

From: [Yu, Olivia, EMNRD](mailto:Yu.Olivia@EMNRD)
To: "Mann, Ryan"; "Jeff.Walker@ghd.com"
Cc: [Batt, Aly H](mailto:Batt.Aly.H@EMNRD); [Hernandez, Christina, EMNRD](mailto:Hernandez.Christina@EMNRD)
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report
Date: Thursday, November 15, 2018 9:27:00 AM
Attachments: approved_1RP-5152 McGowan-Supplemental Assessment RPT.pdf

Good morning Mr. Batt:

NMOCD is in concurrence with NMSLO. A deferral is granted for 1RP-5152, regarding the identified areas not remediated until time of abandonment, retrofit, or inactivity.

Also, please note that confirmation soil samples were above the standard acceptable soil temperature range. Please be cognizant that these samples may not be acceptable in future requests for closure/deferrals.

Thanks,
Olivia

From: Mann, Ryan <rmann@slo.state.nm.us>
Sent: Monday, November 5, 2018 9:33 AM
To: 'Jeff.Walker@ghd.com' <Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>; Yu, Olivia, EMNRD <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>
Cc: Batt, Aly H <Aly.H.Batt@p66.com>
Subject: [EXT] RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Mr. Walker,

NMSLO will grant deferral of 1RP-5152 until abandonment of the adjacent pipelines. Like concurrence from the NMOCD is also required. Backfill is also approved with the condition that at least 2 feet of topsoil is placed on top of the excavation, it is acceptable to place caliche below 2 feet. Also the excavated area is required to be revegetated at the appropriate time. Please contact myself if you have any questions.

Ryan Mann
Remediation Specialist
Field Operation Division
(575) 392-3697
(505) 699-1989
New Mexico State Land Office
2827 N. Dal Paso Suite 117
Hobbs, NM 88240

From: Jeff.Walker@ghd.com [<mailto:Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>]
Sent: Monday, October 29, 2018 10:34 AM
To: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>; Mann, Ryan <rmann@slo.state.nm.us>
Cc: Batt, Aly H <Aly.H.Batt@p66.com>
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Ms. Yu, Mr. Mann

Please find attached a Supplemental Site Assessment/Remediation and Closure Request Report for the subject site. All outstanding data gaps have been addressed and assessment/remediation completed, therefore are requesting site closure/deferral.

Please do not hesitate to contact myself or Mr. Batt with any questions regarding this document or the site.

Thank you-Jeff

From: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 3:25 PM
To: Jeffrey Walker <Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>
Cc: Batt, Aly H <Aly.H.Batt@p66.com>
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Mr. Walker:

Thank you for your patience for the responses below regarding the site assessment report for 1RP-5152.

1. NMOCD will grant a deferral for the un-remediated area between SW3 and the HP water injection lines. Did the release area extend to the other side of the HP water injection lines? If so, then horizontal delineation must be completed by establishment of a sample location.
2. Deferral will be granted for the un-remediated areas represented by SumpW and SumpS wall only if horizontal delineation is completed and all removal methods (mechanical and manual) were employed to the extent practicable.
3. The confirmation sample locations collected on August 10, 2018 are sufficient.
4. If not already completed, sidewall confirmation samples are required for the lateral extension of the excavated area represented by SW6 and if applicable, for SumpW and SumpS walls.
5. In the remediation/closure report, on a scaled map, please demarcate areas for deferral by GPS coordinates; additional confirmation sample locations; and dimensions of the enlarged excavation area.

Thanks,
Olivia

From: Jeff.Walker@ghd.com <Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>
Sent: Friday, September 7, 2018 11:01 AM
To: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>
Cc: Batt, Aly H <Aly.H.Batt@p66.com>
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Ms. Yu,

Thank you again for taking the time to conduct a preliminary review of initial assessment report for subject site. We understand your review is not complete, but in the interim, would like to address some of the comments and concerns expressed in your 8/28 email. The occurrence of this release, in the transition between old spill guidelines and new regulations and their interpretation, and NMOCD guidance provided in the field vs. apparent strict adherence to new regulation provided below, hopefully provides opportunity to find some middle ground with respect to closure criteria. Your comments/concerns addressed below:

From: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 4:36 PM
To: Jeffrey Walker <Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>; rmann@slo.state.nm.us
Cc: Gill, D. Clint Jr. <David.C.Gill@p66.com>
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Mr. Walker:

As we had communicated earlier this morning, I have taken a first pass of the submitted report for 1RP-5152. Please keep in mind that this review is NOT complete, only a quick assessment of data as presented in tabular format and on the map. Comments and concerns mentioned below may have already been addressed in the text.

