Cool & Intact
Project Number:	NONE GIVEN	Sample Received By:	Angela Cabrera
Project Location:	NOT GIVEN		

Sample ID: T1 - 7' (H602891-08)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	704	16.0	01/03/2017	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Sample ID: T1 - 8' (H602891-09)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	688	16.0	01/03/2017	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Sample ID: T1 - 9' (H602891-10)

Chloride, SM4500Cl-B	mg	/kg	Analyze	d By: AC					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Analyzed	Method Blank	BS	% Recovery	True Value QC	RPD	Qualifier
Chloride	368	16.0	01/03/2017	ND	416	104	400	0.00	

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any claim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatscever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including whoto limitation, business interruptors, loss of growths incurred by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successor arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager



Notes and Definitions

QM-07	The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on acceptable LCS recovery.
ND	Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
**	Samples not received at proper temperature of 6°C or below.
***	Insufficient time to reach temperature.
-	Chloride by SM4500Cl-B does not require samples be received at or below 6°C
	Samples reported on an as received basis (wet) unless otherwise noted on report

Cardinal Laboratories

*=Accredited Analyte

PLEASE NOTE: Liability and Damages. Cardinal's liability and client's exclusive remedy for any daim arising, whether based in contract or tort, shall be limited to the amount paid by client for analyses. All claims, including those for negligence and any other cause whatscever shall be deemed waived unless made in writing and received by Cardinal within thirty (30) days after completion of the applicable service. In no event shall Cardinal be liable for incidental or consequential damages, including whose shall be instrumed by client, its subsidiaries, affiliates or successor arising out of or related to the performance of the services hereunder by Cardinal, regardless of whether such claim is based upon any of the above stated reasons or otherwise. Results relate only to the samples identified above. This report shall not be reproduced except in full with written approval of Cardinal Laboratories.

Celeg D. Keine

Celey D. Keene, Lab Director/Quality Manager

		-
0000	101 East Marland, Hobbs, NM 88240 (575) 393-2326 FAX (575) 393-2476	aboratories

CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY AND ANALYSIS REQUEST

	(0/0) 333-2320 I AA (0/0)	541.0		1000000000000000			ANALVSIS RECITEST
Company Name:	COG Operating LLC		BILLIO				
Project Manager:	Dakota Neel		P.O. #:				
Address: 2208	2208 West Main		Company: COG Operating LLC	ating LLC			
Inte	State: NM	Zip 88210	Attn: Robert McNeil	Neill		_	
Phone #:	432-215-2783 Fax #:		Address: 600 W Illinois	linois			
Project #:	Project Owner:	er:	City: Midland				
ame:	LUSK 22		State: TX Zip: 79701	3	_		
Project Location:			Phone #: (432) 221-0388				
Sampler Name:	Dakota Neel & Aaron Lieb		Fax #:			_	
FOR LAB USE ONLY			PRESERV. SAMPLING	ING	_		
Lab I.D.	Sample I.D.	(G)RAB OR (C)OMI # CONTAINERS GROUNDWATER WASTEWATER SOIL OIL SLUDGE	OTHER : ACID/BASE: ICE / COOL OTHER :	TIME BTEX	трн	Chloride	
-	T1 - SURFACE		12/19/16	1:00 PM ×	×	×	
2-	•		12/19/16	1:00 PM	1	×	
10)	•		12/19/16	1:00 PM		×	
£	•		12/19/16	5 1:00 PM		×	
'n.	T1 - 4'		12/19/16	5 1:00 PM		×	
5	T1 - 5'		12/19/16	1:00 PM		×	
L	T1 - 6'		12/19/16	-	+	×	
\$			12/19/16	5 1:00 PM	1	×	
0	•		12/19/16	5 1:00 PM	1	×	
10	T1 - 9'		12/19/16	5 1:00 PM	-	×	
PLEASE NOTE: Liability an analyses. All claims includir sancice Io no event shall Ca	bility and d any of tal or c	for any claim arising whether based in contra II be deemed waived unless made in writing a luding without limitation, business interruption	act or tort, shall be limited to the amount p and received by Cardinal within 30 days a s, loss of use, or loss of profits incurred b	haid by the client for the appletion of otherwise appletion of otherwise appletion.	icable		
affiliates or successors arising out of or re Relinquished By:	g out of or related to the performance of services hereunder to Date: 12.39.1	Date: Date: ACCEIVED BY:	Fax Result REMARKS	Phone Result: Fax Result:	□ Yes	'es □ No	Add'l Phone #: Add'l Fax #:
Relinquished By:	Time: Date: Time:	Received	Q	REMARKS:		1 <u>0</u>	dneel2@concho.com rgrubbs@concho.com
Delivered By	Delivered By: (Circle One) #75	Sample Condition	t (Initials)	Please only	run dee	per horizons exceeds 50	Please only run deeper horizons for BTEX AND TPH if Benzene exceeds 10ppm, BTEX exceeds 50ppm, and TPH exceeds 5000ppm.
Sampler - UPS -	- Bus - Other:		No (117				

