

## OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

## MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

RECEIVED  
JAN 1 1946  
NEGATIVE

HOBBES OFFICE

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	X	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Fort Worth, Texas

December 31, 1945

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the \_\_\_\_\_  
**TEXAS PACIFIC COAL AND OIL CO. State of New Mexico "A" Ac. 2** Well No. **32** in the \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_ Company or Operator \_\_\_\_\_ Lease \_\_\_\_\_

**SW** of Sec. **9**, T. **22-S**, R. **36-E**, N. M. P. M.,  
**So. Dinosa** Field, **Leon** County.

The dates of this work were as follows: **December 18, 1945**

Notice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on \_\_\_\_\_ 19\_\_\_\_  
 and approval of the proposed plan was (was not) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

## DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Set 3704' 5 1/2" casing - cemented w/150 sacks. Drilled plug and tested pipe  
 December 21, 1945. Tested pipe w/900 # pump pressure before and after drilling  
 plug. Casing tested O.K.

Witnessed by L. C. Ragdale, Cities Service Oil Company Pumper  
 Name Company Title  
 Subscribed and sworn before me this 31st  
 \_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 1945  
L. A. Hartung Notary Public  
 My commission expires 6-1-47  
 I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above  
 is true and correct.  
 Name H. E. Schaper  
 Position Agent  
 Representing TEXAS PACIFIC COAL AND OIL COMPANY  
 Company or Operator  
 Address P. O. Box 2110, Fort Worth 1, Texas

Remarks:

Ray W. Warrick  
 Name  
 Oil & Gas Inspector  
 Title

1. The first part of the paper discusses the importance of understanding the underlying mechanisms of the observed phenomena. This is crucial for developing effective interventions and policies. The authors emphasize that a thorough understanding of the context and the variables involved is essential for any meaningful analysis.

2. The second part of the paper presents a detailed review of the existing literature on this topic. The authors identify several key findings and gaps in the current research. They argue that while there is a growing body of knowledge, more research is needed to fully understand the complex interactions between the different factors.

3. The third part of the paper describes the methodology used in the study. The authors explain the rationale for choosing a qualitative approach and the specific methods employed to collect and analyze the data. They discuss the strengths and limitations of their approach and how they addressed potential biases.

4. The fourth part of the paper presents the results of the study. The authors describe the themes that emerged from the data and provide detailed examples of how these themes were identified and interpreted. They discuss the implications of their findings for theory and practice.

5. The fifth part of the paper discusses the conclusions and the implications of the study. The authors summarize the main findings and discuss their broader significance. They also provide recommendations for future research and practical applications.

6. The sixth part of the paper is a discussion of the limitations of the study. The authors acknowledge the constraints of their research design and the potential for bias. They also discuss the generalizability of their findings and the need for further research.

7. The final part of the paper is a conclusion. The authors reiterate the main findings and the importance of the study. They express their hope that the research will contribute to a better understanding of the phenomenon and inform future efforts to address the issue.