

# REQUEST FOR (OIL) - (GAS) ALLOWABLE

☒ New Well  
Recompletion

This form shall be submitted by the operator before an initial allowable will be assigned to any completed Oil or Gas well. Form C-104 is to be submitted in QUADRUPLICATE to the same District Office to which Form C-101 was sent. The allowable will be assigned effective 7:00 A.M. on date of completion or recompletion, provided this form is filed during calendar month of completion or recompletion. The completion date shall be that date in the case of an oil well when oil is delivered into the stock tanks. Gas must be reported on 15.025 psia at 60° Fahrenheit.

Fort Worth, Texas 12-31-56  
(Place) (Date)

WE ARE HEREBY REQUESTING AN ALLOWABLE FOR A WELL KNOWN AS:

Gulf Oil Corporation W. T. McCormack, Well No. 13, in NE 1/4 NE 1/4,  
(Company or Operator) (Lease)  
A Unit Letter, Sec. 32, T. 21-S, R. 37-E, NMPM, Tubb Gas Pool

Lea County. Date Spudded 6-4-48 Date Completed 12-21-56 (Gas-Oil  
Dual Completion Started 12-3-56 Dual)

Please indicate location:

D	C	B	A
E	F	G	H
L	K	J	I
M	N	O	P

Elevation 3,444' Total Depth 6,624' P.B. m

Top oil/gas pay 6,050 Name of Prod. Form Tubb

Casing Perforations: 6050-6070', 6120-6180', 6190-6205' or

Depth to Casing shoe of Prod. String Packer set at 6,450'

Natural Prod. Test. 10

based on bbls. Oil in Hrs Mins.

Test after acid or shot BOPD

Based on bbls. Oil in Hrs Mins.

Gas Well Potential Maximum flow rate on initial test 3,140 MCF/Day  
at a back pressure of 300 psi

Size choke in inches.

Date first oil run to tanks or gas to Transmission system:

Transporter taking Oil or Gas: Permian Basin Pipeline Co.

## Casing and Cementing Record

Size Feet Sax

13"	309	300
9 5/8"	2,850	1,300
7"	6,500	700

Remarks: Filed in compliance with Rule 11 of Order R-586.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Approved: FEB 18 1957, 19 Gulf Oil Corporation  
(Company or Operator)

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

By: E. J. Fischer J. R. Sherman  
(Signature)

Title Division Gas Engineer  
Send Communications regarding well to:

Name Gulf Oil Corporation

Address Hobbs, New Mexico

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (CG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG). The CG was divided into two subgroups: the control group (CG) and the control group (CG). The EG was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (EG) and the experimental group (EG).

— *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 278: 1033-1038

1. *Chlorophyll a* and *Chlorophyll b* were determined by the method of Lichtenthal and Whistler (1973). The total chlorophyll content was determined by the method of Arar and Cook (1977).

1. *Chlorophyll *a** and *Chlorophyll *b** were determined by the method of Lichtenthaler and Sponholz (1980). The total chlorophyll content was determined by the method of Lichtenthaler and Sponholz (1980). The total chlorophyll content was determined by the method of Lichtenthaler and Sponholz (1980).

*Journal of Management Education* 30(6)

1. *Chlorophyll a* (Chl *a*)

Figure 1 consists of five line graphs arranged horizontally, each representing a different age group of children. The x-axis for all graphs is 'Trial' (1 to 5), and the y-axis is 'Percentage of correct responses' (0 to 100). The groups and their approximate performance trends are as follows:

- Group 1 (10-12 years):** Represented by a solid line with open circles. Performance starts at ~85% on Trial 1, dips slightly on Trial 2, then rises to ~95% by Trial 5.
- Group 2 (8-10 years):** Represented by a dashed line with open squares. Performance starts at ~75% on Trial 1, dips on Trial 2, then rises to ~85% by Trial 5.
- Group 3 (6-8 years):** Represented by a dotted line with open triangles. Performance starts at ~65% on Trial 1, dips on Trial 2, then rises to ~75% by Trial 5.
- Group 4 (4-6 years):** Represented by a dash-dot line with open diamonds. Performance starts at ~55% on Trial 1, dips on Trial 2, then rises to ~65% by Trial 5.
- Group 5 (2-4 years):** Represented by a solid line with crosses. Performance starts at ~45% on Trial 1, dips on Trial 2, then rises to ~55% by Trial 5.

Overall, all groups show an improvement in performance from Trial 1 to Trial 5, with the 10-12 years group consistently achieving the highest percentage of correct responses and the 2-4 years group achieving the lowest.

*[Handwritten signature]*

Age	1000 Condition (%)	10000 Condition (%)
7	75	55
8	80	65
9	85	75
10	90	85
11	95	90

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (a), 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml (b), 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml (c), 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml (d), 10<sup>10</sup> cells/ml (e), and 10<sup>11</sup> cells/ml (f). The concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension was 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml (a), 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml (b), 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml (c), 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml (d), 10<sup>10</sup> cells/ml (e), and 10<sup>11</sup> cells/ml (f).

[illegible]