

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	X	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Midland, TexasApril 28, 1936OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the _____

Humble Oil & Refining Company A. J. Adrine Well No. 3 in the
Company or Operator Lease
N 1/4 of 34/4 of Sec. 10, T. 21-S, R. 16-E, N. M. P. M.,
Permian Field, Lee County.

The dates of this work were as follows: April 27, 1936

Notice of intention to do the work was [was not] submitted on Form C-102 on April 27 19 36
and approval of the proposed plan was [was not] obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Tested 8-1/2" casing with 1500' cold water pressure and drilled plug

April 27, 1936.

Tested O. K.

DUPLICATE

Witnessed by No witnesses
Name Company Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____

28 day of April, 1936State Wilson Alarid
Notary PublicMy Commission expires 6-1-37

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name R. B. BensonPosition Division SuperintendentRepresenting Humble Oil & Refining CompanyAddress Drawer 8, Midland, Texas

Remarks:

J. J. Walsh
Name
Title

INVESTIGATION OF THE
REASONING OF THE
JURY

REPORT OF THE

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The results of the investigation are as follows: The jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934, was composed of twelve members. The jury was selected from the list of names of the qualified voters of Cook County, Illinois, who were eligible to serve on the jury.

The jury was sworn to render a true verdict according to the law and the facts of the case. The jury was instructed by the court on the law applicable to the case. The jury was given the opportunity to ask questions of the witnesses and the court.

THE JURY IN THE TRIAL OF THE CASE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS VS. JOHN EDGAR HOOVER, ET AL., IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, IN THE YEAR 1934.

The jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934, was composed of twelve members. The jury was selected from the list of names of the qualified voters of Cook County, Illinois, who were eligible to serve on the jury.

The jury was sworn to render a true verdict according to the law and the facts of the case. The jury was instructed by the court on the law applicable to the case. The jury was given the opportunity to ask questions of the witnesses and the court.

The jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934, was composed of twelve members. The jury was selected from the list of names of the qualified voters of Cook County, Illinois, who were eligible to serve on the jury.

INVESTIGATION OF THE REASONING OF THE JURY

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.

The following is a summary of the results of the investigation of the reasoning of the jury. The results are based on the analysis of the records of the jury in the trial of the case of the State of Illinois vs. John Edgar Hoover, et al., in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, in the year 1934.