- In general, the release characterization is not completed. Was/will the impacted area be extended laterally West and South of the sump or West of SW6? [Yes, further impact assessment south of the sump has already taken place since 8/10 sample collection.](#) Additional removal west of the sump and west of SW6 can also be conducted. Removal in sump area has structural limitations, but will be conducted to the extent possible.
- Please be advised that release characterization/delineation must be completed before consideration of deferral of remediation. [Understood](#)
- Was all mechanical methods utilized? Was hydro-vac and/or hammertoe equipment employed in attempts to remove the high levels of TPH extended present in SumpW wall samples? [Due to piping/structural limitations and risks, soil removal has been done by hand.](#) Hydrovac usage to remove hydrocarbon-impacted soils is generally against P66 SOP due to experience of vac-truck explosions.
- What is the setback from the high-pressure water injection lines? In other words, what is the lateral distance between SW3 and the HP lines? [3 to 5 feet.](#)
- Per regulation, please note that composite confirmation samples must be taken no greater than 200 ft². Any discolored and wet areas must have discrete samples for laboratory analyses. For verification, date and geo-reference photos taken for these discrete sample locations. [On 8/10/18, I conversed with you from the field, describing the release, the assessment \(including field screening results\)/removal/remediation efforts to date, and provided current dimensions of the excavation \(~260' x 30' x](#)

3'). Based on researched nearest depth to water and corresponding Table 1, 2,500 ppm TPH cleanup level, field screening results and site observations, I expressed that I felt we were ready to collect confirmation samples. You prescribed a sampling plan that included 3 bottom samples and sidewall samples 50 to 75 ft apart, alternating sides down the length of the main excavation. The sump area was to be treated as its own rectangular box, with sidewall and bottom samples. This plan was carried out and is represented on the Fig. 2 in the report.

- Confirmation bottom and sidewall sample locations must be marked on a scaled map/figure as well. For example, one 200 ft² composite sample location = 4 ft. excavation x 50 ft. lateral length. Each change in depth of excavation must be clearly demarcated on a map, in order to account for the 200 ft² composite sampling scheme. See response above. Sample locations are represented on the scaled, Figure 2 in assessment report.
- The site assessment report should also include a scaled map with all the groundwater sources (USGS, NMOSE, etc.) and other site characterization criteria, marked in relation to the release area. A supplemental scaled figure is being produced that will show nearest groundwater source and a half-mile radius centered on the site showing "significant watercourses" if any, within that distance, as per new regs.

Again, if a site visit will help answer some of these questions/concerns, we can meet there to discuss. Please, at your earliest convenience, provide agreement or further concerns you may have with these statements or upon your completion of 8/21/18 Assessment Report submittal.

Thanks,
Jeff

The link for the revised NMAC 19.15.29 again. <http://164.64.110.134/parts/title19/19.015.0029.html>

Please be advised that as of Friday, August 24, 2018, a revised C-141 form has been issued. Please submit the requisite information, regarding the aforementioned release using this version. <http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD/forms.html>
No need to resubmit the initial C-141 at the present moment. Ensure that the next report with the above concerns addressed has the pertinent sections C-141 completed, including initial and site assessment portions.

Thanks,
Olivia

Jeff Walker
GHD

T: 505 884 0672 | M: 505 377 3920 | V: 867313 | F: 505 884 4932 | E: jeff.walker@ghd.com
6121 Indian School Rd. NE, Suite 200, Albuquerque, NM 87110 | www.ghd.com
[WATER](#) | [ENERGY & RESOURCES](#) | [ENVIRONMENT](#) | [PROPERTY & BUILDINGS](#) | [TRANSPORTATION](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.

This e-mail has been scanned for viruses

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit https://clicktime.symantec.com/a/1/6gewDhPvLrMvTP_74IRh8pi4ER4mhCqO3Yxch1-yiMQ=?

