

# NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

Santa Fe, New Mexico

## MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELL

Submit this report in triplicate to the Oil Conservation Commission or its proper agent within ten days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and sworn to before a notary public for reports on beginning drilling operations, results of shooting well, results of test of casing shut-offs, result of plugging of well, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the commission. Reports on minor operations need not be signed and sworn to before a notary public. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Indicate nature of report by checking below:

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS		REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF SHOOTING OR CHEMICAL TREATMENT OF WELL		REPORT ON PULLING OR OTHERWISE ALTERING CASING	
REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST OF CASING SHUT-OFF	<b>7 5/8"</b>	REPORT ON DEEPENING WELL	
REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING OF WELL			

**Hobbs, N.M., Sept. 24, 1938**

Place

Date

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION,  
Santa Fe, New Mexico.

**DUPLICATE**

Gentlemen:

Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the

**GULF OIL CORPORATION**

**GYPSY DIVISION**

COMPANY OR OPERATOR

**Arnett Ramsey "D" State**

LEASE

Well No. **2** in the

**C NE NE**

of Sec. **33**

T. **21S**

R. **36E**

N. M. P. M.,

**Runice**

Field,

**Lee**


County

The dates of this work were as follows: **Tested Sept 25th.**

Notice of intention to do the work was (~~was~~) submitted on Form C-102 on **Sept. 24, 1938** 19\_\_\_\_ and approval of the proposed plan was (~~was~~) obtained. (Cross out incorrect words)

### DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED

The hole was washed down and the casing was tested with 1200# pressure applied for 30 mins. The plug was then drilled and the hole tested with 1200# pressure applied for 30 mins. Both tests were OK and after approval of Mr. Walker, State Oil & Gas Inspector, preparations were made to drill ahead.



**HOBBS OFFICE**

**Loffland Bros.**

**Gulf Oil Corp.**

Title

Witnessed by **Shirley Miller**  
**Ralph Clarkson**  
Name

**Tool Pusher**  
**Field Foreman**  
Company

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

**26th** day of **Sept.**, 19 **38**

*J. W. Gayer*

Notary Public

My Commission expires **Feb. 25, 1942**

I hereby swear or affirm that the information given above is true and correct.

Name *Arnett Ramsey*

Position **Supt.**

Representing **GULF OIL CORPORATION**

**GYPSY DIVISION**  
Company or Operator

Address **Hobbs, N.M.**

Remarks:

*Press Walker*

Name

**OIL & GAS INSPECTOR**

Title

## Figure 1

Figure 1: A line graph showing the relationship between the number of hours spent studying and the score on a test.



The graph shows a positive linear relationship between the number of hours spent studying and the score on a test. The x-axis represents the number of hours studied, ranging from 0 to 10. The y-axis represents the test score, ranging from 0 to 100. The line starts at the origin (0, 0) and extends to the point (10, 100), indicating that for every hour of study, the test score increases by 10 points.

The equation of the line is:

$$y = 10x$$

where  $y$  is the test score and  $x$  is the number of hours studied.

The graph shows that the test score increases linearly with the number of hours studied, starting at (0, 0) and ending at (10, 100).

The line represents a constant rate of improvement, where the test score increases by 10 points for every hour of study.

Figure 1: A line graph showing the relationship between the number of hours spent studying and the score on a test.

Figure 1: A line graph showing the relationship between the number of hours spent studying and the score on a test.

Figure 1: A line graph showing the relationship between the number of hours spent studying and the score on a test.