R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

December 18, 2014

Dr. Tomáš Oberding NMOCD District 1 1625 French Drive Hobbs, NM 88240 *Via E-Mail*

RE: Temporary Pit Closure Report, Jackson Unit #21H API #30-025-41140, Pit Permit #P1-06109 Unit P, Section 21, T24S, R33E, Lea County

Dear Dr. Oberding:

On behalf of Murchison Oil and Gas, R.T. Hicks Consultants submits this closure report for the above-referenced temporary pit in accordance with the approved C-144 closure plan. This report includes the following information listed in Part 21 of the C-144 form:

Requirements	Location in this Submission
Proof of Closure Notice (to surface owner and	Attachment 1
Division)	
Proof of Deed Notice (on-site closure on private	Not applicable; State Land (no deed)
land only)	
Plot Plan, C-105 form (for on-site closures and	Attachment 2
temporary pits)	
Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results	Not applicable
Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results	Attachment 3
(required for on-site closure)	
Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number	Not applicable; on-site closure
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation	Attachment 4
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding	Attachment 5
Technique	
Site Reclamation (photo documentation)	To follow
Updated C-144 form	Attachment 6

R.T. Hicks Consultants will notify NMOCD and provide photo-documentation when re-vegetation obligations described in subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC are met.

Sincerely, R.T. Hicks Consultants

Knistin Tope

Kristin Pope Project Geologist

Copy: Murchison Oil and Gas NM State Land Office, Ed Martin

R. T. HICKS CONSULTANTS, LTD.

901 Rio Grande Blvd NW ▲ Suite F-142 ▲ Albuquerque, NM 87104 ▲ 505.266.5004 ▲ Fax: 505.266-0745

August 15, 2014

Dr. Tomáš Oberding NMOCD District 1 1625 French Drive Hobbs, New Mexico 88240 Via Email

RE: Murchison – Jackson Unit 21H Temporary Pit In-place Burial Notice Unit P, Section 21, T24S, R33E, API #30-025-41140

Dear Dr. Oberding:

On behalf of Murchison Oil and Gas, R. T. Hicks Consultants is providing this closure notice to NMOCD with a copy to the State Land Office (certified, return receipt request). The above- referenced pit will begin closure operations on **Wednesday**, **August 20**, **2014**. Depending on equipment availability, the closure process should require about two weeks.

The "In-place Burial" closure plan for the pit was submitted on July 12, 2013 with the C-144 temporary pit application and NMOCD approved the plan on December 30, 2013. The rig was released from this site on February 27, 2014.

In conformance with the Pit Rule, an eight-point composite sample that is fully representative of the solids in the pit was recovered on June 4, 2014 and stabilized with the available mixing soil at a 3:1 ratio¹. As shown in the summary table below, laboratory analyses of the stabilized cuttings composite demonstrate that the concentrations of the parameters listed in Table II of 19.15.17.13 NMAC (Pit Rule) are below the limits that allow in-place burial of the stabilized cuttings.

3:1 Stabilized Cuttings Sample					
Constituent	Table II Limit (Gw>100 ft)	6/4/14 Sample			
Chloride	80,000 mg/kg	11,000			
ТРН	2,500 mg/kg	2,200			
GRO+DRO	1,000 mg/kg	929			
BTEX	50 mg/kg	1.65			
Benzene	10 mg/kg	0.00			

¹ (5) The operator shall collect, at a minimum, a five point composite of the contents of the temporary pit or drying pad/tank associated with a closed-loop system to demonstrate that, after the waste is solidified or stabilized with soil or other non-waste material at a ratio of no more than 3:1 soil or other non-waste material to waste, the concentration of any contaminant in the stabilized waste is not higher than the parameters in Table II of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

I will follow up this notice to you with a phone call as required by the Pit Rule. As always, we appreciate your work to keep us on schedule.

Sincerely,

R.T. Hicks Consultants

Knistin Pope

Kristin Pope

Copy: Murchison Oil and Gas

Ed Martin, State Land Office New Mexico State Land Office PO Box 1148 Santa Fe, NM 87504-1148 CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECIEPT REQUEST



