

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico

May 7, 1945

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the _____
Stanolind Oil & Gas Co. Southeast Vacuum Unit Well No. 1 in the _____
 Company or Operator Lease
NE/4 of NE/4 of Sec. 17, T. 18-S, R. 36-E, N. M. P. M.,
Wildcat Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: _____

Notice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on _____ 19____
 and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

At 8:00 PM, April 28, fifty-two hours had elapsed since setting 3288 ft. of 9-5/8" OD 36# new SS Casing and cementing with 250 sacks to be used for immediate string. At this time casing shut-off was tested as follows:

A pressure of 1000# was built up and held for thirty minutes, and as casing shut-off tested OK, the cement plug was drilled and a pressure of 1000# again built up and held for thirty minutes. As this test, too, was OK, drilling operations were resumed.

Witnessed by C. V. Campbell Stanolind Oil & Gas Co. Production Foreman
 Name Company Title

Subscribed and sworn before me this 7day of May, 19 45

Notary Public

My commission expires 4-23-49

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name Roy J. HenshewPosition Field SuperintendentRepresenting Stanolind Oil & Gas Co.
Company or OperatorAddress Box "F", Hobbs, New Mexico

Remarks:

Roy J. Henshew
 Name
 Title

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\chi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\eta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\xi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\zeta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\eta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\xi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\zeta}^2 \right) = 0$$
[illegible]

Figure 1. The effect of the number of trials on the number of correct responses. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all cases. The number of correct responses was significantly higher than the number of incorrect responses in all cases.