[d=S_tgZvGUP-QAv5iSCuz5YJHSI9p8c-QiaebPQ0J3MEnrq3_znI5li6E0FFtSP58UCfCtus-
zLJInNtyvPYrmhtzprhPGhRHQk6bnCLgvmxMCE3sLngx6pmatYEBnohqVVMhycWNRlIpsr-LQMro8o8j-
xqTihzthuXRq1k1B98XJwrmMTODsKuNuJfG4FGrojDza37-
vYHGiebJ86Q_tOyZZeMsULds1z6QFnlS0cD0rllph3mxtZmWvbGIQFo19aruiKu_UfPrhn_no0HnxBFliHewHFDg4iJexnrz3zfe5BAd5JCYnZ8v-
dOUCmDe28Kp8hp-
BM3OL4rRrknA5K_l_76cTpAkl8TNvtz_8ou_RWL8F9ZB7veJdOayeZJtqRX3ujWKZxbXQ%3D&u=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.symanteccloud.com](http://www.symanteccloud.com)

From: Jeff.Walker@ghd.com
To: [Mann, Ryan](#); [Yu, Olivia, EMNRD](#)
Cc: [Batt, Aly H](#)
Subject: [EXT] RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report
Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 2:41:23 PM

Mr. Mann,

There has been no site work since I believe August as we have been going through the initial site assessment review process. We plan to revisit the site next week (Thursday) to do some further site assessment work at the direction of NMOCD. P66 will certainly comply with reseeding requirements once site closure is attained and excavated mat'l hauled off.

From: Mann, Ryan <rmann@slo.state.nm.us>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 2:22 PM
To: 'Yu, Olivia, EMNRD' <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>; Jeffrey Walker <Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>
Cc: Batt, Aly H <Aly.H.Batt@p66.com>
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Good afternoon all,

Was revegetation of the pasture attempted? The portions of the release that are not being deferred will need to be seeded.

Ryan Mann
Remediation Specialist
Field Operation Division
(575) 392-3697
(505) 699-1989
New Mexico State Land Office
2827 N. Dal Paso Suite 117
Hobbs, NM 88240

From: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD [<mailto:Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>]
Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 3:33 PM
To: Jeff.Walker@ghd.com; Mann, Ryan <rmann@slo.state.nm.us>
Cc: Batt, Aly H <Aly.H.Batt@p66.com>
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Also, please remember to include all corresponding agencies in all correspondence and report submittals. NMOCD databases indicate release occurred on State surface and mineral ownerships.

Olivia

From: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD

Sent: Thursday, September 20, 2018 3:25 PM
To: 'Jeff.Walker@ghd.com'
Cc: Batt, Aly H
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Mr. Walker:

Thank you for your patience for the responses below regarding the site assessment report for 1RP-5152.

1. NMOCD will grant a deferral for the un-remediated area between SW3 and the HP water injection lines. Did the release area extend to the other side of the HP water injection lines? If so, then horizontal delineation must be completed by establishment of a sample location.
2. Deferral will be granted for the un-remediated areas represented by SumpW and SumpS wall only if horizontal delineation is completed and all removal methods (mechanical and manual) were employed to the extent practicable.
3. The confirmation sample locations collected on August 10, 2018 are sufficient.
4. If not already completed, sidewall confirmation samples are required for the lateral extension of the excavated area represented by SW6 and if applicable, for SumpW and SumpS walls.
5. In the remediation/closure report, on a scaled map, please demarcate areas for deferral by GPS coordinates; additional confirmation sample locations; and dimensions of the enlarged excavation area.

Thanks,
Olivia

From: Jeff.Walker@ghd.com <Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>
Sent: Friday, September 7, 2018 11:01 AM
To: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>
Cc: Batt, Aly H <Aly.H.Batt@p66.com>
Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Ms. Yu,

Thank you again for taking the time to conduct a preliminary review of initial assessment report for subject site. We understand your review is not complete, but in the interim, would like to address some of the comments and concerns expressed in your 8/28 email. The occurrence of this release, in the transition between old spill guidelines and new regulations and their interpretation, and NMOCD guidance provided in the field vs. apparent strict adherence to new regulation provided below, hopefully provides opportunity to find some middle ground with respect to closure criteria. Your comments/concerns addressed below:

From: Yu, Olivia, EMNRD <Olivia.Yu@state.nm.us>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 4:36 PM
To: Jeffrey Walker <Jeff.Walker@ghd.com>; rmann@slo.state.nm.us

Cc: Gill, D. Clint Jr. <David.C.Gill@p66.com>

Subject: RE: 1RP-5152 McGowan/Buckeye Assessment Report

Mr. Walker:

As we had communicated earlier this morning, I have taken a first pass of the submitted report for 1RP-5152. Please keep in mind that this review is NOT complete, only a quick assessment of data as presented in tabular format and on the map. Comments and concerns mentioned below may have already been addressed in the text.

