BLM approves federal wells in potash area so we do not have to worry about this.

STATE & FEE LEASES

Post to map and if within the potash area outlined on map or within 1 mile of that area do the following:

- Make certain operator has filed a plat of the area showing the potash lessees for the area where the well is located as well as the 1-mile radius referred to.
- 2) Make certain operator has notified all of the potash lessees by certified mail of the APD. They must send copy of delivery notice to us since 20-day waiting period starts from delivery date.
- 3) Send letter to BLM and SLO advising them of this APD and request they advise if this location is within LMR or buffer zone.

BUFFER ZONE -- shallow well is 1/4 mile of LMR
-- deep well is 1/2 mile of LMR

- 4) If application is within LMR or buffer zone you must <u>DENY</u> it under R-III-P unless, there is a mutual agreement of lessor and lessees of oil & gas and potash interests. Copy of this agreement must be submitted with APD.
- 5) If application is outside LMR or buffer zone and no objection is received within 20 days from date of receipt by potash lessees of certified notice, the APD may be approved. 30-025-36906

CHECK LIST FOR PROCESSING APD IN POTASH AREA

OPERATOR: Chesapeake Prerating Inc
OPERATOR: Chesapeake Sperating Inc LEASE & WELL LOST Tank 16 State #1-M
LOCATION 16-215-32e 330/5 + 330/W PROPOSED DEPTH 8700
DATE APD RECEIVED 5/6/08 WAS PLAT OF AREA ATTACHED
WERE ALL POTASH LESSEES NOTIFIED BY CERTIFIED MAIL?
20-DAY WAITING PERIOD BEGINS ENDS
WERE WAIVERS RECEIVED?
DATE SLO NOTIFIED 5/10/08 DATE REPLY RECEIVED 5/12/08
DATE BLM NOTIFIED 5/0/08 DATE REPLY RECEIVED 5/7/08
IS LOCATION INSIDE LMR OR BUFFER ZONE? YES NO
IF LOCATION INSIDE LMR OR BUFFER ZONE WAS LESSEE/LESSOR AGREEMENT FURNISHED
DATE APD APPROVED 5/14/08 DATE APD DENIED

	D
New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resour	ces Department
Mem Mexico The 22, Minner and	•

Bill Richardson

Governor

Joanna Prukop Cabinet Secretary Recse Fullerton Deputy Cabinet Secretary



Mark Fesmire Division Director Oil Conservation Division



MAY n 7 2008 BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMEN

5753930720

ATT: Potash

P O Box 1778 Carlsbad, NM 88221

STATE LAND OFFICE ATT: Joe Mraz O Box 1148 Santa Fc, NM 87504

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL IN LOTASH AREA RE:

LEASE NAME

PROPOSED LOCATION

PROPOSED DEPTH

Gentlemen:

The application for permit to drill identified above has been filed with this office of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Pursuant to the provisions of Oil Conservation Division Order R - 111 - P, please advise this office whether the location is within an established Life-of-Mine-Reserve are filed with and approved by your office. If not, please advise whether it is within the buffer zone established by the order.

Thank you for your assistance. Please return as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Chris Williams Supervisor, District I

RESPONSE:

Signed

The above-referenced location is in LMR

within the Buffer Zon The above Feferenced lo cation is

Printed Signatur

Representing

Oil Conservation Division * 1625 N. French Dr.*

* Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

* Phone: (505) 393-6161 * Fax (505) 392-0720* http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us





NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS and NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

BILL RICHARDSON

Governor

Joanna Prukop
Cabinet Secretary

Mark E. Fesmire, P.E.
Director
Oil Conservation Division

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

ATT: Potash P O Box 1778

RE:

Carlsbad, NM 88221

STATE LAND OFFICE ATT: Joe Mraz P O Box 1148 Santa Fe, NM 87504 REGENEU

HOBBS OCL

APPLICATION	EOD DEDMIT TO	DRILL IN	POTASH	AREA
APPLICATION	FOR PERMITTIN) DRIDE III	LOTITION	

OPERATOR Chesapeake Operating Inc

LEASENAME LOST Tank 16 State - 1- M

PROPOSED LOCATION 16-215-32e, 330/5 4 330/4

PROPOSED DEPTH 5700'

Gentlemen:

The application for permit to drill identified above has been filed with this office of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division. Pursuant to the provisions of Oil Conservation Division Order R – 111 – P, please advise this office whether the location is within an established Life-of-Mine-Reserve are filed with and approved by your office. If not, please advise whether it is within the buffer zone established by the order.

Thank you for your assistance. Please return as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Chris Williams Supervisor, District I

RESPONSE:

Signed Ami Bally Date 1/6/07

Printed Signature JAMI BAILEY

Representing 540

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

000 APR 30 PM

APPLICATION OF CHESAPEAKE OPERATING, INC. FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE DRILLING OF WELLS IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

Case Nos. 14100 and 14101

20

WITHDRAWAL OF OBJECTIONS

Intrepid Potash - New Mexico, LLC ("Intrepid") by and through its undersigned counsel hereby withdraws its objections to the Applications of Chesapeake Operating, Inc. in the above referenced matters. Chesapeake withdraws this protest without prejudice or waiver of its rights to object to any future wells proposed to be drilled in the R-111-P Potash Area as defined in the regulations, and without waiver of its objections stated in these proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph E. Manges

