

OCC
Hobbs
HOBBS OFFICE OCC

1956 SEP 12 PM

Drawer D
Albuquerque, New Mexico
September 4, 1956

New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission
P. O. Box 871
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: Application for Exception to Rule 5 (a)
of Order No. R-520 for Amerada J.G.
Randle "A" No. 1, Blinbry Gas Pool

Gentlemen:

By this letter of application Amerada Petroleum Corporation wishes to state the following:

- (a) That Amerada Petroleum Corporation J.G. Randle "A" No. 1 located 1980 ft. FNL and 1980 ft. FWL of Section 20, Township 21-S, Range 37-E, Lea County, New Mexico was completed as a Blinbry gas well August 25, 1954.
- (b) That the applicant proposes to assign this well a non-standard gas proration unit consisting of 80 acres which is the SE/4 of NW/4 and the SW/4 of the NE/4 of Section 20, T-21-S, R-37-E, Lea County, New Mexico.
- (c) That the proposed non-standard gas proration unit lies wholly within a single governmental section.
- (d) That the proposed non-standard gas proration unit may reasonably be presumed to be productive of gas.
- (e) That the proposed non-standard gas proration unit does not exceed 5280 feet in length or width and consists of contiguous quarter-quarter sections.
- (f) That by copy of this letter of application by registered mail all offset operators are notified.

Therefore, Amerada Petroleum Corporation requests that the Oil Conservation Commission grant approval of this non-standard gas proration unit as proposed in this application.

Respectfully submitted,
AMERADA PETROLEUM CORPORATION

By D. C. Capps
D. C. Capps
District Superintendent

HOBBS OFFICE 000

SEP 15 11 5:48 AM

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM : SAC, NEW YORK
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

RE: [Illegible]

On September 14, 1964, [Illegible] advised that [Illegible] had been contacted by [Illegible] who stated that [Illegible] was planning to travel to New York City on September 15, 1964.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.

[Illegible] was advised that [Illegible] was being monitored by the New York Office and that [Illegible] was being advised of any activity.