

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL	XXX	REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

Hobbs, New Mexico Feb 1937

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO.

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the _____

GULF OIL CORPORATION

H. C. Collins

Well No. **#4** in the _____GYPSY DIVISION
Company or Operator

Lense

SW/4

of Sec. **14**, T. **21S**, R. **36E**, N. M. P. M.,

Eunice

Field, **Lea**, _____ County.The dates of this work were as follows: **Acidized Feb 23 1937**

Notice of intention to do the work was [was not] submitted on Form C-102 on _____ 19____

and approval of the proposed plan was [was not] obtained. (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

Feb 23-1937 acidized with 3,000 gallons.

Test before acid:- No test, showed small amount of oil & gas.

Test after acid:- No Test, well made small flows after each run of swab.

Note:- Will re-acidize with 5,000 gallons.

Witnessed by	Charley Taylor Clyde Thompson	Gulf Chemical Process	Foreman. Treater.
	Name	Company	Title

Subscribed and sworn before me this _____

5th day of March, 19 37

Notary Public

My commission expires February 20 1941

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name **W. C. Cummings**Position **District Sup.**Representing **GULF OIL CORPORATION**
Company or OperatorAddress **Hobbs, New Mexico.**

Remarks:

APPROVED

F. J. Gentry

Name

Title

ICR
ICPD

1. THE PROBLEM OF THE UNIFORMITY OF NATURE

1.1. The Problem

1.1.1. THE PROBLEM OF THE UNIFORMITY OF NATURE

The problem of the uniformity of nature is a philosophical problem that concerns the question of whether the laws of nature are uniform. In other words, are the laws of nature the same everywhere and at all times? This is a question that has been debated for centuries, and it is one that has no definitive answer.

1.1.1.1. THE PROBLEM OF THE UNIFORMITY OF NATURE

There are two main ways of approaching this problem. The first is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it. The second is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

1.1.1.2. THE PROBLEM OF THE UNIFORMITY OF NATURE

1.1.1.2.1. THE PROBLEM OF THE UNIFORMITY OF NATURE

The problem of the uniformity of nature is a philosophical problem that concerns the question of whether the laws of nature are uniform. In other words, are the laws of nature the same everywhere and at all times? This is a question that has been debated for centuries, and it is one that has no definitive answer.

There are two main ways of approaching this problem. The first is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it. The second is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

- The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.
- The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The first way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are uniform, and then to try to prove it.

The second way of approaching the problem is to assume that the laws of nature are not uniform, and then to try to prove it.