Form 3150-5 (June 1990)

Approved by \_\_\_\_\_\_ Conditions of approval, if a

### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

FORM APPROVED Budget Bureau No. 1004-0135 Expires: March 31, 1993

5. Lease Designation and Serial No.

NMNM 94189 SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS 6. If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name Do not use this form for proposals to drill or to deepen or reentry to a different reservoir. Use "APPLICATION FOR PERMIT-" for such proposals 7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE 1. Type of Well X Oil Well Gas 8. Well Name and No. WYATT PHILLIPS NO. 7 2. Name of Operator SOUTHWEST ROYALTIES, INC. 9. API Well No. 3. Address and Telephone No. 30 025 01397 PO BOX 11390; MIDLAND, TX 79702 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area 4. Location of Well (Footage, Sec., T., R., M., or Survey Description) **CORBIN (QUEEN)** SE, NW, SEC 34, T17S, R33E 11. County or Parish, State 2310/N + 1650/W LEA CO., NM 12. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX(s) TO INDICATE NATURE OF NOTICE, REPORT, OR OTHER DATA TYPE OF ACTION TYPE OF SUBMISSION Notice of Intent Abandonment \_\_ Change of Plans Recompletion New Construction Subsequent Report Plugging Back Non-Routine Fracturing Casing Repair Water Shut-Off Conversion to Injection Final Abandonment Notice Altering Casing Other TA REQUEST Dispose Water 13. Describe Proposed or Completed Operations (Clearly state all pertinet details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work. If well is directionally drilled, give subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths for all markders and zones pertinent to this work.)\* REQUEST TA STATUS. THE WELL IS IN AN AREA WHERE SOUTHWEST HAS APPLIED FOR A PRESSURE MAINTENANCE PROJECT. PERMISSION IS REQUESTED TO MAINTAIN THE WELL IN TA STATUS UNTIL THE PRESSURE MAINTENANCE PROJECT HAS BEEN EVALUATED. Title ENGINEER Date 02/26/99 DAVID R. GLASS (This space for F

ime for any person knowingly and willfully to make to any department or agency of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent entations as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

\* CROLEUM ENGINEER

SECURIONS ONNIDED AND DECEIVED

\*\*\*

# N.M. OIL CONS. COMMISSION P.O. BOX 1980 HOBBS, NEW MEXICO 88240

FORM APPROVED

Lea County, New Mexico

Form 3160-5 (June 1990)

> 1. Type of Well X Oil Well

2. Name of Operator

3. Address and Telephone No.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Budget Bureau No. 1004-0135 Expires: March 31, 1993 5. Lease Designation and Serial No.

SUNDRY	NOTICES	AND	REPORTS	ON	WELLS	
SUNDRY	NOTICES	AND	REPORTS	UN	MELL2	

Do not use this form for proposals to drill or to deepen or reentry to a different reservoir. Use "APPLICATION FOR PERMIT—" for such proposals

7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE 8. Well Name and No. Wyatt Phillips #7 Southwest Royalties, Inc. 9. API Well No. 30-025-01397 10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area 207 W. McKay, Carlsbad, NM 88220 (505)885-54334. Location of Well (Footage, Sec., T., R., M., or Survey Description) Malj. GB SA 11. County or Parish, State 2310' FNL & 1650' FWL, Unit F, Sec 34, 17S, 33E

CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX(s) TO INDICATE NATURE OF NOTICE, REPORT, OR OTHER DATA 12. TYPE OF ACTION TYPE OF SUBMISSION Notice of Intent Change of Plans Abandonment New Construction Recompletion Non-Routine Fracturing Subsequent Report Plugging Back Water Shut-Off Casing Repair Altering Casing Conversion to Injection Final Abandonment Notice Dispose Water Other (Note: Report results of multiple completion on Well Completion or Recompletion Report and Log form.)