Submit To Approp Two Copies District I	riate Distric	et Office		State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources						Form C-105 Revised August 1, 2011							
1625 N. French Dr District II	., Hobbs, N	M 88240		Energy, Mineruis and Matural Resources					Ī	1. WELL API NO.							
811 S. First St., Ar District III	tesia, NM 8	88210		Oil Conservation Division					-	30-025-41140 2. Type of Lease							
1000 Rio Brazos R District IV	d., Aztec, N	NM 87410			1220 South St. Francis Dr.					🖾 STATE 🔲 FEE 🗌 FED/INDIAN				IAN			
1220 S. St. Francis	-					Santa Fe, N						3. State Oil &	k Gas	Lease N	0.		
4. Reason for fil		LETIC	N OR	RECC	MPL	ETION RE	POF	RT AI	ND	DLOG	_	5. Lease Nam	e or I	Init Agr	emen	nt Name	
	e											Jackson Unit		int Agr	emen		
□ COMPLETION REPORT (Fill in boxes #1 through #31 for State and Fee wells only) 6. Well Number: □ C-144 CLOSURE ATTACHMENT (Fill in boxes #1 through #9, #15 Date Rig Released and #32 and/or #21H																	
7. Type of Com	#33; attach this and the plat to the C-144 closure report in accordance with 19.15.17.13.K NMAC) 7. Type of Completion: ☑ NEW WELL □ WORKOVER □ DEEPENING □ PLUGBACK □ DIFFERENT RESERVOIR □ OTHER																
8. Name of Oper MURCHISON C	ator			_		—						9. OGRID 15363					
10. Address of O		5, INC.										11. Pool name	or W	ildcat			
12.Location	Unit Ltr	Sec	tion	Towns	hip	Range	Lot			Feet from t	he	N/S Line	Feet	from th	e E/	/W Line	County
Surface:					r	8-											
BH:																	
13. Date Spudde	d 14. D	ate T.D. I	Reached	15. [g Released 7/2014			16.	Date Compl	leted	(Ready to Proc	luce)			levations (DF GR, etc.)	and RKB,
18. Total Measur	ed Depth	of Well		19. F	lug Ba	ck Measured Dep	oth		20.	Was Direct	iona	l Survey Made	?	21. T	pe El	lectric and Ot	her Logs Run
22. Producing In	terval(s), o	of this co	mpletion -	Top, Bot	tom, Na	ame								1			
23.					CAS	ING REC	OR	D (Re	epo	ort all st	ring	gs set in w	ell)				
CASING SI	ZE	WE	GHT LB./			DEPTH SET				OLE SIZE		CEMENTIN		CORD		AMOUNT	PULLED
										i							
24. SIZE	TOP		BO	ТТОМ	LIN	ER RECORD SACKS CEM	ENT	SCRI	FEN	J	25. SIZ			NG RE EPTH S		D PACKI	FR SET
SIZE	101			1100		Differed CEN		beiti		,	512					1 / Citi	
26. Perforation	record (in	nterval, si	ze, and nu	mber)						ID, SHOT, INTERVAL	FR/	ACTURE, CE					
								DEP	п	INTERVAL		AMOUNTA	IND F		AIEK	TAL USED	
28.										ΓΙΟΝ							
Date First Produc	ction		Produc	tion Met	hod (Fla	owing, gas lift, p	umpin	ıg - Size	e an	d type pump))	Well Status	(Pro	d. or Shi	ıt-in)		
Date of Test	Hours	s Tested	Ch	oke Size		Prod'n For Test Period		Oil -	Bbl		Gas	s - MCF	W	ater - Bl	ol.	Gas - C	Dil Ratio
Flow Tubing Press.	Casin	g Pressur		lculated 2 ur Rate	24-	Oil - Bbl.		6	∃as ∙	- MCF		Water - Bbl.		Oil G	ravity	- API - (Cor	r.)
29. Disposition of	of Gas (Soi	ld, used fo	or fuel, ver	vented, etc.) 30. Test Witnessed By													
31. List Attachments																	
32. If a temporary pit was used at the well, attach a plat with the location of the temporary pit. PLATE 1 ATTACHED																	
33. If an on-site burial was used at the well, report the exact location of the on-site burial:																	
Latitude N 32.19683° Longitude W 103.572024° NAD 1927 1983 I hereby certify that the information shown on both sides of this form is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief 1983						1927 1983											
Signature Knistin lope Name KRISTIN POPE Title AGENT FOR MURCHISON Date 12/18/2014																	
E-mail Address kristin@rthicksconsult.com																	



Closure Letter Attachment 3 Murchison – Jackson Unit #21H API #30-025-41140

Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results

On April 23, 2014, an 8-point composite sample was collected from the temporary pit location and stabilized in a 3:1 ratio using 3 parts available mixing material from the berms of the pit below the liner. The stabilized composite sample was submitted to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque for BTEX (8260B), GRO+DRO (8015M), TPH (418.1), and Chloride (SM4500) analyses. GRO+DRO concentration of this sample did not meet the Table II (19.15.17.13 NMAC) limit of 1000 mg/kg.



