

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO CONNECT WITH PIPE LINE

This request should be SUBMITTED IN TRIPLICATE. See instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Monument, New MexicoMay 5, 1937

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Permission is requested to connect Amerada Petroleum Corporation Laughlin
Company or Operator Lease
Wells No. 2 in NE 1/4 NW 1/4 of Sec. 9, T. 20, R. 37, N. M. P. M.,
Monument Field, Lea County, with the pipe line of the
Shell Pipe Line Co.
Pipe Line Co. Address

Status of land (State, Government or privately owned) _____

Location of tank battery 660' from North. 1320' from West. Section 9 - 20 - 37Description of tanks 2- High 500 barrel wrought iron tanks.Logs of the above wells were filed with the Oil Conservation Commission May 5, 1937, 19____All other requirements of the Commission have have not been complied with. (Cross out incorrect words.)

Additional information:

This well was drilled to the Total depth of 3861'. 2 1/2" upset tubing was set at 3857'. Swabbed in and flowed 850 1/2 barrels pipe line oil on 7 hour test. Hourly average of 181 barrels. Flowing through 1" open choke on 2 1/2" tubing. Daily gas rate of 1,263,000. Gas oil ratio 438. Tubing pressure 300#. Casing pressure 1200#.

Yours truly,

DUPLICATE

Permission is hereby granted to make pipe line connections
requested above.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

By G. L. Macy
State Geologist
Title Member Oil Conservation Commission
Date MAY 7 1937

Amerada Petroleum Corporation

Owner or Operator

By J. C. Stucky
Position Sup't.
Address Monument, New Mexico

$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}$

THE 34TH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE LAND OFFICE

[illegible]

1. *Chlorophyll a* and *Chlorophyll b* were determined by the method of Lichtenthaler and Whistler (1973).

• *Chlorophyll a* \rightarrow 680 nm

[illegible]

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: a control group and an experimental group. The control group received a standard training program, while the experimental group received a modified training program. The results of the training program were compared between the two groups.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

* *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1990, 85, 10, 1000-1001.

$$f_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{f_{\text{eff}}^{\text{L}} + \frac{1}{f_{\text{eff}}^{\text{R}}}} \right) \quad (1)$$

1993-94: 10,734

• *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1965