

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE  
OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

### MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in duplicate to the State Geologist or proper Oil and Gas Inspector within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of water shut-off, result of abandonment of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by the State Geologist or Oil and Gas Inspector. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public, but such operations should be witnessed by an Oil and Gas Inspector if possible.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

|  |  |  |          |
|--|--|--|----------|
| REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS    |  | REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL                       |          |
| REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING WELL          |  | REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING |          |
| REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF WATER SHUT-OFF |  | REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL                       |          |
| REPORT ON RESULT OF ABANDONMENT OF WELL    |  | <b>REPORT ON ACID TREATMENT</b>                | <b>X</b> |

**Hobbs, New Mexico**      **March 25, 1934**

Mr. **E. H. Wells** State Geologist,

Santa Fe, N. Mex.

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the **Stanolind Oil & Gas Company** **Byers** Well No. **294** in the

**NW 1/4** of Sec. **8**, T. **19 S**, R. **22 E**, N. M. P. M.,  
**Hobbs** Oil Field, **Lea** County.

The dates of this work were as follows: **Acid treatment on March 12, 1934**

Notice of intention to do the work was (~~submitted~~) submitted on Form SG **105** on **February 2**, 19**34**, and approval of the proposed plan was (~~submitted~~) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

#### DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Well was treated with 2,000 gallons 50% commercial Hydrochloric acid solution on March 12th. The well was shut in and left shut in for forty eight hours, until March 14th, when it was swabbed in. The well was then allowed to flow at its production allowable until the official production test which was made on March 24th. The potential before acid treatment was 1248 barrels of oil with 1,100,000 cubic feet of gas. After acid treatment the potential is 3700 barrels of oil with 6,000,000 estimated cubic feet of gas, an increase of 297%. Open flow test through tubing on production test was 2800 barrels, which placed on tubing-casing curve gave the well a new potential of 3700 barrels for open flow.

## DUPLICATE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

**25th** day of **March**, 19**34**

*[Signature]*

NOTARY PUBLIC.

My commission expires **October 17th, 1934**

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name *[Signature]*

Position **Production Foreman**

Representing **Stanolind Oil & Gas Company**

Address **Hobbs, New Mexico**

Remarks:

MAR 30 1934  
APPROVED AS O. K.

*[Signature]*

NAME

TITLE

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE  
DIVISION OF THE STATE ENGINEERS  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

When this report is submitted to the State Engineer, it is requested that the same be placed in the file of the well to which it refers. It is also requested that the same be placed in the file of the well to which it refers. It is also requested that the same be placed in the file of the well to which it refers.

Reference is made to the report of the State Engineer.

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the well to which it refers. The report was submitted on March 12, 1934.

The work was done under the supervision of the State Engineer. The results obtained were as follows:

The work was done under the supervision of the State Engineer. The results obtained were as follows:

The work was done under the supervision of the State Engineer. The results obtained were as follows:

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

The well was tested with 2,000 gallons 50% commercial hydrochloric acid solution on March 12, 1934. The well was shut in and left shut in for forty eight hours, until March 14, 1934, when it was washed in. The well was then allowed to flow at its production rate until the official production test which was made on March 15, 1934. The official production test was 1842 barrels of oil with 1,100,000 cubic feet of gas. After acid treatment the potential is 3700 barrels of oil with 2,000,000 estimated cubic feet of gas, an increase of 89%. Open flow test through tubing on production test was 2822 barrels, which placed on tubing-casing curve gave the well a new potential of 3700 barrels for open flow.

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS

REPORT OF THE STATE ENGINEER  
ON THE WELL TO WHICH IT REFERS