Sampling cuttings of outer cell 6/4/2014

Six weeks later on June 4, 2014, the pit contents were sampled again and another

3:1 stabilized cuttings sample was composed and submitted for laboratory analyses. This time, all of the Table II constituents were met, demonstrating that this site qualifies for the in-place burial method for closure of the temporary pit. The table below depicts this stabilized sample and its concentrations of the parameters of Table II in the Pit Rule.

3:1 Stabilized Cuttings Sample					
Constituent	Table II Limit (Gw>100 ft)	6/4/14 Sample			
Chloride	80,000 mg/kg	11,000			
ТРН	2,500 mg/kg	2,200			
GRO+DRO	1,000 mg/kg	929			
BTEX	50 mg/kg	1.65			
Benzene	10 mg/kg	ND			



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

June 16, 2014

Kristin Pope R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD 901 Rio Grande Blvd. NW Suite F-142 Albuquerque, NM 87104 TEL: (505) 266-5004 FAX (505) 266-0745

RE: Murchison - Jackson Unit #21H pit

OrderNo.: 1406343

Dear Kristin Pope:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 6/6/2014 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andia

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order 1406343 Date Reported: 6/16/2014

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: R.T. Hicks Consultants, LTD

Project: Murchison - Jackson Unit #21H pit

Client Sample ID: 3:1 Stabilized Cuttings Collection Date: 6/4/2014 11:40:00 AM Previved Date: 6/6/2014 10:00:00 AM

Lab ID: 1406343-001	Matrix:	SOIL		Received Date: 6/6/2014 10:00:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE C	RGANICS					Analyst	BCN		
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	880	200		mg/Kg	10	6/12/2014 6:34:17 AM	13578		
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	1000		mg/Kg	10	6/12/2014 6:34:17 AM	13578		
Surr: DNOP	0	57.9-140	S	%REC	10	6/12/2014 6:34:17 AM	13578		
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E					Analyst	: NSB		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	49	9.9		mg/Kg	2	6/11/2014 9:48:45 PM	13586		
Surr: BFB	177	80-120	S	%REC	2	6/11/2014 9:48:45 PM	13586		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	: NSB		
Benzene	ND	0.099		mg/Kg	2	6/11/2014 9:48:45 PM	13586		
Toluene	0.38	0.099		mg/Kg	2	6/11/2014 9:48:45 PM	13586		
Ethylbenzene	0.27	0.099		mg/Kg	2	6/11/2014 9:48:45 PM	13586		
Xylenes, Total	1.0	0.20		mg/Kg	2	6/11/2014 9:48:45 PM	13586		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	118	80-120		%REC	2	6/11/2014 9:48:45 PM	13586		
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	: JRR		
Chloride	11000	750		mg/Kg	500	6/12/2014 6:14:19 PM	13604		
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst	: JME		
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	2200	200		mg/Kg	10	6/11/2014 12:00:00 PM	13571		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
	-	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T

- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 1 of 6
- Р Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

WO#:	1406343
	16-Jun-14

Client: Project:		licks Consulta iison - Jackso	,								
Sample ID	MB-13604	SampT	ype: M	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	S		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: 13604			R	unNo: 1	9180				
Prep Date:	6/10/2014	Analysis D	ate: 6/	10/2014	S	eqNo: 5	54470	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	1.5								
Sample ID	LCS-13604	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	300.0: Anion	S		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	D: 13	604	R	unNo: 1	9180				
Prep Date:	6/10/2014	Analysis D	ate: 6/	10/2014	S	eqNo: 5	54471	Units: mg/H	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	96.0	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - P Sample pH greater than 2.
 - RL Reporting Detection Limit

WO#:	1406343				
		~ .			

16-Jun-14	
-----------	--

	cks Consultants, LTD son - Jackson Unit #21H pit			
Sample ID MB-13571	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 13571	RunNo: 19175		
Prep Date: 6/6/2014	Analysis Date: 6/11/2014	SeqNo: 554453	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND 20			
Sample ID LCS-13571	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 13571	RunNo: 19175		
Prep Date: 6/6/2014	Analysis Date: 6/11/2014	SeqNo: 554454	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	92 20 100.0	0 91.5 80	120	
Sample ID LCSD-13571	SampType: LCSD	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 13571	RunNo: 19175		
Prep Date: 6/6/2014	Analysis Date: 6/11/2014	SeqNo: 554455	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	96 20 100.0	0 95.7 80	120 4.44	20

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - P Sample pH greater than 2.
 - RL Reporting Detection Limit