3. Please fax written changes to 575-393-2476

Analytical Report 577590

for Tetra Tech- Midland

Project Manager: Ike Tavarez

Lusk Deep 22H

212C-MD-00863

06-MAR-18

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-24), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-17-16), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-17-12) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-17-16) Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-14) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-17-3) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona(AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176)



06-MAR-18



Project Manager: **Ike Tavarez Tetra Tech- Midland** 4000 N. Big Spring Suite 401 Midland, TX 79705

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **577590** Lusk Deep 22H Project Address: Lea County NM

Ike Tavarez:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 577590. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 577590 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Huns hoah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



Sample Cross Reference 577590



Tetra Tech- Midland, Midland, TX

Lusk Deep 22H

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
Bottom Hole (4'-5')	S	02-20-18 12:10		577590-001
NSW-1	S	02-20-18 15:25		577590-002
SSW-1	S	02-20-18 15:30		577590-003
WSW-1	S	02-20-18 15:35		577590-004
ESW-1	S	02-20-18 15:20		577590-005



CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: Tetra Tech- Midland Project Name: Lusk Deep 22H

Project ID: 212C-MD-00863 Work Order Number(s): 577590 Report Date:06-MAR-18Date Received:02/27/2018

Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None



Ike Tavarez

Lea County NM

Contact:

Project Location:

Certificate of Analysis Summary 577590

Tetra Tech- Midland, Midland, TX Project Name: Lusk Deep 22H



Date Received in Lab:Tue Feb-27-18 01:30 pmReport Date:06-MAR-18Project Manager:Kelsey Brooks

	Lab Id:	577590-0	01	577590-0	02	577590-0	03	577590-0	04	577590-0	05	
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	Bottom Hole	(4'-5')	NSW-1		SSW-1		WSW-	1	ESW-1		
Analysis Kequeslea	Depth:											
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		
	Sampled:	Feb-20-18 1	Feb-20-18 12:10		Feb-20-18 15:25		Feb-20-18 15:30		Feb-20-18 15:35		5:20	
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1	Extracted:	Mar-01-18 17:00		Mar-01-18 17:00		Mar-01-18 17:00		Mar-01-18 17:00		Mar-01-18 17:00		
	Analyzed:	Mar-01-18 19:12		Mar-01-18 1	9:28	Mar-01-18	19:33	Mar-01-18	19:38	Mar-01-18 1	9:43	
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL	
Chloride		8120	50.0	70.6	5.00	145	4.95	151	4.98	<4.99	4.99	

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Huns Boah

Kelsey Brooks Project Manager



Flagging Criteria



- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- ** Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit

MDL Method Detection Limit	SDL Sample Detection Limit	LOD Limit of Detection
PQL Practical Quantitation Limit	MQL Method Quantitation Limit	LOQ Limit of Quantitation

- **DL** Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable
- + NELAC certification not offered for this compound.
- * (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Midland/Odessa - Tampa/Lakeland - Phoenix - Latin America

	Phone	гах
4147 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, TX 77477	(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220	(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238	(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335
1211 W Florida Ave, Midland, TX 79701	(432) 563-1800	(432) 563-1713
2525 W. Huntington Dr Suite 102, Tempe AZ 85282	(602) 437-0330	



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Lusk Deep 22H

Work Order #: 577590 Project ID: 212C-MD-00863												
Analyst: OJS	D	ate Prepar	ed: 03/01/201	Date Analyzed: 03/01/2018								
Lab Batch ID: 3042855 Sample: 7640021-1-	Matrix: Solid											
Units: mg/kg		BLAN	K/BLANK	SPIKE / I	BLANK	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOVI	ERY STUI	DY		
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag	
Analytes		[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]					
Chloride	<5.00	250	274	110	250	274	110	0	90-110	20		

Relative Percent Difference RPD = $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$ Blank Spike Recovery [D] = $100^{*}(C)/[B]$ Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = $100^{*}(F)/[E]$ All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries

