

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELL

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-offs, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	10-3/4"	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico, March 28, 1939

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

DUPLICATE

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the _____

GULF OIL CORPORATION

J. N. Carson "C"

Well No. **1** in the _____

COMPANY OR OPERATOR

LEASE

Center NE NE

of Sec. **33**

T. **21**

R. **37**

N. M. P. M.,

Hardy

Field,

Lea


County

The dates of this work were as follows: **Cemented March 25, 1939; Tested March 27, 1939**

Notice of intention to do the work was ~~filed~~ submitted on Form C-102 on **March 27,** 19 **39**
and approval of the proposed plan was ~~filed~~ obtained. (Cross out incorrect words)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

The plug was drilled and the hole bailed dry and let stand for one hour, the bailer re-run and hole found to be dry and tested OK; after approval of Mr. Yarbrough, state oil and gas inspector, preparations were made to drill ahead.



Glenn Stach

Witnessed by

V. M. Roe

Gulf

Field Foremen

Name

Company

Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____

28th day of **March**, 19 **39**

J. W. Garner
Notary Public

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name *CCC*

Position **District Supt.**

Representing **GULF OIL CORPORATION**

GYPSY DIVISION

Company or Operator

My Commission expires **February 25, 1942**

Address **Hobbs, New Mexico**

Remarks:

Reg Yarbrough
Name
OIL & GAS INSPECTOR

Title

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS NOTICES

Submit this notice in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent before the work specified is to begin. A copy will be returned to the sender on which will be given the approval, with any modifications considered advisable, or the rejection by the Commission or its agent, of the plan submitted. The plan as approved should be followed, and work should not begin until approval is obtained. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of notice by checking below:

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TEST CASING SHUT-OFF	10-3/4"	NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SHOOT OR CHEMICALLY TREAT WELL	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CHANGE PLANS		NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PULL OR OTHERWISE ALTER CASING	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO REPAIR WELL		NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PLUG WELL	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEEPEN WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico, March 27, 1939

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

DUPLICATE

Following is a notice of intention to do certain work as described below at the

GULF OIL CORPORATION
GYPSY DIVISION **J. N. Carson "C"** Well No. **1** in **Center N E - N E**
 Company or Operator Lease
 o. Sec. **33**, T. **21**, R. **37**, N. M. P. M., **Hardy** Field,
Lea County.


FULL DETAILS OF PROPOSED PLAN OF WORK

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS IN THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE COMMISSION

Started drilling March 25, 1939.

March 25, 1939, the 10-3/4" OD 51# 8-thd. New API SS Casing, Range 2, Grade C-45, was cemented in Red Bed at 257'10" with 250 sacks Neat Cement and 300# CC, by the Halliburton Cementing Process.

Propose to drill plug and test at 9:30 A.M. March 27, 1939.



GULF OIL CORPORATION
GYPSY DIVISION

Company or Operator

By C. C. CummingsPosition District Supt.

Send communications regarding well to

Name C. C. CummingsAddress Hobbs, New Mexico

Approved _____, 19____

except as follows:

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

By R. C. GarthroughTitle OIL & GAS INSPECTOR

1. The first part of the paper is devoted to a discussion of the
theoretical aspects of the problem. It is shown that the
problem is well-posed in the sense of Hadamard.

2. In the second part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.
It is shown that the problem is ill-posed in the sense of
Hadamard. The author then discusses the stability of the
problem and the effect of the noise on the reconstruction.

3. In the third part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

4. In the fourth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

5. In the fifth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

6. In the sixth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

7. In the seventh part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

8. In the eighth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

9. In the ninth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

10. In the tenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

11. In the eleventh part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

12. In the twelfth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

13.

14. In the thirteenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

15. In the fourteenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

16. In the fifteenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

17. In the sixteenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

18. In the seventeenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

19. In the eighteenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

20. In the nineteenth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

21. In the twentieth part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

22. In the twenty-first part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

23. In the twenty-second part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.

24. In the twenty-third part, the author considers the problem of
the reconstruction of the initial data from the final data.