13. Describe Proposed or Completed Operations (Clearly state all pertinent details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work. If well is directionally drilled, give subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths for all markers and zones pertinent to this work.)\*

Request approval to dispose of produced water and submit the following:

Water producing formations: Grayburg & San Andres

Daily water production: 1.5 Bbls/Day

Current water analysis attached.

Produced water is stored in two 250 bbl. water tanks and is hauled by truck to the Loco Hills Water Disposal, SW/4 Section 16 T17S R30E, Eddy County, New Mexico. A copy of Order No. R-6811-B is attached.

the foregoing is true 14. I hereby certify Agent (This space for Federal or State office/use) Approved by Signed by Shannon J. Shaw Conditions of approval, if any: Title

Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, makes it a crime for any person knowingly and willfully to make to any department or agency of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

The state of the s

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

submitted, particularly with regard to local area, or regional procedures structions concerning the use of this form and the number of copies to be

pursuant to applicable State law and regulations. Any necessary special in-Federal and Indian lands pursuant to applicable Federal law and regulations, and, if approved or accepted by any State, on all lands in such state. ations, and reports of such operations when completed, as indicated, on This form is designed for submitting proposals to perform certain well oper-

# SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

the abandonment. and date well site conditioned for final inspection looking to approval of and the depth to top of any left in the hole; method of closing top of well; plugs; amount, size, method of parting of any casing, liner or tubing pulled of cement plugs; mud or other material placed below, between and above by cement or otherwise; depths (top and bottom) and method of placement zones, or other zones with present significant fluid contents not sealed off

Consult local State or Federal office for specific instructions. or Indian land should be described in accordance with Federal requirements. Item 4-If there are no applicable State requirements, locations on Federal

reasons for the abandonment; data on any former or present productive al and/or State offices. In addition, such proposals and reports should include ment should include such special information as is required by local Federliem 13-Proposals to abandon a well and subsequent reports of abandon-

ROUTINE USES:

#### NOTICE

following information in connection with information required by this application. The Privacy Act of 1974 and the regulation in 43 CFR 2.48(d) provide that you be furnished the

AUTHORITY: 30 U.S.C. 181 et. seq., 351 et. seq., 25 U.S.C. et. seq.; 43 CFR 3160.

applications, and report completion of secondary well operations, on a Federal or Indian lease. PRINCIPAL PURPOSE - The information is to be used to evaluate, when appropriate, approve

(1) Evaluate the equipment and procedures used during the proposed or completed subsequent

- (2) Request and grant approval to perform those actions covered by 43 CFR 3162.3-2(2).
- (3) Analyze future applications to drill or modify operations in light of data obtained and methods
- State, local or foreign agencies, when relevant to civil, criminal or regulatory investigations (4)(5) Information from the record and/or the record will be transferred to appropriate Federal,

of the information is mandatory once an oil or gas well is drilled. EFFECT OF NOT PROVIDING INFORMATION - Filing of this notice and report and disclosure

This information is being collected in order to evaluate proposed and/or completed subsequent well The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501, et. seq.) requires us to inform you that:

operations on Federal or Indian oil and gas leases.

requested, to obtain approval for subsequent operations not previously authorized. This information will be used to report subsequent operations once work is completed and when

Response to this request is mandatory for the specific types of activities specified in 43 CFR Part 3160.

#### BURDEN HOURS STATEMENT

(1004-0135), Washington, D.C. 20503. Washington, D.C. 20240, and the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project Bureau of Land Management, (Alternate) Bureau Clearance Officer, (WO-771), 18 and C Streets, N.W., comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this form to U.S. Department of the Interior, reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining data, and completing and reviewing the form. Direct Public reporting burden for this form is estimated to average 25 minutes per response, including the time for

04074\T10-673-5881 :ORD .2.U\*

### Unichem International

### 707 North Leech

P.O.Box 1499

Hobbs, New Mexico 88240

Company: Southwest Royalties, Inc.

