


NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO CONNECT WITH PIPE LINE



This request should be SUBMITTED IN TRIPLICATE. See instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

DUPLICATE

Hobbs, New Mexico

July 23, 1941

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Permission is requested to connect The Ohio Oil Company's State McDonald No. 2
Company or Operator Lease

Wells No. 23 in O-Unit of Sec. 13, T. 22 S, R. 36 E, N. M. P. M.,
Arrowhead Field, Lea County, with the pipe line of the

Texas-New Mexico Pipe Line Company Address Houston, Texas
Pipe Line Co.

Status of land (State, Government or privately owned) State #A-2614

Location of tank battery Center of SE 1/4 of Sec. 13 - Twp. 22S - Rge. 36E

Description of tanks 5 - 1000 Bbl. Steel Tanks.

Logs of the above wells were filed with the Oil Conservation Commission July 23, 1941, 19

All other requirements of the Commission have [have not] been complied with. (Cross out incorrect words.)
~~xxxx~~

Additional information:

Yours truly,

Permission is hereby granted to make pipe line connections
requested above.

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

By

Title

Date

John M. Kelly
JOHN M. KELLY
State Geologist

Secretary: Oil Conservation Commission

The Ohio Oil Company
Owner or Operator

By

Position

Address

DL Givins

Foreman

P. O. Box 1607, Hobbs, New Mexico

CHICAGO, ILL. 1964

PERMISSION TO CONSULT WITH THE BOARD

1. The Board of Directors of the University of Chicago has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th day of June, 1964, regarding the proposed revision of the University's policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

2. The Board has considered your proposal and has concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

3. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

4. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

5.

6. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

7. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

8. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

9. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

10. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

11. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

12. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

13. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

14. The Board has also concluded that it is in the best interests of the University to approve the proposed revision of the policy on the appointment and removal of faculty members.

15.