WO#:	1406343
	16 1 14

	cks Consultants, LTD son - Jackson Unit #21H pit							
Sample ID MB-13578	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Rat	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 13578 RunNo: 19152							
Prep Date: 6/9/2014	Analysis Date: 6/10/2014 SeqNo: 553568 Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %F	RPD RPDLimit Qual						
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10							
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND 50							
Surr: DNOP	12 10.00 116 57.9 140							
Sample ID LCS-13578	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Rat	nge Organics						
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 13578 RunNo: 19152							
Prep Date: 6/9/2014	Analysis Date: 6/10/2014 SeqNo: 553571 Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %F	RPD RPDLimit Qual						
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	54 10 50.00 0 107 60.8 145							
Surr: DNOP	4.85.00095.557.9140							
Sample ID MB-13630	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Rat	nge Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 13630 RunNo: 19186							
Prep Date: 6/11/2014	Analysis Date: 6/11/2014 SeqNo: 554717 Units: %REC							
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %F	RPD RPDLimit Qual						
Surr: DNOP	9.2 10.00 92.3 57.9 140							
Sample ID LCS-13630	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Rai	nge Organics						
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 13630 RunNo: 19186							
Prep Date: 6/11/2014	Analysis Date: 6/11/2014 SeqNo: 554718 Units: %REC							
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %F	RPD RPDLimit Qual						
Surr: DNOP	4.7 5.000 94.5 57.9 140							
Sample ID MB-13657	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Rai	nge Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 13657 RunNo: 19207							
Prep Date: 6/12/2014	Analysis Date: 6/12/2014 SeqNo: 555445 Units: %REC							
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %F	RPD RPDLimit Qual						
Surr: DNOP	6.5 10.00 65.0 57.9 140							
Sample ID LCS-13657	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Rat	nge Organics						
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 13657 RunNo: 19207							
Prep Date: 6/12/2014	Analysis Date: 6/12/2014 SeqNo: 555446 Units: %REC							
Analyte		RPD RPDLimit Qual						
Surr: DNOP	3.1 5.000 61.6 57.9 140							

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

16-Jun-14

WO#:	1406343
	16-Jun-14

Client: R.T. Hid	cks Consultants,	LTD								
Project: Murchis	son - Jackson Un	it #21H pit								
Sample ID MB-13586	IB-13586 SampType: MBLK				TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID:	13586	R	RunNo: 1	9153					
Prep Date: 6/9/2014	Analysis Date:	6/10/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	54130	Units: mg/k	٢g			
Analyte	Result PC	L SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB	ND 4500	25 5000		89.2	80	120				
Sample ID LCS-13586	ID LCS-13586 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range									
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID:	13586	R	RunNo: 1	9153					
Prep Date: 6/9/2014	Analysis Date:	6/10/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	54131	Units: mg/k	٢g			
Analyte	Result PC	L SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	120	25 125.0	0	92.8	71.7	134				
Surr: BFB	4900	5000		98.7	80	120				
Sample ID LCSD-13586	SampType:	LCSD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	e		
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID:	RunNo: 19153								
Prep Date: 6/9/2014	Analysis Date:	6/10/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	54132	Units: mg/k	٢g			
Analyte	Result PC	L SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	-	25 125.0	0	88.4	71.7	134	4.85	20		
Surr: BFB	4900	5000		97.2	80	120	0	0		

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - P Sample pH greater than 2.
 - RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT	
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.	

WO#:	1406343

Client: Project:		ks Consult on - Jackso	,								
Sample ID M	IB-13586	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles					
Client ID: P	BS	Batcl	h ID: 13	586	R	unNo: 19	9153				
Prep Date:	6/9/2014	Analysis D	Date: 6/	10/2014	S	eqNo: 5	54155	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	0.050								
Toluene		ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total		ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofl	luorobenzene	1.1		1.000		106	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-13586 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles											
Client ID: L	CSS	Batcl	h ID: 13	586	R	unNo: 19	9153				
Prep Date:	6/9/2014	Analysis D	Date: 6/	10/2014	S	eqNo: 5	54156	Units: mg/K	ζg		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		4.7	0.25	5.000	0	94.7	80	120			
Toluene		4.6	0.25	5.000	0	92.8	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		4.7	0.25	5.000	0	94.2	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		15	0.50	15.00	0	98.8	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofl	luorobenzene	5.5		5.000		109	80	120			
Sample ID L	CSD-13586	SampT	ype: LC	SD	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: L	CSS02	Batcl	h ID: 13	586	R	unNo: 19	9153				
Prep Date:	6/9/2014	Analysis D	Date: 6/	10/2014	S	eqNo: 5	54157	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		4.7	0.25	5.000	0	94.0	80	120	0.731	20	
Toluene		4.6	0.25	5.000	0	91.5	80	120	1.42	20	
Ethylbenzene		4.7	0.25	5.000	0	93.4	80	120	0.768	20	
Xylenes, Total		15	0.50	15.00	0	98.3	80	120	0.555	20	
Surr: 4-Bromofl	luorobenzene	5.6		5.000		113	80	120	0		

