

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

RECEIVED
JAN 13 2010
HOBSOCD

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
July 21, 2008

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
 Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
 Modification to an existing permit
 Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1. Operator: Shackelford Oil Company OGRID #: _____
Address: P.O. Box 10665 Midland TX 79702
Facility or well name: Tonto Federal #4
API Number: 30-02534935 OCD Permit Number: PI-01331
U/L or Qtr/Qtr _____ Section 3 Township 205 Range 33E County: Lea
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude _____ Longitude _____ NAD: 1927 1983
Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2. Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
 Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A
 Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness 20 mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other _____
 String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other _____ Volume: _____ bbl Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ x D _____

3. Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of intent)
 Drying Pad Above Ground Steel Tanks Haul-off Bins Other _____
 Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness _____ mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other _____
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other _____

4. Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: _____ bbl Type of fluid: _____
Tank Construction material: _____
 Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
 Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls only Other _____
Liner type: Thickness _____ mil HDPE PVC Other _____

5. Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

6.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks*)
 Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (*Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church*)
 Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
 Alternate. Please specify _____

7.
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)
 Screen Netting Other _____
 Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

8.
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers
 Signed in compliance with 19.15.3.103 NMAC

9.
Administrative Approvals and Exceptions:
 Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:
 Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.
 Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

10.
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC
Instructions: *The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate district office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.*

Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

11.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.

Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9
- Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____

Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number: _____ (Applies only to closed-loop system that use above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)

13.

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- Climatological Factors Assessment
- Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
- Emergency Response Plan
- Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- Erosion Control Plan
- Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

14.

Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System Alternative

Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)

15.

Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) **Instructions:** Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

16.

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.13.D NMAC)

Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if more than two facilities are required.

Disposal Facility Name: _____ Disposal Facility Permit Number: _____

Disposal Facility Name: _____ Disposal Facility Permit Number: _____

Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that *will not* be used for future service and operations?

Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) No

Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:

Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.

Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate district office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

18.

On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) **Instructions:** Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
- Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

19.
Operator Application Certification:
 I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): Clay Houston Title: X

X Signature: _____ X Date: _____

X e-mail address: _____ X Telephone: _____

20.
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) CLOSURE CERTIFICATION

OCD Representative Signature: Stephany Johnson Approval Date: 03/08/10

Title: Environmental Engineer OCD Permit Number: P1-01331

21.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

Closure Completion Date: 11-2-09

22.
Closure Method:
 Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
 If different from approved plan, please explain.

23.
Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only:
Instructions: Please identify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than two facilities were utilized.

Disposal Facility Name: CRI Disposal Facility Permit Number: _____

Disposal Facility Name: _____ Disposal Facility Permit Number: _____

Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that *will not* be used for future service and operations?
 Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No

Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:
 Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)
 Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
 Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique

24.
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
 Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure)
 Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
 Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
 Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
 Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
 Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
 Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
 Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude _____ Longitude _____ NAD: 1927 1983

25.
Operator Closure Certification:
 I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Clay Houston X Title: Production

X Signature: Clay Houston X Date: 12-29-2009

X e-mail address: chouston92083@yahoo.com X Telephone: 432-682-9784

Analytical Report 356999

for

TMG Consulting, LLC

Project Manager: Mark Meadows

Tonto Fed

31-DEC-09



12600 West I-20 East Odessa, Texas 79765

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab code: TX00122):

Texas (T104704215-08-TX), Arizona (AZ0738), Arkansas (08-039-0), Connecticut (PH-0102), Florida (E871002)
Illinois (002082), Indiana (C-TX-02), Iowa (392), Kansas (E-10380), Kentucky (45), Louisiana (03054)
New Hampshire (297408), New Jersey (TX007), New York (11763), Oklahoma (9218), Pennsylvania (68-03610)
Rhode Island (LAO00308), USDA (S-44102)

Xenco-Atlanta (EPA Lab Code: GA00046):

Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483), South Carolina (98015), Utah (AALI1), West Virginia (362), Kentucky (85)
Louisiana (04176), USDA (P330-07-00105)

Xenco-Miami (EPA Lab code: FL01152): Florida (E86678), Maryland (330)

Xenco-Tampa Mobile (EPA Lab code: FL01212): Florida (E84900)