Comeau, Maldegen, Templeman & Indall, LLP

P. O. Box 669

Santa Fe, NM 87504-0669

505-982-4611 phone

505-988-2987 fax

RECEIVED

MAY 0 2 2008

HOLLAND & HART LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I did on April 29, 2008 cause a true and correct copy of the foregoing

Withdrawal of Objection to be served by facsimile on:

William Carr Ocean Munds-Dry Holland & Hart, LLP P. O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2208 505-983-6043

Mark Fesmire, Director Energy Mineral & Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3462

2

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

APPLICATION OF CHESAPEAKE OPERATING, INC. FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE DRILLING OF WELLS IN LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

Case Nos. 14100 and 14101

AMENDED WITHDRAWAL OF OBJECTIONS

Intrepid Potash - New Mexico, LLC ("Intrepid") by and through its undersigned counsel hereby withdraws its objections to the Applications of Chesapeake Operating, Inc. in the above referenced matters. Intrepid withdraws this protest without prejudice or waiver of its rights to object to any future wells proposed to be drilled in the R-111-P Potash Area as defined in the regulations, and without waiver of its objections stated in these proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph E. Manges

Comeau, Maldegen, Templeman & Indall, LLP

P. O. Box 669

Santa Fe, NM 87504-0669

505-982-4611 phone

505-988-2987 fax

Counsel for Intrepid Potash - New Mexico, LL

RECEIVED

MAY 0 8 2008

HOLLAND & HART LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I did on May 6, 2008 cause a true and correct copy of the foregoing Amended Withdrawal of Objections to be served by facsimile on:

William Carr Ocean Munds-Dry Holland & Hart, LLP P. O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, NM 87504-2208 505-983-6043

Mark Fesmire, Director Energy Mineral & Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505 505-476-3462

2

The sender of this message has requested a read receipt. Click here to send a receipt.

Mull, Donna, EMNRD

From:

Brooks, David K., EMNRD

Sent: Wed 5/14/2008 11:14 AM

To:

Mull, Donna, EMNRD; Kautz, Paul, EMNRD

Cc:

Subject:

FW: Cases Nos. 14100 and 14101; Applications of Chesapeake to drill in the potash area

Attachments:

Donna and Paul

You can put this email in the well file.

David

From: Joseph E. Manges [mailto:JManges@cmtisantafe.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2008 12:28 PM

To: Ocean Munds-Dry; Brooks, David K., EMNRD

Cc: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD

Subject: RE: Cases Nos. 14100 and 14101; Applications of Chesapeake to drill in the potash area

Mr. Brooks and Ms. Munds-Dry,

I just returned to the office from Abq.

This will confirm that Intrepid has withdrawn its protests to the above applications. Intrepid simply wanted to withdraw the protest while preserving its objections to any future wells. Intrepid will not be appearing at any hearing on the applications. I hope this clarifies our client's position. Thank you

Joe

Joseph E. Manges Comeau, Maldegen, Templeman & Indall, LLP Post Office Box 669 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-0699 505.982.4611

505.988.2987 fax
JManges@cmtisantafe.com
THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying, and notify the sender by reply email or by calling 505.982.4611, so that our address record can be corrected. Thank you.
From: Ocean Munds-Dry [mailto:Omundsdry@hollandhart.com] Sent: Tuesday, May 13, 2008 1:19 PM Tay Brooks, David K., EMNRD: Jesoph F., Mangas
To: Brooks, David K., EMNRD; Joseph E. Manges Cc: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD Subject: RE: Cases Nos. 14100 and 14101; Applications of Chesapeake to drill in the potash area Importance: High
Mr. Brooks:
I have not seen a response from Mr. Manges yet but we would appreciate knowing your decision on this matter today if at all possible. My witnesses are supposed to get on a plane first thing tomorrow morning. I understand your inquiry so hopefully Mr. Manges has already responded to you or will do so shortly.
. Thanks to all for your attention to this matter.
Sincerely,
Ocean

From: Brooks, David K., EMNRD [mailto:david.brooks@state.nm.us]

Sent: Monday, May 12, 2008 3:33 PM

To: jmanges@cmtisantafe.com; Ocean Munds-Dry

Cc: Ezeanyim, Richard, EMNRD; Warnell, Terry G, EMNRD

Subject: Cases Nos. 14100 and 14101; Applications of Chesapeake to drill in the potash area

Dear Mr. Manges

My attention has been called to Intrepid Potash's Amended Withdrawal of Objections filed in these cases. I have been asked if these cases must still be heard.

It seems to me that Intrepid's amended withdrawal is self-contradictory. It states that "Intrepid withdraws this protest without prejudice or waiver of its rights to object to any future wells proposed to be drilled in the R-111-P Potash Area as defined in the regulations." That is understandable, and says, to my mind, that Intrepid preserves the right to object to any future well proposals other than those specifically proposed in these cases.

But the Amended Withdrawal goes on to say "and without waiver of its objections stated in these proceedings." That statement sounds like Intrepid is attempting somehow to preserve its objections to that it is withdrawing.

Please clarify your clients' position so that the applicant and the hearing examiners can know what course to take with these cases.

Very sincerely

David K. Brooks

Legal Examiner

505-476-3450

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail, including all attachments is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited unless specifically provided under the New Mexico

Inspection of Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this message. -- This email has been scanned by the Sybari - Antigen Email System.

This inbound email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.