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Р Sample pH greater than 2.
 - RL Reporting Detection Limit

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS I ABORATORY TEL: 505-34	eental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 -3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 ww.hallenvironmental.com	Sample Lo	og-In Check List
Client Name: RT HICKS Work Order NL	mber: 1406343		RcptNo: 1
Received by/date: AT O(g/O/U/I4) Logged By: Michelle Garcia 6/6/2014 10:00:0	AM Mu	hells Garries	
Completed By: Michelle Garcia 6/6/2014 2:38:48 Reviewed By:	рм <i>т</i> ій 14	helle Garries	
Chain of Custody		_	
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗌 🛛 N	o 🛄 🛛 Not F	Present 🔽
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	o 🗌 🛛 Not P	Present
3. How was the sample delivered?	<u>Client</u>		
Log In			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	10 🗌	NA 🗌
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}$ C to 6.0°C	Yes N Not required	•	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		10	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	io 🗀	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	o 🗌	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🗌 🛛 N	lo 🗹	NA 🗌
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗌 🛛 N	io 🗌 No VO	A Vials 🗹
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes 🗆 💦	No 🗹 # of pre	
12.Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	io 🗌 for pH:	(<2 or >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	lo 🗆 📔 🧖	\djusted?
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	lo 🔲	
15.Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗹 🛛 N	lo 🗌 🔤 C	hecked by:
Special Handling (if applicable)			

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✓ Person Notified: Date: ✓

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp ºC	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	8.6	Good	Not Present			

Murchison- ackson Unit #21H pit er: er: er: 4901 Hawk 4901 H	t: R. T. Hicks Consultants	K Standard 🗆 Rush	
Sile Optimized Blud NW Jackson Unit #21H pit Allongue Allonguegen, MM 87104 Project #: Allonguegen, MM 87104 Project #: Allonguegen, MM 87104 Project #: Allonguegen, MM 87104 Project #: Allonguegen, MM 87104 Project #: Allonguegen, MM 87104 Project #: (505) Stock Stock Project #: Allonguegen, MM 87104 Project #: (505) Stock Stock Project #: Kristin Pope Allonguegen, MM 87104 Reginities: Kristin Pope Bit Reginities: Kristin Pope Reginities: Kristin Pope Bit Reginities: Kristin Pope Right Reginities: Kristin Pope The Mathematic Bit Reginities: Allongenet Reginities: Right Reginities: Kristin Pope The Mathematic Bit Reginities: Kristin Pope Right Reginites: Kristin Pope The Mathematic Bit Reg			www.hallenvironmental.com
Bold Random Environment Project #: Alburguergue Alburguergue Alburguergue Nistri School Project #: Righthologe Righthologe Project #: Alburguergue Nistri School Project #: Righthologe Righthologe Project #: Righthologe Project Manager: Righthologe Project Manager: Righthologe Project Manager: Righthologe Project Manager: Righthologe Righthologe	5	Jackson Unit #21H pit	,
Reference Project Manager: Anions (FO) 285-3004 Reference Project Manager: Kristin Pope Reference Contrainer Project Manager: Reference Sampler: Kristin Pope Matrix Sampler: Kristin Pope Matrix <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td>505-345-3975 Fax Analysis</td></t<>	1		505-345-3975 Fax Analysis
Project Manager: Project Manager: 0 1 0 Kristin 0 0 0 <t< td=""><td>/ III / I</td><td></td><td>C(1</td></t<>	/ III / I		C (1
Bit Level 4 Full Validation) Kristin Pope Imme Matrix Sampler: Kristin Pope Imme Structure: France Kristin Pope Imme		Project Manager:	OS'*(<i>m/(1/+</i> əsəi (uo s
Container Sampler: Kristin Pope Binner Sampler: Sampler: Binner Sampler: Binner Binner Binner Binner <td>ie:</td> <td>Kristin Pope</td> <td>H (Gas/</td>	ie:	Kristin Pope	H (Gas/
Matrix Sample Request ID Container Preservativ HEAL No 01 31/ 31/ 31/ 100 Container e Type Type and # e Type 01 31/ 31/ 100 Cuthings 1 glass ice Cuthings RCRA & Meland 01 31/ 31/ 100 Cuthings 1 glass ice Cuthings RCRA & Meland 01 31/ 31/ 100 Cuthings 1 glass ice Cuthings Reference 01 31/ 31/ 100 Cuthings 1 glass ice Cuthings Reference 01 31/ 31/ 100 Cuthings 1 glass ice Reference Reference 01 20/ 100 Cuthings 1 glass ice Reference Reference 01 20/ 100 Cuthings Reference Reference Reference Reference 1 20/ 100 Cuthings Reference Reference Reference Reference 1 20/ 100 Reference Reference Reference Reference Reference 1 20/ 100 Reference Reference Reference Reference Reference 1 20/ 100 Reference Reference Reference Referen			HPT + = 10158 (10158 (101
e Matrix Sample Request ID Type and # Preservativ Matrix a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 311 Stabilized 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass a soli 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass 1 glass <td></td> <td>16</td> <td>3 boo hod 3 hod hod hod hod hicid hicid hicid hicid</td>		16	3 boo hod 3 hod hod hod hod hicid hicid hicid hicid
soil 3:1 Stabilized 1 glass ice -00.1 K K K K K cuttings Cuttings Each and the second of the second	le Matrix	Preservativ e Type	87EX + М Нон Мей ТРН Мей ТРН (Мей 8310 (РИ) 8310 (РИ) 8310 (РИ) 8081 Рез 8081 Рез 8
soil 3:1.07ab111220 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 190	_		$\langle \langle \langle \langle \rangle \rangle$
Reinquished by: Reinquished by	soil 3./		
Relinction Relinction Date Time Final Relinction Relinction Date Time Relinction Relinction Relinction Date Time Relinction Relinction Relinction Date Time Relinction			
Relinquisited by: Relinquisited by: Relinquisite			
Reinquished by: Reinquished by: Reinquished by: Reinquished by: Received by: Recontracted to other accedited taboratories. This possibility. Any sub-contracted table will be			
Relinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email			
Reinquished by: Reinquished by			
Relinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email Relinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email Relinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email Minushed by: Date Time Remarks: Email No. Date Time Remarks: Email No. Date Time Remarks: Email No. Date Time Remarks: Email			
Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: Email results to R@ Refinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email results to R@ Refinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email results to R@ Refinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email results to R@ Refinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email results to R@ Refinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email results to R@ Refinquished by: Date Time Remarks: Email results to R@			
Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Refinquished by: Refinq			
Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Refinquished by: Refinq			
Relinquished by: Relinquished by: Refinquished by: Refinq			
Reinfiguistred by: Let		Allth All HIV	Remarks: Email results to R@
11200 cul (A		SP. X	
	1200 MILLIN	evincentracted to other accredited laboratories. Thisserves as muti	be of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

