State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

	OPERATOR	Initial Report	🛛 Final Report
Name of Company McElvain Energy, Inc.	Contact: Tony Cooper		
Address 1050 17th Street Ste. 2500, Denver Colorado, 80265	Telephone No: 303-501-0004		
Facility Name: EK 30 BS2 Federal Com 1H	Facility Type: Exploration and P	roduction	

Surface Owner DOI/BLM

Mineral Owner: DOI/BLM

API No. 30-025-42701

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
Р	30	18S	34E	175	South	860	East	Lea
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Latitude_____32.71194167 _____Longitude_____-103.59384444 _____ NAD83

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release Crude oil	Volume of Release 25 bbls	Volume Recovered 5-10 bbls
Source of Release: Vapor recovery unit / VRT	Date and Hour of Occurrence	Date and Hour of Discovery
	4/12/2018 5:15am	4/12/2018 6:50 am
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?	
🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Not	Ms. Tucker/BLM/CFO, Ms. Lu, N	MOCD Hobbs, Wayne Smith BLM/Lessee
Required		· · ·
By Whom? Tony Cooper (BLM, OCD) Brian Odell (Mr. Smith)	Date and Hour: 4/20/2018 9:00a	m-11:00am
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Wa	tercourse:
🗌 Yes 🖾 No	N/A	
		VED
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*		
N/A	By Olivia	Yu at 2:32 pm, Oct 19, 2018
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party cr	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party or before manually gauging it. The valve was never reopened after the gaugir	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT)
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party cr	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT)
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party or before manually gauging it. The valve was never reopened after the gaugir	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil use was the 125# relief valve on the	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT) /RU fluid scrubber. Since the oil was
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party or before manually gauging it. The valve was never reopened after the gaugir sending oil to the vapor recovery unit (VRU). The exact source of the relea	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil use was the 125# relief valve on the e lined containment area and a small	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT) /RU fluid scrubber. Since the oil was section of Federal land on the west side of
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party or before manually gauging it. The valve was never reopened after the gaugir sending oil to the vapor recovery unit (VRU). The exact source of the relea released into the air, the release coated the production equipment within the	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil use was the 125# relief valve on the e lined containment area and a small disposed of at a SWD. Production e	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT) /RU fluid scrubber. Since the oil was section of Federal land on the west side of quipment and storage tanks within the
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party cr before manually gauging it. The valve was never reopened after the gaugir sending oil to the vapor recovery unit (VRU). The exact source of the relea released into the air, the release coated the production equipment within th the pad. All free-standing oil was recovered by vacuum truck and properly	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil use was the 125# relief valve on the e lined containment area and a small disposed of at a SWD. Production e ck and disposed at a SWD. This faci	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT) /RU fluid scrubber. Since the oil was section of Federal land on the west side of quipment and storage tanks within the lity is <1 year old and the containment area
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party cr before manually gauging it. The valve was never reopened after the gaugir sending oil to the vapor recovery unit (VRU). The exact source of the relea released into the air, the release coated the production equipment within th the pad. All free-standing oil was recovered by vacuum truck and properly containment were pressure washed and the fluids recovered by vacuum truck	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil use was the 125# relief valve on the e lined containment area and a small disposed of at a SWD. Production e ck and disposed at a SWD. This faci	e on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT) /RU fluid scrubber. Since the oil was section of Federal land on the west side of quipment and storage tanks within the lity is <1 year old and the containment area
N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* A third party cr before manually gauging it. The valve was never reopened after the gaugir sending oil to the vapor recovery unit (VRU). The exact source of the relea released into the air, the release coated the production equipment within th the pad. All free-standing oil was recovered by vacuum truck and properly containment were pressure washed and the fluids recovered by vacuum truck	By Olivia ude oil hauler shut a production valv g activity was completed. Crude oil use was the 125# relief valve on the e lined containment area and a small disposed of at a SWD. Production e ck and disposed at a SWD. This faci nd is still like new, so no soil beneat	Yu at 2:32 pm, Oct 19, 2018 The on one of the crude oil storage tanks flooded the vapor recovery tower (VRT) /RU fluid scrubber. Since the oil was section of Federal land on the west side of quipment and storage tanks within the lity is <1 year old and the containment area h the liner was impacted from this release.

