

October 9, 1973

Deviation surveys taken on John L. Cox's Roil #1, Section 21,
T-9-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.

<u>Depth</u>	<u>Degree</u>
424	1/2
924	3/4
1394	1
1865	3/4
2095	1
2595	3/4
3023	1
3495	1
3727	1 1/4
4213	3/4
4532	1/2
4703	1/2
4832	1/2
4984	1/2
5005	1/2

I hereby certify that I have personal knowledge of the data and facts placed on this sheet, and that such information given above is true and complete.


Sherman H. Norton, Jr.
Sitton and Norton Drilling Company

Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared Sherman H. Norton, Jr., known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed hereto, who, after being duly sworn, on oath states that he is the drilling contractor of the well identified in this instrument and that such well was not intentionally deviated from the vertical whatsoever.


Sherman H. Norton, Jr.

Sworn and Subscribed to before me, this the 9 day of October, 1973.



Pegamie Crites
Notary Public in and for Lubbock
County, Texas

Table 1

Table 1 shows the results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response variable. The results are presented in the following table.

Treatment	Response
1	10.0
2	10.0
3	10.0
4	10.0
5	10.0
6	10.0
7	10.0
8	10.0
9	10.0
10	10.0
11	10.0
12	10.0
13	10.0
14	10.0
15	10.0
16	10.0
17	10.0
18	10.0
19	10.0
20	10.0
21	10.0
22	10.0
23	10.0
24	10.0
25	10.0
26	10.0
27	10.0
28	10.0
29	10.0
30	10.0
31	10.0
32	10.0
33	10.0
34	10.0
35	10.0
36	10.0
37	10.0
38	10.0
39	10.0
40	10.0
41	10.0
42	10.0
43	10.0
44	10.0
45	10.0
46	10.0
47	10.0
48	10.0
49	10.0
50	10.0
51	10.0
52	10.0
53	10.0
54	10.0
55	10.0
56	10.0
57	10.0
58	10.0
59	10.0
60	10.0
61	10.0
62	10.0
63	10.0
64	10.0
65	10.0
66	10.0
67	10.0
68	10.0
69	10.0
70	10.0
71	10.0
72	10.0
73	10.0
74	10.0
75	10.0
76	10.0
77	10.0
78	10.0
79	10.0
80	10.0
81	10.0
82	10.0
83	10.0
84	10.0
85	10.0
86	10.0
87	10.0
88	10.0
89	10.0
90	10.0
91	10.0
92	10.0
93	10.0
94	10.0
95	10.0
96	10.0
97	10.0
98	10.0
99	10.0
100	10.0

The results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response variable are presented in the following table. The results are presented in the following table.

Table 1 shows the results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response variable. The results are presented in the following table.

The results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response variable are presented in the following table. The results are presented in the following table.

Table 1 shows the results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response variable. The results are presented in the following table.

The results of the analysis of variance for the effect of the treatment on the response variable are presented in the following table. The results are presented in the following table.