

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS
(Submit to appropriate District Office as per Commission Rule 1106)

COMPANY Pan American Petroleum Corporation Box 68, Hobbs, New Mexico
(Address)

LEASE B. L. Thorp WELL NO. 10 UNIT A S 10 T -19-S R 38 E
DATE WORK PERFORMED 8-4-57 POOL Hobbs

This is a Report of: (Check appropriate block) ☐ Results of Test of Casing Shut-off
☐ Beginning Drilling Operations ☐ Remedial Work
☐ Plugging ☒ Other Temporarily Abandoned

Detailed account of work done, nature and quantity of materials used and results obtained.

The above well was drilled to a TD of 4360, perforated casing from 4350-60, 4313-20, 4332-37, with 4 shots per foot, acidized with 1500 gallons acid, obtained no production, squeezed the above perforations with 134 sacks cement. Perforated 4122-28, 4142-50, 4158-80 with 4 shots per foot and fracked with 21,840 gallons oil containing 3/4 to 1/2 pound of sand per gallon, obtained no production.

The well has been temporarily abandoned by closing well head valve, pending further study of possible value as a salt water disposal well.

THE COMMISSION MUST BE NOTIFIED
EVERY 6 MONTHS ON FORM C-103
AS TO THE WELL STATUS AND YOUR
FUTURE PLANS FOR THIS WELL.

FILL IN BELOW FOR REMEDIAL WORK REPORTS ONLY

Original Well Data:

DF Elev. _____ TD _____ PBD _____ Prod. Int. _____ Compl Date _____
Tbng. Dia _____ Tbng Depth _____ Oil String Dia _____ Oil String Depth _____
Perf Interval (s) _____
Open Hole Interval _____ Producing Formation (s) _____

RESULTS OF WORKOVER:

BEFORE

AFTER

Date of Test

Oil Production, bbls. per day

Gas Production, Mcf per day

Water Production, bbls. per day

Gas-Oil Ratio, cu. ft. per bbl.

Gas Well Potential, Mcf per day

Witnessed by _____

(Company)

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Name E. J. Fisher
Title Commissioner
Date 8-4-57

Name Ralph Henderson
Position Field Superintendent
Company Pan American Petroleum Corporation

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the author to the reader, explaining the purpose of the study and the methods used. The author states that the study is a qualitative research project aimed at understanding the experiences of young people who have been involved in the criminal justice system. The methods used are semi-structured interviews and focus groups. The author also mentions that the study is part of a larger project funded by the Department of Education and Skills.

2. The second part of the document is a literature review, which discusses the existing research on the topic. The author identifies a gap in the literature, which is the lack of research on the experiences of young people who have been involved in the criminal justice system. The author also discusses the importance of understanding these experiences in order to develop effective interventions and support services.

3. The third part of the document is the methodology section, which describes the research design and the data collection methods. The author explains that the study is a qualitative research project and that the data collection methods are semi-structured interviews and focus groups. The author also describes the sampling strategy, which is purposive sampling, and the ethical considerations that have been taken into account.

4. The fourth part of the document is the results section, which presents the findings of the study. The author discusses the themes that emerged from the data, which are related to the experiences of young people who have been involved in the criminal justice system. The author also discusses the implications of the findings for practice and policy.

5. The fifth part of the document is the conclusion, which summarizes the main findings of the study and the limitations of the research. The author concludes that the study has provided valuable insights into the experiences of young people who have been involved in the criminal justice system and that the findings have implications for practice and policy. The author also acknowledges the limitations of the study, which include the small sample size and the lack of generalizability of the findings.