

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL	X	REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico.

Place

Sept. 21, 1936

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the _____

Repello Oil Company M. S. Berryman Well No. 1 in the
Company or Operator Lease
SW/4 of SE/4 of Sec. 11, T. 21S, R. 36E, N. M. P. M.,
Eunice Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: 9/20/36

Notice of intention to do the work was [was ~~not~~] submitted on Form C-102 on 9/20/36 19____
and approval of the proposed plan was [was ~~not~~] obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Well treated w/ 3000 Gallon Dowell "X-W" Acid Sept. 20th by Dowell Inc.,
Midland, Mich.

Treated from 3833 to 3882
Acid set 5 hours

Production after treatment- 65 Bbl. in 9 hours

Witnessed by Frank Dinker Dowell Inc.,
Name Company Title

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2

day of Oct, 1936

[Signature]
Notary Public

My Commission expires 12-3-38

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name [Signature]

Position Dist. Supt.

Representing Repello Oil Company

Company or Operator

Address Hobbs, N. Mex.

Remarks:

[Signature]
Title

16R

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

²² *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 287, 10, 1273-1274 (2002).

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agaricus bisporus* spores on the growth of *Agaricus bisporus* on the substrate. The concentration of the spores was 10⁴ spores/ml (a), 10⁵ spores/ml (b), 10⁶ spores/ml (c), 10⁷ spores/ml (d), 10⁸ spores/ml (e), 10⁹ spores/ml (f), 10¹⁰ spores/ml (g), 10¹¹ spores/ml (h), 10¹² spores/ml (i), 10¹³ spores/ml (j), 10¹⁴ spores/ml (k), 10¹⁵ spores/ml (l). The substrate was 100 g of the substrate. The concentration of the spores was 10⁴ spores/ml (a), 10⁵ spores/ml (b), 10⁶ spores/ml (c), 10⁷ spores/ml (d), 10⁸ spores/ml (e), 10⁹ spores/ml (f), 10¹⁰ spores/ml (g), 10¹¹ spores/ml (h), 10¹² spores/ml (i), 10¹³ spores/ml (j), 10¹⁴ spores/ml (k), 10¹⁵ spores/ml (l). The substrate was 100 g of the substrate.

[illegible]

^a Values are means ± SD.

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 277: 1033-1038.

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains. The *Agrobacterium* strains were grown in the YEA medium for 24 h at 28 °C. The cell concentration of the *Agrobacterium* strains was adjusted to 1.0 × 10⁸ cells/ml. The cell suspension was then mixed with the plant tissue and the transformation efficiency was determined. The results are shown as the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. The asterisk indicates a significant difference (*p* < 0.05) between the two strains.

DECLASSIFIED UNDER E.O. 13526, AUTHORITY 50102, DATE 09-10-2013 BY 60322 UCBAW/PJL

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agaricus bisporus* spores on the growth of *Agaricus bisporus* on the substrate. The concentration of the spores was 10⁴ spores/ml (□), 10⁵ spores/ml (△), 10⁶ spores/ml (○), 10⁷ spores/ml (◇), 10⁸ spores/ml (◇), 10⁹ spores/ml (◇). The growth of *Agaricus bisporus* was measured by the diameter of the mycelium (mm) after 7 days of incubation at 25°C. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. The data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA. The significance level was p < 0.05.

NEW XICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS	REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	X
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL	REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL		

Hobbs, New Mexico

Place

Sept. 15, 1936

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the _____

Repollo Oil Company **M. S. Berryman** Well No. 1 in the
Company or Operator Lease
SW/4 of SE/4 of Sec. 11, T. 21S, R. 36E, N. M. P. M.,
Kunice Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: 9/15/36

Notice of intention to do the work was [was not] submitted on Form C-102 on _____ 19____

and approval of the proposed plan was [was not] obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Run 152' of 5"OD Liner on a 7"OD x 5"OD Texas Iron Works Packer
Bottom of liner at 3818- Top of packer at 3654- Cemented W/ 20 sacks
encor cement- Hole filled with washed gravel from bottom 3882 to bottom of
liner 3818
Inner string of casing 7"OD- 3726'
Purpose of liner - to reduce gas/oil ratio

Witnessed by Mr. S. Miller Loffland Brothers Co., Supt.
Name Company Title

Subscribed and sworn to before e this 2

day of Oct, 1936

Notary Public

My Commission expires 12-3-38

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name L. Smith

Position Dist. Supt.

Representing Repollo Oil Company
Company or Operator

Address Hobbs, N. Mex.

Remarks:

[Signature]
Name
Title

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING ^{CHIMNEY} OF CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL	X	REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, N. M.

Sept. 2, 1936

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the _____

Repollo Oil Company M.S. Berryman Well No. 1 in the _____
Company or Operator
SW/4 to SE/4 of Sec. 11, T. 21S, R. 36E, N. M. P. M.,
Eunice Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: 9/1/36
Notice of intention to do the work was [was ~~not~~ ^{not}] submitted on Form C-102 on 9/1/36 19____
and approval of the proposed plan was [was ~~not~~ ^{not}] obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Well treated w/ 3000 gallon Dowell "XX" acid on Sept. 1st by Dowell Inc., Midland, Mich.

Treated from - 3800 to 3882
Acid set 5 hours

Production after treatment - Flowed 49½ Barrels in 14 hours

Witnessed by _____ Name _____ Company _____ Title _____

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 5

day of Sept, 1936

Eugene Wehler
Notary Public

My Commission expires 12-3-38

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name L. Smith

Position Dist. Supt.

Representing Repollo Oil Company

Company or Operator

Address Hobbs, N. M.

Remarks:

[Signature]
Name _____
Title _____

1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973

1972-1973
1972-1973
1972-1973