## PROPOSED WITHL PLAN OUTLINE

WELL NAME LOCATION		SEMU #166 490' FSL & 1311' FEL, Sec 23, T20S, R37E, Lea County, NM					G. cand Level : Kelly Bushing:	3560' 11' AGL	
Depth MD	FORMATION TOPS (from GL)	DRILLING PROBLEMS	TYPE OF FORMATION EVALUATION	HOLE	CASING PROGRAM	FRAC	FORM. PRES. GRAD.	Mud Weight & Type	Davs
		Possible Hole Enlargement & Slouahing		12-1/4'	-		Less than 8.3	8.4 - 9.5 Fresh	
	<u>⊺op Salt @ 1,400'</u>	Washouts in Salt Section		7-7/8"	8-5/8", 24#, J-55 ST&C @ 1,500' Circulate Cement			10 Brine	3
2000							Less than 8.4		
3000	Base Salt @ 2,550 Yates 2,670' 7 Rivers 2,900'		Mud Loggers @ 2,600' H2S monitor equipment on @ 2,600'						
	Queen 3,450' Penrose 3,550' Gravburg 3,760' San Andres 3,855'								
4000	San Andres 3,855	Mud loss in San Andres is likely. Possible loss of returns.							
5000	Glorietta 5,140'	Possible differential sticking thru Glorietta Possible lost returns.							8
6000	Blinebry 5,720' Tubb 6,260'								
	Drinkard 6,605'		First Log Run:					10 ppg	15
7000	Abo 6,910'		GR-CAL-DLL-MLL-SGR-SO FDC-CNL-PE : TD to 2000' Pull GR-CNL-Cal to Surf SGR interval to be chosen Second Log Run:	NIC				Starch Gel	
8000	Strawn @ 7,635'	Lost of full returns is likelv upon drilling into Strawn. Offset data from: SEMU #158 SEMU Penn Federal #9	60 rotary sidewall cores Possible Third Run: FMI imaging log		5-1/2", 17#, J-55 LT&C set @ 8,150' Circulate cement either single or 2 stage				22

DATE

03-Jan-02

Joe Huck, Geologist

APPROVED

YP Ortez, Drilling Engineer

Rob Lowe, Reservoir Engineer