

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WE

	Indicate Nature of Report by Checking B			
REPORT ON BEGINNING OPERATIONS	REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL		
EPORT ON RESULT F PLUGGING WELL	REPORT ON RECOMPLETION OPERATION	REPORT ON (Other)		
	May 9, 1953	Kermit, Texas. (Place)		
Following is a report on the work	done and the results obtained under the heading	noted above at the		
Magnolia Petroleum Comp	eny [†] S	T. D. Pope		
	, Well No	` '		
Controlled Controlled	Well No	in the 4 or Sec.		
(Contractor)			
Contractor	nton Wolfcamp Pool,	Loa Cou		
Contractor)	Loa Cou		
(Contractor	nton Wolfcamp Pool,	Loa Cou 29-53.		
(Contractor 14-S , R 37-E , NMPM., De	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Loa Cou 29-53.		
he Dates of this work were as follows: otice of intention to do the work (was) and approval of the proposed plan (was)	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Cou 29-53. (Cross out incorrect words)		
he Dates of this work were as follows: otice of intention to do the work (was) and approval of the proposed plan (was)	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Cou 29-53. (Cross out incorrect words) (Cross OBTAINED		
he Dates of this work were as follows: otice of intention to do the work (was) and approval of the proposed plan (was) DETAIL	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Lea Cou 29-53. (Cross out incorrect words) ULTS OBTAINED acks signalite, 200 sacks neat		
(Contractor 14-S, R. 37-E, NMPM., De the Dates of this work were as follows: otice of intention to do the work (was) and approval of the proposed plan (was) DETAIL Set 7-5/8* casing 6 4405*	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Lea Cou 29-53. (Cross out incorrect words) ULTS OBTAINED acks signalite, 200 sacks neat		
(Contractor 14-S, R. 37-E, NMPM., De the Dates of this work were as follows: otice of intention to do the work (was) and approval of the proposed plan (was) DETAIL Set 7-5/8* casing 6 4405*	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Lea Cou 29-53. (Cross out incorrect words) ULTS OBTAINED acks signalite, 200 sacks neat		
(Contractor 1.4-S., R. 37-E., NMPM., Denie Dates of this work were as follows: otice of intention to do the work (was) ad approval of the proposed plan (was) DETAIL et 7-5/8* casing @ 4405*	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Lea Cou 29-53. (Cross out incorrect words) ULTS OBTAINED acks signalite, 200 sacks neat		
(Contractor 1.4-S., R. 37-E., NMPM., Denie Dates of this work were as follows: otice of intention to do the work (was) ad approval of the proposed plan (was) DETAIL et 7-5/8* casing @ 4405*	Plugged Down-3-27-53; Tested 3- (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on	Lea Cou 29-53. (Cross out incorrect words) ULTS OBTAINED acks signalite, 200 sacks neat		

Witnessed by	neth Nelson (Name)	Magnolia	(Company)	pany: Produc	tion Foreman (Title)	
noy y	INSERVATION COMMISSION (Name)	MAY 12	NamePosition. D1st	ny knowledge. H Bl. 1 rict Superin	troleum Compa	
(Title)		(Date)	Address			***************************************

• •