- In general, the release characterization is not completed. Was/will the impacted area be extended laterally West and South of the sump or West of SW6? Yes, further impact assessment south of the sump has already taken place since 8/10 sample collection. Additional removal west of the sump and west of SW6 can also be conducted. Removal in sump area has structural limitations, but will be conducted to the extent possible.
- Please be advised that release characterization/delineation must be completed before consideration of deferral of remediation. Understood
- Was all mechanical methods utilized? Was hydro-vac and/or hammertoe equipment employed in attempts to remove the high levels of TPH extended present in SumpW wall samples? Due to piping/structural limitations and risks, soil removal has been done by hand. Hydrovac usage to remove hydrocarbon-impacted soils is generally against P66 SOP due to experience of vac-truck explosions.
- What is the setback from the high-pressure water injection lines? In other words, what is the lateral distance between SW3 and the HP lines? 3 to 5 feet.
- Per regulation, please note that composite confirmation samples must be taken no greater than 200 ft². Any discolored and wet areas must have discrete samples for laboratory analyses. For verification, date and geo-reference photos taken for these discrete sample locations. On 8/10/18, I conversed with you from the field, describing the release, the assessment (including field screening results)/removal/remediation efforts to date, and provided current dimensions of the excavation (~260' x 30' x 3'). Based on researched nearest depth to water and corresponding Table 1, 2,500 ppm TPH cleanup level, field screening results and site observations, I expressed that I felt we were ready to collect confirmation samples. You prescribed a sampling plan that included 3 bottom samples and sidewall samples 50 to 75 ft apart, alternating sides down the length of the main excavation. The sump area was to be treated as its own rectangular box, with sidewall and bottom samples. This plan was carried out and is represented on the Fig. 2 in the report.
- Confirmation bottom and sidewall sample locations must be marked on a scaled map/figure as well. For example, one 200 ft² composite sample location = 4 ft. excavation x 50 ft. lateral length. Each change in depth of excavation must be clearly demarcated on a map, in order to account for the 200 ft² composite sampling scheme. See response above. Sample locations are represented on the scaled, Figure 2 in assessment report.
- The site assessment report should also include a scaled map with all the groundwater sources (USGS, NMOSE, etc.) and other site characterization criteria, marked in relation to the release area. A supplemental scaled figure is being produced that will show nearest groundwater source and a half-mile radius centered on the site showing "significant

watercourses" if any, within that distance, as per new regs.

Again, if a site visit will help answer some of these questions/concerns, we can meet there to discuss. Please, at your earliest convenience, provide agreement or further concerns you may have with these statements or upon your completion of 8/21/18 Assessment Report submittal.

Thanks,
Jeff

The link for the revised NMAC 19.15.29 again. <http://164.64.110.134/parts/title19/19.015.0029.html>

Please be advised that as of Friday, August 24, 2018, a revised C-141 form has been issued. Please submit the requisite information, regarding the aforementioned release using this version.

<http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/OCD/forms.html>

No need to resubmit the initial C-141 at the present moment. Ensure that the next report with the above concerns addressed has the pertinent sections C-141 completed, including initial and site assessment portions.

Thanks,
Olivia

Jeff Walker

GHD

T: 505 884 0672 | M: 505 377 3920 | V: 867313 | F: 505 884 4932 | E: jeff.walker@ghd.com

6121 Indian School Rd. NE, Suite 200, Albuquerque, NM 87110 | www.ghd.com

[WATER](#) | [ENERGY & RESOURCES](#) | [ENVIRONMENT](#) | [PROPERTY & BUILDINGS](#) | [TRANSPORTATION](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

This e-mail has been scanned for viruses

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.
