

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in duplicate to the State Geologist or proper Oil and Gas Inspector within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of water shut-off, result of abandonment of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by the State Geologist or Oil and Gas Inspector. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public, but such operations should be witnessed by an Oil and Gas Inspector if possible.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF WATER SHUT-OFF		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF ABANDONMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON ACID TREATMENT	X

Hobbs, New Mexico April 15, 1934

PLACE

DATE

Mr. E. H. Wells State Geologist,
Santa Fe, N. Mex.

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Stanolind Oil & Gas Company Byers Well No. 2 in the

NE 1/4 of Sec. 4, T. 19 S, R. 33 E, N. M. P. M.,
Hobbs Oil Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: Acid treatment on April 2, 1934

Notice of intention to do the work was (none) submitted on Form SG 105 on March 21, 1934, and approval of the proposed plan was (none) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Well was treated with 1,000 gallons 60% commercial Hydrochloric acid solution on April 2nd. The well was shut in and left shut in for forty eight hours, until April 4th, when the well was opened up and started flowing without swabbing. The well was then allowed to flow at its proration allowable until the official proration test which was made on April 14th. The potential before acid treatment was 4958 barrels of oil with 5,500,000 cubic feet of gas. After acid treatment the potential is 10,700 barrels of oil with 6,416,000 cubic feet of gas, an increase of 216%. Open flow test through tubing on proration test was 5670 barrels, which placed on tubing-casing curve gave the well a new potential of 10,700 barrels for open flow.

DUPLICATE

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

15th day of April, 1934.

E. H. Wells

NOTARY PUBLIC.

My commission expires October 17th, 1934

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name J. J. Libb

Position Production Foreman

Representing Stanolind Oil & Gas Company

Address Hobbs, New Mexico

COMPANY OR OPERATOR.

Remarks:

APR 24 1934

APPROVED AS O. K.

BY [Signature]

NAME

TITLE

1947

1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

1961

1962

1963

1964

1965

1966

1967

1968

1969

1970

1971

1972

1973

1974

1975

1976

1977

1978

1979

1947-1948: The first year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The second year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

1949-1950: The third year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The fourth year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

1951-1952: The fifth year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The sixth year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

1953-1954: The seventh year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The eighth year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

1955-1956: The ninth year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The tenth year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

1957-1958: The eleventh year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The twelfth year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

1959-1960: The thirteenth year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The fourteenth year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

1961-1962: The fifteenth year of the project was spent in the field, collecting data on the distribution and abundance of the species. The sixteenth year was spent in the laboratory, analyzing the data and preparing the manuscript.

The project was completed in 1962. The results of the project were published in the Journal of the American Statistical Association in 1963. The project was a success, and the results were of great value to the field of statistics.

The project was a success, and the results were of great value to the field of statistics. The project was a success, and the results were of great value to the field of statistics.

The project was a success, and the results were of great value to the field of statistics.