Heavy equipment was used to remove off-site impacted soil and vegetation and on-site impacted well pad material. LTE collected excavation confirmation soil samples from the excavation and two samples from the overspray area on May 30, 2018. Laboratory analytical results from 10 confirmation samples indicate concentrations of BTEX, TPH, and chloride are below the NMOCD site-specific remediation action levels. McElvain request no further action at this site.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Signature: Tomy (vom	AN
Printed Name: Tony Cooper	Approved by Environmental Specialist:
Title: Regulatory Coordinator	Approval Date: 10/19/2018 Expiration Date: XX/XX/XXXX
E-mail Address: $tonyc@mcelvain.com$ Date: $6 - 28 - 18$ Phone: 303-501-0004	Conditions of Approval: Attached

1RP-5019



P:\McElvain\GIS\MXD\034918003_EK 30 BS2 FEDERAL COM 1H\034918003_FIG02_SOIL ANALYTICAL_2018.mx



Project Id:034918003Contact:Adrian Baker

Project Location:

Certificate of Analysis Summary 599366

LT Environmental, Inc., Arvada, CO Project Name: EK 30 BS2 Federal Com 1H



Date Received in Lab:Tue Sep-18-18 09:09 amReport Date:27-SEP-18Project Manager:Jessica Kramer

	1					1		
	Lab Id:	599366-001		599366-00	2			
Analysis Requested	Field Id:	SS11		SS12				
Analysis Kequesieu	Depth:	6- In		6- In				
	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL				
	Sampled:	Sep-14-18 15:3	30	Sep-14-18 15	5:35			
BTEX by EPA 8021B	Extracted:	Sep-19-18 08:0	00	Sep-19-18 08	3:00			
	Analyzed:	Sep-19-18 17:0	08	Sep-19-18 15	5:29			
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL			
Benzene		<0.00202 0.0	00202	< 0.00199).00199			
Toluene		<0.00202 0.0	00202	<0.00199).00199			
Ethylbenzene		<0.00202 0.0	00202	<0.00199).00199			
m,p-Xylenes		<0.00403 0.0	00403	<0.00398	0.00398			
o-Xylene		<0.00202 0.0	00202	<0.00199	0.00199			
Total Xylenes		<0.00202 0.0	00202	<0.00199	0.00199			
Total BTEX		<0.00202 0.0	00202	<0.00199).00199			
Chloride by EPA 300	Extracted:	Sep-24-18 09:0	00	Sep-24-18 09	00:00			
	Analyzed:	Sep-24-18 14:	57	Sep-24-18 15	:20			
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL			
Chloride		<5.01	5.01	<4.97	4.97			
TPH By SW8015 Mod	Extracted:	Sep-20-18 14:0	00	Sep-20-18 14	:00			
	Analyzed:	Sep-20-18 22:4	49	Sep-20-18 23	:09			
	Units/RL:	mg/kg	RL	mg/kg	RL			
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)		<15.0	15.0	<14.9	14.9			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)		80.4	15.0	<14.9	14.9			
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)		<15.0	15.0	<14.9	14.9			
Total TPH		80.4	15.0	<14.9	14.9			

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Atlanta - Tampa - Boca Raton - Latin America - Odessa - Corpus Christi

Version: 1.%

fession kenner

Jessica Kramer Project Assistant

Analytical Report 599366

for

LT Environmental, Inc.

Project Manager: Adrian Baker

EK 30 BS2 Federal Com 1H

034918003

27-SEP-18

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave, Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-18-27), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-18-17), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-18-13) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-18-17) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-18-16) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-18-4) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Phoenix Mobile (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZM757) Xenco-Atlanta (LELAP Lab ID #04176) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429) Xenco-Lakeland: Florida (E84098)



27-SEP-18

TNI HBORATORI

Project Manager: **Adrian Baker LT Environmental, Inc.** 4600 W. 60th Avenue Arvada, CO 80003

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): **599366 EK 30 BS2 Federal Com 1H** Project Address:

Adrian Baker:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 599366. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 599366 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Jession KRAMER

Jessica Kramer Project Assistant

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies. A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America



Sample Cross Reference 599366



LT Environmental, Inc., Arvada, CO

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
SS11	S	09-14-18 15:30	6 In	599366-001
SS12	S	09-14-18 15:35	6 In	599366-002



CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: LT Environmental, Inc. Project Name: EK 30 BS2 Federal Com 1H

 Project ID:
 034918003

 Work Order Number(s):
 599366

Report Date:27-SEP-18Date Received:09/18/2018

Sample receipt non conformances and comments:

None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None

Analytical non conformances and comments: Batch: LBA-3063856 BTEX by EPA 8021B Soil samples were not received in Terracore kits and therefore were prepared by method 5030.





