

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

## MISCELLANEOUS NOTICES

Submit this notice in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent before the work specified is to begin. A copy will be returned to the sender on which will be given the approval, with any modifications considered advisable, or the rejection by the Commission or its agent, of the plan submitted. The plan as approved should be followed, and work should not begin until approval is obtained. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of notice by checking below:

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO TEST CASING SHUT-OFF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NOTICE OF INTENTION TO SHOOT OR CHEMICALLY TREAT WELL	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CHANGE PLANS		NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PULL OR OTHERWISE ALTER CASING	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO REPAIR WELL		NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PLUG WELL	
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEEPEN WELL			

Midland, Texas, February 24, 1936

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,  
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a notice of intention to do certain work as described below at the

**Humble Oil & Refining Co., J. D. Knox** Well No. **1** in **NW/4 of SE/4**  
 Company or Operator Lease  
 of Sec. **10**, T. **21-S**, R. **24-E**, N. M. P. M., **Permian** Field,  
**Lea** County.

## FULL DETAILS OF PROPOSED PLAN OF WORK

FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS IN THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE COMMISSION

SET CASING AS FOLLOWS:

SIZE	WEIGHT	AMOUNT	SET AT	FORMATION	TOTAL DEPTH OF WELL	NO. SACKS & MAKE OF GEMENT	PLUG ON BOTTOM
8-5/8"	55#	1500' 6"	1500'	Anhydrite	1575'	600 sacks H Toro	11:15 AM 2/25/36

Halliburton method used.

Will test with 1500# cold water pressure on February 26, 1936.

TRIPPLICATE

Approved \_\_\_\_\_, 19\_\_\_\_  
 except as follows:

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,

By

Title

**Humble Oil & Refining Company**

Company or Operator

By

Position **Division Superintendent**

Send communications regarding well to

**R. C. Barbour**

Name

Address **Dwain W, Midland, Texas.**

MEMORANDUM FOR THE CHIEF OF STAFF

1. The purpose of this memorandum is to inform you of the results of the study conducted by the Joint Staff, JCS, regarding the proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force. The study was conducted in accordance with the instructions of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, dated 15 October 1947.

2. The study was conducted by the Joint Staff, JCS, and the results are summarized in the attached report. The report shows that the proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

- a. The Army will be reorganized into three major components: the Army of the United States, the Army of the Pacific, and the Army of the Atlantic.
- b. The Navy will be reorganized into three major components: the Navy of the United States, the Navy of the Pacific, and the Navy of the Atlantic.
- c. The Air Force will be reorganized into three major components: the Air Force of the United States, the Air Force of the Pacific, and the Air Force of the Atlantic.

3. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

4. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

5. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

6. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

7. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

8. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

9. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

10. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

11. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

12. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

13. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

14. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows:

15. The proposed changes in the structure of the Army, Navy, and Air Force are feasible and will result in a more efficient and effective organization. The proposed changes are as follows: