

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

December 28, 1954

**Sinclair Oil & Gas Co.
Mr. J. T. Reeves
901 Fair Building
Ft. Worth, Texas**

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to your application for a non-standard gas proration unit in the Eumont Gas Pool, comprising 280 acres in Section 19, Township 21 South, Range 36 East, to be assigned to your State 176 Well # 3.

Information available at our Hobbs office indicates that the other wells within the acreage you propose to dedicate to this gas unit are completed as oil wells in the same zone as your State 176 Well #3, and these oil wells are receiving their allowables as such. The granting of the unit you propose would in effect provide an additional allowable for the oil wells contained in this unit and cause an unequitable withdrawal of the reservoir energy, contrary to the best interests of conservation.

We are unable to grant administrative approval to your application for a 280-acre unit on the above described acreage, and on the basis of the above facts we would not consider a unit size for this well of over 40 acres.

If you have any information which we have not considered or if you should desire a hearing on this matter, please notify the Commission at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Oil Conservation Commission

**Charles M. Rieder
Petroleum Engineer**

CMR:jh

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

P. O. BOX 871

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

December 28, 1934

Director of the Oil & Gas
Division
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Dear Sir:

Reference is made to your application for a non-standard gas
lease in the Ramon Gas Pool, comprising 360 acres in
Section 19, Township 31 South, Range 36 East, to be assigned to
your lease, to-wit:

Information available at our Hobbs office indicates that the
other wells within the acreage you propose to operate to this gas unit
are completed as all wells in the same zone as your State 17 well 43
and these oil wells are receiving their allowances as such. The present
log of the unit you propose would in effect provide an additional allowance
for the oil wells contained in this unit and cause an undue withdrawal
of the reservoir energy, contrary to the best interests of conservation.

We are unable to make administrative approval to your application
for a lease to unit on the above described acreage, and on the basis of
the facts stated we would not consider a unit other for this well at over
the present.

If you desire information which we have not considered or if
you would desire a meeting on this matter, please notify the Commission
at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

Oil Conservation Commission

Charles M. Bicker
Petroleum Engineer

12/28/34