LT Environmental, Inc., Arvada, CO

Sample Id: Lab Sample Id	SS11 d: 599366-001		Matrix: Date Colle	Soil cted: 09.14.18 15.30					
Analytical Me Tech:	ethod: Chloride by EPA SCM	300				Prep Method: E30 % Moisture:	00P		
Analyst:	CHE		Date Prep:	09.24.18 09.00		,	t Weight		
Seq Number:	3064242								
Parameter		Cas Number	Result	RL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil	
Chloride		16887-00-6	<5.01	5.01	mg/kg	09.24.18 14.57	U	1	

Analytical Method:TPH By SW80Tech:ARMAnalyst:ARMSeq Number:3064020	15 Mod	Date Pre	p: 09.20	.18 14.00	9	Prep Method: TX 6 Moisture: Basis: We	1005P t Weight	
Parameter	Cas Number	Result	RL		Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)	PHC610	<15.0	15.0		mg/kg	09.20.18 22.49	U	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	C10C28DRO	80.4	15.0		mg/kg	09.20.18 22.49		1
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)	PHCG2835	<15.0	15.0		mg/kg	09.20.18 22.49	U	1
Total TPH	PHC635	80.4	15.0		mg/kg	09.20.18 22.49		1
Surrogate		Cas Number	% Recovery	Units	Limits	Analysis Date	Flag	
1-Chlorooctane		111-85-3	106	%	70-135	09.20.18 22.49		
o-Terphenyl		84-15-1	115	%	70-135	09.20.18 22.49		





LT Environmental, Inc., Arvada, CO

Sample Id:SS11Lab Sample Id:599366-001	Matrix: Soil Date Collected: 09.14.18 15.30	Date Received:09.18.18 09.09 Sample Depth: 6 In		
Analytical Method:BTEX by EPA 8021BTech:ALJAnalyst:ALJSeq Number:3063856	Date Prep: 09.19.18 08.00	Prep Method: SW5030B % Moisture: Basis: Wet Weight		

Parameter	Cas Number	Result	RL		Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil
Benzene	71-43-2	< 0.00202	0.00202		mg/kg	09.19.18 17.08	U	1
Toluene	108-88-3	< 0.00202	0.00202		mg/kg	09.19.18 17.08	U	1
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	< 0.00202	0.00202		mg/kg	09.19.18 17.08	U	1
m,p-Xylenes	179601-23-1	< 0.00403	0.00403		mg/kg	09.19.18 17.08	U	1
o-Xylene	95-47-6	< 0.00202	0.00202		mg/kg	09.19.18 17.08	U	1
Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	< 0.00202	0.00202		mg/kg	09.19.18 17.08	U	1
Total BTEX		< 0.00202	0.00202		mg/kg	09.19.18 17.08	U	1
Surrogate		Cas Number	% Recovery	Units	Limits	Analysis Date	Flag	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		460-00-4	110	%	70-130	09.19.18 17.08		
1,4-Difluorobenzene		540-36-3	99	%	70-130	09.19.18 17.08		





LT Environmental, Inc., Arvada, CO

Sample Id:SS12Lab Sample Id:599366-002		Matrix: Date Collecte	Soil d: 09.14.18 15.35	Date Received:09.18.18 09.09 Sample Depth: 6 In			
Analytical Method: Chloride by EPA Tech: SCM Analyst: CHE Seg Number: 3064242	300	Date Prep:	09.24.18 09.00	%	ep Method: E30 Moisture: asis: We	00P t Weight	
Parameter	Cas Number	Result R	L	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil

	Cas Number	Kesun	KL	Units	Analysis Date	riag	Dii
Chloride	16887-00-6	<4.97	4.97	mg/kg	09.24.18 15.20	U	1

Analytical Method: TPH By SW801 Tech: ARM	5 Mod					Prep Method: TX 6 Moisture:	1005P	
Analyst: ARM		Date Pre	p: 09.20.	18 14.00	E	Basis: We	t Weight	
Seq Number: 3064020								
Parameter	Cas Number	Result	RL		Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)	PHC610	<14.9	14.9		mg/kg	09.20.18 23.09	U	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	C10C28DRO	<14.9	14.9		mg/kg	09.20.18 23.09	U	1
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)	PHCG2835	<14.9	14.9		mg/kg	09.20.18 23.09	U	1
Total TPH	PHC635	<14.9	14.9		mg/kg	09.20.18 23.09	U	1
Surrogate		Cas Number	% Recovery	Units	Limits	Analysis Date	Flag	
1-Chlorooctane		111-85-3	104	%	70-135	09.20.18 23.09		
o-Terphenyl		84-15-1	109	%	70-135	09.20.18 23.09		