ŝ 5 T If necessary, samples submitted to HallyEnvin

SOIL BACKFILLING & COVER INSTALLATION

In accordance with the requirements listed in paragraph D of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, the operator employed the following steps for in-place burial of the waste material from the temporary pit:

- Siting criteria and operations of the pit complied with the C-144 application and the Pit Rule under which it was submitted to the NMOCD on July 12, 2013 and approved on December 30, 2013. After the rig was released on February 27, 2014, fluid contents in the pit were removed to be recycled for the drilling of other wells while the cuttings were allowed to dry.
- 2. On June 4, 2014, prior to the initiation of closure activities, samples of the inner and outer cells and clean soil from the berms of the pit below the liner were recovered from the pit. A weighted composite of the inner and outer cells of the pit were mixed in a ratio of 3 parts clean soil to 1 part pit cuttings and were analyzed for Chloride, TPH, GRO, DRO, MRO, Benzene, and BTEX at Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory of Albuquerque. The results, as noted in the subsequent closure notice and Attachment 3 of this report, demonstrated that the stabilized pit contents would not exceed the parameter limits listed in Table II of the Pit Rule.
- 3. A closure notice was submitted to the NMOCD, District 1 office in Hobbs and to the State Land Office on August 15, 2014. Verbal notice in the form of a phone call to NMOCD was placed on the same day.
- 4. On August 20, 2014, closure activities commenced and stabilization of the pit contents was achieved by mixing the pit contents with the dry soil beneath the liner of the pit and from the dividing berms. On September 17, 2014, a paint filter test was performed by R.T. Hicks Consultants that confirmed that the stabilization process was complete and that the stabilized cuttings were located at least 4 feet below grade.
- Having achieved all applicable stabilization requirements associated with in-place burial, a geomembrane liner was installed to completely cover the stabilized cuttings on October 9, 2014. The pit contents and liner were shaped to shed infiltrating water, slightly higher in the center.
- 6. Once the geomembrane cover was in place, approximately 4 feet or more of non-waste containing, uncontaminated, earthen material and the reserved topsoil were replaced to their relative positions in accordance with Subsection (3) of Paragraph H of 19.15.17.13

Closure Letter Attachment 4 Murchison – Jackson Unit #21H API #30-025-41140

NMAC. The soil cover consists of at least four feet of compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material. The uppermost topsoil is equal to the background thickness at least one foot. The surface was contoured to blend with the surrounding topography and to prevent erosion and the ponding of water over the on-site closure. This work was completed on October 24, 2014.