Date : 3-2-1994

Location: Wyatt Fed - Well #7 (on 2-28-1994)

•	Sample 1
Specific Gravity:	1.202
Total Dissolved Solids:	283125
:Hq	5.60
IONIC STRENGTH:	5.612

## 

CATIONS:		me/liter	mg/liter
Calcium	(Ca+2)	336	6720
Magnesium	(Mg+2)	824	10000
Sodium	(Na+1)	3840	88300
Dissolved Iron	(Fe+2)	17.9	500
ANIONS:			
Bicarbonate	(HCO3-1)	2.60	159
Carbonate	(CO3-2)	0	0
Hydroxide	(OH-1)	0	0
Sulfate	(SO4-2)	61.4	2950
Chloride	(Cl-1)	4940	175000

## 

### SCALING INDEX (positive value indicates scale)

	, -	Calcium	Calcium
Temperature		Carbonate	Sulfate
86[F	30[C	1.3	34
110[F	43 [ C	2.0	31
130[F	54 [ C	2.3	31
140[F	60[C	2.5	31
160[F	71[C	2.9	35

Comments:

Analysis performed by Bob Wallace - Hobbs Lab

cc: Bill Polk Joe Hay

Ι

0661CE

### STATE OF NEW MF TCO ENERGY AND MINERALS L\_PARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 7720 Order No. R-6811-B

APPLICATION OF LOCO HILLS WATER DISPOSAL COMPANY FOR AN AMENDMENT TO DIVISION ORDER NO. R-6811-A, EDDY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

### ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

#### BY THE COMMISSION:

This cause came on for hearing at 9 a.m. on November 29, 1982, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before the Oil Conservation Commission of New Mexico, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission".

NOW, on this 30th day of December, 1982, the Commission, a quorum being present, having considered the testimony presented and the exhibits received at said hearing, and being fully advised in the premises,

### FINDS:

- (1) That due public notice having been given as required by law, the Commission has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) That the applicant, Loco Hills Water Disposal Company, seeks an order amending Division Order No. R-6811-A to remove the present maximum disposal limit of 2,500 barrels per acre per month imposed upon the salt water disposal facility authorized, therein, in Section 16, Township 17 South, Range 30 East, NMPM, Eddy County, New Mexico.
- (3) That said Order No. R-6811-A was issued by the Commission following the hearing of Case No. 7329  $\underline{\text{De}}$  Novo on July 14, 1982.
- (4) That in said Order No. R-6811-A, the Commission made, among others, the following findings:
  - "(6) That Order (3) of Division Order No. R-3221, as amended, prohibits in that area encompassed by Lea, Eddy, Chaves, and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico, the disposal, subject to minor exceptions, of water produced

MAY 0 1 3974

in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, on the surface of the ground, or in any pit, pond, lake, depression, draw, streambed, or arroyo, or in any watercourse, or in any other place or in any manner which would constitute a hazard to any fresh water supplies and said disposal has not previously been prohibited.

- (7) That the aforesaid Order No. R-3221 was issued in order to afford reasonable protection against contamination of fresh water supplies designated by the State Engineer through disposal of water produced in conjunction with the production of oil or gas, or both, in unlined surface pits.
- (8) That the State Engineer has designated, pursuant to Section 65-3-11 (15), N.M.S.A., 1953 Compilation, all underground water in the State of New Mexico containing 10,000 parts per million or less of dissolved solids as fresh water supplies to be afforded reasonable protection against contamination; except that said designation does not include any water for which there is no present or reasonably foreseeable beneficial use that would be impaired by contamination.
- (9) That the applicant seeks an exception to the provisions of the aforesaid Order (3) of Division Order No. R-3221, as amended, to permit the commercial disposal of produced salt water into the aforesaid pits at the site described above.
- (10) That the applicant proposes to install and operate an effective system, composed of holding and separating tanks, and a skimming pit, for the removal of oily and solid wastes from the waters to be disposed of into said system.
- (11) That there is no fresh water in the immediate vicinity of said disposal system, but there are wells producing fresh water some nine miles south of the proposed disposal pits.
- (12) That the native soils underlying said pits will permit the vertical percolation of some of the waters disposed of in said system.
- (13) That the vertical percolation of waters from said system should not endanger any fresh waters.
- (14) That to ensure that waters percolating from said pits move only vertically, monitor wells should be

RECEMED

AAT I

drilled in a pattern as shown on Exhibit "A" designed to detect horizontal movement of water from said disposal area.

