


# SCOUT REPORT

## NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION



### AMOUNT CASING & CEMENTING RECORD

Size	Feet	Inches	Sax Cement
5 1/2	3131		400

### TUBING RECORD

PACKER

Company P. B. Clay  
 Farm Name Pat. EF. Mangonia-King Well No. 1  
 Sec. 12 Twp. 23 Range 36 County Lea  
 Feet from Line: N. 990 S. 1650 E. W.  
 Elevation 3393 Method    
 Contractor    
 Spudded Sept. 2, 1947 Completed Sept 14, 1947

### ACID RECORD Gals.

TA	1320	TG
TX	1345	TSA
TCA		TGI
BX	2740	TYo
TY		TABo
TSR		TPenn
Top Pay	3154 3055 to 3250'	TQ
		TOrd

### SHOOTING RECORD

No. of Quarts	From	To
No. of Quarts	From	To
S/	S/	S/
S/	S/	S/
S/	S/	S/

Date		Date	
9-3	Cellar & Pits		
9-10	2800 2 1/2		
9-17	3250 2 1/2		
9-24	3200 2 1/2		
	8,000 in 2 1/2 3" 1/2		
	@ 3238		

Box 1545  
Hobbs, New Mexico  
September 24, 1947

Mr. R. G. Clay  
1804 Fair Bldg.  
Ft. Worth, Texas

Dear Mr. Clay:

I am in receipt of your Form C-105, Well Record, on your E. F. King Well #1, in Sec. 12, Twp. 23S, Rge. 36 E, Lea County, New Mexico. Also, we have your completion report dated September 20, 1947.

Upon checking my files I find that this well was originally known as the Magnolia-King Well #1. On Form C-101, Notice of Intention to Drill, dated August 27, and approved September 2, 1947, you state this well as being in the NESWSE or 990' from the south line and 1650' from the east line of Section 12. On Form C-105, Well Record, you state that this well is in the SWSE or 1650' south of the North line and 1650' west of the East line, which would place the well in the SWNE of Section 12. On your completion report you designate the well as being in Unit K which is the NESW of section 12.

I feel sure that this is all the same well but on each of the three forms you have filed there is a different location. Would you please let me know immediately the correct location and Unit this well is in.

Also, would you please file drilling reports with the Commission so our records will be complete on this well.

Very truly yours,

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

BY \_\_\_\_\_  
Secretary - Field Office

Age group	Condition A	Condition B	Condition C	Condition D	Condition E
8	90	75	45	15	10
10	95	80	55	10	5
12	100	85	60	20	15

[illegible]

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the experimental design. The subjects were divided into two groups: the control group (C) and the experimental group (E). The control group (C) was divided into two subgroups: the control group (C) and the control group (C). The experimental group (E) was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (E) and the experimental group (E). The control group (C) was divided into two subgroups: the control group (C) and the control group (C). The experimental group (E) was divided into two subgroups: the experimental group (E) and the experimental group (E).