Closure Letter Attachment 4 Murchison – Jackson Unit #21H API #30-025-41140



First day of stabilization mixing 8/20/2014



Paint filter test of stabilized cuttings 9/17/2014



Geomembrane cover installed 10/9/2014

RE-VEGETATION PROCEDURES

There were no roads or surface drainage features nearby that required restoration or preservation.

- 1. On November 25, 2014, Storm Construction seeded the topsoil of the on-site burial area using a seed drill pulled by a tractor that prepared the seedbed in the same pass using discs. The seed furrows were oriented perpendicular to the prevailing western wind to minimize erosion.
- 2. Approximately 48 pounds of a seed mixture consisting of 50% BLM #2 seed blend and 50% Homesteader's Choice blend was applied to approximately 1 acre of disturbance in accordance with the supplier's instructions to the former temporary pit area. Species constituents of each blend are listed below and are appropriate for the soil type and conditions at this site. Note that Sand Lovegrass, a component of the BLM #2 assortment, was unavailable so appropriate substitute species were used as selected by the seed vendor.

<u>BLM #2</u>	<u>Homesteader's Choice</u>
Sideoats Grama	Blue Grama
Switchgrass	Buffalograss
Sand Dropseed	Sideoats Grama
Bristlegrass	Western Wheatgrass
Plains Coreopsis	Sand Dropseed

- 3. After seeding, a steel plate marking the site as an in-place pit closure has been placed on the surface at the center of the former pit location in accordance with Subsection (3) of Paragraph F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
- 4. The seeded area will be monitored for growth and the operator will repeat seeding until a successful vegetative cover is achieved as outlined in Subsection (5) of Paragraph H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
- 5. If conditions are not favorable for the establishment of vegetation, such as periods of drought, the operator may request that the division allow a delay in additional seeding until soil moisture conditions become favorable. The operator will notify the division and provide photo-documentation when it successful re-vegetation is achieved.

Closure Letter Attachment 5 Murchison – Jackson Unit #21H API #30-025-41140



Homesteader's Choice seed mix 11/25/2014

	Curtis and Curtis, 4500 North Prince Clovis, NM 88130 Phone: (575) 762-475 www.curtisseed.com		4 Acre BL	m Construc M #2, Broad @ 35.50 Bu	lion dcast Rate k Pounds Ea	ch la			
	Lot# M-12732	24	10.00	1.1	- 3	1000		TenalPlas	
A Poplar	Item	Origin	Purity	Germ	Dormant	Total Germination	Tel. Dire	Pounds	PERSONAL PROPERTY.
and the second second	Sand Dropseed	Colorado	11.74%	22.00%	74.00%	96.00%	05/14	18,00	E N C
	VNS Coreopsis	Colorado	12.12%	90.00%	3.00%	93.00%	11/14	16.00	
	Plains Plains Bristlegrass	Oklahoma	32.76%	6.00%	80.00%	86.00**	08/14	+0.00	
	VNS Switchgrass	Oklahoma	17.61%	56.00%	40.00%	96.00%	06/14	14.00	ALC: UNK
	Blackwell Sideoats Grama Vaughn	Texas	18.57%	87.00%	4.00%	91.00%	05/14	14.00	
	Other Crop: 0.95 Weed Seed: 0.82 bert Matter: 5.35	1% This	re Are 4 Ba Bag Weig This Bag F	igs For This in 35.50 Bull or 1 Acres	Mix k Pounds	Total Bulk F	'ounds:	142	
				THE REAL					

BLM #2 seed mix

District 1 HOBBS OCD State of New Mexico 1655 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 State of New Mexico District II Energy Minerals and Natural Resources District III District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa FeijNM 87505 State of New Mexico	Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013 For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	a Francis
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure I	Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternat Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted of or proposed alternative method Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below	r non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result is environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable go	in pollution of surface water, ground water or the
I. Operator: Murchison Oil & Gas, Inc OGRID #;	15363
Address: 1100 Mira Vista Blvd., Plano, TX 75093-4698	
Facility or well name: Jackson Unit No. 21H	
API Number: 30-025-41140 OCD Permit Number:	P1-06109
U/L or Qtr/Qtr P Section 21 Township 24S Range 33E Cou	
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>32° 11' 47.095'' N</u> Longitude <u>103° 34' 18.66</u> Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	6 <u>3" W</u> NAD: □1927 ⊠ 1983
 □ Lined □ Unlined Liner type: Thickness <u>20</u> mil □ LLDPE □ HDPE □ PVC □ Oth □ String-Reinförced 	.ow Chloride Drilling Fluid □ yes ⊠ no er Dimensions: L <u>150</u> x W <u>170</u> x D <u>6-10 ft</u>
3. Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Yolume: bbl Type of fluid; Tank Construction material:	verflow shut-off
Alternative Method: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environme s.	ental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits. temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)

Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

Alternate. Please specify

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

- Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
- Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9. <u>Siting Criteria (regarding permitting)</u> : 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.				
General siting				
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank	□ Yes□ No ⊠ NA			
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells See Figures 1 & 2				
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 5 - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	🗋 Yes 🛛 No			
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 7 - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	TYes No			
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 8 Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) See Figure 9 - FEMA map	🗆 Yes 🖾 No			
Below Grade Tanks	4			
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No			
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search: Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No			
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)				
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No			
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No			
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No			

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗆 Yes 🗌 No		
Femporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid			
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). See Figure 3 Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image. See Figure 4 			
Within 300 feet of a wetland. See Figure 6	🗋 Yes 🖾 No		
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit			
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site			
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	🗌 Yes 🗌 No		
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of nitial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site			
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No		
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NM Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instruction: Sting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instruction: Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Instruction: Closure Plan (Please	iments are		
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:			
I. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docu ittached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.1 Ind 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC			
Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:			

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19,15,17,9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that to	he documents are
attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
 Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well	Eluid Management Pit
Alternative	r ruid management ru
Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)	
 On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial 	
Alternative Closure Method	
Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable s provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes⊠ No □ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes⊠ No □ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes I No
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes 🛛 No
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗋 Yes 🖾 No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	e 🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Ves 🛛 No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	I Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	
Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division Page	4 of 6

	MSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.	ality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes 🛛 No
		and a comparison of the second s	
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division			🗋 Yes 🖾 No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 			Yes 🛛 No
Within a 100-year floo - FEMA map	dplain.		TYes 🛛 No
16. On-Site Closure Plan	Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instru	uctions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure	plan. Please indica
 Siting Criteria C Proof of Surface Construction/De Construction/De Protocols and Pr Confirmation Sa Waste Material Disposal Facility Soil Cover Desi Re-vegetation P 	2 Owner Notice - based upon the appropriesign Plan of Burial Trench (if applicablesign Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place rocedures - based upon the appropriate roampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate roy Name and Permit Number (for liquids, gn - based upon the appropriate requirem lan - based upon the appropriate requirem	n the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC riate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC le) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15. e burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of	19.15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application	The second se		
I hereby certify that the	ne information submitted with this applic	cation is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and b	belief.
Name (Print):	Greg Boans	Title: Production Superinten	dent
Signature:	span	Date: July 12, 2013	1000
	gboans@jdmii.com	Telephone:(575) 361-4962	-
	MUD Permit Application (ing this charter	Telephone:(575) 361-4962 Ian) OCD Conditions (see attachment) merital Specialist OCD Permit Number: <u>P1-06109</u>	30]13
18. OCD Approval: X OCD Representative Title: <u>Closure Report (requ</u> Instructions: Operato The closure report is i	MUP Permit Application (in String Strick Signature: Environs irred within 60 days of closure complete ors are required to obtain an approved corequired to be submitted to the division of the submitted to the division of th	Ian) OCD Conditions (see attachment) Imental Specialist Approval Date: 1213 OCD Permit Number: P1-06109 tion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submittivities for the closure activities. Please do to the closure activities have been completed.	ing the closure repu not complete this
18. OCD Approval: X OCD Representative Title: <u>Closure Report (requ</u> Instructions: Operato The closure report is i	MUP Permit Application (in String Strick Signature: Environs irred within 60 days of closure complete ors are required to obtain an approved corequired to be submitted to the division of the submitted to the division of th	Ian) OCD Conditions (see attachment) Ian) OCD Conditions (see attachment) Imerital Specialist Approval Date: 1213 OCD Permit Number: P1-06109 tion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitti within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do	ing the closure repu not complete this
	MUD Permit Application (in String String) Signature: Environm Environm irred within 60 days of closure completed for a required to obtain an approved of required to be submitted to the division within an approved closure plan has been of a string an approved closure plan has been of a string and approved closure plan has been of a string a str	Ian) OCD Conditions (see attachment) Imental Specialist Approval Date: 1213 OCD Permit Number: P1-06109 tion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submittivities for the closure activities. Please do to the closure activities have been completed.	ing the closure repundent to the closure repundent to the complete this 1, 2014

Operator Closure Certification:

22.

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print):	Kristin Pope	Title: Agent for Murchison Oil and Gas, Inc.
Signature:	Knistin Tope _	Date: December 18, 2014
e-mail address:	kristin@rthicksconsult.com	Telephone: (575) 302-6755