Xenco-Odessa (EPA Lab code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-08-TX)

Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab code: TX01468): Texas (T104704295-08-TX)

Xenco-Corpus Christi (EPA Lab code: TX02613): Texas (T104704370-08-TX)

Xenco-Boca Raton (EPA Lab Code: FL00449): Florida(E86240),

South Carolina(96031001), Louisiana(04154), Georgia(917)



31-DEC-09

Project Manager: **Mark Meadows**
TMG Consulting, LLC
2408 Stutz Place
Midland, TX 79705

Reference: XENCO Report No: **356999**
Tonto Fed
Project Address: NM

Mark Meadows:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number 356999. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. Estimation of data uncertainty for this report is found in the quality control section of this report unless otherwise noted. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 356999 will be filed for 60 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Brent Barron, II

Odessa Laboratory Manager

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Atlanta - Corpus Christi - Latin America



Sample Cross Reference 356999



TMG Consulting, LLC, Midland, TX
Tonto Fed

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
CS-1	S	Dec-28-09 13:10	8 ft	356999-001
CS-2	S	Dec-28-09 13:11	8 ft	356999-002
CS-3	S	Dec-28-09 13:12	8 ft	356999-003
CS-4	S	Dec-28-09 13:13	8 ft	356999-004
CS-5	S	Dec-28-09 13:14	8 ft	356999-005
CS-6	S	Dec-28-09 13:15	10 ft	356999-006



CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: TMG Consulting, LLC

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Project ID:

Work Order Number: 356999

Report Date: 31-DEC-09

Date Received: 12/29/2009

Sample receipt non conformances and Comments:

None

Sample receipt Non Conformances and Comments per Sample:

None

Analytical Non Conformances and Comments:

Batch: LBA-787573 Percent Moisture

None

Batch: LBA-787601 Anions by E300

None

*Batch: LBA-787636 BTEX by EPA 8021B
SW8021BM*

*Batch 787636, 1,4-Difluorobenzene recovered below QC limits . Matrix interferences is suspected; data not confirmed by re-analysis
Samples affected are: 356999-002.*

Batch: LBA-787762 TPH By SW8015 Mod

None



Certificate of Analysis Summary 356999

TMG Consulting, LLC, Midland, TX

Project Id:

Contact: Mark Meadows

Project Location: NM

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Date Received in Lab: Tue Dec-29-09 10:25 am

Report Date: 31-DEC-09

Project Manager: Brent Barron, II

<i>Analysis Requested</i>	<i>Lab Id:</i>	356999-001	356999-002	356999-003	356999-004	356999-005	356999-006
	<i>Field Id:</i>	CS-1	CS-2	CS-3	CS-4	CS-5	CS-6
	<i>Depth:</i>	8- ft	10- ft				
	<i>Matrix:</i>	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
	<i>Sampled:</i>	Dec-28-09 13:10	Dec-28-09 13:11	Dec-28-09 13:12	Dec-28-09 13:13	Dec-28-09 13:14	Dec-28-09 13:15
Anions by E300	<i>Extracted:</i>						
	<i>Analyzed:</i>	Dec-29-09 14:00					
	<i>Units/RL:</i>	mg/kg RL					
Chloride		ND 4.36	7.78 4.41	ND 4.46	ND 4.35	ND 4.43	ND 4.35
BTEX by EPA 8021B	<i>Extracted:</i>	Dec-29-09 11:00					
	<i>Analyzed:</i>	Dec-29-09 16:48	Dec-29-09 17:11	Dec-29-09 17:35	Dec-29-09 18:45	Dec-29-09 19:09	Dec-29-09 19:33
	<i>Units/RL:</i>	mg/kg RL					
Benzene		ND 0.0010	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010
Toluene		ND 0.0021					
Ethylbenzene		ND 0.0010	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010
m,p-Xylenes		ND 0.0021					
o-Xylene		ND 0.0010	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010
Total Xylenes		ND 0.0010	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010
Total BTEX		ND 0.0010	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010	ND 0.0011	ND 0.0010
Percent Moisture	<i>Extracted:</i>						
	<i>Analyzed:</i>	Dec-29-09 17:00					
	<i>Units/RL:</i>	% RL					
Percent Moisture		3.60 1.00	4.66 1.00	5.73 1.00	3.52 1.00	5.14 1.00	3.49 1.00
TPH By SW8015 Mod	<i>Extracted:</i>	Dec-29-09 11:45					
	<i>Analyzed:</i>	Dec-30-09 17:24	Dec-30-09 17:51	Dec-30-09 18:18	Dec-30-09 18:46	Dec-30-09 19:13	Dec-30-09 19:40
	<i>Units/RL:</i>	mg/kg RL					
C6-C12 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons		ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 15.0	ND 15.0	ND 15.0
C12-C28 Diesel Range Hydrocarbons		ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 15.0	ND 15.0	ND 15.0
C28-C35 Oil Range Hydrocarbons		ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 15.0	ND 15.0	ND 15.0
Total TPH		ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 14.9	ND 15.0	ND 15.0	ND 15.0