Project Name: Lusk Deep 22H



Work Order # :	577590						Project II): 212C-1	MD-0086	3					
Lab Batch ID:	3042855	QC- Sample ID:	577565	-009 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	x: Soil							
Date Analyzed:	03/01/2018	Date Prepared:	Date Prepared:03/01/2018Analyst:OJS												
Reporting Units:	mg/kg	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY													
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1		Parent Sample Result	Spike Added	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R	Spike Added	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag			
	Analytes	[A]	[B]	[0]	[D]	[E]	Kesun [F]	[G]	/0		/0KI D				
Chloride		<4.91	246	266	108	246	270	110	1	90-110	20				
Lab Batch ID:	3042855	QC- Sample ID:	577595	-002 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	x: Soil							
Date Analyzed:	03/01/2018	Date Prepared:	Date Prepared:03/01/2018Analyst:OJS												
Reporting Units:	mg/kg		N	IATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY					
Inorganic Anions by EPA 300/300.1		Parent Sample Result	Spike Added	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R	Spike Added	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag			
	Analytes		[B]	[0]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]							
Chloride		<5.00	250	267	107	250	269	108	1	90-110	20				

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/BRelative Percent Difference RPD = 200*|(C-F)/(C+F)| Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

	meninquisned by:	aling ished by	Relinquished by:	Relinquished by:					5 0	0	ZC	(LAB USE)	LAB #			Receiving Laboratory: Comments:		state) Invoice to:	Project Location:	Project Name:	Client Name:
	Date: Time:		- lint have the 13:30	Date: Time:				ESW-1	19W1 4	SSW-1	NSM-1		SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			ory: Xenco		Lea Coutny NM	(county,	cua	Tetra Tech, Inc.
ORIGINAL COPY	Received by:	neceived by:		Received by	T		2/20/2018	2/20/2018	2/20/2018	2/20/2018	2/20/2018	DATE	YEAR:	SAMPLING		Sampler Signature:		noject#:	Project #		Site Mananer
OPY		5	La.				15:20	15:35	15:30	15:25	12:10	TIME		ING		ure:					
			2				×	×	×	×	×	WATER SOIL		MATRIX		Clint		2120		Ike Tavarez	4001 F
	Date:	Date:	27	Pater.								HCL				Clint Merritt		C-MD		arez	N. Big Sp Midland Tel (432) ax (432)
	Time:	Time:	10				×	×	×	×	×	HNO ₃ ICE	-	PRESERVATI		#		212C-MD-00863			4000 N. Big Spring Street, Ste 401 Midland,Texas 79705 Tel (432) 682-4559 Fax (432) 682-3946
			17.7	Ħ						_) # CONTA		VE				a			, Ste
		9	5	H	+		-	-	-	-	-	FILTERED	-	-							
(Circle		Samp	LA									BTEX 802	1B	BTEX		-	1	_		-	
(Circle) HA		Sample Temperature	LAB USE ONLY				-		+	-	_	TPH TX10 TPH 8015				RO - MR	0)	_			
QH		peratu	EON			_					1	PAH 8270	С								
-:(0-		e	the second se									Fotal Metal						-	Circle or		5
Temp: 4 8 CF:(0-6: -0.2°C) (6-23: +0.2°C	ПГ		REMARKS:	\vdash	++	-	$\left \right $	-	-	-	_	CLP Volat	_	tiloo					_ e o	AN)
D.20	Rus Spec	RUSH: Same Day	ARKS									RCI	VUIA	ames	-			-	- sp	A	L
	h Cha cial R	H		-	++	-	$\left \right $	-	+	-	-	C/MS Vol.	_						eci	SIS	59
Temp: 4 8 IR ID:R-8 CF:(0-6: -0.2°C) (6-23: +0.2°C) Corrected Temp: 4	eport	bame									-	CB's 8082	_		00/625				_fv	REC	0
	Autho	Day			++	-		-	-	-	_	IORM LM (Asbes				_			Specify Method	ANALYSIS REQUEST	
	Rush Charges Authorized Special Report Limits or T	24 hr					×	×>	< >	< >	_	hloride	nos)	-			-			Ŧ	
ü]Rush Charges Authorized]Special Report Limits or TRRP Report	=									_		Sulfa		DS			_	No.		
:R-8	Re	48 hr						+	+	+		eneral Wa nion/Catio			stry (see	attach	ed lis	t)	- ·		
:R-8	po		1			1		-	+	-	-				-				_		
:R-8	port	72 hi	ł	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	_				-	_	_		
:R-8	рогі	72 hr		-	Ħ		-	-	+	+						_			_		



XENCO Laboratories Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In



Client: Tetra Tech- Midland Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 02/27/2018 01:30:00 PM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 577590 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist 4.6 #1 *Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 *Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 *Samples received on ice? Yes #4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A #7 *Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes #17 Subcontract of sample(s)? No #18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace? N/A

* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Date: 02/27/2018

Checklist completed by: Connie Hernandez Checklist reviewed by: Kelsey Brooks

Date: 03/01/2018