LT Environmental, Inc., Arvada, CO

Sample Id:SS12Lab Sample Id:599366-002	Matrix:	Soil	Date Receive	ed:09.18.18 09.09
	Date Collecte	d: 09.14.18 15.35	Sample Dept	:h: 6 In
Analytical Method:BTEX by EPA 8021BTech:ALJAnalyst:ALJSeq Number:3063856	Date Prep:	09.19.18 08.00	Prep Method % Moisture: Basis:	l: SW5030B Wet Weight

Parameter	Cas Number	Result	RL		Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil
Benzene	71-43-2	< 0.00199	0.00199		mg/kg	09.19.18 15.29	U	1
Toluene	108-88-3	< 0.00199	0.00199		mg/kg	09.19.18 15.29	U	1
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	< 0.00199	0.00199		mg/kg	09.19.18 15.29	U	1
m,p-Xylenes	179601-23-1	< 0.00398	0.00398		mg/kg	09.19.18 15.29	U	1
o-Xylene	95-47-6	< 0.00199	0.00199		mg/kg	09.19.18 15.29	U	1
Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	< 0.00199	0.00199		mg/kg	09.19.18 15.29	U	1
Total BTEX		< 0.00199	0.00199		mg/kg	09.19.18 15.29	U	1
Surrogate		Cas Number	% Recovery	Units	Limits	Analysis Date	Flag	
4-Bromofluorobenzene		460-00-4	104	%	70-130	09.19.18 15.29		
1,4-Difluorobenzene		540-36-3	99	%	70-130	09.19.18 15.29		



Flagging Criteria



- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- **E** The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- **F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- ** Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection LimitSDLSample Detection LimitLOD Limit of Detection
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- DL Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	S Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labor	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

+ NELAC certification not offered for this compound.

* (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



QC Summary 599366

LT Environmental, Inc. EK 30 BS2 Federal Com 1H

Analytical Method:	Chloride by EPA 3	00						Prep	Method	l: E300)P	
Seq Number:	3064242			Matrix:	Solid			Γ	Date Prep	p: 09.24	4.18	
MB Sample Id:	7662842-1-BLK		LCS San	nple Id:	7662842-1	I-BKS		LCSD	Sample l	ld: 7662	842-1-BSD	
Parameter	MB	Spike	LCS	LCS	LCSD	LCSD	Limits	%RPD RF	PD Limit	Units	Analysis	
	Result	Amount	Result	%Rec	Result	%Rec	211110	, un 2 m	D Linit	emis	Date	Flag

Analytical Method:	Chloride by EPA 3	00						Pr	ep Metho	d: E30)P	
Seq Number:	3064242			Matrix:	Soil				Date Pre	ep: 09.2	4.18	
Parent Sample Id:	599366-001		MS San	nple Id:	599366-00	01 S		MSI	O Sample	Id: 5993	366-001 SD	
Parameter	Parent	Spike	MS	MS	MSD	MSD	Limits	%RPD I	RPD Limi	t Units	Analysis	Flag
	Result	Amount	Result	%Rec	Result	%Rec					Date	

Analytical Method:	Chloride by EPA 30	00						Prep	p Method	: E300)P	
Seq Number:	3064242			Matrix:	Soil			Ι	Date Prep	: 09.24	4.18	
Parent Sample Id:	599515-079		MS San	nple Id:	599515-07	79 S		MSD	Sample I	d: 5995	15-079 SD	
Parameter	Parent	Spike	MS	MS	MSD	MSD	Limits	%RPD R	PD I imit	Unite	Analysis	
	Result	Amount	Result	%Rec	Result	%Rec	Linits	/on D n	I D Linnt	Units	Date	Flag