This analytical report, and the entire data package it represents, has been made for your exclusive and confidential use. The interpretations and results expressed throughout this analytical report represent the best judgment of XENCO Laboratories. XENCO Laboratories assumes no responsibility and makes no warranty to the end use of the data hereby presented. Our liability is limited to the amount invoiced for this work order unless otherwise agreed to in writing.

Since 1990 Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Austin - Tampa - Miami - Latin America - Atlanta - Corpus Christi


 Brent Barron, II
 Odessa Laboratory Manager



Flagging Criteria

- X** In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to effect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also effect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E** The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- F** RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J** The target analyte was positively identified below the MQL and above the SQL.
- U** Analyte was not detected.
- L** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL** Reporting Limit
- * Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC Accreditation.

Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994.

Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - San Antonio - Corpus Christi - Midland/Odessa - Tampa - Miami - Latin America

	Phone	Fax
4143 Greenbriar Dr, Stafford, Tx 77477	(281) 240-4200	(281) 240-4280
9701 Harry Hines Blvd , Dallas, TX 75220	(214) 902 0300	(214) 351-9139
5332 Blackberry Drive, San Antonio TX 78238	(210) 509-3334	(210) 509-3335
2505 North Falkenburg Rd, Tampa, FL 33619	(813) 620-2000	(813) 620-2033
5757 NW 158th St, Miami Lakes, FL 33014	(305) 823-8500	(305) 823-8555
12600 West I-20 East, Odessa, TX 79765	(432) 563-1800	(432) 563-1713
842 Cantwell Lane, Corpus Christi, TX 78408	(361) 884-0371	(361) 884-9116



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Orders : 356999,

Project ID:

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 546631-1-BKS / BKS

Batch: 1 **Matrix:** Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 08:43

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0276	0.0300	92	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0296	0.0300	99	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 546631-1-BSD / BSD

Batch: 1 **Matrix:** Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 09:07

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0301	0.0300	100	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0304	0.0300	101	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 546631-1-BLK / BLK

Batch: 1 **Matrix:** Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 10:18

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0244	0.0300	81	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0299	0.0300	100	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 356999-001 / SMP

Batch: 1 **Matrix:** Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 16:48

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0251	0.0300	84	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0316	0.0300	105	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 356999-002 / SMP

Batch: 1 **Matrix:** Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 17:11

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0237	0.0300	79	80-120	*
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0279	0.0300	93	80-120	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits
 ** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis
 *** Poor recoveries due to dilution
 Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B
 All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Orders : 356999,

Project ID:

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 356999-003 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 17:35

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0249	0.0300	83	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0297	0.0300	99	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 356999-004 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 18:45

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0246	0.0300	82	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0293	0.0300	98	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 356999-005 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 19:09

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0245	0.0300	82	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0286	0.0300	95	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 356999-006 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 19:33

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0242	0.0300	81	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0280	0.0300	93	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 357001-006 S / MS

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 22:42

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0289	0.0300	96	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0302	0.0300	101	80-120	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Orders : 356999,

Project ID:

Lab Batch #: 787636

Sample: 357001-006 SD / MSD

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/29/09 23:05

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1,4-Difluorobenzenc	0.0303	0.0300	101	80-120	
4-Bromofluorobenzenc	0.0296	0.0300	99	80-120	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 546702-1-BKS / BKS

Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 09:17

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1-Chlorooctane	96.4	99.5	97	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	44.1	49.8	89	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 546702-1-BSD / BSD

Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 09:43

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1-Chlorooctane	96.7	99.6	97	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	44.1	49.8	89	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 546702-1-BLK / BLK

Batch: 1 Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 10:10

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1-Chlorooctane	85.6	99.6	86	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	48.3	49.8	97	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 356999-001 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 17:24

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Analytes					
1-Chlorooctane	79.8	99.5	80	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	45.3	49.8	91	70-135	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Orders : 356999,

Project ID:

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 356999-002 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 17:51

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	79.6	99.6	80	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	45.5	49.8	91	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 356999-003 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 18:18

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	84.5	99.5	85	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	48.0	49.8	96	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 356999-004 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 18:46

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	86.6	99.8	87	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	48.8	49.9	98	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 356999-005 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 19:13

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	85.6	99.9	86	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	49.1	50.0	98	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 356999-006 / SMP

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 19:40

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	87.3	99.9	87	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	48.2	50.0	96	70-135	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Orders : 356999,

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 357001-002 S / MS

Project ID:

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 20:07

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	94.2	100	94	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	41.8	50.0	84	70-135	

Lab Batch #: 787762

Sample: 357001-002 SD / MSD

Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil

Units: mg/kg

Date Analyzed: 12/30/09 20:34

SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	103	99.5	104	70-135	
o-Terphenyl	45.3	49.8	91	70-135	

* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

** Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

*** Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 * A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



Blank Spike Recovery



Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Order #: 356999

Project ID:

Lab Batch #: 787601

Sample: 787601-1-BKS

Matrix: Solid

Date Analyzed: 12/29/2009

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009

Analyst: LATCOR

Reporting Units: mg/kg

Batch #: 1

BLANK/BLANK SPIKE RECOVERY STUDY

Anions by E300 Analytes	Blank Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Chloride	ND	10.0	9.76	98	75-125	

Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100*[C]/[B]

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.

BRL - Below Reporting Limit



BS / BSD Recoveries



Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Order #: 356999

Analyst: ASA

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009

Project ID:

Date Analyzed: 12/29/2009

Lab Batch ID: 787636

Sample: 546631-1-BKS

Batch #: 1

Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analytes											
Benzene	ND	0.1000	0.1014	101	0.1	0.1089	109	7	70-130	35	
Toluene	ND	0.1000	0.1047	105	0.1	0.1123	112	7	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.1000	0.1049	105	0.1	0.1121	112	7	71-129	35	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.2000	0.2315	116	0.2	0.2467	123	6	70-135	35	
o-Xylene	ND	0.1000	0.1157	116	0.1	0.1234	123	6	71-133	35	

Analyst: BEV

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009

Date Analyzed: 12/30/2009

Lab Batch ID: 787762

Sample: 546702-1-BKS

Batch #: 1

Matrix: Solid

Units: mg/kg

BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analytes											
C6-C12 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	ND	995	898	90	996	899	90	0	70-135	35	
C12-C28 Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	ND	995	732	74	996	727	73	1	70-135	35	

Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*|(C-F)/(C+F)|

Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100*(C)/[B]

Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = 100*(F)/[E]

All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes



Form 3 - MS Recoveries



Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Order #: 356999

Lab Batch #: 787601

Project ID:

Date Analyzed: 12/29/2009

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009

Analyst: LATCOR

QC- Sample ID: 356999-001 S

Batch #: 1

Matrix: Soil

Reporting Units: mg/kg

MATRIX / MATRIX SPIKE RECOVERY STUDY

Inorganic Anions by EPA 300	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	%R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flag
Analytes						
Chloride	ND	114	118	104	75-125	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/B

Relative Percent Difference [E] = 200*(C-A)/(C+B)

All Results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

BRL - Below Reporting Limit



Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries



Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Order #: 356999

Project ID:

