

NEW MEXICO STATE LAND OFFICE
OFFICE OF THE STATE GEOLOGIST
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in duplicate to the State Geologist or proper Oil and Gas Inspector within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of water shut-off, result of abandonment of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by the State Geologist or Oil and Gas Inspector. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public, but such operations should be witnessed by an Oil and Gas Inspector if possible.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF WATER SHUT-OFF	X	REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF ABANDONMENT OF WELL			

Mr. E.H. Wells State Geologist, Hobbs N. Mex PLACE 6-27-34 DATE
Santa Fe, N. Mex.
Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Continental Oil Co. COMPANY OR OPERATOR State C-20 LEASE Well No. 1 in the NW 1/4 of Sec. 20, T. 21S, R. 36E, N. M. P. M., Eunice Oil Field, Lea County.

The dates of this work were as follows: _____
Notice of intention to do the work was (was not) submitted on Form SG 103 on 6-25-34, 19____, and approval of the proposed plan was Obtained (Cross out incorrect words.)

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

13-3/8" O.D. 48# Casing was set in Redbeds on 6-24-34, at 307' and cemented with 200 Sacks of cement. Plug was drilled at 8am June 26th, and hole bailed dry and allowed to stand 3 hrs, Bailer run and hole dry. Casing test Ok, Water shut off.
Test was Witnessed by J. W. Hunter, State O & G Inspector.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

_____ day of _____, 19____.

NOTARY PUBLIC.

My commission expires _____

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name Sam Miller

Position District Clerk.

Representing Continental Oil Co.

Address P.O. Box CC Hobbs N. Mexico.

JUL 6 - 1934

Remarks:

APPROVED AS O. K.

BY [Signature]

NAME

TITLE

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LIBRARY
540 EAST 57TH STREET, CHICAGO, ILL. 60637
TEL: 773-936-5000 FAX: 773-936-5001

***** NO OFFICER SUBMITTED ***

1. The Commission has been informed that the Government of India has been requested to provide information regarding the status of the implementation of the recommendations of the Commission's report on the subject of the rights of the child. The Commission has been informed that the Government of India has been requested to provide information regarding the status of the implementation of the recommendations of the Commission's report on the subject of the rights of the child.

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to define the problem clearly. This involves identifying the symptoms, the scope of the problem, and the impact it is having on the organization. Once the problem is defined, the next step is to gather information about the problem. This can be done through interviews, surveys, and other research methods. The information gathered should be used to identify the causes of the problem and to develop a plan of action to address the problem. The final step in the process is to implement the plan of action and to monitor the results. This involves setting up a system of controls to ensure that the plan is being followed and that the problem is being resolved. Once the problem is resolved, the system of controls should be reviewed and updated as needed to prevent the problem from recurring.

1. What is the purpose of the study?
 The purpose of the study is to investigate the effect of a new teaching method on student performance in mathematics.

2. What are the research questions?
 The research questions are:
 a) Does the new teaching method improve student performance compared to the traditional method?
 b) Are there any differences in student engagement between the two methods?
 c) How do students perceive the new teaching method?

3. What is the significance of the study?
 The study is significant because it aims to evaluate the effectiveness of a new educational approach, which could potentially improve learning outcomes and student engagement in mathematics.

4. What are the limitations of the study?
 The limitations of the study include:
 a) The sample size is small, which may limit the generalizability of the findings.
 b) The study is a short-term intervention, so long-term effects cannot be determined.
 c) There may be confounding factors, such as teacher enthusiasm, that could influence the results.

5. What are the conclusions?
 The study concludes that the new teaching method shows promise in improving student performance and engagement, but further research is needed to confirm these findings and address the limitations.