- (15) That in the event salt water is detected in any monitor well, Case No. 7329 should be reopened within 90 days to permit applicant to appear and show cause why the authority to use said pits for water disposal should not be rescinded.
- (16) That the maximum volume of produced water to be disposed of through said system should not exceed 2500 barrels per acre per month.
- (17) That a freeboard of a minimum of three feet should be maintained at all times."
- (5) That said Order No. R-6811-A did contain provisions limiting the maximum disposal volume to 2500 barrels per acre per month, requiring maintenance of a minimum three foot freeboard in all pits and the drilling and equiping of monitor wells.
- (6) That the applicant now seeks the amendment of said Order No. R-6811-A to remove only the 2500 barrels per acre per month disposal volume limitation.
- (7) That the application was opposed by a surface and ground water interest owner in the area which might be affected by the disposal operation.
- (8) That the applicant presented evidence designed to demonstrate that the change in disposal volume would not significantly alter the hydrologic regime established by institution of the disposal operation nor threaten contamination of any fresh water supplies.
- (9) That the protestant presented new evidence which tended to show that there were both southeast and southwest trending slopes on the interface between the Santa Rosa formation and the Rustler formation under the disposal pits.
- (10) That the protestant further presented testimony tending to show that an impermeable clay barrier exists at the base of the Santa Rosa formation which would effectively stop the vertical infiltration of the disposed waters into the Rustler formation.

MAY OF BEE

- (11) That if the disposed water which percolates through the Santa Rosa formation from said pits cannot move into the Rustler formation, it may move laterally through the Santa Rosa formation where it may endanger fresh water supplies.
- (12) That in order to verify that any water percolating from said pits ultimately enters the Rustler formation and does not move laterally within the Santa Rosa formation, the well monitoring system provided for in said Order No. R-6811-A should be expanded.
- (13) That the additional monitor wells should be drilled to the Rustler formation and should be located at points approximately 250 feet north of the present monitor well No. 9 located to the east of the disposal facility, approximately 150 feet from monitor well No. 2 along a line connecting monitor well 2 and monitor well 3, and at a third location approximately midway between the present monitor holes No. 4 and 5 all as depicted on Exhibit "A" to said Order No. R-6811-A.
- (14) That provided that these additional monitor wells are drilled and utilized in the same manner as the original monitor wells, no increased threat to fresh water supplies should result from lifting the 2500 barrels-per-acre disposal limitation contained in Order No. R-6811-A.
- (15) That the application should be approved and the additional monitor wells should be required.
- (16) That the granting of this application restricted in the manner set forth above will not cause waste, or impair correlative rights, or endanger designated fresh water supplies.

### IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(1) That the application of Loco Hills Water Disposal Company for an amendment of Division Order No. R-6811-A to remove the 2500 barrel per acre per month disposal limitation included in Order No. (1), thereof, is hereby approved.

PROVIDED HOWEVER, that this order shall not become effective until the applicant has drilled and completed three additional monitor wells located approximately (1) 250 feet to the North of present monitor hole No. 9, (2) 150 feet from present monitor well No. 2 along a line connecting monitor well No. 2 and 3 and (3) midway between the present monitor holes Nos. 4 and 5.

PROVIDED FURTHER, that each of said monitor wells shall be drilled to the top of the Rustler formation and that such wells

Lucy Proces

DECENTED MAY DE 1991

-5-Case No. 77. Order No. R-6811-B

shall be cased and operated in the same manner as those monitor wells required by Order No. R-6811-A.

(2) That jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

ALEX J. ARMIJO, Member

ED KELLEY, Member

in hille

JOE D. RAMEY, Member & Secretary

MAY 0 2 359