Lab Batch ID: 787636

QC- Sample ID: 357001-006 S

Batch #: 1 Matrix: Soil

Date Analyzed: 12/29/2009

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009

Analyst: ASA

Reporting Units: mg/kg

MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

BTEX by EPA 8021B Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Benzene	ND	0.1039	0.0798	77	0.1031	0.0855	83	7	70-130	35	
Toluene	ND	0.1039	0.0796	77	0.1031	0.0857	83	7	70-130	35	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.1039	0.0739	71	0.1031	0.0807	78	9	71-129	35	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.2079	0.1605	77	0.2062	0.1742	84	8	70-135	35	
o-Xylene	ND	0.1039	0.0774	74	0.1031	0.0847	82	9	71-133	35	

Lab Batch ID: 787762

QC- Sample ID: 357001-002 S

Batch #: 1 Matrix: Soil

Date Analyzed: 12/30/2009

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009

Analyst: BEV

Reporting Units: mg/kg

MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY

TPH By SW8015 Mod Analytes	Parent Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Spiked Sample %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
C6-C12 Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons	ND	1000	871	87	995	946	95	8	70-135	35	
C12-C28 Diesel Range Hydrocarbons	ND	1000	722	72	995	782	79	8	70-135	35	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery [D] = 100*(C-A)/B
Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200*|(C-F)/(C+F)|

Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable, N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit



Sample Duplicate Recovery

Project Name: Tonto Fed

Work Order #: 356999

Lab Batch #: 787601
Date Analyzed: 12/29/2009
QC- Sample ID: 356999-001 D
Reporting Units: mg/kg

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009
Batch #: 1
Project ID: Analyst: LATCOR
Matrix: Soil

SAMPLE / SAMPLE DUPLICATE RECOVERY					
Anions by E300	Parent Sample Result [A]	Sample Duplicate Result [B]	RPD	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analyte					
Chloride	ND	ND	NC	20	

Lab Batch #: 787573
Date Analyzed: 12/29/2009
QC- Sample ID: 356967-001 D
Reporting Units: %

Date Prepared: 12/29/2009
Batch #: 1
Analyst: MOV
Matrix: Soil

SAMPLE / SAMPLE DUPLICATE RECOVERY					
Percent Moisture	Parent Sample Result [A]	Sample Duplicate Result [B]	RPD	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analyte					
Percent Moisture	8.79	9.87	12	20	

Spike Relative Difference RPD $200 * |(B-A)/(B+A)|$
All Results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.
BRL - Below Reporting Limit

Environmental Lab of Texas
 Variance/ Corrective Action Report- Sample Log-In

Client: TMG Consulting
 Date/ Time: 12.29.09 10:25
 Lab ID #: 356999
 Initials: AL

Sample Receipt Checklist

				Client Initials
#1 Temperature of container/ cooler?	<u>Yes</u>	No	3.1 °C	
#2 Shipping container in good condition?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#3 Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler?	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>Not Present</u>	
#4 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles/ container?	<u>Yes</u>	No	Not Present	
#5 Chain of Custody present?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#6 Sample instructions complete of Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#7 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#8 Chain of Custody agrees with sample label(s)?	<u>Yes</u>	No	ID written on Cont./ Lid	
#9 Container label(s) legible and intact?	<u>Yes</u>	No	Not Applicable	
#10 Sample matrix/ properties agree with Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#11 Containers supplied by ELOT?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#12 Samples in proper container/ bottle?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#13 Samples properly preserved?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#14 Sample bottles intact?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#15 Preservations documented on Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#16 Containers documented on Chain of Custody?	<u>Yes</u>	No		
#17 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#18 All samples received within sufficient hold time?	<u>Yes</u>	No	See Below	
#19 Subcontract of sample(s)?	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>Not Applicable</u>	
#20 VOC samples have zero headspace?	<u>Yes</u>	No	Not Applicable	

Variance Documentation

Contact: _____ Contacted by: _____ Date/ Time: _____

Regarding: _____

Corrective Action Taken: _____

- Check all that Apply:
- See attached e-mail/ fax
 - Client understands and would like to proceed with analysis
 - Cooling process had begun shortly after sampling event