Analytical Method:	TPH By S	W8015 M	lod]	Prep Method	d: TX1	.005P	
Seq Number:	3064020				Matrix:	Solid				Date Prep	p: 09.2	0.18	
MB Sample Id:	7662729-1	-BLK		LCS Sar	nple Id:	7662729-	1-BKS		LC	SD Sample	Id: 7662	2729-1-BSD	
Parameter		MB Result	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS %Rec	LCSD Result	LCSD %Rec	Limits	%RPE	RPD Limit	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
Gasoline Range Hydrocarb	ons (GRO)	<8.00	1000	965	97	967	97	70-135	0	20	mg/kg	09.20.18 15:11	
Diesel Range Organics	(DRO)	<8.13	1000	1010	101	1000	100	70-135	1	20	mg/kg	09.20.18 15:11	
Surrogate		MB %Rec	MB Flag		CS Rec	LCS Flag	LCSI %Re			Limits	Units	Analysis Date	
1-Chlorooctane		109		1	12		121		7	70-135	%	09.20.18 15:11	
o-Terphenyl		115		1	04		111		2	70-135	%	09.20.18 15:11	

Log Diff. = Log(Sample Duplicate) - Log(Original Sample)

LCS = Laboratory Control SampleA = Parent Result C = MS/LCS Result E = MSD/LCSD Result

MS = Matrix Spike B = Spike Added D = MSD/LCSD % Rec



LT Environmental, Inc.

EK 30 BS2 Federal Com 1H

Analytical Method:	TPH By S	W8015 M	lod]	Prep Method	l: TX1	005P	
Seq Number:	3064020				Matrix:	Soil				Date Prep	b: 09.2	0.18	
Parent Sample Id:	599688-00	1		MS Sar	nple Id:	599688-00	01 S		Μ	SD Sample l	ld: 599	688-001 SD	
Parameter		Parent Result	Spike Amount	MS Result	MS %Rec	MSD Result	MSD %Rec	Limits	%RPI	RPD Limit	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
Gasoline Range Hydrocarb	ons (GRO)	<7.99	999	948	95	918	92	70-135	3	20	mg/kg	09.20.18 16:11	
Diesel Range Organics	(DRO)	<8.12	999	975	98	948	95	70-135	3	20	mg/kg	09.20.18 16:11	
Surrogate					1S Rec	MS Flag	MSD %Ree			Limits	Units	Analysis Date	
1-Chlorooctane				1	24		122		-	70-135	%	09.20.18 16:11	
o-Terphenyl				1	14		106			70-135	%	09.20.18 16:11	

Analytical Method: Seq Number: MB Sample Id:	BTEX by EPA 802 3063856 7662663-1-BLK	lB	LCS San	Matrix: nple Id:		1-BKS			Prep Metho Date Pre SD Sample	p: 09.1	5030B 9.18 2663-1-BSD	
Parameter	MB Result	Spike Amount	LCS Result	LCS %Rec	LCSD Result	LCSD %Rec	Limits	%RPI) RPD Limit	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
Benzene	< 0.00201	0.100	0.100	100	0.0976	97	70-130	2	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 10:45	
Toluene	< 0.00201	0.100	0.0984	98	0.0955	95	70-130	3	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 10:45	
Ethylbenzene	< 0.00201	0.100	0.101	101	0.0988	98	70-130	2	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 10:45	
m,p-Xylenes	< 0.00402	0.201	0.198	99	0.193	96	70-130	3	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 10:45	
o-Xylene	< 0.00201	0.100	0.0970	97	0.0918	91	70-130	6	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 10:45	
Surrogate	MB %Rec	MB Flag		CS Rec	LCS Flag	LCSE %Rec			Limits	Units	Analysis Date	
1,4-Difluorobenzene	96		ç	99		93			70-130	%	09.19.18 10:45	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	109		1	23		112			70-130	%	09.19.18 10:45	

Analytical Method: Seq Number: Parent Sample Id:	BTEX by EPA 802 3063856 599389-002	1B] MS San	Matrix: nple Id:	Soil 599389-00)2 S			Prep Method Date Prep SD Sample	p: 09.1	5030B 9.18 389-002 SD	
Parameter	Parent Result	Spike Amount	MS Result	MS %Rec	MSD Result	MSD %Rec	Limits	%RPI) RPD Limit	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
Benzene	< 0.00201	0.101	0.0743	74	0.0772	76	70-130	4	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 11:26	
Toluene	< 0.00201	0.101	0.0719	71	0.0751	74	70-130	4	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 11:26	
Ethylbenzene	< 0.00201	0.101	0.0730	72	0.0763	76	70-130	4	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 11:26	
m,p-Xylenes	< 0.00402	0.201	0.143	71	0.149	74	70-130	4	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 11:26	
o-Xylene	< 0.00201	0.101	0.0675	67	0.0706	70	70-130	4	35	mg/kg	09.19.18 11:26	Х
Surrogate				IS Rec	MS Flag	MSE %Re			Limits	Units	Analysis Date	
1,4-Difluorobenzene			9	00		92			70-130	%	09.19.18 11:26	
4-Bromofluorobenzene			1	16		114			70-130	%	09.19.18 11:26	

MS/MSD Percent Recovery Relative Percent Difference LCS/LCSD Recovery Log Difference [D] = 100*(C-A) / B RPD = 200* | (C-E) / (C+E) | [D] = 100 * (C) / [B] Log Diff. = Log(Sample Duplicate) - Log(Original Sample)

LCS = Laboratory Control Sample A = Parent Result C = MS/LCS Result E = MSD/LCSD Result MS = Matrix Spike B = Spike Added D = MSD/LCSD % Rec

Daviewal Davie OE4440 Davie OD40 4	0	σ
	9/18/10 GOGan 2	" Cal Mulling
Received by: (Signature) Date/Time	Date/Time	Relinquished by: (Signature)
_	der from client company to Xenco, its affiliates and subcontractors. It assigns ty for any losses or expenses incurred by the client if such losses are due to client sample submitted to Xenco, but not analyzed. These terms will be enforced units	Notice: Signature of this document and relinquishment of samples constitutes a valid purchase order from client company to Xenco, its affiliates and subcontractors. It assigns standard terms and conditions of service. Xenco will be liable only for the cost of samples and shall not assume any responsibility for any losses or expenses incurred by the client if such losses are due to circumstances beyond the control of service. Xenco will be liable only for the cost of samples and shall not assume any responsibility for any losses or expenses incurred by the client if such losses are due to circumstances beyond the control of Xenco. A minimum charge of \$75.00 will be enforced unless previously negotiated.
Mn Mo Ni K Se Ag SiO2 Na Sr TI Sn U V Zn 3e Ag TI U 1631/245.1/7470/7471 : Hg	11 Al Sb As Ba Be B Cd Ca Cr Co Cu Fe Pb Mg Mn N 8RCRA Sb As Ba Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mn Mo Ni Se Ag	Total 200.7 / 6010 200.8 / 6020: 8RCRA 13PPM Texas 11 Al Circle Method(s) and Metal(s) to be analyzed TCLP / SPLP 6010: 8RCRA
		BHBHD / OTBHE
		-
- Cuitaba		"0) 028 allula S 11122
	Nun B	ă S
	17 17	
lab, if received by 4:30pm		Yes No N/A
TAT starts the day received by the	NUTI B	
	10	
	irs Iv ÍM	SAMPLE RECEIPT Temp Blank: Yes (No) Wet loe: (Yes) No
	62	1 BULLAN (SULTER Internation
		034918003
		ar: 0349/8003
Work Order Notes	ANALYSIS REQUEST	Project Name: EK 30 BS2 Frederal (Sm) H Turn Around
Deliverables: EDDAUaPIUtner:	Delivera	Phone: 432-844-5641 Email:
_Level III		le ZIP:
State of Project:		1300 N A 51-
Program: UST/PST PRP Brownfields RRC Superfund	*	ITENVIRMENCE
Work Order Comments		Project Manager: HUMAN Bill to: (It different)
0) www.xenco.com Page of	Mildiand, I X (432-704-9440) EL Fasu, A (عانا), 2003-2440 (2007), 2007)	Mioiaina, i X (+3-2-704-94 Hobbs,NM (575-392-7550) Phoenix,A
	Houston,TX (281) 240-4200 Dallas,TX (214) 902-0300 San Antonio,TX (210) 509-3334	LABORATORIES Houston, TX (281) 240-420
Work Order No:	Chain of Custody	
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		



XENCO Laboratories Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In



Client: LT Environmental, Inc. Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 09/18/2018 09:09:00 AM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 599366 Comments Sample Receipt Checklist 3.5 #1 *Temperature of cooler(s)? #2 *Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 *Samples received on ice? Yes #4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? N/A #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6*Custody Seals Signed and dated? N/A #7 *Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)?

#16 All samples received within hold time? #17 Subcontract of sample(s)?

#18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Checklist completed by:

Brianna Teel

Date: 09/18/2018

Yes

Yes

N/A

N/A

Checklist reviewed by:

Jession Vramer

Jessica Kramer

Date: 09/18/2018